

# Rapid ocean acidification and protracted Earth system recovery followed the end-Cretaceous Chicxulub impact

Henehan, Michael J.; Ridgwell, Andy; Thomas, Ellen; Zhang, Shuang; Alegret, Laia; Schmidt, Daniela N.; Rae, James W. B.; Witts, James D.; Landman, Neil H.; Greene, Sarah; Huber, Brian T.; Super, James; Planavsky, Noah J.; Hull, Pincelli M.

DOI:

[10.1073/pnas.1905989116](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1905989116)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

## Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

## Citation for published version (Harvard):

Henehan, MJ, Ridgwell, A, Thomas, E, Zhang, S, Alegret, L, Schmidt, DN, Rae, JWB, Witts, JD, Landman, NH, Greene, S, Huber, BT, Super, J, Planavsky, NJ & Hull, PM 2019, 'Rapid ocean acidification and protracted Earth system recovery followed the end-Cretaceous Chicxulub impact', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 116, no. 45, pp. 22500-22504.  
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1905989116>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

## General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

## Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



# Rapid ocean acidification and protracted Earth system recovery followed the end-Cretaceous Chicxulub impact

Michael J. Henehan<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Andy Ridgwell<sup>c,d</sup>, Ellen Thomas<sup>a,e</sup>, Shuang Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Laia Alegret<sup>f</sup>, Daniela N. Schmidt<sup>g</sup>, James W. B. Rae<sup>h</sup>, James D. Witts<sup>i,j</sup>, Neil H. Landman<sup>i</sup>, Sarah E. Greene<sup>k</sup>, Brian T. Huber<sup>l</sup>, James R. Super<sup>a</sup>, Noah J. Planavsky<sup>a</sup>, and Pincelli M. Hull<sup>a,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Geology & Geophysics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520; <sup>b</sup>Section 3.3, Deutsches GeoForschungsZentrum GFZ, 14473 Potsdam, Germany; <sup>c</sup>School of Geographical Sciences, Bristol University, Bristol BS8 1SS, United Kingdom; <sup>d</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521; <sup>e</sup>Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Wesleyan University, Middletown, CT 06459; <sup>f</sup>Instituto Universitario de Investigación en Ciencias Ambientales de Aragón, Departamento de Ciencias de la Tierra, Universidad de Zaragoza, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain; <sup>g</sup>School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1RJ, United Kingdom; <sup>h</sup>School of Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of St. Andrews, St. Andrews KY16 9AL, United Kingdom; <sup>i</sup>Division of Paleontology, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY 10024; <sup>j</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131; <sup>k</sup>School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom; and <sup>l</sup>Department of Paleobiology, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC 20560

Edited by Edward A. Boyle, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, and approved September 11, 2019 (received for review April 8, 2019)

**Mass extinction at the Cretaceous–Paleogene (K–Pg) boundary coincides with the Chicxulub bolide impact and also falls within the broader time frame of Deccan trap emplacement. Critically, though, empirical evidence as to how either of these factors could have driven observed extinction patterns and carbon cycle perturbations is still lacking. Here, using boron isotopes in foraminifera, we document a geologically rapid surface-ocean pH drop following the Chicxulub impact, supporting impact-induced ocean acidification as a mechanism for ecological collapse in the marine realm. Subsequently, surface water pH rebounded sharply with the extinction of marine calcifiers and the associated imbalance in the global carbon cycle. Our reconstructed water-column pH gradients, combined with Earth system modeling, indicate that a partial ~50% reduction in global marine primary productivity is sufficient to explain observed marine carbon isotope patterns at the K–Pg, due to the underlying action of the solubility pump. While primary productivity recovered within a few tens of thousands of years, inefficiency in carbon export to the deep sea lasted much longer. This phased recovery scenario reconciles competing hypotheses previously put forward to explain the K–Pg carbon isotope records, and explains both spatially variable patterns of change in marine productivity across the event and a lack of extinction at the deep sea floor. In sum, we provide insights into the drivers of the last mass extinction, the recovery of marine carbon cycling in a postextinction world, and the way in which marine life imprints its isotopic signal onto the geological record.**

Here we apply the boron isotope-pH proxy to planktic and benthic foraminifera to examine whether ocean acidification occurred at the K–Pg boundary and to test competing hypotheses that have been proposed to explain changes in the marine carbon cycle in the aftermath of the mass extinction. We analyzed material from multiple sites where sufficient well-preserved foraminifera from the mixed layer of the surface ocean could be obtained, with >7,000 small, thin-walled postextinction foraminifera required per analysis in some cases. By combining measurements from continental shelf sites in communication with the open ocean [Geulhemmerberg Cave, The Netherlands (6), Owl Creek, Mississippi (7), and Brazos River, Texas (8)] and deep-sea drilling sites (Deep-Sea Drilling Program Site 465 and Ocean Drilling Program [ODP] Site 1209 in the Pacific and ODP Site 1049 in the Atlantic), we construct a composite global record spanning ~800 ky (*SI Appendix*). Importantly, one shallow marine sample site studied

Cretaceous/Paleogene boundary | ocean acidification | boron isotopes | mass extinction | GENIE model

## Significance

Debate lingers over what caused the last mass extinction 66 million years ago, with intense volcanism and extraterrestrial impact the most widely supported hypotheses. However, without empirical evidence for either's exact environmental effects, it is difficult to discern which was most important in driving extinction. It is also unclear why recovery of biodiversity and carbon cycling in the oceans was so slow after an apparently sudden extinction event. In this paper, we show (using boron isotopes and Earth system modeling) that the impact caused rapid ocean acidification, and that the resulting ecological collapse in the oceans had long-lasting effects for global carbon cycling and climate. Our data suggest that impact, not volcanism, was key in driving end-Cretaceous mass extinction.

There is abundant evidence for a massive bolide impact at the Cretaceous–Paleogene (K–Pg) boundary (66.04 Ma) that coincides in those sections with sufficient temporal resolution with a mass extinction horizon (e.g., ref. 1). Geological records document many effects from impact, including massive tsunamis, earthquake-driven gravity flows, and molten ejecta fallout (e.g., ref. 1). However, the mechanism (or mechanisms) by which impact drove global-scale ecosystem turnover and mass extinction is less certain. Among the most prominent hypotheses are global darkness and associated primary productivity loss leading to food chain collapse, acid rain, impact winter, and flash ocean acidification (2). Some of these mechanisms are supported by modeling work (e.g., ref. 3) but, critically, they generally lack empirical validation. Furthermore, the issue is complicated by the possibility of contributing effects from ongoing or intensified Deccan flood basalt volcanism (4, 5) and the short timescales of at least some of the effects of impact compared to the resolution of the geological record.

Author contributions: M.J.H., A.R., E.T., D.N.S., and P.M.H. designed research; M.J.H., A.R., S.Z., L.A., J.W.B.R., and J.R.S. performed research; M.J.H. analyzed data; M.J.H. and S.Z. picked forams; J.D.W. and N.H.L. contributed samples and stratigraphic context; S.E.G. honed the model; B.T.H. trained taxonomy; N.J.P. and P.M.H. hosted the laboratory work; and M.J.H., N.J.P., and P.M.H. wrote the paper with contributions from all authors.

The authors declare no competing interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

This open access article is distributed under [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 \(CC BY-NC-ND\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: michael.henehan@gfz-potsdam.edu or pincelli.hull@yale.edu.


This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1905989116/-/DCSupplemental](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1905989116/-/DCSupplemental).

First published October 21, 2019.

here (Geulhemmerberg Cave) is thought to preserve sediments from the first  $10^2$  to  $10^3$  y after bolide impact (6), allowing us to pinpoint a short-lived signal of impact-induced ocean acidification that cannot be temporally resolved in deep marine records. Samples from ODP Site 1209 allow us to investigate longer-term changes in the ocean's biological carbon pump as expressed in vertical pH gradients, as this site is one of few deep marine K-Pg boundary sites that preserves sufficient numbers of well-preserved epifaunal benthic and surface-dwelling planktic foraminifera for boron isotope analysis. The broad range of in situ temperatures, hydrostatic pressures, and environmental conditions represented in our sample set allows us to constrain bulk seawater  $\delta^{11}\text{B}$  ( $\delta^{11}\text{B}_{\text{sw}}$ ; see *SI Appendix*), one of the main uncertainties in calculating pH from deep-time  $\delta^{11}\text{B}$  measurements. Assuming feasible limits on the calcium carbonate saturation state ( $\Omega_{\text{CaCO}_3}$ ) and oxygen utilization at each site (see *SI Appendix, section 5C*), we constrain K-Pg  $\delta^{11}\text{B}_{\text{sw}}$  to within 39.05 to 39.85‰.

Our data suggest ocean pH was stable over the last 100 ky of the Cretaceous, despite suggestions of a major pulse of Deccan volcanism within this time interval (5). However, our data from the Geulhemmerberg Cave boundary clay indicate a marked  $\sim 0.25$  pH unit surface ocean acidification event within a thousand years (6) of the bolide impact in the Geulhemmerberg Cave boundary clay (Fig. 1C). These data provide geochemical evidence of rapid acidification in the immediate aftermath of the Chicxulub impact.

Combined with model-derived estimates of alkalinity (*SI Appendix*), this change in pH corresponds to a rise in atmospheric partial pressure of  $\text{CO}_2$  ( $p\text{CO}_2$ ) from  $\sim 900$  ppm in the latest Maastrichtian to  $\sim 1,600$  ppm in the immediate aftermath of bolide impact (Fig. 1D). This measured pH drop may be a conservative estimate of the full magnitude of impact-induced acidification, as the earliest Ir-rich ejecta layer at Geulhemmerberg is thought to have been eroded within  $\sim 100$  y of the impact (6). A geologically rapid 0.2 to 0.3 pH unit change would have disadvantaged calcifying plankton vs. noncalcifiers (9) and could therefore explain the selective extinction of calcifying pelagic organisms during the K-Pg mass extinction (10–13) compared to noncalcareous groups such as dinoflagellates (e.g., ref. 14) and radiolarians (e.g., ref. 15). Similarly, since coccolithophores and planktic foraminifera from coastal areas may be better adapted to fluctuations in pH (16), and smaller foraminifera may better maintain calcification under low pH (17), acidification could also help to explain on-shelf/off-shelf and size-selective patterns of extinction among calcifiers (18, 19). The observed magnitude of acidification is compatible with the rainout of  $\text{SO}_2$  and  $\text{NO}_x$  released by the impactor (10, 20, 21), depending on the timing of sediment deposition at Geulhemmerberg. The effect of rainout products was transient (e.g., ref. 21), and if Geulhemmerberg clays were deposited on timescales greater than a few hundred years, a contribution to acidification from  $\text{CO}_2$  release would have been required. This additional  $\text{CO}_2$



**Fig. 1.** Records of surface ocean foraminiferal  $\delta^{11}\text{B}$  (B), calculated pH (C), and  $p\text{CO}_2$  (D) across the K-Pg boundary, with high-resolution foraminiferal diversity counts from ref. 39 at the K-Pg Global Boundary Stratotype Section and Point (El Kef) plotted for context (A). pH is calculated assuming our best estimate of K-Pg  $\delta^{11}\text{B}_{\text{sw}}$   $39.45 \pm 0.4\text{‰}$ .  $p\text{CO}_2$  is calculated from pH along with total alkalinity estimates at each site from a GENIE late Maastrichtian simulation, adjusted for dynamic changes in alkalinity across the K-Pg using LOSCAR simulations from ref. 22 that match observed patterns of carbonate burial. Gray shaded areas are 1-sigma uncertainties, with thin lines representing 1,000 Monte Carlo simulations from the 10,000 that were run. For clarity, we only plot those samples that represent the surface mixed layer, which should be approximately in equilibrium with the atmosphere. Additional data from deep-sea benthic and thermocline-dwelling planktic foraminifera that do not reflect atmospheric  $p\text{CO}_2$  can be seen in Fig. 2 and in *Dataset S1*. For details of the age models used, the estimation of  $\delta^{11}\text{B}_{\text{sw}}$ , carbonate system calculations, and uncertainty propagation see *SI Appendix*.


could be sourced from carbonate target rocks (ref. 1 and references therein), the biosphere [through wildfires and decay (ref. 1 and references therein)], a transient weakening of the biological carbon pump (ref. 22 and references therein and *SI Appendix*), and/or a contribution from a pulse of volcanism (5).

Loss of plankton functional groups with the K-Pg impact has profound implications for marine carbon cycling (22), manifest in one of the earliest and most striking geochemical observations of the K-Pg boundary in open ocean sediments: a convergence in the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of surface- and deep-dwelling calcifiers (e.g., ref. 23). Paired measurements of  $\delta^{11}\text{B}$  (and hence pH) from surface and deep water after the K-Pg boundary allow us to address a long-running, unresolved debate about the cause of this convergence, and the long-term effect of the K-Pg mass extinction on marine carbon cycling. Surface ocean  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  is typically maintained at heavy values relative to the deep sea through the biological pump, which exports isotopically light organic carbon from the surface ocean to depth. The sharp drop in surface ocean  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  at the K-Pg was therefore initially interpreted as a near-total loss of marine new primary productivity after impact, in the “Strangelove Ocean” hypothesis (24). Later, the “Living Ocean” hypothesis (25) posited that such a reduction of vertical  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  gradients arose instead from a decrease in the efficiency of the biological pump, that is, continued productivity but reduced sinking efficiency leading to more remineralization of organic carbon in the upper water column. Evidence for export to the deep ocean persisting in at least some regions led to the “Heterogeneous Ocean” hypothesis (11, 26), while it has also been argued that part of the reduction in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  gradients stems from the fact that carbon isotope vital effects differ in postextinction carbonate producers compared to the pre-extinction ones (11, 27). These latter scenarios appear more compatible with low levels of benthic foraminiferal extinction at the K-Pg (11, 28) than a near-total loss of primary or export production. Another alternative hypothesis not linked to any marine biogeochemical response is that extremely high atmospheric  $p\text{CO}_2$  (thousands of parts per million) could have driven convergence in deep- and surface-ocean  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  inorganically (29). Such a


scenario might arise if outgassing from the Deccan Traps was accelerated following the impact (4).

Our records show that initial surface acidification was followed by a rapid rebound and overshoot in surface ocean pH ( $\Delta\text{pH} \approx 0.5$ ) within 40 ky of the K-Pg boundary, returning to preevent values after an additional  $\sim 80$  ky (Fig. 1A). This is consistent with model predictions of ocean pH rise due to the extinction of calcifying organisms and a consequent transient reduction in the marine alkalinity sink (22, 30). Such a period of imbalance in marine alkalinity fluxes in the earliest Danian is supported by observations of improved deep-sea carbonate preservation (22), the occurrence of heavily calcified deep-sea benthic foraminifera (31), and the deposition of abiogenic calcite crystals in the oceans (32). The absence of any prolonged ( $>50$  ky) surface ocean acidification after the K-Pg suggests, therefore, that if there were a state shift toward significantly more active Deccan  $\text{CO}_2$  degassing in response to impact (4), its effects were overwhelmed by the biogeochemical effect of extinction. Other independent  $p\text{CO}_2$  proxy records (e.g., ref. 33) likewise do not support a substantial rise in  $\text{CO}_2$ , and there is no evidence for deep-sea warming at this time (34). Thus, we discount high  $p\text{CO}_2$  as the driver of reduced vertical  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  gradients (29) and infer that the convergence in surface and deep-ocean  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  arose from a change in oceanic biogeochemical cycling, combined to some extent with changes in carbonate vital effects.

To explore how changes in the carbon cycle would manifest in vertical pH and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  gradients, we used GENIE (Grid ENabled Integrated Earth System Model) (35), with a late Maastrichtian bathymetry and continental configuration (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S17 and *SI Appendix*). We simulate the response of marine  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and pH given either reduced or zero primary productivity (a “Strangelove Ocean”-type scenario) or less efficient biological pump (i.e., enhanced remineralization in the upper water column, “Living Ocean” scenario; full details are given in *SI Appendix*). For each simulation, we show depth transects for  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and pH at Shatsky Rise (ODP Site 1209) (Fig. 2B and C). Pacific basin  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  zonal means for 3 illustrative states of biological pumping are shown in



**Fig. 2.** Paired benthic-planktic foraminiferal  $\delta^{11}\text{B}$  measurements from 4 time slices following the K-Pg boundary at ODP Site 1209 (Shatsky Rise) are used to calculate the difference in surface and deep ocean pH ( $\Delta\text{pH}$ ; red diamonds, A). These data show a trend from “normal” patterns of higher  $\delta^{11}\text{B}$ /pH in the surface ocean relative to the deep until 65.92 Ma, where this gradient disappears (see also *SI Appendix*, Fig. S12). Shown for context is the convergence in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  from surface waters (bulk  $\text{CaCO}_3$ , in green) and deep ocean waters (benthic foraminifera, navy) (A). Water column  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and pH profiles in the cGENIE model the location of Shatsky Rise (see also Fig. 3) are shown in B and C, respectively. A weakened or reversed  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  gradient can arise in a number of ways (B), but only a shallow remineralization (or “Living Ocean,” red dot-dashed line) model can produce a flat gradient in pH between the surface 80 m and 2,500-m water depth (C). Carbonate data from ODP 1209 include new data and benthic data from ref. 34. See *SI Appendix* for more details.



**Fig. 3.** Pacific zonal means of the simulated depth distribution of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$  in the Maastrichtian ocean for (A) the default (full-strength) biological pump, (B) global export production reduced to 50% of the default, and (C) no biological pump. The approximate paleo-latitude of ODP Site 1209 (Shatsky Rise) is marked by the vertical dashed white line. The action of the solubility pump, which absorbs atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  at high latitudes due to increased solubility of surface waters with respect to  $\text{CO}_2$  as they cool, imparts an inverse vertical  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$  gradient at low latitudes in the absence of biological productivity (C; see the main text for explanation).

**Fig. 3.** We use the observed patterns of boron and carbon isotope change to distinguish between the competing hypotheses for postextinction biogeochemical change. The fingerprint of the “Strangelove” scenario with a complete loss of productivity is a reversed vertical  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  gradient with surface ocean  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values  $>1\text{‰}$  lighter than benthic (Fig. 2B) and a muted but positive surface-deep pH gradient (Fig. 2C). The “Living Ocean” scenario with shallower organic matter remineralization leaves a muted but positive surface-deep  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  gradient, but with a flattened pH gradient. Only with a 50% reduction in global new primary productivity can our model produce a flattened vertical  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  gradient together with a positive pH gradient.

The “Strangelove” scenario produces a pronounced  $>1\text{‰}$  reversed vertical  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  gradient (Fig. 2B) rather than the observed approximately flat gradient. This phenomenon arises because of the solubility pump, that is, the increased solubility of  $\text{CO}_2$  (and hence  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake) in cooling surface waters as they reach high latitudes, where they ultimately sink to form deep water. Because the fractionation between dissolved  $\text{CO}_{2(\text{aq})}$  (and thus atmospheric  $p\text{CO}_2$ ) and aqueous bicarbonate (and thus dissolved inorganic carbon, DIC) increases by about  $0.1\text{‰}$  per  $^\circ\text{C}$  cooling, the surface

$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$  at colder, higher latitudes is driven higher with respect to the atmosphere compared to the warmer, low-latitude ocean surface (Fig. 3C). Although this effect of the solubility pump is always there, typically the action of the biological pump overprints the pattern of the solubility pump to give the observed “normal” sign of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ , with most positive values at the surface (Fig. 3A and SI Appendix). Somewhere between a “Strangelove”-style shutdown and a full-strength biological pump, there must exist a weaker state of the biological pump that would impart a  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  gradient opposite in sign and equal in magnitude to the solubility pump, canceling out the difference in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  between surface and deep ocean. We find this occurs with a 50% reduction in global export production for all but the highest-latitude Northern Pacific, where downwelling waters overlay an older (and lower  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ) lens of southern-sourced water (Figs. 2B and 3B). This partially maintained productivity is consistent with the survival of benthic foraminifera at the K-Pg (11, 28).

The combination of our cGENIE simulations and the observed sequence of changes in vertical pH and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  gradients at Shatsky Rise (Fig. 2A) allow us to propose a hypothesis for the behavior of the marine carbon cycle after the K-Pg impact. During the first tens of thousands of years following impact, reduced vertical  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  gradients without an associated collapse in pH gradients (Fig. 2A) are consistent with a substantial reduction in new primary productivity globally following mass extinction (Fig. 2B and C and SI Appendix). The magnitude of productivity loss required depends on changes in carbon isotope vital effects in pelagic  $\text{CaCO}_3$  producers, which likely account for some (but not all) of the observed collapse in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  gradients (11, 27, 36). Reduced global export productivity can, however, align with published observations of a “Heterogeneous Ocean” with stable or increased productivity at certain sites [e.g., Shatsky Rise (11, 26)]. A global reduction in new primary productivity may have led to a buildup of nutrients in the surface ocean, and thus some locations (e.g., highly oligotrophic gyres) may have supported increased new/export primary productivity in the Danian relative to their preimpact state, even while export productivity globally was reduced (SI Appendix, Figs. S23 and S24).

After this period of globally reduced, but not collapsed, export productivity, we see a convergence in shallow and deep-ocean pH in our final time-slice  $\sim 120$  ky post-K-Pg (Fig. 2A). In our model scenarios, only by remineralizing organic carbon shallower in the water column can one produce similar pH values in both the deep sea and the subsurface (Fig. 2C). Shallow water remineralization alone (i.e., a “Living Ocean” scenario) does not fully collapse the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  gradient in our model, but combined with known carbon-isotope vital effects that drive surface-derived carbonates lighter than ambient  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$  (27, 36, 37) the expression of such a scenario in the fossil record could be a full collapse. We therefore suggest that after an initial period (up to 40 ky) of globally partially weakened new primary productivity globally, it progressively began to recover, but without full restoration of pelagic ecosystem structure and function. In such a scenario, persistently ineffective ballasting of marine organic matter or other factors could have then resulted in more organic material being remineralized shallower in the water column [i.e., a “Living Ocean” scenario (25)], thereby reducing  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and pH gradients between the surface and deep sea.

Reduced vertical  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CaCO}_3}$  gradients persisted for over 1 My after the K-Pg impact (e.g., ref. 27), which suggests that the efficiency of organic carbon export to the deep sea, a key pelagic ecosystem function, was reestablished slowly. Our  $\delta^{11}\text{B}$  data do not support prolonged surface ocean acidification, making it difficult to attribute this delayed biogeochemical recovery to acidification from sustained (or enhanced)  $\text{CO}_2$  degassing from the Deccan Traps. Rather, there may be intrinsic constraints on the time required to recover normal marine ecosystem function after such severe global perturbations, despite the short generation times that should make marine plankton ideally suited to rapid evolutionary radiation (38). In this way, the K-Pg event

shows that even geologically rapid ocean acidification events can have prolonged and profound biotic ramifications.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** We thank the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program for supplying samples for this study. We thank Jan Smit and the organizers of the 12th International Conference on Paleoceanography Maastricht Excursion for facilitating sampling at Geulhemmerberg. M.J.H. thanks Donald Penman, David Evans, Simon D'Haensens, and Gavin Foster for helpful discussion; Dan Asael, Leanne Elder, Charlotte Bryan, Volkan Özen, and Myles Henehan for assistance in the laboratory; Jocelyn Sessa for provision of sample material; and Friedhelm von Blanckenburg for comments on an earlier draft. We thank the handling editor and 2 anonymous reviewers for their constructive feedback. M.J.H.

acknowledges funding from the Yale Peabody Museum. A.R. acknowledges support from the European Research Council (ERC) as part of the "PALEOGENIE" project (ERC-2013-CoG-617313). L.A. acknowledges financial support by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness and European Regional Development Funds (project CGL2017-84693-R). D.N.S. acknowledges support from the Royal Society in the form of a Wolfson Merit award. J.W.B.R. was supported by ERC Starting Grant 805246 OldCO2NewArchives. J.D.W. received support from a Lerner-Gray Fellowship at the Richard Gilder Graduate School and the American Museum of Natural History (AMNH). J.D.W. and N.H.L. also gratefully acknowledge support from the Newell Fund (AMNH). S.E.G. was supported by Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) Independent Research Fellowship NE/L011050/1 and NERC large grant NE/P01903X/1 while working on this paper.

1. P. Schulte *et al.*, The Chicxulub asteroid impact and mass extinction at the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary. *Science* **327**, 1214–1218 (2010).
2. D. A. Kring, The Chicxulub impact event and its environmental consequences at the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* **255**, 4–21 (2007).
3. J. Brugger, G. Feulner, S. Petri, Baby, it's cold outside: Climate model simulations of the effects of the asteroid impact at the end of the Cretaceous. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **44**, 419–427 (2017).
4. C. J. Sprain *et al.*, The eruptive tempo of Deccan volcanism in relation to the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary. *Science* **363**, 866–870 (2019).
5. B. Schoene *et al.*, U-Pb constraints on pulsed eruption of the Deccan Traps across the end-Cretaceous mass extinction. *Science* **363**, 862–866 (2019).
6. J. Smit, H. Brinkhuis, The Geulhemmerberg Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary section (Maastrichtian type area, SE Netherlands); summary of results and scenario of events. *Geol. Mijnb.* **75**, 283–293 (1996).
7. E. Larina *et al.*, Upper Maastrichtian ammonite biostratigraphy of the Gulf Coastal Plain (Mississippi Embayment, southern USA). *Cretac. Res.* **60**, 128–151 (2016).
8. A. D. Leighton, M. B. Hart, C. W. Smart, M. J. Leng, M. Hampton, Timing recovery after the Cretaceous-Paleogene Boundary: Evidence from the Brazos River, Texas, USA. *J. Foraminiferal Res.* **47**, 229–238 (2017).
9. S. Dutkiewicz *et al.*, Impact of ocean acidification on the structure of future phytoplankton communities. *Nat. Clim. Change* **5**, 1002–1006 (2015).
10. R. G. Prinn, B. Fegley, Jr, Bolide impacts, acid rain, and biospheric traumas at the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **83**, 1–15 (1987).
11. L. Alegret, E. Thomas, K. C. Lohmann, End-Cretaceous marine mass extinction not caused by productivity collapse. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **109**, 728–732 (2012).
12. S. D'Hondt, M. E. Q. Pilson, H. Sigurdsson, A. K. Hanson, S. Carey, Surface-water acidification and extinction at the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary. *Geology* **22**, 983–986 (1994).
13. M. B. Hart, A. D. Leighton, M. Hampton, C. W. Smart, Global bioevents and the Cretaceous/Paleogene boundary in Texas and Alabama: Stratigraphy, correlation and ocean acidification. *Global Planet. Change* **175**, 129–143 (2019).
14. V. C. Bowman, J. E. Francis, J. B. Riding, S. J. Hunter, A. M. Haywood, A latest Cretaceous to earliest Paleogene dinoflagellate cyst zonation from Antarctica, and implications for phytoprovincialism in the high southern latitudes. *Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol.* **171**, 40–56 (2012).
15. C. J. Hollis, Latest Cretaceous to Late Paleocene radiolarian biostratigraphy: A new zonation from the New Zealand region. *Mar. Micropaleontol.* **21**, 295–327 (1993).
16. Y.-W. Liu, R. A. Eagle, S. M. Aciego, R. E. Gilmore, J. B. Ries, A coastal coccolithophore maintains pH homeostasis and switches carbon sources in response to ocean acidification. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 2857 (2018).
17. M. J. Henehan *et al.*, Size-dependent response of foraminiferal calcification to sea-water carbonate chemistry. *Biogeosciences* **14**, 3287–3308 (2017).
18. P. Bown, Selective calcareous nannoplankton survivorship at the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary. *Geology* **33**, 653–656 (2005).
19. S. D'Hondt, G. Keller, Some patterns of planktic foraminiferal assemblage turnover at the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary. *Mar. Micropaleontol.* **17**, 77–118 (1991).
20. S. Ohno *et al.*, Production of sulphate-rich vapour during the Chicxulub impact and implications for ocean acidification. *Nat. Geosci.* **7**, 279–282 (2014).
21. T. Tyrrell, A. Merico, D. I. Armstrong McKay, Severity of ocean acidification following the end-Cretaceous asteroid impact. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **112**, 6556–6561 (2015).
22. M. J. Henehan, P. M. Hull, D. E. Penman, J. W. B. Rae, D. N. Schmidt, Biogeochemical significance of pelagic ecosystem function: An end-Cretaceous case study. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **371**, 20150510 (2016).
23. K. J. Hsü *et al.*, Mass mortality and its environmental and evolutionary consequences. *Science* **216**, 249–256 (1982).
24. K. J. Hsü, J. A. McKenzie, "A "Strangelove" ocean in the earliest Tertiary" in *The Carbon Cycle and Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>: Natural Variations Archean to Present*, E. T. Sundquist, W. S. Broecker, Eds. (Geophysical Monograph Series, American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC, 1985), vol. 32, pp. 487–492.
25. S. D'Hondt, P. Donaghay, J. C. Zachos, D. Luttengen, M. Lindinger, Organic carbon fluxes and ecological recovery from the cretaceous-tertiary mass extinction. *Science* **282**, 276–279 (1998).
26. P. M. Hull, R. D. Norris, Diverse patterns of ocean export productivity change across the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary: New insights from biogenic barium. *Paleoceanography* **26**, PA3205 (2011).
27. H. S. Birch, H. K. Coxall, P. N. Pearson, D. Kroon, D. N. Schmidt, Partial collapse of the marine biological carbon pump at the cretaceous-paleogene boundary. *Geology* **44**, 287–290 (2016).
28. S. J. Culver, Benthic foraminifera across the Cretaceous-Tertiary (K-T) boundary: A review. *Mar. Micropaleontol.* **47**, 177–226 (2003).
29. E. D. Galbraith, E. Y. Kwon, D. Bianchi, M. P. Hain, J. L. Sarmiento, The impact of atmospheric pCO<sub>2</sub> on carbon isotope ratios of the atmosphere and ocean. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **29**, 307–324 (2015).
30. K. Caldeira, M. R. Rampino, T. Volk, J. C. Zachos, "Biogeochemical modeling at mass extinction boundaries: Atmospheric carbon dioxide and ocean alkalinity at the K/T boundary" in *Extinction Events in Earth History*, E. G. Kauffman, O. H. Walliser, Eds. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990), pp. 333–345.
31. L. Alegret, E. Thomas, Benthic foraminifera across the Cretaceous/Paleogene boundary in the Southern Ocean (ODP Site 690): Diversity, food and carbonate saturation. *Mar. Micropaleontol.* **105**, 40–51 (2013).
32. F. Minoletti, M. de Rafelis, M. Renard, S. Gardin, J. Young, Changes in the pelagic fine fraction carbonate sedimentation during the Cretaceous-Paleocene transition: Contribution of the separation technique to the study of Bidart section. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* **216**, 119–137 (2005).
33. M. Steinthorsdottir, V. Vajda, M. Pole, Global trends of pCO<sub>2</sub> across the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary supported by the first Southern Hemisphere stomatal proxy-based pCO<sub>2</sub> reconstruction. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* **464**, 143–152 (2016).
34. T. Westerhold, U. Röhl, B. Donner, H. K. McCarren, J. C. Zachos, A complete high-resolution Paleocene benthic stable isotope record for the central Pacific (ODP Site 1209). *Paleoceanography* **26**, PA2216 (2011).
35. A. Ridgwell *et al.*, Marine geochemical data assimilation in an efficient Earth System Model of global biogeochemical cycling. *Biogeosciences* **4**, 87–104 (2007).
36. E. Thomas, "Cenozoic mass extinctions in the deep sea: What perturbs the largest habitat on Earth?" in *Large Ecosystem Perturbations: Causes and Consequences*, S. Monechi, R. Coccioni, M. Rampino, Eds. (Geological Society of America, 2007), pp. 1–23.
37. O. Friedrich, K. J. S. Meier, Stable isotopic indication for the cyst formation depth of Campanian/Maastrichtian calcareous dinoflagellates. *Micropaleontology* **49**, 375–380 (2003).
38. P. Hull, Life in the aftermath of mass extinctions. *Curr. Biol.* **25**, R941–R952 (2015).
39. I. Arenillas, J. A. Arz, E. Molina, C. Dupuis, An independent test of planktic foraminiferal turnover across the Cretaceous/Paleogene (K/P) boundary at El Kef, Tunisia: Catastrophic mass extinction and possible survivorship. *Micropaleontology* **46**, 31–49 (2000).