UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

The views of consultant dermatologists on creating centralized skin lesion units

Scrivens, L.j.; Goulding, J.m.r.; Allen, K.j.

DOI:

10.1111/bjd.17427

License

Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Scrivens, LJ, Goulding, JMR & Allen, KJ 2019, 'The views of consultant dermatologists on creating centralized skin lesion units', *British Journal of Dermatology*, vol. 180, no. 6, pp. 1525-1526. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.17427

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

Checked for eligibility: 03/10/2019

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Scrivens, L. , Goulding, J. and Allen, K. (2019), The views of consultant dermatologists on creating centralized skin lesion units. Br J Dermatol, 180: 1525-1526. doi:10.1111/bjd.17427, which has been published in final form at: https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.17427. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- •Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- •Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- •User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 23. Apr. 2024



MISS LYDIA JADE SCRIVENS (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-5938-0912)

DR JONATHAN MARK RUTHVEN GOULDING (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-1310-9972)

Article type : Research Letter

The views of consultant dermatologists on creating centralised skin lesion units

L.J. Scrivens¹, J.M.R. Goulding², K.J. Allen³

- 1. College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom.
- 2. Department of Dermatology, Solihull Hospital, University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, United Kingdom.
- 3. Health Services Management Centre, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom.

Corresponding author: Lydia Scrivens, Medical School, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT. E-mail: LXS449@student.bham.ac.uk

Funding sources: Interview travel expenses for principal investigator, Lydia Scrivens, paid for by University of Birmingham.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

The proportion of United Kingdom (UK) specialist dermatology clinical activity related to skin lesions has been estimated at between 40–50%.¹ With skin cancer incidence rising,² this is likely to increase even further. In 2014, The King's Fund conducted research to investigate the sustainability of dermatology services, with participants identifying the need for service reconfiguration to improve efficiency. One suggestion was to create consultant-led centralised skin lesion units, receiving all referrals within a region.¹ To the best of our knowledge, there has been no published qualitative research on the organisation of dermatology services, and the views of key stakeholders on service reconfiguration remain unexplored. An independent review following the failed takeover of dermatology services in Nottingham by a private provider concluded that staff must be fully involved in service reconfiguration from the outset.³ This study therefore aimed to explore consultants' views on perceived benefits of, and barriers to, implementing a centralised skin lesion unit, alongside potential settings, multi-disciplinary team working and private sector involvement. These are factors of importance identified in research regarding centralisation of other specialties.⁴,5,6 A qualitative

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/bjd.17427

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

research methodology was chosen in order to generate rich data and allow detailed explication of participants' views.

In March 2018, semi-structured, face-to-face interviews were held with individual consultant dermatologists from three National Health Service (NHS) trusts in one UK region. Interviews lasted between 25 and 46 minutes. A topic guide was used during interviews, drawing on the research aims and a programme theory utilised by researchers investigating stroke service centralisation. To ensure a broad range of perspectives, the purposive sample encompassed several clinical settings and infrastructures. This included a gender mix of clinicians, with varying years as a consultant (2–19 years) and specialist interests, covering medical, surgical, genital and paediatric dermatology. Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Birmingham, NHS Health Research Authority and local Research and Development departments. Thematic data analysis began after the first interview following Braun and Clarke's six step process. Data collection stopped after nine interviews as this was when data categories were well developed. Five final themes resulted, illustrated with example codes and quotations in Figure 1.

Theme 1 encompassed views on location. Participants expressed concerns that many departments were already at full capacity, therefore services would need to be centralised to a purpose-built facility. Several identified possible reluctance or inability of staff and/or patients, in particular the elderly, to travel further to a centralised unit. Reasons cited included increased commutes and patients having to travel from afar for diagnosis of a benign lesion. Concerns were raised regarding the impact of centralisation on the provision of in-patient dermatology care.

Theme 2, concerning breadth of services provided, revealed the majority thought it would be possible to centralise only a proportion of skin lesion work. Most deemed a one-stop service to be unfeasible in the current NHS, naming costs and inefficiencies as barriers. Provision of a walk-in service was widely rejected due to fears of unmanageable public demand. Many were cautious about the utility and safety of tele-dermatology in such a setting.

Theme 3 highlighted the importance of employing a broad range of specialists. Participants deemed this crucial to achieve a unified approach to care. Despite this, involvement of general practitioners was contested by many. The need for further dermatological education was identified as a priority to successfully integrate primary care into centralised services. The majority were positive about the role of nurse surgeons.

Theme 4 emphasised the need for clear governance, with a strong management and leadership team overseeing regular audit and evaluation, utilising hard and soft outcomes. Many highlighted effective teamwork and communication as important components of a high-quality care pathway.

However, a lack of local comparisons was identified by some as a limitation to setting up a centralised unit.

Theme 5 incorporated concerns regarding finances and the private sector. Only a minority of participants suggested areas for cost-saving through centralisation. Multiple participants were doubtful about the long-term financial gain, pointing out that a substantial initial investment would be necessary. Although benefits of involving the private sector were highlighted, such as equipment provision, most were sceptical, questioning the morals, regulation and frequent interchange of private sector employees.

Overall, participants expressed the view that presently, barriers to creating a centralised skin lesion unit substantially outweigh possible benefits. Whilst centralisation has the potential to concentrate expertise and save costs in some areas, there were overarching concerns regarding unit location and accessibility. The need for a multi-disciplinary approach and continuous service evaluation was strongly emphasised, yet the role of the private sector was questioned.

This study has produced novel findings and contributes to the limited evidence base surrounding service centralisation. In the context of health services research, qualitative methods are often drawn on to determine which services would be valuable in an area and to inform the future research agenda. Here, a qualitative methodology has successfully established the views of consultant dermatologists in detail. However, there remains a need for further research, exploring the views of other stakeholder groups, in particular nursing staff, patients and commissioners, across the UK. The NHS is constantly evolving, therefore it may be necessary to repeat investigations over time, since some of the current barriers to centralisation in dermatology may not exist in the future.

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to all participants for giving up their valuable time to be interviewed.

References

- The King's Fund, British Association of Dermatologists. How can dermatology services meet current and future patient needs, while ensuring quality of care is not compromised and access is equitable across the UK? Source Report. 2014. Available at: http://www.bad.org.uk/shared/get-file.ashx?id=2347&itemtype=document (last accessed 8 September 2018).
- 2. Smittenaar CR, Petersen KA, Stewart K, Moitt N. Cancer incidence and mortality projections in the UK until 2035. *Br J Cancer* 2016; **115**:1147–1155.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

- 3. Clough C. Rushcliffe CCG. Final Report. Independent Review of Nottingham Dermatology Services. 2015. Available at: https://www.rushcliffeccg.nhs.uk/media/3116/final-report-from-the-independent-review-nottingham-dermatology-service-4-june-2015.pdf (last accessed 21 October 2018).
- 4. Imison C, Sonola L, Honeyman M, Ross S. The King's Fund. The reconfiguration of clinical services. What is the evidence? 2014. Available at: https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/sites/default/files/field/field_publication_summary/Reconfig uration-of-clinical-services-kings-fund-nov-2014.pdf (last accessed 21 October 2018).
- Fulop NJ, Ramsay A, Perry C, et al. Explaining outcomes in major system change: a
 qualitative study of implementing centralised acute stroke services in two large
 metropolitan regions in England. Implement Sci 2016; 11:80. Available at:
 https://implementationscience.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13012-016-0445-z
 (last accessed 8 September 2018).
- Melnychuk M, Vindrola-Padros C, Aitchison M, et al. Centralising specialist cancer surgery services in England: survey of factors that matter to patients and carers and health professionals. BMC Cancer 2018; 18:226. Available at: https://bmccancer.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12885-018-4137-8 (last accessed 8 September 2018).
- 7. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qual Res Psychol 2006; 3:77-101.

Figure Legend

Figure 1. Final themes and illustrative quotations drawn from the qualitative research.

THEME: Demographic and logistical considerations for unit location

- · Space
- · Appeal to staff
- Elderly population

"You would need a large space and infrastructure".

"You're not going to get enthusiasm from people to shift up and move, and to move with colleagues that they perhaps didn't choose to work with".

"You've got to consider your elderly population who either can't or won't travel far".

"I think that would be impossible, especially if it was a patient walk-in service, you'd be inundated".

"Tele-dermatology has a role in dermatology, but I'm not sure it has a role in skin cancer".

"We moved away from a one-stop service because as demand increases, it's a less efficient system because you don't know who's going to need what".

THEME: Limits to breadth of services that could be provided

- Walk-in service
- * Tele-dermatology
- . One-stop service

THEME: Significant benefit of a multidisciplinary approach

- · Role of other specialists
- Primary care
- Redundancy & cross-over

"Having multiple specialties working in the same unit would result in a better outcome for patients".

"Centralisation allows you to bring in different modalities, which can support the patient from a medical, surgical, physiotherapy, orthotics point of view, as well as psychologically".

"It's about educating GPs, making them aware of red flags, giving them the support mechanism".

"The management of different teams under one roof could be a challenge but could be overcome".

"There's the objective and subjective assessments that have to be made. There's no point getting all your numbers correct but actually the patient experiences don't match."

"There needs to be good clinical governance in terms of auditing".

THEME: A need for clear governance and evaluation

- Management & leadership
- · Feedback, audit & evaluation
- Communication

THEME: Financial concerns and minimal scope for involving the private sector

- Resources
- Finances
- . Quality & standards

"Financially, I don't think it's viable".

"The NHS will be left with challenging or mismanaged cases, so it's really difficult to see a role for private practice".

"You wonder whether they would biopsy everyone, rather than relying on clinical skill, to make money".