

Construct validation of the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire for people with SCI (LTPAQ-SCI)

Martin Ginis, Kathleen A; Ubeda-Colomer, Joan; Alrashidi, Abdullah; Nightingale, Tom E.; Au, Jason; Currie, Katharine ; Hubli, Michele; Krassioukov, Andrei

DOI:

[10.1038/s41393-020-00562-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41393-020-00562-9)

Document Version

Early version, also known as pre-print

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Martin Ginis, KA, Ubeda-Colomer, J, Alrashidi, A, Nightingale, TE, Au, J, Currie, K, Hubli, M & Krassioukov, A 2020, 'Construct validation of the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire for people with SCI (LTPAQ-SCI)', *Spinal Cord*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41393-020-00562-9>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Construct Validation of the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire for People with SCI
(LTPAQ-SCI)

Kathleen A. Martin Ginis^{1,2,3}, Joan Úbeda-Colomer^{1,2}, Abdullah A. Alrashidi^{2,4,5}, Tom E. Nightingale^{2,3,6}, Jason S. Au⁷, Katharine D. Currie^{2,8}, Michèle Hubli^{2,9}, Andrei Krassioukov^{2,3,10}

¹School of Health and Exercise Sciences, University of British Columbia, Canada; ²International Collaboration on Repair Discoveries (ICORD), University of British Columbia, Canada;

³Department of Medicine, Division of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, University of British Columbia, Canada; ⁴Experimental Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Canada; ⁵Physical Therapy Department, King Fahad Medical City, Saudi Arabia; ⁶School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK;

⁷Department of Kinesiology, University of Waterloo, Canada; ⁸Department of Kinesiology, Michigan State University, USA; ⁹Spinal Cord Injury Center, Balgrist University Hospital, University of Zurich, Switzerland; ¹⁰GF Strong Rehabilitation Centre, Vancouver Coastal Health, Canada

Corresponding author: Kathleen A. Martin Ginis (kathleen_martin.ginis@ubc.ca)

Abstract

Study design. Cross-sectional construct validation study.

Objectives. To test the construct validity of the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire for People with Spinal Cord Injury (LTPAQ-SCI) by examining associations between the scale responses and cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) in a sample of adults living with spinal cord injury (SCI).

Setting. Three university-based laboratories in Canada.

Methods. Participants were 39 adults (74% male; *M* age: 42±11 years) with SCI who completed the LTPAQ-SCI and a graded exercise test to volitional exhaustion using an arm-crank ergometer. One-tailed Pearson's correlation coefficients were computed to examine the association between the LTPAQ-SCI measures of mild-, moderate-, heavy-intensity and total minutes per week of LTPA and CRF (peak oxygen uptake [$\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$] and peak power output [PO_{peak}]).

Results. Minutes per week of mild-, moderate- and heavy-intensity LTPA and total LTPA were all positively correlated with $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$. The correlation between minutes per week of mild intensity LTPA and $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$ was small ($r = .231$, $p = .079$) while all other correlations were medium-sized (r s ranged from .276 to .443, $ps < .05$). Correlations between the LTPAQ-SCI variables and PO_{peak} were also positive but small (r s ranged from .087 to .193, $ps > .05$), except for a medium-sized correlation between heavy-intensity LTPA and PO_{peak} ($r = .294$, $p = .035$).

Conclusions. People with SCI who report higher levels of LTPA on the LTPAQ-SCI also demonstrate greater levels of CRF. These results provide further support for the construct validity of the LTPAQ-SCI as a measure of LTPA among people with SCI.

Introduction

Participation in exercise, sports and other forms of leisure-time physical activity (LTPA) has significant positive effects on the fitness, health and well-being of people living with spinal cord injury (SCI)^{1,2,3}. However, the vast majority of people with SCI are insufficiently active to derive these benefits⁴ because they face so many barriers to participation⁵. Consequently, there is a need to develop, test and implement strategies to increase LTPA participation in people living with SCI.

Reliable and valid measures of LTPA are required to assess the effectiveness of LTPA-enhancing interventions. Review articles^{6,7,8} have catalogued the measurement properties of wearable and self-report physical activity measures that have been used in SCI research. Although the reliability and validity of wearable measures is improving, a significant limitation of these devices is that they cannot distinguish between LTPA and other types of physical activity (e.g., household, transportation, occupational activity)⁷. Because LTPA is the only form of physical activity that has been shown to significantly improve fitness and health in people with SCI¹, it is crucial that scientists have valid and reliable methods to measure it. Another limitation of wearable devices is that even wrist-worn accelerometers cannot accurately detect and measure strength-training activities (e.g., lifting weights, resistance band exercises)⁹. As strength-training is a key component of the SCI exercise guidelines¹⁰, valid and reliable measures of this activity are required by systems that track SCI exercise guideline adherence. Given these limitations, self-report measures are considered superior to wearable devices for feasibly collecting data on the types and amounts of LTPA performed by people with SCI⁷.

Compared to all other measures of PA used in SCI research, the Physical Activity Recall Assessment for People with SCI (PARA-SCI)¹¹ has yielded the strongest evidence of reliability

and validity^{7,8,12}. Using a structured, standardized interview format, respondents are cued to recall and rate the intensity of all LTPA and activities of daily living (ADL) that they have performed over the previous 3 days¹³. The PARA-SCI has demonstrated positive evidence of criterion validity (using both indirect calorimetry and doubly-labeled water as criteria), construct validity and test-retest reliability^{11,14,12}. However, because the PARA-SCI was designed to capture the types, frequencies, intensities and durations of *all* physical activities, it can create unnecessary participant and clinician/researcher burden in situations where investigators are interested only in measuring LTPA⁷. In response to these concerns, the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire for People with SCI (LTPAQ-SCI) was developed¹⁵.

The LTPAQ-SCI is an SCI-specific, self-report assessment of LTPA that measures the number of minutes of mild, moderate, and heavy intensity LTPA that a person performed over the previous 7 days¹⁵. It can be self- or interviewer-administered in less than 5 minutes. The reporting format used in the LTPAQ-SCI parallels the reporting structure of one of the most widely used self-report measures of PA in the general population--the International Physical Activity Questionnaire-Short Form¹⁶.

Research has produced positive evidence of the LTPAQ-SCI's test-retest reliability. Intraclass correlation coefficients were significant for LTPAQ-SCI measures of mild, moderate, heavy and total LTPA over a one-week test-retest period¹⁵. A recent study of the test-retest reliability of a Canadian-French version of the questionnaire produced similarly strong ICCs¹⁷. Evidence of the measure's criterion validity was shown by significant correlations between LTPAQ-SCI measures of mild, moderate, heavy and total LTPA minutes per week and PARA-SCI measures (i.e., the criterion) LTPA minutes per day at these same intensities¹⁵.

Support for the LTPAQ-SCI's construct validity has been generated in hypothesis-testing studies¹⁸. For example, LTPAQ-SCI measures of LTPA have been shown to increase significantly in response to LTPA-enhancing interventions delivered to adults with SCI¹⁹ and multiple sclerosis²⁰. LTPAQ-SCI measures of LTPA have also been shown to differ in predicted directions between adults with SCI with low versus high depressive symptomatology,²¹ and between athletes with disabilities who participate in sport at lower (recreational, developmental) versus higher (provincial, state, national) competitive levels²². It is important to note, however, that construct validation is an ongoing process, and no one single experiment can 'prove' construct validity¹⁸. Rather, each supportive study serves to strengthen the construct's nomological network,²³ by demonstrating that the construct operates predictably within a system of key concepts.

Cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) is a key concept in relation to LTPA. It is well-established that participation in moderate- to heavy-intensity exercise (a specific type of LTPA) imparts significant improvements in the CRF of adults with SCI^{1,24}. If the LTPAQ-SCI is to be used as a measure of LTPA, then its construct validation should include tests of its associations with CRF (these types of tests are sometimes referred to as tests of 'convergent validity'¹⁸). Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to examine the association between the number of minutes per week of mild, moderate, heavy and total LTPA reported by adults with SCI who completed the LTPAQ-SCI, and their CRF. It was hypothesized that number of minutes per week of LTPA would be positively correlated with participants' CRF.

Method

Participants

Participants were 51 individuals who completed the LTPAQ-SCI and CRF assessment during baseline testing for CHOICES (NCT01718977), a multicentre, randomized controlled clinical trial assessing the effects of two different exercise interventions on cardiovascular health outcomes in adults with SCI²⁵. This construct validation study was planned *a priori*, as a sub-study within CHOICES, when the trial protocol was designed. CHOICES study inclusion criteria were: male or female; 18-60 years of age; chronic (>1 year since injury), traumatic, motor-complete SCI [American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale (AIS) A and B]; and neurological level of injuries (NLI) between the cervical fourth and thoracic sixth vertebrae (C4-T6). AIS and NLI were determined using the International Standards for neurological Classification of SCI²⁶. Participants were excluded if they had: any medical history of symptoms of cardiovascular disease; major trauma or surgery in the last six months; fracture within the previous 12 months; or any psychological or cognitive dysfunction that prevented understanding English instructions. All study procedures were approved by the research ethics board at each trial site and all participants provided written informed consent prior to any of the study procedures.

Measures

LTPAQ-SCI. The LTPAQ-SCI was administered during an interview conducted by a research assistant (face-to-face interview at two sites and telephone interview at one site). Consistent with the LTPAQ-SCI administration instructions,¹⁵ participants were first presented with a standardized definition of LTPA: “physical activity that you choose to do during your free time, such as exercising, playing sports, gardening, and taking the dog for a walk (necessary physical activities such as physiotherapy, grocery shopping, pushing/wheeling for transportation are not considered LTPA).” Next, participants were given a validated,¹¹ SCI-specific definition

of mild-intensity LTPA and were asked to recall a) the number of days, over the past 7 days, that they did mild-intensity LTPA and b) on those days, how many minutes they usually spent doing mild-intensity LTPA. These steps were repeated for moderate-intensity and heavy-intensity LTPA. The number of minutes per week of LTPA performed at each intensity (mild, moderate and heavy) was calculated by multiplying the days of activity by the minutes of activity. Total LTPA was calculated as a sum of LTPA at each intensity, thus yielding the total number of minutes of LTPA undertaken in the past week.

Cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF). All participants underwent an incremental exercise test using an electronically braked arm-crank ergometer (Lode BV, Groningen, The Netherlands; Vancouver site, Monark 881E, Monark Exercise AB, Vansbro, Sweden; Toronto and Hamilton sites) until the point of volitional exhaustion. Heart rate was recorded continuously using a chest strap HR monitor (T31; Polar Electro Inc., Woodbury, NY, USA). Respiratory gases were collected using a metabolic cart that was calibrated, prior to each use, according to the manufacturer's instructions (Parvomedics Truemax 2400, Sandy, Utah, USA; Vancouver site: Vmax Encore, SensorMedics, California, USA; Toronto site: Moxus Metabolic System, AEI Technologies, Illinois, USA; Hamilton site).

Participants were asked to empty their bladders prior to the test to minimize the influence of autonomic dysreflexia. The test protocol began with a warm-up of arm cranking at 0 Watts for two minutes. Afterwards, the protocol continued with 1-minute stages, with a resistance increment of 5-10 Watts per stage depending on the participant's neurological level of injury²⁷. Participants were instructed to maintain a cycling cadence of 50 revolutions per minute (rpm) throughout the duration of the test with continuous motivation delivered by the assessor. The test continued to the point of volitional exhaustion or when the cadence dropped below 30 rpm.

Borg's rating of perceived exertion (RPE) 6-20 was administered at the end of every stage²⁸. The highest $\dot{V}O_2$ of 20-second averaging during the test was recorded as peak oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_{2peak}$). The highest power output maintained for at least 20 seconds was recorded as peak power output (PO_{peak}).

Procedure

At two sites (Hamilton and Vancouver), the LTPAQ-SCI was administered during the baseline testing session, prior to the CRF test. At one site (Toronto), the LTPAQ-SCI was administered 8 days after the fitness test but before starting exercise in the CHOICES trial. This timing was deliberate to avoid participants reporting any LTPA that was performed as part of the CHOICES baseline testing or training protocols.

Data Management and Analyses

The respiratory exchange ratio (RER) was used to corroborate attainment of $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ during the fitness test. Analyses were conducted only on participants who exhibited an $RER \geq 1.00$. People with tetraplegia cannot achieve the same $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ and PO_{peak} as people with paraplegia due to more severe autonomic and upper-body motor impairments²⁹. Consequently, the distributions of these values differ for people with tetraplegia versus paraplegia²⁹. Therefore, the measures of CRF (i.e. $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ and PO_{peak}) were standardized for lesion level (i.e., paraplegia or tetraplegia) through transformations to z-scores prior to analysis.

Descriptive statistics were calculated as means, standard deviations, medians and minimum-maximum for continuous variables, and as percentages for the categorical variables. Shapiro Wilk tests were used to check the normality assumption. Because the LTPAQ-SCI variables presented significant deviations from the normal distribution, a square root transformation was carried out on these variables. Using the transformed variables, one-tailed

Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated between the LTPAQ-SCI measures of mild, moderate, heavy and total LTPA and the measures of CRF (i.e. $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$ and PO_{peak}). One-tailed tests were used given the directionality of the hypotheses. All analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics v. 26. Alpha was set at .05 for all analyses given the *a priori* nature of the hypotheses. Cohen's conventions were used to interpret the magnitude of the correlations (i.e., *rs* of .10, .30, .50 constitute small, medium and large correlations, respectively)³⁰.

Results

Preliminary analyses

After excluding data from 12 participants who did not achieve $RER \geq 1.00$, 39 participants remained for the main analyses. Excluded participants presented significantly lower PO_{peak} and $VO_{2\text{peak}}$ values than the included ones. In addition, all excluded participants had tetraplegia. No significant differences were found between excluded and included participants regarding sex, age, age at injury, time since injury, body mass or height, or LTPAQ-SCI values. Subsequent analyses were conducted with data from the remaining 39 participants. Table 1 shows the demographic data for both the full sample and the final sample, as well as the *p*-values of the tests performed to detect potential differences between included and excluded participants

Correlations between the LTPAQ-SCI measures of LTPA and aerobic fitness

Table 2 presents the full correlation matrix. Minutes per week of mild-, moderate- and heavy-intensity LTPA and total LTPA were all positively correlated with $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$. The correlation between minutes per week of mild intensity LTPA and $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$ was small ($r = .231$, $p = .079$) while all other correlations were medium-sized (*rs* ranged from .276 to .443, $ps < .05$).

Correlations between the LTPAQ-SCI variables and PO_{peak} were also positive. However, the correlations were generally trivial to small (r s ranged from .087 to .193, p s > .05) except for the correlation between heavy-intensity LTPA and PO_{peak} ($r = .294$, $p = .035$).

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to conduct a test of the construct validity of the LTPAQ-SCI. As hypothesized, minutes per week of LTPA reported on the LTPAQ-SCI were positively correlated with participants' CRF. Correlations tended to be stronger for heavy versus mild-intensity LTPA and for $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ than for PO_{peak} .

Overall, the pattern and size of the correlations were similar to correlations reported between CRF and other self-report measures of PA for people with and without SCI. For instance, in tests of the PARA-SCI's construct validity,¹⁴ correlations between CRF and moderate- and heavy-intensity LTPA were medium-sized, while the correlation between CRF and mild-intensity LTPA was small. These findings align with research demonstrating that in order to produce significant CRF benefits, adults with SCI must exercise at a moderate- to heavy-intensity¹. Exercise of a mild intensity is insufficient³¹. Our results show that the LTPAQ-SCI does indeed capture CRF-enhancing LTPA in adults with SCI.

Our results are also similar to the medium-sized correlations reported in validation studies of the IPAQ-SF, one of the most widely-used self-report measures of PA for the general population. For instance, across three studies that reported correlations between the IPAQ-SF measure of total minutes per week of PA and $\dot{V}O_{2max}$, the median correlation was $r = .30$ ³². We found a correlation of $r = .33$ between LTPAQ-SCI total LTPA and $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$. It is worth noting that only ~50% of the variance in CRF can be explained by environmental factors, such as physical activity, with the rest attributed to hereditary/genetic factors³³. Furthermore, additional variance

in CRF within the SCI population can be attributed to the severity and exact level of neurological injury sustained, contributing to the degree of autonomic and functional impairment³⁴. Thus, it is encouraging to observe similar, if not slightly better, associations between LTPA and $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ in individuals with high-level SCI, supporting the construct validity of the LTPAQ-SCI in the context of other well-used self-report measures of PA.

Our analyses suggested that LTPA was more strongly correlated with $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ than PO_{peak} . This finding differs from results from the PARA-SCI validation studies in which CRF tended to be more strongly correlated with PO_{peak} than $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ ¹⁴. These discrepancies are likely a statistical artefact. There was greater variability in PO_{peak} values in the PARA-SCI validation study than in the present study. When data variability is reduced, correlations may be lower than expected³⁵. Nevertheless, as the correlations with PO_{peak} were all positive, and stronger for moderate- and heavy-intensity LTPA than mild-intensity LTPA, we take this as further support for the construct validation of the LTPAQ-SCI as a measure of CRF-enhancing LTPA.

Importantly, scale validation studies do not confirm that the scale itself is valid. No study can ‘validate’ a scale. Rather, validation studies substantiate the inferences that can be made about people based on their scale scores (e.g., the amount of LTPA they do each week)¹⁸ and that the scale is valid for use with a particular group of people in a particular context¹⁸. The present study was conducted with a sample of men and women with chronic, motor complete cervical or high thoracic injuries. Although we would expect the results to generalize to individuals with incomplete, lower-level injuries,¹⁴ this hypothesis should be tested in heterogenous samples.

There is also a need to conduct LTPAQ-SCI validation studies in countries other than Canada, because definitions of LTPA may differ across cultural contexts³⁶. For instance, the instructions for completing the LTPAQ-SCI stipulate that physiotherapy should not be counted.

This stipulation is included because during development of the PARA-SCI and LTPAQ-SCI, many of the physiotherapy activities reported by Canadians with SCI, were neither leisure-time nor fitness-enhancing activities (e.g., passive stretching, practicing transfers, practicing using mobility equipment)¹³. However, in other countries or contexts, physiotherapy may routinely include exercise or sport activities and may therefore be counted as LTPA. In a similar vein, active transportation is uncommon among Canadians with SCI³⁷ because climate, terrain and long distances are significant barriers. In some countries, however, it may be more common for people with SCI to use active forms of transportation (e.g., handcycling in European countries³⁸) in order to get exercise. In these circumstances, it may make sense to report such activities on the LTPAQ-SCI. By testing the relationships between CRF and LTPAQ-SCI scores, including and excluding physiotherapy and active transportation activities, users of the LTPAQ-SCI can better define and measure LTPA in their contexts.

Strengths of this study include standardized administrations of the LTPAQ-SCI and the CRF test, as well as multi-site data collection to maximize participant enrolment. A limitation is that only one aspect of physical fitness was measured. Muscular strength and endurance are two additional physical fitness aspects that should correlate positively with LTPAQ-SCI scores¹⁴ and should be examined in future construct validation studies. Furthermore, if study participants engaged primarily in strength-training LTPA (e.g., lifting weights), the correlation between their LTPAQ-SCI measure of minutes per week of LTPA and their CRF may have been attenuated relative to individuals who engaged primarily in CRF-enhancing LTPA (e.g., arm cycling).

Another study limitation is that data collected from nearly half of the tetraplegic participants (12 out of 27) could not be used because they terminated the CRF test before achieving criteria indicative of a peak exercise test (i.e., $RER \geq 1.00$). Because of arm fatigue

during exercise testing, peripheral ratings of perceived exertion increase much faster in those with tetraplegia than paraplegia³⁹ prompting participants to terminate the test before achieving peak. Given this challenge, researchers should consider other feasible, valid measures of CRF that could be used in LTPAQ-SCI construct validation studies involving participants with tetraplegia. An alternative construct validation approach may be to assess associations between LTPAQ-SCI scores and 7-day overall physical activity levels measured via wearable devices. While limitations of accelerometers attached to a single anatomical location or wheelchair have been noted in people with SCI^{7,9}, the estimation of physical activity intensity can be improved by utilising multi-sensor devices that incorporate physiological signals (such as galvanic skin responses or heart rate) and utilising complex or individualised modelling approaches^{40,41}. Combined with the use of diaries or logs to distinguish periods of LTPA from other physical activity types, assessing the associations between outputs from multi-sensor wearable devices and the LTPAQ-SCI may be a way to test the validity of this measure while overcoming some of the challenges noted with assessing CRF in individuals with tetraplegia.

In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrate that self-reported LTPA, as measured by the LTPAQ-SCI, is positively correlated with CRF in adults with chronic, motor complete cervical or high thoracic SCI. When considered with previous research showing that LTPAQ-SCI scores vary in predictable ways across meaningful groups and in response to behavioural interventions^{15,17-22}, these results provide further support for the construct validity of the LTPAQ-SCI as a measure of LTPA for adults with SCI. Further construct validation studies are needed to demonstrate the validity of the LTPAQ-SCI for use as a measure of LTPA in more heterogeneous samples of people with SCI and in other countries and contexts.

Data archiving: The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgements: We thank Adrienne Sinden for her assistance with manuscript preparation.

Ethics: Ethics approval was obtained from the University of British Columbia (H12-02945-11), McMaster University (12-672) and Toronto Rehabilitation Institute – University Health Network (12-5797).

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Author contributions: KAMG was responsible for conceptualizing and designing the study, interpreting results and writing the report. JU-C was responsible for analyzing the data, writing the results, creating tables and providing feedback on the report. AAA was responsible for collecting and cleaning the data, drafting the methods section and providing feedback on the report. TEN was responsible for assisting during data collection, drafting the methods section, assisting with data interpretation, and providing feedback on the report. JSA was responsible for assisting in the design of the study protocol, drafting the methods section, assisting with data interpretation, and providing feedback on the report. KDC was responsible for assisting during data collection and providing feedback on the report. MH was responsible for assisting during data collection and providing feedback on the report. AK is the Principal Investigator for the CHOICES study and was responsible for designing and overseeing implementation of all aspects of the CHOICES protocol and providing feedback on the report.

Funding: This study was funded by a project grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) with the funding reference number (TCA 118348). The first author holds the Reichwald Family Southern Medical Program Chair in Chronic Disease Prevention.

References

1. van der Scheer JW, Martin Ginis KA, Ditor DS, Goosey-Tolfrey V, Hicks AL, West CR *et al.* Effects of exercise on fitness and health of adults with spinal cord injury: a systematic review. *Neurology* 2017; **89**(7): 736-745.
2. Martin Ginis KA, Jetha A, Mack DE, Hetz S. Physical activity and subjective well-being among people with spinal cord injury: A meta-analysis. *Spinal Cord* 2010; **48**: 65-72.
3. Farrow M, Nightingale T, Maher J, McKay C, Thompson D, J. B. The effect of exercise on cardiometabolic risk factors in adults with chronic spinal cord injury: A systematic review: Exercise and CMS risk in SCI. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2020 Ap 12.
4. Martin Ginis KA, Latimer AE, Arbour-Nicitopoulos KP, Buchholz AC, Bray SR, Craven BC *et al.* Leisure time physical activity in a population-based sample of people with spinal cord injury Part I: Demographic and injury-related correlates. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2010; **91**(5): 722-728.
5. Williams TL, Smith B, Papathomas A. The barriers, benefits and facilitators of leisure time physical activity among people with spinal cord injury: a meta-synthesis of qualitative findings. *Health Psychology Review* 2014; **8**(4): 404-4025.
6. Tsang K, Hiremath SV, Crytzer TM, Dicianno BE, Ding D. Validity of activity monitors in wheelchair users: A systematic review. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2016; **53**(6): 641-658.
7. Nightingale TE, Rouse PC, Thompson D, Bilzon JLJ. Measurement of Physical Activity and Energy Expenditure in Wheelchair Users: Methods, Considerations and Future Directions. *Sports medicine - open* 2017; **3**(1): 10.
8. Lankhorst K, Oerbekke M, van den Berg-Emons R, Takken T, de Groot J. Instruments Measuring Physical Activity in Individuals Who Use a Wheelchair: A Systematic Review of Measurement Properties. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2020; **101**(3): 535-552.
9. Ma JK, McCracken LA, Voss C, Chan FHN, West CR, Martin Ginis KA. Physical activity measurement in people with spinal cord injury: comparison of accelerometry and self-report (the Physical Activity Recall Assessment for People with Spinal Cord Injury). *Disability and Rehabilitation* 2020; **42**(2): 240-246.
10. Martin Ginis KA, van der Scheer JW, Latimer-Cheung AE, Barrow A, Bourne C, Carruthers P *et al.* Evidence-based scientific exercise guidelines for adults with spinal cord injury: An update and a new guideline. *Spinal Cord* 2018; **56**(4): 308-321.

11. Martin Ginis KA, Latimer AE, Craven BC, Hicks AL. Development and evaluation of an activity measure for people with spinal cord injury. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2005; **37**(7): 1099-1111.
12. Tanhoffer RA, Tanhoffer AI, Raymond J, Hills AP, Davis GM. Comparison of methods to assess energy expenditure and physical activity in people with spinal cord injury. *Journal of Spinal Cord Medicine* 2012; **35**(1): 35-45.
13. Martin Ginis KA, Latimer-Cheung AE. *Physical Activity Recall Assessment for People with Spinal Cord Injury (PARA-SCI)*: <https://sciactioncanada.ok.ubc.ca/resources/para-sci-manual/>, Accessed May 2020.
14. Latimer AE, Martin Ginis KA, Craven BC, Hicks AL. The physical activity recall assessment for people with spinal cord injury: Validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2006; **38**(2): 208-16.
15. Martin Ginis KA, Phang SH, Latimer AE, Arbour-Nicitopoulos KP. Reliability and validity tests of the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire for People with Spinal Cord Injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2012; **93**: 677-682.
16. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjostrom M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE *et al*. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2003; **35**(8): 1381-95.
17. Cummings I, Lamontagne M-E, Sweet SN, Spivock M, Batcho CS. Canadian-French adaptation and test-retest reliability of the leisure time physical activity questionnaire for people with disabilities. *Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine* 2019; **62**(3): 161-167.
18. Streiner DL, Norman GR, Cairney J. *Health Measurement Scales A practical guide to their development and use*, Oxford University Press, 2015.
19. Ma JK, West CR, Martin Ginis KA. The effects of a patient and provider co-developed, behavioral physical activity intervention on physical activity, psychosocial predictors, and fitness in individuals with spinal cord injury: A randomized controlled trial. *Sports Medicine* 2019; **49**: 1117-1131.
20. Lithopoulos A, Bassett-Gunter RL, Martin Ginis KA, Latimer-Cheung AE. The Effects of Gain- versus Loss-Framed Messages Following Health Risk Information on Physical Activity in Individuals With Multiple Sclerosis. *Journal of Health Communication* 2017; **22**(6): 523-531.
21. Barbonetti A, Cavallo F, D'Andrea S, Muselli M, Felzani G, Francavilla S *et al*. Lower Vitamin D Levels Are Associated With Depression in People With Chronic Spinal Cord Injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2017; **98**(5): 940-946.

22. Stapleton JN, Perrier M-J, Campbell DS, Tawse HL, Martin Ginis KA. Social cognitive predictors of competitive level among athletes with physical disabilities. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise* 2016; **22**: 46-52.
23. Cronbach LJ, Meehl PE. Construct validity in psychological tests. *Psychological Bulletin* 1955; **52**(4): 281-302.
24. Hicks AL, Martin Ginis KA, Pelletier CA, Ditor DS, Foulon B, Wolfe DL. The effects of exercise training on physical capacity, strength, body composition and functional performance among adults with spinal cord injury: A systematic review. *Spinal Cord* 2011; **49**(11): 1103-1127.
25. Krassioukov AV, Currie KD, Hubli M, Nightingale TE, Alrashidi AA, Ramer L *et al.* Effects of exercise interventions on cardiovascular health in individuals with chronic, motor complete spinal cord injury: protocol for a randomised controlled trial [Cardiovascular Health/Outcomes: Improvements Created by Exercise and education in SCI (CHOICES) Study]. *BMJ open* 2019; **9**(1): e023540-e023540.
26. Kirshblum SC, Burns SP, Biering-Sorensen F, Donovan W, Graves DE, Jha A *et al.* International standards for neurological classification of spinal cord injury (revised 2011). *J Spinal Cord Med* 2011; **34**(6): 535-46.
27. Eerden S, Dekker R, Hettinga FJ. Maximal and submaximal aerobic tests for wheelchair-dependent persons with spinal cord injury: a systematic review to summarize and identify useful applications for clinical rehabilitation. *Disabil Rehabil* 2018; **40**(5): 497-521.
28. Scherr J, Wolfarth B, Christle JW, Pressler A, Wagenpfeil S, Halle M. Associations between Borg's rating of perceived exertion and physiological measures of exercise intensity. *European journal of applied physiology* 2013; **113**(1): 147-55.
29. Simmons OL, Kressler J, Nash MS. Reference fitness values in the untrained spinal cord injury population. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2014; **95**(12): 2272-8.
30. Cohen J. A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin* 1992; **112**(1): 155-159.
31. van der Scheer JW, de Groot S, Tepper M, Faber W, Veeger DH, van der Woude LH. Low-intensity wheelchair training in inactive people with long-term spinal cord injury: A randomized controlled trial on fitness, wheelchair skill performance and physical activity levels. *J Rehabil Med* 2016; **48**(1): 33-42.
32. Lee PH, Macfarlane DJ, Lam TH, Stewart SM. Validity of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire Short Form (IPAQ-SF): a systematic review. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2011; **8**: 115.

33. Bouchard C, An P, Rice T, Skinner JS, Wilmore JH, Gagnon J *et al.* Familial aggregation of V̇o₂ max response to exercise training: results from the HERITAGE Family Study. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 1999; **87**(3): 1003-1008.
34. West CR, Gee CM, Voss C, Hubli M, Currie KD, Schmid J *et al.* Cardiovascular control, autonomic function, and elite endurance performance in spinal cord injury. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2015; **25**(4): 476-485.
35. Goodwin LD, Leech NL. Understanding Correlation: Factors That Affect the Size of r. *The Journal of Experimental Education* 2006; **74**(3): 249-266.
36. Booth M. Assessment of Physical Activity: An International Perspective. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport* 2000; **71**(sup2): 114-120.
37. Perrier MJ, Stork MJ, Martin Ginis KA. Type, intensity and duration of daily physical activities performed by adults with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 2017; **55**(1): 64-70.
38. Hettinga FJ, Valent L, Groen W, van Drongelen S, de Groot S, van der Woude LHV. Hand-Cycling: An Active Form of Wheeled Mobility, Recreation, and Sports. *Physical medicine and rehabilitation clinics of North America* 2010; **21**(1): 127-140.
39. Au JS, Totosy De Zepetnek JO, Macdonald MJ. Modeling Perceived Exertion during Graded Arm Cycling Exercise in Spinal Cord Injury. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 2017; **49**(6): 1190-1196.
40. Hiremath SV, Ding D, Farrington J, Vyas N, Cooper RA. Physical activity classification utilizing SenseWear activity monitor in manual wheelchair users with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 2013; **51**(9): 705-9.
41. Nightingale TE, Walhin JP, Thompson D, Bilzon JLJ. Predicting physical activity energy expenditure in wheelchair users with a multisensor device. *BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine* 2015; **1**(1): bmjsem-2015-000008.

Table 1. Characteristics of Participants in the Full Sample and the Analyzed Sample and p-values of Tests to Detect Differences Between Included and Excluded Participants.

	Full Sample (n=51)	Analyzed Sample (n=39)	p-value
	n (% total); M \pm SD; Median, min-max	n (% total); M \pm SD; Median, min-max	
Sex			.964
Male	38 (74%)	29 (74%)	
Female	13 (26%)	10 (26%)	
Age (years)	42 \pm 10 43, 22-60	42 \pm 10 43, 22-60	.638
Age at injury (years)	28 \pm 13 24, 3-57	29 \pm 14 25, 3-57	.252
Years post-injury	14 \pm 11 10, 1-42	13 \pm 11 10, 1-42	.502
Level and severity of injury			<0.001
Tetraplegia AIS A	16 (31%)	8 (21%)	
Tetraplegia AIS B	11 (22%)	7 (18%)	
Paraplegia AIS A	24 (47%)	24 (61%)	
Body mass (kg)	78.5 \pm 17.6 78.2, 44.9-135.7	79.2 \pm 17.3 78.5, 44.9-135.7	.764
Height (cm)	176 \pm 10 177, 158-200	175 \pm 8 176, 158-188	.201
VO _{2peak}	12.53 \pm 5.48 11.24, 5.49-29.84	13.93 \pm 5.49 13.60, 6.07-29.84	<.001
PO _{peak}	52 \pm 29 50, 10-130	60 \pm 28 60, 10-130	.001
Mild LTPA	204 \pm 278 120, 0-1680	221 \pm 308 135, 0-1680	.555
Moderate LTPA	102 \pm 118 60, 0-480	115 \pm 124 60, 0-480	.093
Heavy LTPA	56 \pm 100 15, 0-480	60 \pm 96 20, 0-480	.260
Total LTPA	363 \pm 395 240, 0-2405	395 \pm 431 240, 0-2405	.291

AIS: ASIA Impairment Scale

Table 2. Correlation Matrix Showing Pearson Correlation Coefficients for Cardiorespiratory Fitness and LTPAQ-SCI Measures of Mild, Moderate, Heavy and Total Leisure-Time Physical Activity (LTPA)

<i>Measure</i>	VO _{2peak}	PO _{peak}	Mild LTPA	Moderate LTPA	Heavy LTPA	Total LTPA
VO _{2peak} (mL/kg/min)	1					
PO _{peak} (Watts)	.773**	1				
Mild LTPA (min/wk)	.231	.087	1			
Moderate LTPA (min/wk)	.276*	.193	.315*	1		
Heavy LTPA (min/wk)	.443**	.294*	.225	.499**	1	
Total LTPA (min/wk)	.330*	.176	.815**	.729**	.591**	1

Note. *p<0.05; **p<0.01 (one-tailed).

LTPAQ-SCI is the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire-Spinal Cord Injury. VO_{2peak} is peak volume of oxygen consumption and PO_{peak} is peak power output during the cardiorespiratory fitness test.