UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

New developments in transcriptomic analysis of synovial tissue

Carr, Hayley L; Turner, Jason D; Major, Triin; Scheel-Toellner, Dagmar; Filer, Andrew

DOI: 10.3389/fmed.2020.00021

License: Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Carr, HL, Turner, JD, Major, T, Scheel-Toellner, D & Filer, A 2020, 'New developments in transcriptomic analysis of synovial tissue', *Frontiers in Medicine*, vol. 7, 21. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2020.00021

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.





New Developments in Transcriptomic Analysis of Synovial Tissue

Hayley L. Carr¹, Jason D. Turner¹, Triin Major¹, Dagmar Scheel-Toellner¹ and Andrew Filer^{1,2*}

¹ Institute for Inflammation and Ageing, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom, ² NIHR Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, United Kingdom

Transcriptomic technologies are constantly changing and improving, resulting in an ever increasing understanding of gene expression in health and disease. These technologies have been used to investigate the pathological changes occurring in the joints of rheumatoid arthritis patients, leading to discoveries of disease mechanisms, and novel potential therapeutic targets. Microarrays were initially used on both whole tissue and cell subsets to investigate research questions, with bulk RNA sequencing allowing for further elaboration of these findings. A key example is the classification of pathotypes in rheumatoid arthritis using RNA sequencing that had previously been discovered using microarray and histology. Single-cell sequencing has now delivered a step change in understanding of the diversity and function of subpopulations of cells, in particular synovial fibroblasts. Future technologies, such as high resolution spatial transcriptomics, will enable step changes integrating single cell transcriptomic and geographic data to provide an integrated understanding of synovial pathology.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Frances Claire Humby, Queen Mary University of London, United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

Sule Yavuz, Istanbul Bilim University, Turkey Cong-Qiu Chu, Oregon Health & Science University, United States

> *Correspondence: Andrew Filer A.FILER@bham.ac.uk

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Rheumatology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

Received: 26 November 2019 Accepted: 14 January 2020 Published: 31 January 2020

Citation:

Carr HL, Turner JD, Major T, Scheel-Toellner D and Filer A (2020) New Developments in Transcriptomic Analysis of Synovial Tissue. Front. Med. 7:21. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2020.00021 Keywords: transcriptomics, synovium, sequencing, single-cell, microarray, stratification, fibroblast, pathotype

INTRODUCTION

Research into transcriptomic changes in the diseased synovium in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) has significantly enhanced our understanding of disease pathogenesis. This review details the research pathway taken to study gene expression in the synovium, including methods for synovial cell isolation, and analysis of tissue and cells by microarray and RNA-sequencing technologies. The progression of gene expression technologies which have increased our understanding of disease processes will be described, with a focus on understanding fibroblast populations, on which new transcriptomic technologies have had their most profound impact.

The synovium is a thin tissue lining the interior of the fibrous capsule, enclosing diarthroidal joints, and facilitating the normal function of the joint (1). In healthy, uninflamed joints the synovium produces compounds such as hyaluronate and lubricin. These are vital components of synovial fluid which fills the joint space and provides lubrication to aid load-bearing and flexion without damage to the cartilage and underlying bone (1, 2). By contrast, the inflamed synovium in RA is characterized by tissue hyperplasia and angiogenesis, accompanied by infiltration of multiple leukocyte populations, most significantly including monocytic cells, T and B lymphocytes. The latter frequently form organized aggregates that persist over time, releasing inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, and contributing to autoantibody production while remaining resistant to the normal processes of apoptosis and resolution that characterize acute inflammation (3, 4).

1

Fibroblasts play key roles within the RA synovium both in the regulation of leukocyte influx and efflux, and in damaging cartilage and driving indirect damage to bone (5-11). In RA, fibroblasts within the synovium become persistently activated, resulting in reduced responses to apoptotic signals, increased proliferation, production of proinflammatory molecules, such as IL-6 and CCL5, and the release of matrix remodeling enzymes, such as matrix metalloproteinase-3 (MMP-3) and MMP-9 (12-19). Consequently, the synovium develops an aggressive phenotype, leading to inflammation and hyperplasia, causing pain, and loss of function (17, 20). Whilst the role of fibroblasts in supporting these processes has been described in vitro, our knowledge of fibroblast heterogeneity and functions in vivo has been limited to decades-old histological observations of synovial microanatomy. This was dominated by the differing appearances of lining layer cells, which undergo hyperplasia in RA and appear to be continuous with destructive pannus tissue that damages cartilage, and sublining cells that are located alongside leukocyte infiltrates and synovial blood vessels. This heterogeneity led to synovial fibroblasts being termed fibroblast-like synoviocytes (FLS) historically, however recently developed transcriptomic technologies, specifically single-cell sequencing, have allowed for the recognition of subpopulations of fibroblasts. Understanding these subpopulations and their differing functions has the potential to open new doors for therapeutic intervention.

ISOLATING CELLS FROM SYNOVIAL TISSUE

Studies are generally completed at two levels of resolution, from either whole-tissue or individual cellular populations. Whilst the methods for obtaining whole-tissue samples of synovium are fairly standardized (arthroplasty or biopsy), the methods of obtaining cellular populations are varied, which confounds the results of downstream analyses.

Two main methods, the enzymatic digest method and the explant-outgrowth method, are widely used to isolate and study synovial cells, in particular synovial fibroblasts. The enzymatic method of isolation focuses on disrupting the extracellular matrix and adhesion of cells to this matrix to create a suspension of synovial cells. However, a range of enzymes, concentrations, and lengths of digestion have been used to achieve this, with limited investigation of the efficacy of the isolation or the effect of the digestion on marker expression and cellular viability. This complicates interpretation when examining surface protein expression or gene expression *ex vivo*, making it challenging to compare between studies.

The explant outgrowth method is more consistent between publications, possibly due to the relative simplicity of the protocol. Small segments of synovial tissue are placed into tissueculture, allowing adherent cells to migrate out of the tissue and begin proliferating (21, 22). After 7 days the remaining tissue is removed and the cells are cultured. Whilst easier to implement than the enzymatic digestion protocol, the explant-outgrowth technique is not without its caveats. There is a significant risk of selecting for synovial populations that proliferate rapidly and are able to migrate out of the tissue, meaning that other populations may not be accounted for.

To address the heterogeneity in isolation methods the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Accelerating Medicines Partnership (AMP, https://www.nih.gov/research-training/ accelerating-medicines-partnership-amp), a consortium of research groups funded by government, industry, and non-profit organizations, developed optimized protocols for the isolation of cells from multiple tissues, including the synovium, alongside a protocol for the cryopreservation of synovial tissue allowing for later digestion, which aids in reducing batch effects (23). This approach brings consistency to the field of synovial dissociation, allowing for more robust comparisons between results from different research groups.

WHOLE TISSUE APPROACHES TO SYNOVIAL TISSUE ANALYSIS

Studies investigating gene expression in the synovium initially took whole tissue approaches to assess broad, organ level changes. Whilst this work serves a purpose with regards to biomarker screening and understanding links between synovial and systemic inflammation, it is difficult to determine which specific cells are responsible for the changes in gene expression. Furthermore, subtle yet relevant alterations in gene expression amongst small cell populations are likely to be masked by changes in more dominant ones, meaning important mechanisms may be missed.

INTERROGATING THE SYNOVIUM BY GENE EXPRESSION ARRAY

Whilst the investigation of specific genes using PCR can be a powerful tool for testing pre-existing hypotheses, the ability to screen thousands of genes can extend gene expression analyses into discovery-focussed approaches. The development of cDNA microarray technology filled this niche. cDNA microarrays are inert supports, such as glass slides, upon which probes are printed or "grown" using masking techniques in spots, with each spot containing probes for a single gene (24, 25). In the most common platforms RNA is converted to cDNA before being labeled with a fluorescent dye. The cDNA is then hybridized to the probes in the microarray. After removing unbound RNA, the fluorescence measured from each spot can be used to calculate relative gene expression.

The strength of cDNA arrays is in the number of genes that can be simultaneously screened. Lindberg et al. (26) used an in-house generated microarray to investigate the expression of 16,164 genes in RA synovial tissue. The authors investigated differences in gene expression between synovial biopsy material obtained during arthroscopy and arthroplasty from several different sites within each joint to quantify the variation in gene expression dependent on the sampling procedure. Interestingly, even when non-inflamed biopsy samples were excluded from the analysis, a large number of differentially expressed genes were found between biopsy samples from the same joint. Despite this finding, the authors were able to identify patient-specific gene expression signatures, indicating that the variation imparted by the biopsy site does not completely obscure the variation between patients.

Several publications have investigated the contribution of anatomical origin to the variance seen in fibroblast gene expression. Chang et al. (27) and Rinn et al. (28) used microarrays to investigate the differences in gene expression between skin fibroblasts isolated from different locations of the body. The major determinant of differential expression between sites was shown to be amongst genes encoding proteins involved in cell development during embryogenesis. Fibroblasts isolated from the same anatomical location in different donors showed closer gene expression profiles than those from a different anatomical location in the same donor (29). This indicates that developmental pathways may impact more upon fibroblast gene expression than inter-individual variation, as might be expected for cells involved in tissue specific structural patterning.

Microarray platforms can be applied not only to whole tissue but to any sample from which sufficient RNA can be isolated. Microarrays have been used with laser capture microdissection, a technique for isolating specific regions of tissue from histological sections, to compare gene expression in the synovial lining layer between RA and osteoarthritis (OA) samples (30). One hundred ninety-seven genes were detected as differentially expressed between the two diseases. Samples clustered according to disease, indicating that the lining layer is significantly different in phenotype between RA and OA. Additionally, the RA samples could be sub-clustered into high- and low-inflammation samples, a feature that was supported by similar high and low levels of serum C-reactive protein or histological inflammation scores.

The concept of subclassifying RA samples on the basis of gene expression has been explored by other investigators. van der Pouw Kraan et al. (31) used hierarchical cluster analysis of microarray data generated from the synovial tissue of RA patients undergoing arthroplasty to find subgroups based on differences in synovial gene expression. In concordance with later work by Yoshida et al. (30), the samples clustered into high and low inflammatory groups. The high inflammatory group was characterized by high *CD3D*, *IL2RG*, and *CD8* expression, suggesting that these differences were driven by differential immune-cell infiltration into the synovial groupings could be mapped onto the transcriptomes of synovial fibroblasts cultured from the same tissues, demonstrating a link between fibroblast, and leukocyte populations (32).

Microarrays can also be used to correlate gene expression with response to therapy. This holds particular importance as, without stratification, RA patient therapeutic responses are characteristically <70%, even to targeted biologic agents. If gene expression could inform which therapeutic regime is likely to have the most effect, it would not only benefit patients but also reduce the cost of care (33). One study used an in-house developed microarray targeting 17,972 genes to measure gene expression in the synovial tissue of 48 RA patients who responded to therapy to varying degrees, and 14 non-responders. The main source of variance in gene expression was the presence or absence of inflammatory aggregates within the synovial tissue, however no clear differences between responders or nonresponders were found (34). In a seminal paper, Dennis et al. used microarrays to assess response to first anti-TNF therapy, discovering modules of response corresponding to dominance of different cell types (myeloid, fibroid, or lymphoid) that were correlated to immunohistochemistry (35).

Whilst the data provided by microarrays has provided insight into the pathogenesis of inflammatory synovial disease, this technology is limited in the number of genes that can be simultaneously assessed. With the development of RNAsequencing that allows measurement of the entire transcriptome, attention has turned toward the use of these techniques and the unique opportunities, and problems, they present.

RNA-SEQUENCING

RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) offers significant advantages over microarrays with regards to assessing gene expression, namely not targeting a specific selection of genes. RNA-seq can measure protein coding and non-coding genes, and even micro-RNAs, increasing the utility of this tool. In addition, RNA-seq provides data over a larger dynamic range with lower background noise than microarray technology (36). Untargeted RNA-seq consists of the isolation of RNA and conversion to a cDNA library, which is then sequenced. Computational alignment to the genome or transcriptome can then be performed, avoiding the need for pre-selected targets.

Orange et al. (37) used bulk RNA-seq to investigate total synovial gene expression in tandem with histology, with an aim to subclassify RA. The samples could be clustered as high- or low-inflammatory tissues along with an additional mixed cluster. Six thousand five hundred eighty-two genes were detected as differentially expressed between the clusters, with the high inflammatory cluster expressing increased levels of genes associated with immune related pathways. Investigation of key histological variables in the tissue samples showed concordance with the sequencing results, with the high-inflammatory samples possessing high levels of immune cell infiltrate. Additionally, in a longitudinal consortium study taking synovial biopsies at first presentation of new RA, significant correlations were observed between histological type, whole tissue bulk RNAseq-derived gene clusters, and clinical response to first therapy (38, 39). This powerful approach elegantly demonstrates the predictive value of cellular gene clusters derived from whole tissue signatures.

SINGLE CELL APPROACHES TO SYNOVIAL TISSUE ANALYSIS

Although bulk RNA-seq provides in-depth information on gene expression in whole tissues or pre-defined populations of cells, assumptions made regarding the number and type of cells present may bias the results. Single cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) can reduce this bias by allowing independent sequencing of every cell within a tissue, or within a subset of cells (**Figure 1**). The subsequent use of unsupervised clustering techniques to



FIGURE 1 | Schematic illustrating bulk (A) vs. single cell (B) RNA sequencing. Bulk RNA sequencing requires researchers to preselect cellular populations or sequence whole samples, whereas single cell RNA sequencing does not require pre-selection and can be used to identify cellular populations in an unbiased manner.

find related cells, as defined by gene expression, can confirm the presence of previously defined populations or help in the identification of unknown populations of cells. However, caveats exist for readers of such papers: firstly, it is possible to over-cluster the data, leading researchers to believe there are more discrete populations present than actually exist. Secondly, multiple dimensionality reduction techniques and clustering methods exist, which can lead to different interpretations of the data, and which should therefore be clearly stated by authors and noted by readers. Thirdly, the depth of information obtained by scRNAseq can be limited by low amounts of starting RNA, meaning that the absolute number of genes that can be recognized and quantified is lower than that of bulk RNA-seq. To leverage the strengths of this technique, both approaches are commonly used in tandem to identify key subpopulations and then further investigate gene expression.

Mizoguchi et al. (40) used a combined approach of microarrays, bulk, and single-cell RNA-sequencing to identify subsets of fibroblasts in synovial tissue from RA and OA patients. CD45⁻CD31⁻CD146⁻ cells were found to split into seven populations by flow cytometric analysis of CD34, CD90, PDPN, and CDH11 expression. However, following microarray and bulk RNA-seq of these populations, clustering of the data identified three populations in both datasets, CD34⁻CD90⁻,

CD34⁻CD90⁺, and CD34⁺. scRNA-seq was then used on a small number of samples (2 RA and 2 OA) to confirm this finding. The CD34⁻CD90⁺ population was expanded in RA compared to OA samples, as measured by flow cytometry, possessed high *RANKL* and low *OPG* expression, and was capable of differentiating monocytes to osteoclasts *in vitro* suggesting a role in bone regulation and damage. The CD34⁺ population expressed high levels of *IL-6*, *CXCL12*, and *CCL2*, whereas the CD34⁻CD90⁻ population expressed high levels of *MMP1*, *MMP3*, *PRG4*, *HAS1*, and *CD55*. These findings indicate that the traditional split of lining vs. sublining does not capture the full heterogeneity of synovial fibroblast populations.

Unbiased single cell sequencing platforms have helped our understanding of the true heterogeneity of fibroblast subpopulations and therefore synovial pathology. Within the NIH AMP consortium, the exploration of synovial fibroblast subsets was extended through a combined investigation of larger numbers of RA and OA samples using bulk and scRNA-seq, and flow and mass-cytometry. Zhang et al. (41) used canonical correlation analysis to integrate this multimodal data from 51 RA and OA samples, facilitating a linked transcriptomic and proteomic analysis of single cells. In addition to defining the myeloid, T and B cell subpopulations present in the RA synovium, this study identified four synovial fibroblast populations: a $CD55^+$ lining layer population and three sublining populations identified as $CD34^+$, HLA^{hi} , or $DKK3^+$. With regards to gene expression, the sublining populations showed greater similarities with each other than with the lining population, a feature reflected in the shared enrichment for extracellular matrix related pathways within the sublining populations. HLA^{hi} synovial fibroblasts were the predominant source of IL-6. When classifying RA samples on the basis of immune infiltrate identified by histology, the HLA^{hi} population was expanded in leukocyte-rich synovium, hinting that this population may be driving classical inflammation within the synovium, whereas others, such as the $CD55^+$ lining layer population, may be responsible for aspects of cartilage destruction.

The function of individual synovial fibroblast populations has been further explored using murine models of arthritis. Croft et al. (42) used the serum transfer induced arthritis model in combination with the deletion of fibroblast activation protein- α (FAP α) expressing cells to interrogate the role of synovial fibroblast subsets. FAPa is expressed on both lining and sublining fibroblasts in RA, therefore offering a mechanism for the global deletion of synovial fibroblasts (42-45). The deletion of FAP α^+ cells during arthritis led to both a significant reduction in inflammation and accelerated resolution. This was accompanied by reductions in synovial cellularity, joint damage, and leukocyte infiltration, highlighting that the changes observed were not solely due to a reduction in the number of cells within the synovium. Bulk-sequencing of sorted populations mirrored the findings of Zhang et al. (41), with the largest differences being observed between the lining layer and sublining layer (45). Further similarities existed in the gene expression profile of these populations with the $FAPa^+CD90^+$ cells expressing higher levels of chemokines and cytokines, including IL-6, whereas the FAP α^+ CD90⁻ cells showed higher levels of RANKL and MMPs. The functional behavior of these populations was confirmed by adoptive transfer of the sorted populations into the joints of arthritic mice. $FAP\alpha^+CD90^+$ cells increased inflammation but not joint damage, whereas the reverse was observed with the FAPa⁺CD90⁻ cells. Finally, scRNA-seq of murine synovial fibroblasts revealed that five independent populations could be found, one lining layer, three sublining, and an additional cycling population.

REFERENCES

- Smith MD. The normal synovium. Open Rheumatol J. (2011) 5:100– 6. doi: 10.2174/1874312901105010100
- Jay GD, Waller KA. The biology of lubricin: near frictionless joint motion. Matrix Biol. (2014) 39:17–24. doi: 10.1016/j.matbio.2014.08.008
- Smolen JS, Aletaha D, McInnes IB. Rheumatoid arthritis. Lancet. (2016) 388:2023–38. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30173-8
- Gorman CL, Cope AP. Immune-mediated pathways in chronic inflammatory arthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol.* (2008) 22:221–38. doi: 10.1016/j.berh.2008.01.003
- 5. Filer A, Parsonage G, Smith E, Osborne C, Thomas AM, Curnow SJ, et al. Differential survival of leukocyte subsets mediated by synovial,

FUTURE PREDICTIONS

The investigation of synovial fibroblasts provides an excellent illustration of the power of single cell analyses. Our previous knowledge was restricted to speculation based on anatomical sub regions in the synovium and limited fibroblast surface markers. For the first time investigators have been able to identify and assign putative functions to individual fibroblast subpopulations that were previously unknown using the power of single cell sequencing. This provides exciting new opportunities to understand the pathobiology of inflammatory arthritis, and to target novel therapeutic approaches to fibroblast cells. Even the field of lymphocyte biology, in which multiple cellular subsets have already been identified, has been changed by single cell analyses of synovial tissue, as in the recent identification of a novel pro-inflammatory synovial T cell subpopulation in RA assisted by mass cytometry (46). Techniques such as cellular indexing of transcriptomes and epitopes by sequencing (CITEseq) and RNA expression and protein sequencing assay (REAPseq) are enabling the simultaneous resolution of transcriptomic and proteomic data at an individual cell level (47, 48).

The challenge now is to recast previously published findings into this new framework of individual subpopulations, and to integrate isolated cell transcriptomic and proteomic data with multiparameter platforms providing spatial proteomic and transcriptomic data alongside established techniques such as laser capture microdissection.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

HC, JT, TM, DS-T and AF all contributed to the design of the review. All authors wrote, revised, and edited the final submission.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Research into Inflammatory Arthritis Centre Versus Arthritis (RACE) (Grant No. 20298) and the Medical Research Council (MRC) (Grant No. MR/N013913/1). It includes a summary of independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)'s Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre programme. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

bone marrow, and skin fibroblasts: site-specific versus activation-dependent survival of T cells and neutrophils. *Arthrit Rheum.* (2006) 54:2096-108. doi: 10.1002/art.21930

- Burger JA, Zvaifler NJ, Tsukada N, Firestein GS, Kipps TJ. Fibroblast-like synoviocytes support B-cell pseudoemperipolesis via a stromal cell-derived factor-1- and CD106. (VCAM-1)-dependent mechanism. *J Clin Invest.* (2001) 107:305–15. doi: 10.1172/JCI11092
- 7. Bombardieri M, Kam NW, Brentano F, Choi K, Filer A, Kyburz D, et al. A BAFF/APRIL-dependent TLR3-stimulated pathway enhances the capacity of rheumatoid synovial fibroblasts to induce AID expression and Ig class-switching in B cells. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2011) 70:1857–65. doi: 10.1136/ard.2011. 150219

- Benito-Miguel M, García-Carmona Y, Balsa A, Bautista-Caro M-B, Arroyo-Villa I, Cobo-Ibáñez T, et al. IL-15 Expression on RA synovial fibroblasts promotes B cell survival. *PLoS ONE*. (2012) 7:e40620. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0040620
- Bottini N, Firestein GS. Duality of fibroblast-like synoviocytes in RA: passive responders and imprinted aggressors. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* (2013) 9:24– 33. doi: 10.1038/nrrheum.2012.190
- Croft AP, Naylor AJ, Marshall JL, Hardie DL, Zimmermann B, Turner J, et al. Rheumatoid synovial fibroblasts differentiate into distinct subsets in the presence of cytokines and cartilage. *Arthrit Res Ther.* (2016) 18:270. doi: 10.1186/s13075-016-1156-1
- Filer A, Ward LSC, Kemble S, Davies CS, Munir H, Rogers R, et al. Identification of a transitional fibroblast function in very early rheumatoid arthritis. *Annals Rheumat Dis.* (2017) 76:2105–12. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-211286
- Lafyatis R, Remmers EF, Roberts AB, Yocum DE, Sporn MB, Wilder RL. Anchorage-independent growth of synoviocytes from arthritic and normal joints. Stimulation by exogenous platelet-derived growth factor and inhibition by transforming growth factor-beta and retinoids. *J Clin Invest.* (1989) 83:1267–76. doi: 10.1172/jci114011.
- Lee S-Y, Kwok S-K, Son H-J, Ryu J-G, Kim E-K, Oh H-J, et al. IL-17mediated Bcl-2 expression regulates survival of fibroblast-like synoviocytes in rheumatoid arthritis through STAT3 activation. *Arthrit Res Ther.* (2013) 15:R31. doi: 10.1186/ar4179.
- Mihara M, Moriya Y, Kishimoto T, Ohsugi Y. Interleukin-6. (IL-6) induces the proliferation of synovial fibroblastic cells in the presence of soluble IL-6 receptor. Br J Rheumatol. (1995) 34:321–5. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/34.4.321
- Mohr W, Beneke G, Mohing W. Proliferation of synovial lining cells and fibroblasts. Ann Rheum Dis. (1975) 34:219–24. doi: 10.1136/ard.3 4.3.219
- Nishimoto N, Ito A, Ono M, Tagoh H, Matsumoto T, Tomita T, et al. IL-6 inhibits the proliferation of fibroblastic synovial cells from rheumatoid arthritis patients in the presence of soluble IL-6 receptor. *Int Immunol.* (2000) 12:187–93. doi: 10.1093/intimm/12.2.187
- Zvaifler NJ, Tsai V, Alsalameh S, von Kempis J, Firestein GS, Lotz M. Pannocytes: distinctive cells found in rheumatoid arthritis articular cartilage erosions. *Am J Pathol.* (1997) 150:1125–38.
- Blom AB, Radstake TR, Holthuysen AE, Sloetjes AW, Pesman GJ, Sweep FG, et al. Increased expression of Fcgamma receptors II and III on macrophages of rheumatoid arthritis patients results in higher production of tumor necrosis factor alpha and matrix metalloproteinase. *Arthrit Rheum.* (2003) 48:1002– 14. doi: 10.1002/art.10871
- Lee A, Qiao Y, Grigoriev G, Chen J, Park-Min KH, Park SH, et al. Tumor necrosis factor α induces sustained signaling and a prolonged and unremitting inflammatory response in rheumatoid arthritis synovial fibroblasts. *Arthrit Rheum.* (2013) 65:928–38. doi: 10.1002/art.37853
- Nguyen HN, Noss EH, Mizoguchi F, Huppertz C, Wei KS, Watts GFM, et al. Autocrine loop involving IL-6 family member LIF, LIF receptor, and STAT4 drives sustained fibroblast production of inflammatory mediators. *Immunity*. (2017) 46:220–32. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2017. 01.004
- 21. Haubeck H-D, Kock R, Fischer D-C, Leur EVD, Hoffmeister K, Greiling H. Transforming growth factor β 1, a major stimulator of hyaluronan synthesis in human synovial lining cells. *Arthrit Rheumat.* (1995) 38:669–77. doi: 10.1002/art.1780380515
- 22. Manferdini C, Paolella F, Gabusi E, Silvestri Y, Gambari L, Cattini L, et al. From osteoarthritic synovium to synovial-derived cells characterization: synovial macrophages are key effector cells. *Arthrit Res Ther.* (2016) 18:83. doi: 10.1186/s13075-016-0983-4
- Donlin LT, Rao DA, Wei K, Slowikowski K, McGeachy MJ, Turner JD, et al. Methods for high-dimensional analysis of cells dissociated from cyropreserved synovial tissue. *Arthrit Res Ther.* (2018) 20:139. doi: 10.1186/s13075-018-1631-y
- Cheung VG, Morley M, Aguilar F, Massimi A, Kucherlapati R, Childs G. Making and reading microarrays. *Nat Genet*. (1999) 21:15. doi: 10.1038/4439.
- Southern E, Mir K, Shchepinov M. Molecular interactions on microarrays. Nat Genet. (1999) 21:5. doi: 10.1038/4429.

- Lindberg J, af Klint E, Ulfgren A-K, Stark A, Andersson T, Nilsson P, et al. Variability in synovial inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis investigated by microarray technology. *Arthrit Res Ther*. (2006) 8:R47. doi: 10.1186/ar1903.
- Chang HY, Chi J-T, Dudoit S, Bondre C, Rijn Mvd, Botstein D, et al. Diversity, topographic differentiation, and positional memory in human fibroblasts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2002) 99:12877–82. doi: 10.1073/pnas.162488599
- Rinn JL, Bondre C, Gladstone HB, Brown PO, Chang HY. Anatomic demarcation by positional variation in fibroblast gene expression programs. *PLoS Genet.* (2006) 2:e119. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0020119
- Pazin DE, Gamer LW, Cox KA, Rosen V. Molecular profiling of synovial joints: use of microarray analysis to identify factors that direct the development of the knee and elbow. *Dev Dyn.* (2012) 241:1816– 26. doi: 10.1002/dvdy.23861
- 30. Yoshida S, Arakawa F, Higuchi F, Ishibashi Y, Goto M, Sugita Y, et al. Gene expression analysis of rheumatoid arthritis synovial lining regions by cDNA microarray combined with laser microdissection: up-regulation of inflammation-associated STAT1, IRF1, CXCL9, CXCL10, and CCL5. Scand J Rheumatol. (2012) 41:170–9. doi: 10.3109/03009742.2011.623137
- 31. van der Pouw Kraan TCTM, van Gaalen FA, Huizinga TWJ, Pieterman E, Breedveld FC, Verweij CL. Discovery of distinctive gene expression profiles in rheumatoid synovium using cDNA microarray technology: evidence for the existence of multiple pathways of tissue destruction and repair. *Genes And Immunity*. (2003) 4:187. doi: 10.1038/sj.gene.6363975
- 32. Kasperkovitz PV, Timmer TC, Smeets TJ, Verbeet NL, Tak PP, van Baarsen LG, et al. Fibroblast-like synoviocytes derived from patients with rheumatoid arthritis show the imprint of synovial tissue heterogeneity: evidence of a link between an increased myofibroblast-like phenotype and high-inflammation synovitis. *Arthrit Rheum*. (2005) 52:430–41. doi: 10.1002/art.20811
- 33. Smolen JS, Landewé R, Bijlsma J, Burmester G, Chatzidionysiou K, Dougados M, et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: 2016 update. *Annals Rheumat Dis.* (2017) 76:960– 77. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-210715
- 34. Lindberg J, Wijbrandts CA, van Baarsen LG, Nader G, Klareskog L, Catrina A, et al. The gene expression profile in the synovium as a predictor of the clinical response to infliximab treatment in rheumatoid arthritis. *PLoS ONE*. (2010) 5:e11310. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0011310
- Dennis G Jr, Holweg CT, Kummerfeld SK, Choy DF, Setiadi AF, Hackney JA, et al. Synovial phenotypes in rheumatoid arthritis correlate with response to biologic therapeutics. *Arthrit Res Ther.* (2014) 16:R90. doi: 10.1186/ar4555
- Hrdlickova R, Toloue M, Tian B. RNA-Seq methods for transcriptome analysis. Wiley Int Rev. (2017) 8:e1364-n/a. doi: 10.1002/wrna.1364
- 37. Orange DE, Agius P, DiCarlo EF, Robine N, Geiger H, Szymonifka J, et al. Identification of three rheumatoid arthritis disease subtypes by machine learning integration of synovial histologic features and RNA sequencing data. *Arthrit Rheumatol.* (2018) 70:690–701. doi: 10.1002/art.40428
- Humby F, Lewis M, Ramamoorthi N, Hackney JA, Barnes MR, Bombardieri M, et al. Synovial cellular and molecular signatures stratify clinical response to csDMARD therapy and predict radiographic progression in early rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2019) 78:761–72. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-214539
- Lewis MJ, Barnes MR, Blighe K, Goldmann K, Rana S, Hackney JA, et al. Molecular portraits of early rheumatoid arthritis identify clinical and treatment response phenotypes. *Cell Rep.* (2019) 28:2455–70.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.07.091
- Mizoguchi F, Slowikowski K, Wei K, Marshall JL, Rao DA, Chang SK, et al. Functionally distinct disease-associated fibroblast subsets in rheumatoid arthritis. *Nat Commun.* (2018) 9:789. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-02892-y
- Zhang F, Wei K, Slowikowski K, Fonseka CY, Rao DA, Kelly S, et al. Defining inflammatory cell states in rheumatoid arthritis joint synovial tissues by integrating single-cell transcriptomics and mass cytometry. *Nat Immunol.* (2019) 20:928–42. doi: 10.1038/s41590-019-0378-1
- Croft AP, Campos J, Jansen K, Turner JD, Marshall J, Attar M, et al. Distinct fibroblast subsets drive inflammation and damage in arthritis. *Nature*. (2019) 570:246–51. doi: 10.1101/374330
- Bauer S, Jendro M, Wadle A, Kleber S, Stenner F, Dinser R, et al. Fibroblast activation protein is expressed by rheumatoid myofibroblast-like synoviocytes. *Arthrit Res Ther*. (2006) 8:R171. doi: 10.1186/ar2080

- Waldele S, Koers-Wunrau C, Beckmann D, Korb-Pap A, Wehmeyer C, Pap T, et al. Deficiency of fibroblast activation protein alpha ameliorates cartilage destruction in inflammatory destructive arthritis. *Arthrit Res Ther.* (2015) 17:12. doi: 10.1186/s13075-015-0524-6
- 45. Choi IY, Karpus ON, Turner JD, Hardie D, Marshall JL, de Hair MJH, et al. Stromal cell markers are differentially expressed in the synovial tissue of patients with early arthritis. *PLoS ONE*. (2017) 12:e0182751. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0182751
- 46. Rao DA, Gurish MF, Marshall JL, Slowikowski K, Fonseka CY, Liu Y, et al. Pathologically expanded peripheral T helper cell subset drives B cells in rheumatoid arthritis. *Nature*. (2017) 542:110–4. doi: 10.1038/nature 20810
- Stoeckius M, Hafemeister C, Stephenson W, Houck-Loomis B, Chattopadhyay PK, Swerdlow H, et al. Simultaneous epitope and transcriptome measurement in single cells. *Nat Methods*. (2017) 14:865. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4380

 Peterson VM, Zhang KX, Kumar N, Wong J, Li L, Wilson DC, et al. Multiplexed quantification of proteins and transcripts in single cells. *Nat Biotechnol.* (2017) 35:936. doi: 10.1038/nbt.3973

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Carr, Turner, Major, Scheel-Toellner and Filer. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.