UNIVERSITYOF BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Early postnatal discharge for infants

Jones, Eleanor; Taylor, Beck; MacArthur, Christine; Bradshaw, Sally; Hope, Lucy; Cummins, Carole

DOI:

10.1542/peds.2019-3365

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Jones, E, Taylor, B, MacArthur, C, Bradshaw, S, Hope, L & Cummins, C 2020, 'Early postnatal discharge for infants: a meta-analysis', Pediatrics, vol. 146, no. 2, e20193365. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2019-3365

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes

- •Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- •Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
 •User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 19. Apr. 2024

Early Postnatal Discharge for Infants: A Meta-Analysis

Eleanor Jones ^a, PhD, Beck Taylor ^a, PhD, Christine MacArthur ^a, PhD, Sally Bradshaw ^a, MSc, Lucy Hope ^b, PhD, Carole Cummins ^a, PhD

Affiliations: ^a Institute of Applied Health Research, The Learning Centre, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, B15 2TT; and ^b Department of Nursing & Midwifery Three Counties School of Nursing and Midwifery, College of Health, Life and environmental Sciences, University of Worcester, WR2 6AJ

Address Correspondence to: Eleanor Jones, Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TH, [e.jones.10@bham.ac.uk], +44 121 414 8711

Short Title: Early Postnatal Discharge for Infants

Funding Source: All phases of this study were supported by a National Institute for Health Research Grant— Collaborations for Leadership in Applied Health Research – West Midlands.

Financial Disclosure: Authors have no financial relationships to this article to disclose.

Conflict of Interest: Authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Abbreviations: United Kingdom (UK), United States (US); Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC); randomised controlled trials (RCT); non randomised controlled trials (NRCTs), controlled before-after studies (CBA); interrupted time series (ITS); risk ratio (RR); mean difference (MD).

Table of Contents summary (25 words max)

Postnatal length of hospital stay varies considerably internationally. This systematic review investigates the effects of early postnatal discharge policies on infant and maternal outcomes.

Contributors' Statement Page

Dr Jones designed the study, adapted data collection tools, collected data, carried out data analyses and interpretation, drafted the initial manuscript and revised the manuscript.

Dr Cummins conceptualised and designed the study, adapted data collection tools, collected data, carried out analyses and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content.

Dr Beck Taylor and Professor Christine MacArthur conceptualised and designed the study, interpreted data and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript for intellectual content.

Mrs Sally Bradshaw collected data, carried out analyses and interpretation of data and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript.

Dr Lucy Hope collected data and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript.

All authors agree to the final version of the manuscript and are accountable for all aspects of the work.

- 1 Abstract
- 2 Context: Postnatal length of hospital stay has reduced internationally but evidence based
- 3 policies to support earlier discharge are lacking.
- 4 Objective: To determine effects of early postnatal discharge on infant outcomes.
- 5 Data Sources: CENTRAL, Medline, EMBASE, CINAHL, SCi were searched through to
- 6 January 15, 2018.
- 7 Study Selection: Studies reporting infant outcomes with early postnatal discharge versus
- 8 standard discharge were included if they met Effective Practice and Organisation of Care
- 9 study design criteria.
- 10 Data extraction: Two authors independently assessed eligibility and extracted data, resolving
- disagreements by consensus. Data from interrupted time series studies (ITSs) were extracted
- and reanalysed in meta-analyses. Meta-analyses of RCTs used random effects models.
- Results: Of 9298 studies identified, 15 studies satisfied the inclusion criteria. RCT meta-
- analyses showed that infants discharged <48 hours following vaginal birth and <96 hours
- 15 following caesarean birth were more likely to be readmitted to hospital within 28 days
- 16 compared to standard discharge (RR 1.70, 95% CI 1.34-2.15). ITSs meta-analyses showed a
- 17 reduction in the proportion of infants readmitted within 28 days after minimum postnatal stay
- policies and legislation were introduced (change in slope, -0.62 (95% CI-1.83, 0.60) with
- increasing impact in the first and second years (effect estimate -4.27 (95% CI -7.91,-0.63)
- 20 and -6.23 (95% CI -10.15,-2.32).
- 21 Limitations: Withdrawals and crossover limited the value of RCTs in this context but not ITS
- 22 evidence.
- 23
- 24 Conclusions: Infants discharged early after birth were more likely to be admitted within 28
- 25 days. Introduction of postnatal minimum length of stay policies was associated with long
- term reduction in neonatal hospital readmission rates.

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Internationally, there is considerable variation in postnatal length of stay (LoS). Despite an
- 3 increase in medical intervention during childbirth and more complex maternities, over the last
- 4 40 years there has been a reduction in the postnatal LoS for women and babies. Several high
- 5 income counties including the United Kingdom, Australia and Canada have an average stay
- of 1.5 days, 2.8 days and 1.7 days respectively ^{1.} Whilst a reduction in LoS reduces hospital
- 7 costs ¹, there is little data and some concern about whether earlier discharge from hospital is
- 8 safe and cost-effective. Although there is an existing Cochrane review ² of RCTs on this
- 9 topic, it is limited by significant clinical and methodological heterogeneity and thus there is
- 10 insufficient evidence to inform policy.
- 11 This systematic review and meta-analysis addressed the same objectives and outcome
- measures as the Cochrane review ² but broadened the study design to include both RCTs and
- 13 quasi-experimental studies because the intervention is often a policy change and other types
- of studies are important and necessary to evaluate organisational change ³. To reduce
- 15 heterogeneity, unlike the Cochrane review which used trial authors' definitions, this
- systematic review predefined early postnatal discharge as <48 hours following vaginal
- delivery and <96 hours following caesarean section.
- 18 The aim of this review was to determine the effects of a policy of early postnatal discharge
- 19 for women and infants, specifically whether there is an association between early postnatal
- discharge and infant readmission to hospital. It was hypothesised that early postnatal
- 21 discharge may increase infant utilisation of health services.
- 22 Methods
- 23 The full systematic review protocol has been published ⁴ and registered in PROSPERO
- 24 (registration CRD42015020545). This review conforms to the PRISMA statement ⁵.

- 1 Data sources and search strategy
- 2 Electronic databases (CENTRAL, MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, SCi) were searched for
- 3 articles up to January 15, 2018 with the following search terms: postnatal care, postpartum
- 4 period, puerperium, postpartum, "length of stay", patient discharge, hospital stay*, patient
- 5 readmission. Searches used free text and indexed terms combined using boolean operators,
- 6 adjusted for each database (eTable 1). The search strategy was not limited by study design
- 7 and time, language or geographical restrictions. Where applicable, authors of primary studies
- 8 were contacted for further information.
- 9 Eligibility criteria
- All studies were eligible to be included in the review if they met the following criteria:
- women and infants considered 'fit for discharge' by their healthcare practitioners; all births
- occurring in either obstetric-led (where obstetricians have primary professional responsibility
- for women at high risk of complications during labour and birth and women are cared by a
- team of midwives and doctors) or midwife-led care settings (where midwives take the
- primary professional responsibility for the labour care for low risk women); studies had to
- compare a policy of early discharge from hospital where 'early discharge' referred to a
- 17 hospital discharge that was <48 hours following birth (or <96 hours for caesarean delivery)
- and earlier than the standard care in the setting in which the intervention is implemented to be
- included. The Cochrane review ² identified that RCTs alone on this topic are limited by poor
- 20 compliance and clinical and methodological hetergeneity indicating that alternative study
- 21 designs may be more helpful for assessing the effect of policy change. Therefore, as guided
- by Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC)³, in addition to randomised
- controlled trials (RCTs), non randomised controlled trials (NRCTs), controlled before after
- studies (CBAs) and interrupted time series (ITS) were also eligible for inclusion in the
- 25 review. ITSs can provide a method of measuring the effect of an intervention when

- 1 randomisation or identification of a control group are impractical³. Multiple data points are
- 2 collected before and after the intervention and the intervention effect is measured against the
- 3 pre-intervention trend ³.
- 4 Infant and maternal outcome measures were guided by the Cochrane review ². The primary
- 5 infant outcomes were the proportion of infants readmitted to hospital within 7 days and
- 6 within 28 days after birth. Maternal outcomes were: proportion of women readmitted for
- 7 complications related to childbirth (postpartum haemorrhage, retained products of
- 8 conception, infection, postpartum psychosis); proportion of women breastfeeding
- 9 (exclusively or partially) at 48 hours, 6 weeks and 6 months after birth; proportion of women
- with a score indicating probable depression on a validated standardized instrument for
- 11 measuring depression.
- 12 Data collection and extraction
- 13 Citations were screened for inclusion independently and in duplicate. Articles were assessed
- unblinded and differences in opinion resolved through discussion or a third researcher. Data
- extraction was performed independently and in duplicate. The EPOC data collection form ⁴
- was adapted to answer review specific research questions. Data extraction forms were piloted
- on a sample of included studies. Methodological quality of included studies was assessed
- independently and in duplicate using EPOC criteria for risk of bias tool ⁵ and Cochrane RCT
- 19 tool as appropriate ⁶.
- 20 Statistical Analysis
- 21 Meta-analyses of RCT studies were carried out in Revman (version 5.3) ⁷ using a random
- 22 effects model and where significant heterogeneity was present, data were described in a
- 23 narrative synthesis. Where data from ITSs were presented graphically, data were extracted
- 24 from graphs using plot digitizer software ⁸ and reanalysed using autoregressive integrated

- 1 moving average (ARIMA analysis) using SPSS (version 22) 9 as described in EPOC guidance
- 2^{10} .
- 3 The ARIMA analysis estimated the effect of a policy change whilst taking into account the
- 4 time trend and autocorrelation among the observations. Estimates for the regression
- 5 coefficients correspond to three standardised effect sizes: change in level at one year and two
- 6 years post-policy change and change in slope. The change in level was defined as the
- 7 difference between the observed level at the intervention time point and that predicted by the
- 8 pre-intervention time trend ¹⁰. The change in slope was defined as the change in trend from
- 9 pre to post intervention reflecting the long term effect of the policy intervention ¹⁰. Data
- were then standardised by dividing the level/slope and standard error by the standard
- deviation of the pre intervention slope. The effect sizes for change in level at 1 year and 2
- 12 year and effect size for change in slope were entered into Revman5 and meta-analysed using
- the generic inverse variance method with random effects. Statistical significance was set at
- 14 P<.05. When different studies used data from the same region and time period, only one
- study was used for inclusion in the meta-analysis.
- 16 For RCTs, statistical heterogeneity was examined by inspection of confidence intervals and
- 17 the I² statistic. Heterogeneity was also explored through subgroup analysis. Sensitivity
- analyses were planned to assess the effect of incomplete outcome data and fixed effects
- 19 versus random effects analysis. Based on the Cochrane review, subgroup analyses were
- undertaken to compare trials with co-interventions (such as increased home visiting in the
- 21 early discharge group)/ no co-intervention). Further subgroup analysis were planned to
- 22 explore the effect of mode of birth, timing of discharge, type of hospital delivered at
- 23 (consultant led unit, midwife led unit), gestation at birth, and level of risk (high risk/low risk),
- however, these were not possible due to lack of participant level data and small number of
- 25 participants in most trials. For the ITSs, study methods to adjust for potential confounders

- 1 were taken into account in interpreting results. There were insufficient studies to assess
- 2 publication bias through the use of funnel plots ¹¹.
- 3 Results
- 4 Study characteristics
- 5 9303 studies were found from electronic sources and hand searches. Following removal of
- 6 duplicates and eligibility screening, 15 studies were identified for inclusion (Figure 1). Ten
- 7 RCTs taking place between 1976 and 2015 in several countries including Canada, England,
- 8 Egypt, Malaysia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the USA. All studies were conducted in
- 9 obstetric-led hospital settings ¹²⁻²¹. The trials compared the effects of a policy of early
- postnatal discharge with a standard length of postnatal stay for women and infants. In
- 11 contrast, four population-based cohort studies with ITS analyses assessed the effect of state
- and federal legislation introduced in the US prohibiting insurance plans from limiting
- coverage for postpartum hospital stay to <48 hours for normal vaginal deliveries and <96
- hours for caesarean sections on various health related outcomes ²²⁻²⁵. Prior to the legislation
- introduced in 1996-1997, there was no minimum length of postnatal stay in the United States
- 16 (US). The fifth ITS study examined the effect of a same day discharge policy in five Danish
- 17 counties introduced over the period 1990-2003 ²⁶. All study characteristics are summarised in
- Table 1 and Table 2 illustrates which studies reported on the outcomes described in the
- review. Maternal outcomes are described in eFigure 1-5.
- 20 Infant readmission to hospital within 28 days of birth (RCTs)
- 21 The pooled result of the seven trials that reported on infant readmission to hospital within 28
- days after birth showed that infants were significantly more likely to be readmitted to hospital
- 23 within 28 days after birth if they were discharged from hospital <48 hours compared to
- 24 infants discharged >48 hours (RR 1.70 95% CI 1.34-2.15) (Figure 2) 12-16 18 21. A planned

- subgroup analysis of RCTS with a co-intervention and of RCTs with no co-intervention was
- 2 carried out. The two trials without a co-intervention happened to include only women who
- 3 had caesarean section. These subgroups showed no change in the direction of the effect: no
- 4 co-intervention RR 1.61 (95% CI 1.00-2.68, $I^2 = 14\%$) compared to co-intervention RR 1.74
- 5 (95% CI 0.82-6.68, I²=0%). There were insufficient data to provide a meta-analysis for
- 6 readmissions within 7 days. Only one study reported on this outcome and reported a RR 3.24
- 7 (95% CI 0.13-77.63) in favour of the control group 16 .
- 8 Infant readmission to hospital within 28 days of birth (ITSs)
- 9 Results from four primary ITS studies in the US, in contrast to the RCTs, looked at the
- 10 reverse intervention: a policy of a minimum postnatal length of hospital stay. Datar and Sood
- 11 ²³ found that once a minimum legislation was introduced, there was a significant reduction in
- the odds of neonatal readmission in California from (-9.3 per 1000 live births in the first year
- post legislation, -11.8 per 1000 live births in second year post-legislation and -19.7 per 1000
- live births in the third year post-legislation (P<0.01)). This trend was observed across all
- subgroups including mother's education, mother's age at birth, race, parity, delivery type and
- antenatal complications (eTable 2). Evans, et al. ²² found that in California the legislation was
- 17 most beneficial for infants of caesarean delivery, complicated vaginal delivery or Medicaid
- 18 recipient with complicated vaginal delivery, with little evidence that readmission rates
- reduced for newborns from uncomplicated vaginal deliveries. Madden, et al. 27 and Meara, et
- 20 al. ²⁴ found no significant change over the pre-legislative, legislative or post-legislative period
- 21 for neonatal readmission to hospital. The fifth ITS study was conducted in Denmark by
- 22 Sievertsen and Wust ²⁶ found that the same day discharge policy resulted in a 3% increase in
- 23 infant readmission rates within 28 days of birth (0.031, SE 0.11, P<0.01) (etable 2).
- 24 The results of the meta-analysis of three digitised and then reanalysed ITSs show that when
- 25 the pre-slope trend was taken into account, there was a decrease in the proportion of infants

- 1 readmitted within 28 days after the minimum postnatal stay policies and legislation were
- 2 introduced and this became statistically significant in the first and second year ((change in
- 3 slope, -0.62 (95% CI-1.83, 0.60) (change in level first year -4.27 (95% CI -7.91,-0.63)) and
- 4 (change in level second year -6.23 (95% CI -10.15,-2.32)) (figure 3).
- 5 The I² statistic for assessment of heterogeneity was 19% for the change in level, and 0% for
- 6 the change in level at one year and change in level at two years: therefore, further
- 7 investigations for heterogeneity were deemed unnecessary.
- 8 Readmissions for Jaundice within 28 days after birth (ITSs)
- 9 Three ITSs reported on effect of postpartum legislation on readmissions for treatment of
- 10 jaundice ^{23 24 27} (Table 3). Meara, et al. ²⁴ found a significant decrease in readmissions for
- jaundice following the minimum postnatal stay legislation whereas Datar and Sood ²³ found a
- 12 non-significant reduction in the readmissions for jaundice in the second and third years
- following the legislation. Madden, et al. ²⁵ found no difference in jaundice related
- readmissions following introduction of the minimum stay law (Table 3).
- 16 Primary care utilisation (ITSs)

- 17 Two ITSs reported on primary care utilisation. Results from Madden, et al. ²⁷ suggest that
- after adjustment for baseline trends, primary care utilisation increased after implementation
- of the early discharge program which slowly decreased by 1% per quarter (P<0.01) after
- 20 minimum postnatal stay mandate (Table 3). Sievertsen and Wust ²⁸ found a significant
- 21 increase in GP contacts for infants who were discharged on the same day across all
- 22 propensity groups (Table 3).

- 1 Attendances at Emergency Department (ED) (ITSs)
- 2 Two ITS studies reported on this outcome and found that attendances at ED departments
- 3 decreased following introduction of the postnatal minimum stay mandate (Table 3).
- 4 Breastfeeding at 48 hours, 6 weeks and 6 months (RCTs)
- 5 No significant differences in the proportion of women breastfeeding at 48 hours postpartum
- 6 were found in the meta-analysis of three trials that reported this outcome (RR 1.05 (95% CI
- 7 0.99, 1.11) (eFigure 2). No significant differences in the proportion of women breastfeeding
- 8 between one-two months after birth were found in the eight trials that reported this outcome
- 9 ^{16-21 29 31} (pooled estimate RR 1.01 95% CI 0.94, 1.09) or 6 months following birth (RR 1.18
- 10 (95% CI 0.98-1.43) (eFigure 3 and 4). The conclusion remained unchanged when Tan, et al.
- 11 ¹⁶ and Bayoumi, et al. ¹³ were removed in subgroup analysis (RR 1.05, 95% CI 0.98-1.12).
- 12 Breastfeeding at 48 hours, 6 weeks and 6 months (ITSs)
- 13 Two ITSs reported on the proportion of infants' breastfed before and after implementation of
- the law (Table 3). Madden, et al. ²⁵ found no evidence of an effect on breastfeeding rates at
- three months. Sievertsen and Wust ²⁶ assigned a propensity score (based on whether the
- mother was married, unemployed, employed, in education, higher education degree and
- maternal age) and found that women in the lowest propensity score sample were less likely to
- breastfeed exclusively for at least four months if discharged on the day of birth (-0.311
- 19 P<0.05) but the breastfeeding rates of women in the middle and highest propensity score
- 20 groups were not affected (-0.213 (SE 0.146) and -0.015 (SE 0.244) respectively.
- 21 Infant feeding problems within 28 days after birth (RCTs)
- 22 Only one trial assessed the proportion of women reporting infant feeding problems in the first
- four weeks after birth ¹⁴, showing significantly fewer (RR 0.65, 95% CI 0.48, 0.89) in the
- 24 first four weeks after birth in the standard length of stay group.

- 1 Risk of bias (RCTs)
- 2 The quality of individual studies was variable (efigure 6-9). Risk of bias was assessed using
- 3 the EPOC criteria ⁵ which considers four domains: selection bias, performance bias, attrition
- 4 bias and reporting bias for both RCTS and ITSs (eTable 6). The largest RCT with greatest
- 5 weight in the meta-analyses for the primary outcome was of reasonable quality although
- 6 attrition bias may have been an issue with >10% incomplete outcome data, and differential
- 7 non-compliance: 132/1890 participants refused to be discharged early in intervention group
- 8 compared to 188/1896 who refused to be discharged at 72 hours.
- 9 Sensitivity analyses allowing for loss to follow up in the RCTs was deemed inappropriate
- given the large proportion of participants lost to follow up in many trials and considerable
- variation in how protocol violations were managed.
- 12 Risk of bias (ITSs)
- Overall, the quality of the five ITSs was good (efigure 8-9). All studies reported outcomes
- that were described in the methods section, and had an intervention that did not affect data
- 15 collection. In all ITS analyses, the slope of the intervention was pre specified and authors
- acknowledged the potential effect other factors that may have coincided with the passage of
- 17 the law (including changes to service mix, breastfeeding rates, physician awareness and flu
- outbreaks) ²²⁻²⁵ ²⁷. Sievertsen and Wust ²⁶ also compared the trends in readmissions of
- 19 primiparous women and women who had a caesarean section (who were not eligible for same
- 20 day discharge) to determine whether additional policies (such as new medical routines at
- birth) had an effect on the outcomes.
- 22 Discussion
- 23 This systematic review is, to our knowledge, the first to include evidence from both RCTs
- 24 and ITSs with a predefined description of early discharge (<48 hours following vaginal birth

- and <96 hours following caesarean section) to assess the effect of a policy of early postnatal
- 2 discharge and minimum length of stay on health related outcomes. The pooled results of the
- 3 seven trials on infant readmission to hospital indicated that more infants who were discharged
- 4 early were readmitted compared to infants who had a >48 hours stay in hospital. The meta-
- 5 analysis of ITSs, providing the next best available evidence on the subject, showed that the
- 6 US minimum stay law was an effective policy change, increasing postnatal length of stay in
- 7 hospital, and providing evidence of a long term reduction in infant readmission rates within
- 8 28 days of birth following this policy change. Due to lack of primary data, it was not possible
- 9 to examine the effect of length of stay on infant readmissions within 7 days.
- 10 This review included two additional RCTs not included in the existing Cochrane review last
- updated in 2008². It utilised evidence provided by study designs appropriate for policy
- intervention, both RCTs and ITSs, including those evaluating the impact of US federal and
- state legislation, and therefore provides a better understanding of the effect of postnatal LoS
- in both an experimental trial and naturalistic setting. Use of EPOC criteria for study selection
- enabled a wider range of evidence to be included without compromising the quality of the
- 16 findings, taking advantage of the evidence provided by good quality, well designed ITSs.
- 17 This, in contrast to the RCTs, clearly demonstrated that interventions to institute a policy of
- early discharge actually resulted in increased early discharge of women and infants, allowing
- assessment of outcomes and therefore enhancing our knowledge of infant health outcomes in
- relation to early postnatal discharge policy in a 'real life' setting.
- 21 This is the first study to carry out ITS meta-analysis on this topic and provided an insight into
- 22 the effect of federal and state law across several different state populations in the US.
- 23 Inclusion of these studies has also provided an understanding of the health related outcomes
- 24 for all infants, regardless of medical status or gestation at birth. A sensitive and broad search

- 1 ensured that relevant evidence with any study design was included. Our review has also
- 2 clearly defined early postnatal discharge allowing more meaningful comparison across trials.
- 3 Limitations of this review also reflect poor trial quality and poor reporting. Despite the status
- 4 of RCTs as gold standard design for intervention studies, in this area they have already been
- 5 described as problematic as they feature high rates of post-randomisation exclusions, cross
- 6 over and withdrawal. Many of the RCTs were low quality, lacking intention to treat analysis
- 7 with resultant systematic differences between participants in intervention and control group.
- 8 Many trials did not adhere to current trial reporting standards and therefore, the findings from
- 9 the RCT data should be treated with caution. We did not have any individual patient data
- which may have provided more insight into subgroup analysis. Trials took place in several
- different countries where postnatal provision in the community may have varied
- 12 considerably. The RCT analysis was insufficiently powered to explore early discharge
- without the addition of additional post discharge support in the community. There was also
- 14 considerable clinical heterogeneity amongst the RCTs with regard to mode of delivery, with
- the largest RCT trial (providing 88% of the weight in the meta-analysis) only including
- women who had given birth via caesarean section. In light of the problematic participant
- dropout and crossover in the RCTs, the evidence from the ITS studies is particularly useful.
- 18 Nevertheless findings from our review represent the best evidence to date and given problems
- with undertaking trials and other high quality studies in this area may well remain so.
- 20 Differences found in meta-analysis of trial data for the neonatal readmission outcome,
- 21 resulted from one large study which only included women who had delivered via caesarean
- section: the findings must be treated with caution. There were no differences in outcomes
- 23 related to maternal readmission, or maternal depression which might reflect insufficient
- power to detect these differences given relatively low incidence sample attrition.
- 25 Breastfeeding rates were not measured in several studies. It was not possible to adequately

- 1 report effects of early postnatal discharge on primary care utilisation. Across the trials, it was
- 2 difficult to ascertain the proportion of mothers and infants who accessed primary care
- 3 services, outpatient services and accident and emergency care and there were inconsistent
- 4 definitions of primary care utilisation and wide-ranging measurement methods. The data from
- 5 ITSs were inconsistent, reporting both an increase and decrease in utilisation following the
- 6 postnatal mandate.
- 7 The definition of early discharge for this review (< 48 hours for vaginal delivery and < 96
- 8 hours for caesarean delivery) does not reflect the average length of postnatal stay for many
- 9 high and middle income countries ²⁸. There was no evidence to support very early discharges
- 10 (less than 24 hours) from hospital, common practice internationally ²⁹. Though there is an
- assumption of reduced cost from earlier discharge, this may be off-set by increased costs
- 12 associated with readmission or greater alternative care usage and the cost effectiveness of
- early discharge is unknown. Further research is needed to examine whether there are
- particular subgroups of babies who are most at risk of readmission if they and their mothers
- are discharged early, or subgroups for whom early discharge is safe. Research on the impact
- of the very short postnatal stays experienced in some settings is also needed. Research
- designs could build on the American Academy of Paediatrics' Policy (AAP) Statement on
- 18 Hospital Stay for Healthy Term Newborn Infants ³⁰ to evaluate standardising the discharge
- process through the use of pre-discharge checklists.
- 20 State and Federal law appeared effective in increasing the postnatal length of stay for women
- and infants, and resulted in a long term reduction in the neonatal admission rate to hospital.
- Following the lead of the APP statement ³⁰, postnatal length of stay policies should
- 23 incorporate the needs of mothers and infants and not be led health services capacity or third
- party payers, ensuring that standardised systems are in place to ensure that women and
- 25 infants are discharged at a time more appropriate to their needs.

- 1 Conclusions
- 2 Taken together, evidence from this meta-analysis and review of RCTs and ITSs of legislation
- 3 mandating policies of a minimum stay postnatally and of minimum stay discharge policies
- 4 has shown that shorter postnatal stay in hospital (<48 hours following vaginal birth and <96
- 5 hours following caesarean section) is associated with increased infant readmissions to
- 6 hospital within 28 days of birth.
- 7 Acknowledgments
- 8 This research is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied
- 9 Research Centre (ARC) West Midlands. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and
- 10 not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

References

1

4

5

6

11

21

25

26

- 1. Bowers J, Cheyne H. Reducing the length of postnatal hospital stay: implications for cost and quality of care. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2016; 16.
 - 2. Brown S, Small R, Faber B, et al. Early postnatal discharge from hospital for healthy mothers and term infants. *Cochrane Database of Syst Rev* 2010 doi: 10:10.1002/14651858.CD002958
- 3. Effective Practice and Organisation of Care. What study designs should be included in an
 EPOC review and what should they be called: EPOC; 2015 [cited 2015 Jun 2015].
 Available from:
 https://epoc.cochrane.org/sites/epoc.cochrane.org/files/public/uploads/EPOC%20Students
 - https://epoc.cochrane.org/sites/epoc.cochrane.org/files/public/uploads/EPOC%20Study%20Designs%20About.pdf
- 4. Effective Practice and organisation of Care. Effective Practice and organisation of Care.
 Good Practice Data Extraction Form EPOC 2015 [cited 2015 Jun 26]. Available from:
 https://epoc.cochrane.org/resources/epoc-resources-review-authors2015.
- 5. Effective Practice and Organisation of Care. Suggested risk of bias criteria for EPOC reviews: EPOC 2015 [cited 2015 Jun 26]. Available from:
 https://epoc.cochrane.org/sites/epoc.cochrane.org/files/public/uploads/Resources-for-authors2017/suggested risk of bias criteria for epoc reviews.pdf.
- 6. Higgins J, Green S. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. London:
 The Cochrane Collaboration 2011.
 - 7. Review Manager (RevMan). Version 5.3. [program]. The Nordic Cochrane Centre, 2014.
- 22 8. Plot Digitizer [program], 2015.
- 9. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows [program]. 22.0 version. Armonk, New York: IBM
 Corp, 2013.
 - 10. Effective Practice and Organisation of Care. Interrupted time series analyses 2013 [cited 2015 Jun 26]. Available from: https://epoc.cochrane.org/resources/epoc-resources-review-authors.
- 28 11. Lau J, Ioannidis JPA, Terrin N, et al. The case of the misleading funnel plot. *BMJ* 2006;333(7568):597-600. doi: 10.1136/bmj.333.7568.597
- 30 12. Yanover MJ, Jones D, Miller MD. Perinatal care of low risk mothers and infants. Early discharge with home care. *N Engl J Med* 1976;294(13):702-05.
- 13. Bayoumi YA, Bassiouny YA, Hassan AA, et al. Is there a difference in the maternal and neonatal outcomes between patients discharged after 24 h versus 72 h following cesarean section? A prospective randomized observational study on 2998 patients.

 The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine 2016;29(8):1339-43. doi: 10.3109/14767058.2015.1048678
- 37 14. Boulvain M, Perneger T, Othenin-Girard V, et al. Home-based versus hospital-based
 38 postnatal care: a randomised trial. *BJOG* 2004;111(8):800-06. doi: doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.2004.00227
- 40 15. Waldenström U, Sundelin C, Lindmark G. Early and late discharge after hospital birth.
 41 Health of mother and infant in the postpartum period. *Ups J Med Sci* 1987; 92(3).
 42 http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/o/cochrane/clcentral/articles/522/CN-00053522/frame.html.
- 16. Tan PC, Norazilah MJ, Omar SZ. Hospital Discharge on the First Compared With the
 Second Day After a Planned Cesarean Delivery A Randomized Controlled Trial.
 Obstet Gynecol 2012;120(6):1273-82. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3182723a95
- 47 17. Winterburn S, Fraser R. Does the duration of postnatal stay influence breast-feeding rates 48 at one month in women giving birth for the first time? A randomized control trial. *J* 49 *Adv Nurs* 2000;32(5):1152-7.

- 1 18. Carty EM, Bradley CF. A randomized, controlled evaluation of early postpartum hospital discharge. *Breastfeed Rev* 1991;2(4):168-72.
- 3 19. Gagnon AJ, Edgar L, Kramer MS, et al. A randomized trial of a program of early postpartum discharge with nurse visitation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1997;176(1):205-11.
- 5 20. McKeever P, Stevens B, Miller KL, et al. Home versus hospital breastfeeding support for newborns: A randomized controlled trial. *Birth* 2002;29(4):258-65. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-536X.2002.00200.x
 - 21. Sainz Bueno JA, Romano MR, Teruel RG, et al. Early discharge from obstetrics-pediatrics at the Hospital de Valme, with domiciliary follow-up. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2005;193:714-26. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2005.01.015
- 22. Evans W, Garthwaite C, Wei H, et al. The impact of early discharge laws on the health of newborns. *J Health Econ* 2008;27(4):843-70.
- 23. Datar A, Sood N. Impact of postpartum hospital-stay legislation on newborn length of
 stay, readmission, and mortality in California. *J Pediatr* 2006;118(1):63-72. doi:
 10.1542/peds.2005-3044
- 24. Meara E, Kotagal UR, Atherton HD, et al. Impact of early newborn discharge legislation
 and early follow-up visits on infant outcomes in a state medicaid population. *J Pediatr* 2004;113(6):1619-27. doi: 10.1542/peds.113.6.1619
- 25. Madden JM, Soumerai SB, Lieu TA, et al. Length-of-stay policies and ascertainment of postdischarge problems in newborns. *J Pediatr* 2004;113(1):42-49. doi: 10.1542/peds.113.1.42
- 22 26. Sievertsen HH, Wust M. Discharge on the day of birth, parental response and health and schooling outcomes. *J Health Econ* 2017;55:121-38. doi: 10.1016/jjhealeco.2017.06.012
 - 27. Madden JM, Soumerai SB, Lieu TA, et al. Effects of a law against early postpartum discharge on newborn follow-up, adverse events, and HMO expenditures. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347(25):2031-8.
- 28. Campbell OMR, Cegolon L, Macleod D, et al. Length of Stay After Childbirth in 92 Countries and Associated Factors in 30 Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Compilation of Reported Data and a Cross-sectional Analysis from Nationally Representative Surveys. *PLoS Med* 2016; 13(3).
- 32 29. Royal College of Midwives. Pressure Points. The case for better postnatal care. London:
 Royal College of Midwives, 2014.
- 30. Benitz WE, Watterberg KL, Aucott S, et al. Hospital stay for healthy term newborn infants. *Pediatrics* 2015;135(5):948-53.

Figure 1 PRISMA Chart selection of studies

8

9

10

25

26

27

36 37 38

39

41

45

46 47

40 Figure 2 Forest plot of RCTs for proportion of infants readmitted within 28 days after birth

Figure 3 Forest plots of reanalysed ITS studies for neonatal readmission to hospital within 28 days of birth

Table 1 Characteristics of included studies