UNIVERSITYOF BIRMINGHAM

University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Attitudes towards oral health in patients with rheumatoid arthritis

Serban, Stefan; Dietrich, Thomas; Lopez-Oliva, Isabel; De Pablo, Paola; Raza, Karim; Filer, Andrew; Chapple, Iain; Hill, Kirsty

10.1177/2380084419833694

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Serban, S, Dietrich, T, Lopez-Oliva, I, De Pablo, P, Raza, K, Filer, A, Chapple, I & Hill, K 2019, 'Attitudes towards oral health in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a qualitative study nested within a randomized controlled trial', JDR Clinical & Translational Research, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 360-370. https://doi.org/10.1177/2380084419833694

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

Checked for eligibility: 03/10/2019

Serban, S. et al. (2019) 'Attitudes towards Oral Health in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Qualitative Study Nested within a Randomized Controlled Trial', JDR Clinical & Translational Research, 4(4), pp. 360–370. doi: 10.1177/2380084419833694. Copyright ©International & American Associations for Dental Research 2019. Reprinted by permission of SAGE Publications.

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)

•Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 19. Apr. 2024

- 1 Title: Attitudes towards oral health in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. A
- 2 qualitative study nested within a randomized controlled trial

3

- 4 Authors:
- 5 Stefan Serban DMD, MPH, PhD^{1, 2}
- 6 Thomas Dietrich Dr. med., Dr. med. dent., MPH, FDSRCS¹
- 7 Isabel Lopez-Oliva BDS, PhD¹
- 8 Paola de Pablo MD, MPH, PhD^{3, 4}
- 9 Karim Raza BA, BMBCh, FRCP, PhD^{3, 4}
- 10 Andrew Filer BSc, MBChB, FRCP, PhD³
- 11 Iain Chapple PhD, BDS, FDSRCPS, FDSRCS¹
- 12 Kirsty Hill PhD, MSc, PGCE, BA¹

13

14 Affiliations:

- 15 ¹Periodontal Research Group, School of Dentistry, Institute of Clinical Sciences,
- 16 The University of Birmingham, and Birmingham Dental Hospital (Birmingham
- 17 Community Healthcare Trust), 5 Mill Pool Way, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B5 7EG,
- 18 UK
- 19 ²Dental Public Health and Health Services Research Group, School of Dentistry,
- 20 The University of Leeds, Clarendon Way, Leeds, LS2 9LU, UK
- ³Rheumatology Research Group, Institute of Inflammation and Ageing, College of
- 22 Medical & Dental Sciences, The University of Birmingham, UK

23 ⁴Department of Rheumatology, Sandwell and West Birmingham Hospital NHS 24 Trust, Birmingham, UK 25 **Corresponding author:** 26 Dr. Stefan Serban 27 University of Leeds, School of Dentistry, Room 6.144, Worsley Building, Clarendon 28 Way, Leeds, LS2 9LU, United Kingdom 29 s.t.serban@leeds.ac.uk 30 31 **KEY WORDS**: Periodontitis, qualitative research, patient's perspectives, feasibility 32 study, multimorbidities 33 34 **Knowledge transfer statement:** This article provided insights into the experiences 35 and perceptions of rheumatoid arthritis patients about their oral health to improve 36 patient participation in a definitive clinical trial. 37 38 Word count: 6552 39 Total number of tables/figures = 1 table; 2 figures 40 Number of references = 34 41 Figures/tables legend 42 Table 1: Demographics of the patient population (qualitative interviews) 43 Figure 1: Study flow diagram 44 Legend Fig. 1 QEHB = Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham; SWBH = Sandwell 45 and West Birmingham Hospitals; HEFT = Heart of England NHS Foundation Trust

Figure 2: Emerging themes from the interviews

Abstract

INTRODUCTION: Patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) present higher incidence and severity of periodontitis than the general population. Our study, Outcomes of Periodontal Treatment in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis (OPERA) was a randomized waiting-list-controlled trial using mixed methods. Patients randomized to the intervention arm received intensive periodontal treatment and those in the control arm received the same treatment with a six months delay.

AIM: The nested qualitative component aimed to explore patient's experiences and priorities concerning oral health and barriers and facilitators for trial participation.

METHODS: Using purposive sampling until thematic saturation was reached, we conducted 21 one-to-one semi-structured interviews with randomized patients in either of the two treatment arms as well as with patients who did not consent for trial participation.

RESULTS: The patients described their experiences about RA, oral health and study participation. Previous experiences with dental care professionals shaped patients' current perceptions about oral health and the place of oral health on their list of priorities when compared with other conditions. Patients also highlighted some of the barriers and facilitators for study participation and for compliance with oral health maintenance. The patients, in the control arm, presented their views regarding the acceptable length of waiting time for the intervention. CONCLUSION: The associations between periodontal and systemic health are increasingly

recognised by the literature. Our study provided an insight into RA patients' experiences and perceptions about oral health. It also highlighted some of the barriers and facilitators for participating in a periodontal interventional study for this group. We hope that our findings will support the design of larger interventional periodontal studies in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. The complex challenges faced by the burden of RA and the associated multimorbidities in this patient group might highlight opportunities to improve access to oral health services in this patient population.

77 INTRODUCTION

78 Chronic periodontitis is a very common chronic inflammatory condition. It affects 79 nearly half of the UK adult population and over 60% of the elderly (Chapple 2014; 80 White et al. 2012). Several observational studies have reported an association 81 between chronic periodontitis and rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and chronic 82 periodontitis has been suggested as a potential risk factor for RA (de Pablo et al. 83 2009; Konig et al. 2016; Mikuls et al. 2009; Okada et al. 2013; Ribeiro et al. 2005). 84 Given the high prevalence of chronic periodontitis, this association could have 85 significant clinical and public health implications. 86 The first symptoms of RA are noticed usually between the age of 35 and 50 and it 87 affects mostly women. Within 5 years of diagnosis, 40% of patients reduce their 88 working week from full time to part time, with an increase to 50% at 10 years from 89 the first diagnosis (Mathers and Pfleger 2006). Rheumatoid arthritis affects 90 patients' personal and professional relationships transforming their daily routines 91 and quality of life. Often they have to change their working circumstances or retire 92 early, adapt their living conditions, rely on help from external sources (family, 93 friends or social workers) and increase their feeling of vulnerability which is added 94 as a psychological burden to their condition (Lapsley 2002). 95 Besides the direct impact of RA on patients' quality of life, it is important to 96 consider also the indirect impact caused by the comorbidities secondary to RA and 97 the side effects of the long-term use of polypharmacy in this patient group.

98 RA has been frequently associated with other conditions including depression, 99 elevated blood pressure, cardiovascular disease and respiratory conditions. 100 (Dougados et al. 2014). 101 There are several potential mechanisms linking RA and periodontal disease. Some 102 studies have suggested that bacteraemia caused by periodontal pathogens could 103 be an etiological agent for RA progression (Martinez-Martinez et al. 2009). 104 Another widely supported model relates to an aberrant immune response to 105 periodontal pathogens in certain susceptible individuals. One of the main 106 periodontal pathogens is Porphyromonas gingivalis. With the recent recognition of 107 the importance of anti-citrullinated protein antibodies (ACPA) in RA and the 108 discovery that P. gingivalis expresses peptidyl arginine deiminase which is 109 responsible for the post-translational citrullination of peptide antigens on arginine 110 residues (Rosenstein et al. 2004), there is potential evidence to support a plausible 111 pathobiologic mechanism by which periodontitis may cause or sustain the ACPA 112 response in RA. 113 Recent studies have also demonstrated that the uncitrullinated peptides play a 114 major role in the antibody response for periodontitis resulting in a systemic spread 115 of citrullinated epitopes in the presymptomatic phase of RA. Autoantigens modified 116 by citrullination through exposure to periodontal pathogens might sustain synovial 117 inflammation in the context of untreated periodontitis (Lopez-Oliva et al. 2018; 118 Rosenstein et al. 2004). Antibodies for uncitrullinated RA autoantigens precede the 119 ACPA formation and facilitate the loss of tolerance to uncitrullinated peptides (de 120 Pablo et al. 2013).

Treatment of chronic periodontitis involves control of the dental biofilm, typically using non-pharmacological means. Whether or not such treatment can reduce the incidence and severity of RA is unknown. However, a small number of interventional studies have reported encouraging results in terms of reduced RA disease activity following periodontal treatment (Al-Katma et al. 2007; Okada et al. 2011; Ortiz et al. 2009). Our trial, Outcomes of Periodontal Treatment in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis - OPERA, was a randomized waiting list controlled feasibility study. This trial provides feasibility data for a larger, multi-centre randomized controlled trial, which would investigate the efficacy of non-surgical periodontal treatment in reducing disease activity in patients with RA. Our trial focussed on issues of recruitment and retention, acceptability and feasibility of the trial procedures including the intervention, assessments and data collection, using a mixed methods approach. The quantitative component of our trial gathered pilot clinical data about the efficacy of periodontal treatment in patients with RA and subsequently its influence on health-related quality of life. Considering the severe burden that RA can have on the patient's quality of life, both directly and through the comorbidities associated with this condition, it is important to gain a better understanding of patients' priorities with regards to accessing different types of health care services. Additionally, it is important to ensure that the design of any interventional studies would take this into account and patients' trial participation would not create an additional burden on their quality of life. As successful periodontal treatment is heavily dependent on

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

compliance and adherence and the treatment and trial participation both require multiple visits to the secondary care setting where this treatment was being delivered we considered important to explore the barriers and facilitators for study participation in this patient group.

Furthermore, in order to encourage recruitment and retention rates in the trial, it is important to consider that outcomes that are relevant for clinicians and researchers might be less relevant for the patients. This could be especially the case for RA patients with multimorbidities as suggested in the literature (Cohen et al. 2004; Fleischmann et al. 2016). Understanding the health care priorities of this specific patient population and the place of oral health on their list of priorities was one of the most important objectives of the qualitative component of our study.

Our study used a mixed method approach with a quantitative and a nested qualitative component. The quantitative aspects of the trial will be presented in detail in a separate paper. This paper focuses on the nested qualitative component of this study.

Aims and objectives

The aim of the qualitative component of our study was to evaluate patients' experiences, values and priorities that shape their choices in accessing oral health services and identify the barriers and facilitators for participation in a randomized controlled trial. In order to meet this aim, we developed the following objectives: 1. Understand the impact of RA on the patient's quality of life and the place that oral health occupies on their scale of health priorities; 2. Identify barriers and facilitators

166 for study participation; 3. Understand RA patients' views about randomisation to 167 the intervention or control group (delayed intervention).

METHODS

168

182

184

169 The OPERA trial recruited patients with RA, fulfilling the revised 1987 ACR 170 classification criteria for RA (Aletaha et al. 2010). The recruitment sites were the 171 outpatient rheumatology clinics of the Queen Elizabeth Hospital (QE), City Hospital 172 and Heartlands Hospital all in Birmingham, U.K. 173 A total of 691 RA patients were identified as potential participants from the three 174 recruitment sites. Of these, 118 declined participation in the trial predominantly due 175 to the severity of their comorbidities and the numerous medical appointments that 176 they already have to attend. 177 Of these, 296 patients consented to participate in the trial and 201 attended the 178 periodontal screening visit at Birmingham Dental Hospital. Of these, 60 met both 179 the RA and periodontal criteria for randomization and were allocated to either 180 immediate intervention or waiting list control (delayed intervention) group (Figure 181 1). The intervention consisted of non-surgical periodontal therapy delivered by a

183 PLEASE INSERT FIGURE 1

with project ID 53163.

Study oversight

185 Ethical approval for the OPERA trial was granted (11/WM/0235, protocol number 186 RG 10-138 and registered via the Integrated Research Application System (IRAS) 187

dental hygienist in two or more sessions in a secondary care setting.

Recruitment

188

189 The recruitment for the trial started in January 2014 and data collection ended in 190 December 2016. Research and development (R&D) approval was obtained for all 191 the participating sites. 192 Some of the inclusion criteria for the periodontal screening were, among others, 193 fulfilment of 2010 ACR/ EULAR classification criteria of RA (Aletaha et al. 2010) 194 and stable medication. For randomization, patients had to have a disease activity 195 score (DAS28) of at least 3.2 and generalized moderate to severe chronic 196 periodontitis as evidenced by pocketing with clinical attachment loss (clinical 197 attachment loss ≥ 4 mm on at least 2 non-adjacent teeth and cumulative probing 198 depth ≥40mm). 199 For exclusion criteria, we considered history of, or current, inflammatory joint 200 disease other than RA (including, but not limited to, gout, reactive arthritis, psoriatic 201 arthritis, seronegative spondyloarthropathy); any surgical procedure including 202 bone/joint surgery/synovectomy (including joint fusion or replacement) within 12 203 weeks prior to baseline or planned during study and periodontal treatment within 204 12 months prior to baseline. 205 A detailed description of the clinical methodology and findings will be reported in a 206 separate paper.

Screening

207

208

209

Patients were approached for consent during their rheumatology follow-up appointments at the participating hospitals. After consenting, clinical rheumatologic

data were collected and a screening appointment was offered at the OPERA research clinic at Birmingham Dental Hospital. As some patients expressed an unwillingness to participate because of the logistic difficulties in getting to the Dental Hospital, further assistance was offered with transportation to these patients. Reminder letters with the appointment date and time for the Screening visit were sent out by post to each newly booked patient. One or two days before the appointment, a research nurse called the patients to remind them of their appointment.

At Birmingham Dental Hospital, patients were assessed in a dedicated clinic

At Birmingham Dental Hospital, patients were assessed in a dedicated clinic available for OPERA trial patients. This involved general clinical examination, rheumatologic assessment including the disease activity score 28 (DAS28), full mouth probing, and biological sample collection.

Randomization and follow-up

If patients fulfilled the eligibility criteria for randomization and treatment, they were offered participation in the interventional phase of the study. After consenting for randomization and treatment, patients were randomly allocated to either immediate treatment or delayed treatment (waiting list control). For the patients allocated to the immediate treatment arm, three appointments were booked with a dental hygienist allocated for this project at maximum of three weeks after the Screening visit. Patients in the delayed treatment arm had one appointment with the same hygienist for instructions on oral health maintenance.

The same clinical examinations were carried out at the follow-up visits as at baseline. The patients allocated to the delayed treatment group were offered three appointments with the same dental hygienist for periodontal treatment at the end of the study. All the patients, at the end of the study received £150 to cover the possible costs regarding their commitments for study participation. Most patients who did not wish to consent for screening were offered the possibility to participate in the qualitative interview process, either face to face or over the telephone. Inviting patients who did not consent to take part in the clinical trial to participate in the qualitative interviews was particularly important to meet our aims and objectives in identifying barriers and facilitators for study participation.

Sample Selection

- For the purposes of the qualitative component of this study, we used a purposeful sampling technique aimed to include a variety of patients and to ensure broad representation of views relevant to the various aspects of study participation. We therefore invited patients who:
- Had declined to consent for the clinical intervention
- Were screened but were not eligible for randomization for the clinical
 intervention
- Were randomized to the immediate periodontal treatment group
- Were randomized to the control group
- Were representing gender diversity
- Presented different lengths of time since diagnosis (RA)

One to one, semi-structured interviews were conducted with patients from all these groups until thematic saturation was reached. As new themes emerged from the discussions, the topic guide was constantly adapted and new themes were added until saturation was reached. Saturation was defined as the stage at which no new themes emerged from the interviews and the data started to become mainly repetitive. After saturation, three more interviews were conducted for quality assurance purposes. All interviews were carried out by the same researcher to ensure consistency. All interviews were recorded and fully transcribed. The first five interviews were conducted by a dentist under the supervision of an expert in qualitative research (psychologist). The interviews were conducted at Birmingham Dental Hospital, Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham and over the telephone between October 2014 and January 2016 and lasted on average 30 minutes. Sixteen interviews were conducted face to face and five over the telephone. Some participants preferred to have the interview conducted over the telephone for convenience, especially those who did not wish to consent for trial participation. In relation to the other aspects of the study, the first patient was screened in February 2014 and the last patient was randomized in October 2015.

Topic guide

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

The initial topic guide developed by the research team included: oral health maintenance, treatment preferences (dental and medical), access to dental care, priorities and values placed on oral health, quality of life issues, acceptability of the periodontal treatment and, if applicable, reasons for non-participation. This initial

topic guide was piloted with three patients who consented to participate. The piloting phase was developed and implemented by the research team to ensure methodological accuracy of the interview process. The results of these three interviews were included in the overall findings. Based on the dynamics of the discussions and the flexible structure of the interviews, new themes emerged that were incorporated in the topic guide and added to the interviews with subsequent participants.

Data analysis and validation

A framework approach to data analysis was adopted in the manner suggested by Pope et al. (Pope and Mays 2006). The framework was developed using the topic guide and additional columns were added to the framework as new themes emerged from the interviews. One researcher (dentist) carried out the interviews and the analysis in order to assure consistency and robustness. The transcripts were read and analysed independently by a second researcher (psychologist) following NICE guidelines (Tan et al. 2009). The two researchers discussed and reached consensus of the findings. A third independent researcher was available to oversee the findings in case a consensus was not reached.

RESULTS

Patient demographics

294 21 participants (15 females, 6 males) with a median age of 60 years were 295 interviewed to participate in the interviews (Table 1).

296 RA disease duration ranged from 1 year to 60 years (median 19 years). More than
297 half of the participants (n=13) had consented for periodontal screening in the study,
298 while the remaining participants did not (n=8) (Table 1).

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of participants in the qualitative component

Pt#	Gender	Age	Years since	Patient group
			diagnosis	
1	F	60	19	Randomized - delayed
2	M	86	20	Refused trial participation
3	F	83	60	Refused trial participation
4	F	37	9	Refused trial participation
5	М	52	13	Randomized - delayed
6	F	59	20	Refused trial participation
7	F	68	22	Refused trial participation
8	M	65	30	Randomized - delayed
9	F	60	67	Refused trial participation
10	F	65	6	Randomized - delayed
11	F	55	12	Randomized - immediate
12	F	59	2	Refused trial participation
13	M	54	14	Refused trial participation
14	M	64	10	Not eligible for randomization
15	F	62	36	Randomized - delayed
16	F	47	15	Randomized - delayed
17	F	61	15	Randomized - delayed
18	F	62	25	Randomized - immediate
19	F	62	30	Randomized - delayed
20	М	57	20	Randomized - immediate
21	F	57	1	Randomized - immediate
Median [IQR]		60 [57,64]	19 [12,25]	

The main emerging themes from the framework analysis are presented in Figure 2. These can be clustered into three main areas: "RA and quality of life", "Oral health" and "The Study". The new topics that emerged from the discussions were related to patients' perceptions of oral health and their previous experience with dental care professionals. Furthermore, the patients elaborated on their health priorities,

perceived barriers for study participation and potential solutions for the removal of those barriers.

PLEASE INSERT FIGURE 2

Rheumatoid arthritis and quality of life

Discussions started with participants describing their experiences regarding the onset and subsequent history of their RA and the effect it had on their quality of life. All participants described the onset of their condition as highly distressing.

"I remember going to pick my son up from school and walking up the high street and just with tears rolling down my face because I was in such pain... I had never known anything like it and then it just got worse from there... Everyday things that I would have done without blinking an eye just became totally impossible to do because I had no grip in my hands, no strength then to actually get myself up in the bed." (P1)

Each story carried a vivid and painful memory associated with anxiety and distress as patients and their families struggled to understand what was happening:

"The children thought I was going to die. I heard them talking to my wife and they said "Is dad going to die?" and I though, blimey, I must look bad, but I was so thin me bones were sticking out all over the place." (P14)

Some of the patients shared their stories about the impact that RA had on their work and socio-economic status. In some cases, this went as far as the patients

having to change their living arrangements and make compromises in order to find

ways to adapt to their new situation.

"I did retire early yes as a consequence and I had to give my home up because I couldn't get up the stairs any more... So, within a very short space of time from 2010 to 2014 I retired early and I lost my home... I am living in a bungalow now, which has been adapted for my needs. I've got a wet room as opposed to a bathroom." (P10)

The majority of patients mentioned that they had taken early retirement or had to reduce their work schedule from full-time to part-time because of the impact of RA on their work life. Patients reported that this had a major negative impact on their socio-economic status.

Besides work, RA also affected the ability of patients to enjoy their hobbies and social activities.

"I used to enjoy football, fishing, things like that. I couldn't go fishing cos
I couldn't hold the rod any longer in that one position holding the rod."

(P20)

As the discussions developed around the traumatizing experiences caused by the onset of RA, the patients started to describe also the challenges represented by several comorbidities that they had to deal with.

Comorbidities and health priorities

As the average age of the participants was around sixty years, comorbidities associated with RA were common. In order to gain a better insight into the reasons why they might or might not participate in the study, it was important to understand their health care priorities and the impact of their comorbidities and how they

prioritize the health care services that they are accessing. Another factor was to understand where oral health was situated on their list of health care priorities. Although, several patients declared oral health as a priority in the beginning of the interview, as the discussions evolved and they reported on comorbidities, they presented a tendency to prioritise other comorbidities compared to oral health: "So, I have rheumatoid arthritis and I have asthma/COPD, so I have breathing problems, but again somebody is looking after me... And that is linked to what used to be a constant round of chest infections, but they now seem to have this under control and then oral health is the third most important thing in my life. "(P9) Patients' numerous different hospital appointments represent a burden to some of the patients and the dental care occasionally tends to become less of a priority: "No, no I probably haven't been to the dentist, it has got to be a year now, so but part of that is that I have so many appointments for different things at the moment, that unless I am reminded of an appointment, or given an appointment they tend to slip away." (P5) As most of the patients had multiple comorbidities, some of them tended to place oral health as the last one on the scale of importance. Their main priorities were systemic conditions including RA itself, cardiovascular disease, Crohn's disease, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), diabetes, etc. "My chest really, my chest is first then my rheumatoid. My teeth, round

about third I think to be honest." (P7)

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

"But the other thing to remember is for patients like me who have got rheumatoid, they've probably got other ongoing conditions as well. There is so many things you have to try and focus on." (P4)

In light of these, some patients reported that they would prefer to have their teeth extracted rather than have multiple appointments for conservative treatment:

"If I had to have teeth out, I have to have them out and that's the end of it."

(P4)

Periodontitis and oral health

Discussions focused on patients' perceptions about oral health, their self-reported oral health status and previous experience that they had had with dental care professionals. Few participants reported having a good oral health status. Their past experiences regarding oral health care services shaped their perception regarding their current behaviour for accessing oral health services:

"Then you never used to go to the dentist, they used to come around the school, this is going back a long time nineteen fifties and sixties. ... And then most of the time they just pulled your teeth out. That was, they never did any fillings or anything they just looked at your teeth and if they didn't like the look of it, they just pulled out your teeth." (P14)

Patients acknowledged the importance of good oral health and reported making efforts to try to help their children to maintain good oral health:

392	"I mean my kids so soon as they were old enough, like two or three, I would
393	take them, we would take them to a dentist just to get them used to a
394	dentist, because I think fear of dentists" (P14)
395	Many patients reported that maintaining their oral hygiene was more difficult on the
396	days with flare-ups:
397	"If I have a bad flare-up of arthritis, I can't and I miss it and I am not able,
398	I don't have the strength to hold my electric toothbrush, because it is quite
399	heavy" (P9)
400	"If my shoulder hurts then it's it can be a bit difficult to brush." (P13)
401	Even holding the toothbrush could be challenging for some patients:
402	"I could about hold it, I haven't got many teeth left anyway. It's my fear is
403	dentists." (P2)
404	Participants mentioned the importance of developing a relationship based on trust
405	with their dental care provider. This played an important role in their attitudes
406	towards oral health and their behaviours in seeking oral health care services:
407	"Well I am concerned that my dentist hasn't done what needed to be done to
408	save my teeth from breaking." (P13)
409	Respondents reported being afraid of needles and consequently being afraid of
410	dentists. Some patients stated that they would prefer to have extractions instead of
411	restorative treatments.
412	"I suppose out would be the best at my age I suppose out, you know." (P2)
413	When participants were asked about the way, they felt regarding their oral health,
414	and how they regarded the visit to their dentist, many patients (particularly the

more elderly) reported negative attitudes. Younger patients on the other hand reported that they would prefer to keep their natural teeth and have them treated. As the discussions continued and patients described their comorbidities secondary to RA and how oral health fitted on their list of health care priorities, they also expressed their views regarding the outcomes that matter the most for them with regards to their quality of life and wellbeing. Amongst the most important health related outcomes considered by the patients were autonomy, mobility and lack of pain.

One of them mentioned how she needed to plan her everyday activities depending on whether or not she had a flare-up:

"You know, where before I used to think nothing of it, I would go off and do what I needed to do. Now, I can't do that, if I'm in pain I have think right I can only do one shop today, or I can't walk that far today." (P12)

Other stories were similar:

the upstairs offices." (P10)

"Health, mobility that's very important to me that my feet were not as compromised as my hands. Oh, that is very, absolutely I would tie those two together." (P3)

"The difficulty I was facing whilst I was working was the inability to hold a pen properly And work and a computer. Erm, sitting down meant that my joints got really stiff, my knee joints and my back. And my feet and as a consequence mobility as I say became very bad... I couldn't get upstairs to

Personal mobility and the ability to keep their independence were key priorities for this patient population. This was also highlighted through the potential barriers that hindered study participation.

Barriers for study participation

The interviews explored the reasons why some patients would be reluctant to participate in the OPERA study to identify potential barriers that could be addressed by the research team. Several patients reported having negative experiences with dentists in the past and this discouraged them to participate in our trial –

"Yeah, I, I think I woke up under the gas. And, I was there was blood all over the place and I was only about this high. At school. And I never went again. I stopped going for a long time" (P20)

The location of the Dental Hospital was mentioned as a hindering factor by several patients:

"That was because it was the Dental Hospital and I find it difficult to get from my part of the town to the Dental Hospital." (P2)

"It is a bit far away, you know the other side of town but they are moving to a new hospital shortly which will be more accessible, yes." (P18)

Due to classic features of RA such as mobility problems, fatigue and morning stiffness as well as logistic issues with the traffic from their homes to the location of the Dental Hospital, they found that without help, they could not attend their clinical appointments.

Besides the location of the Dental Hospital, patients mentioned forgetfulness and the overlap of their dental appointment with other medical appointments as being important hindering factors for study participation.

Removal of barriers

In order to address these, the participants were asked to suggest potential solutions for these problems. Some of the hindering factors were addressed by the research team, as described in the methodology section: patients received phone call reminders about their appointments and those patients that required assistance for getting to the Dental Hospital, received support in arranging the travel logistics around getting to their appointments.

"Because as I say I wouldn't have been able to undertake the study unless I'd have had payment for transportation." (P10)

Financial incentives were set in place to compensate for the loss of time and logistics for the research and treatment visits. As all patients are unique and so is their situation and their experiences, some patients did not feel that financial incentives should encourage patients study participation:

That always seems to help I did a lot of groups and the financial side of it isn't a big thing to me. When I did the conferences, it was all about expenses I was happy for my expenses to be paid, but a lot of the groups I also did erm, it would be like an interview, but there would be ten of us and we would sit around and the discussion would be recorded and you usually found that all those groups would be full because people were getting

financial.... they were being paid for it basically, but you would find that they
were all full, all of them." (P5)

Some patients reported that they suffered from dental anxiety and indicated that

Some patients reported that they suffered from dental anxiety and indicated that the only way they would participate in the study would be if the screening and treatment would be done under general anaesthesia:

"I mean I did say to my son because he keeps telling me off he says, "Mom, you really need to go and get your teeth sorted... And I said, I will go if they can put me to sleep". If they can knock me out.... Yeah. I said that's the only way I would have it done." (P12)

The control arm

The control arm in our study received the same treatment as the intervention group but with a delay of six months. Patients had very diverse views with regards to the how long it was acceptable to delay their treatment. Some of them preferred to have no delay at all and some were happy with a delay of up to a few years.

One of the patients who declined trial participation considered that treatment should be delivered immediately without any delay:

"I think it should be done straightaway...I don't think you should wait because with your mouth everything that goes in your stomach goes into your mouth so your gums are one of the main ones really aren't they? So, I think you know, it should be earlier than six months." (P6)

The majority of patients, however, felt that a delay of six months to their treatment would be acceptable whilst more than that might influence them to seek treatment elsewhere.

"Oh, I think it's six months... Six months would be alright...Well, perhaps 12 months is, I'm 84 don't forget." (P2)

This view was shared by the majority of patients:

"I was hoping not to be in the delayed group, but as I am in the delayed group then I leave it to you erm to help me as best you can... I wouldn't like the longer waiting time." (P15)

The intervention

All patients who received the intervention, both in the immediate treatment group as well as in the delayed treatment group reported having a positive experience concerning to the intervention.

"I'm really pleased actually that erm doing this study because erm had it not been for that, this could have gone on and on and it might have got to a really bad situation with my gums and I wouldn't have known so I am really pleased." (P11)

They highlighted the importance of being kept informed about the progression of the study and the protocol and having pleasant interactions with the research staff

Yeah, they have been good, I think the experience has been good. You staff have been really helpful and I am aware of what is happening every time I come and see you. The hygienist was great, she explained what she

was going to do and what she expected to do in future, so I think it has been a really good experience as well and eye opening as well. " (P5)

This view was shared by all the patients who received the intervention:

"She made me feel so comfortable and it's embarrassing as well when you go to dentist... I find I get embarrassed. And because of the state of my teeth. I didn't feel at bit like that from the moment. I met the hygienist and I felt quite confident that she was confident. She knew what she was doing. She explained everything. And she told me if anything hurt or to stop, to stop her. I just felt so comfortable with her... I would do it all over again." (P21)

Discussion

Most studies investigating the associations between periodontitis and RA have used quantitative methodologies (Al-Katma et al. 2007; Pinho Mde et al. 2009; Ribeiro et al. 2005). OPERA was a mixed methods feasibility study with a nested qualitative component. We aimed to explore the acceptability of our study protocol and understand RA patients' experiences and perspectives about accessing oral health care services. Furthermore, we gained some valuable insights into the place of oral health on their list of priorities, identified barriers and facilitators for study participation and gathered patients' views about the intervention and about being randomized to the control arm.

A large amount of the data regarding the oral health status of older people in England is generated from surveys of people living in residential and nursing care

homes. This represents only a minority of the elderly population and has led to a gap in our knowledge and understanding of the dental treatment preferences of this age group (Public Health England 2015). Some data suggests that for some of the older patients aesthetics are less of a priority and comfort and lack of pain are considered more important (Lord et al. 2015). To our knowledge, our study is the first one to look at oral health preferences in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and at barriers and facilitators for participation in a dental trial for this patient group. Our sample was diverse and we purposefully included patients from all the possible groups involved in the study: 1. Those who declined trial participation; 2. Those who were found ineligible for randomization after screening; 3. Patients who were randomized to intervention arm and 4. Patients randomized to control arm. We also aimed to include patients of both genders and with different durations of RA diagnosis. We have found that patients' prior experiences, values and priorities tend to have a strong impact on shaping their choices for accessing different health care services. RA patients' treatments require a holistic approach and whilst their rheumatologic care often takes into account their different systemic comorbidities, oral health is commonly missed out from this picture. Patients identified a set of barriers and facilitators that can influence their participation in an interventional study. Some of these barriers were related to patients' limited mobility and logistic difficulties associated with getting to their dental appointments.

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

Our patients' main concerns appeared to be represented by the ability to have as "normal" a life as possible - to live independently, autonomously and pain free. These findings are in line with the literature with regards to RA patients with multimorbidities and how these shape their choices and priorities in terms of accessing health care services (Malm et al. 2017; Ward et al. 2007). Our patients described their personal experiences regarding RA and the impact of this condition on their quality of life. They reported how the condition affected their physical and emotional well-being as well as the influence it had over their socioeconomic status as a consequence of the reduction of work and/or early retirement based on disability. Although many participants acknowledged the importance of good oral health and its potential impact on general health, when compared to RA and the other comorbidities that they have to live with, oral health was not a high priority. The patients identified a number of hindering factors that might impact on their ability for study participation and some of these factors were addressed by the research team with adaptations of the study protocol. In many cases, patients reported that they had to balance their life around the treatment they received for RA and for their comorbidities: this involved multiple medications, hospital visits, etc. The overall burden of RA and of the associated comorbidities over the quality of life of these patients could be quite overwhelming. Compliance with regular oral hygiene maintenance is key to maintaining good oral and periodontal health but it can become an extra burden for this cohort, especially on the days when they are dealing with flare-ups caused by their rheumatoid

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

590 condition. Patients who struggle with high burden of debilitating systemic 591 multimorbidities, perhaps unsurprisingly, reported that oral health was a not key 592 priority for them. 593 We have also identified a number of limitations to this study. This cohort presented 594 a median disease duration of 19 [12, 25] years. We acknowledge that the initial 595 therapeutic options and approaches at the time of their diagnosis were quite 596 different from those of today. Therefore, we can hypothesise that disease 597 progression in this cohort could be significantly different compared to a cohort with 598 a more recent onset of RA. This could potentially lead to different findings in a 599 cohort with current early RA. When we developed the protocol for the randomized 600 controlled trial, we aimed to include patients diagnosed with RA who were on 601 stable treatment with disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) for at least 602 2 months in order to reduce the likelihood of potential confounding factors caused 603 by medication changes. It is often the case for early diagnosed RA patients to 604 change classes of drugs and dosages, therefore after discussing this issue with 605 rheumatologists in the research team we decided that in order to meet this goal we 606 focus the recruitment on patients with stable established RA. 607 From a public health perspective, the burden of non-communicable diseases 608 (NCDs) is becoming more and more pressing on the limited resources available for 609 national health systems. It is perhaps time to consider new, creative ways of 610 developing care packages that may include oral health care for patients with 611 NCDs. This idea is supported by the American Diabetes Association as well as by 612 the French National Authority for Health, which recommends the inclusion of a

comprehensive periodontal examination as part of the referrals for initial care management in diabetic patients (American Diabetes Association 2018; Haute Autorité de Santé 2014). A similar approach may have beneficial effects for patients with other NCDs such as rheumatoid arthritis, cardiovascular disease, kidney disease, etc.

The nested qualitative component of the OPERA trial provided an insight into rheumatoid arthritis patients' experiences and perceptions with regards to oral health. Our study also highlighted some of the potential barriers and facilitators for participating in a periodontal interventional study in this patient population. We hope that these findings will support the design of larger interventional periodontal studies in patients with rheumatoid arthritis.

624

625

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Sources of Funding: This paper presents independent research partially funded by the
- National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) under its Research for Patient Benefit
- 628 (RfPB) Programme (Grant Reference Number PB-PG-0609-19100).
- SS was supported by European Union FP7-PEOPLE funded ITN project (project number:
- 630 RAPID 290246).
- 631 KR and AF are supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)
- 632 Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre.
- PP is supported by an NIHR fellowship (Grant Code: NIHR PDF-2014-07-055).
- The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the
- NIHR or the Department of Health.

636 Conflict of interest: None

List of References

62(9):2569-2581.

638

- Al-Katma MK, Bissada NF, Bordeaux JM, Sue J, Askari AD. 2007. Control of periodontal infection reduces the severity of active rheumatoid arthritis. J

 Clin Rheumatol. 13(3):134-137.
- Aletaha D, Neogi T, Silman AJ, Funovits J, Felson DT, Bingham CO, Birnbaum NS, Burmester GR, Bykerk VP, Cohen MD. 2010. 2010 rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria: An american college of rheumatology/european league against rheumatism collaborative initiative. Arthritis & Rheumatism.
- Amaya-Amaya J, Botello-Corzo D, Calixto OJ, Calderon-Rojas R, Dominguez AM,
 Cruz-Tapias P, Montoya-Ortiz G, Mantilla RD, Anaya JM, Rojas-Villarraga
 A. 2012. Usefulness of patients-reported outcomes in rheumatoid arthritis
 focus group. Arthritis. 2012:935187.
- American Diabetes Association. 2018. 3. Comprehensive medical evaluation and assessment of comorbidities: Standards of medical care in diabetes—2018.

 Diabetes Care. 41(Supplement 1):S28-S37.
- 654 Chapple IL. 2014. Time to take periodontitis seriously. BMJ. 348:g2645.

Cohen SB, Strand V, Aguilar D, Ofman JJ. 2004. Patient- versus physicianreported outcomes in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with recombinant interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (anakinra) therapy. Rheumatology (Oxford). 43(6):704-711.

de Pablo P, Chapple IL, Buckley CD, Dietrich T. 2009. Periodontitis in systemic rheumatic diseases. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 5(4):218-224.

de Pablo P, Dietrich T, Chapple IL, Milward M, Chowdhury M, Charles PJ, Buckley CD, Venables PJ. 2013. The autoantibody repertoire in periodontitis: A role in the induction of autoimmunity to citrullinated proteins in rheumatoid arthritis? Ann Rheum Dis.

Dougados M, Soubrier M, Antunez A, Balint P, Balsa A, Buch MH, Casado G, Detert J, El-Zorkany B, Emery P et al. 2014. Prevalence of comorbidities in rheumatoid arthritis and evaluation of their monitoring: Results of an international, cross-sectional study (comora). Ann Rheum Dis. 73(1):62-68.

Fleischmann R, Strand V, Wilkinson B, Kwok K, Bananis E. 2016. Relationship between clinical and patient-reported outcomes in a phase 3 trial of tofacitinib or mtx in mtx-naive patients with rheumatoid arthritis. RMD Open. 2(1):e000232.

- Haute Autorité de Santé. 2014. Guide parcours de soins-diabète de type 2 de l'adulte. Mars.2014-2004.

 Hewlett S, Carr M, Ryan S, Kirwan J, Richards P, Carr A, Hughes R. 2005.
- Outcomes generated by patients with rheumatoid arthritis: How important are they? Musculoskeletal Care. 3(3):131-142.
- Hewlett SA. 2003. Patients and clinicians have different perspectives on outcomes in arthritis. J Rheumatol. 30(4):877-879.
- Konig MF, Abusleme L, Reinholdt J, Palmer RJ, Teles RP, Sampson K, Rosen A,

 Nigrovic PA, Sokolove J, Giles JT et al. 2016. Aggregatibacter

 actinomycetemcomitans-induced hypercitrullination links periodontal

 infection to autoimmunity in rheumatoid arthritis. Sci Transl Med.

 8(369):369ra176.
- Lapsley LMM, K L Tribe, M J Cross, B G Courtenay, P M Brooks,. 2002. Living with rheumatoid arthritis: Expenditures, health status, and social impact on patients. Ann Rheum Dis. 61:818–821.
- Lopez-Oliva I, Paropkari AD, Saraswat S, Serban S, Yonel Z, Sharma P, de Pablo P, Raza K, Filer A, Chapple I et al. 2018. Dysbiotic subgingival microbial

690 communities in periodontally healthy patients with rheumatoid arthritis. 691 Arthritis Rheumatol. 70(7):1008-1013. 692 Lord J, Longworth L, Singh J, Onyimadu O, Fricke J, Bayliss S, Meads C. 2015. 693 Oral health guidance-economic analysis of oral health promotion 694 approaches for dental teams. United Kingdom: Birmingham and Brunel 695 Consortium External Assessment Centre. 696 Malm K, Bergman S, Andersson ML, Bremander A, Larsson I. 2017. Quality of life 697 in patients with established rheumatoid arthritis: A phenomenographic study. 698 SAGE Open Med. 5:2050312117713647. 699 Martinez-Martinez RE, Abud-Mendoza C, Patino-Marin N, Rizo-Rodriguez JC, 700 Little JW, Lovola-Rodriguez JP, 2009. Detection of periodontal bacterial 701 DNA in serum and synovial fluid in refractory rheumatoid arthritis patients. J 702 Clin Periodontol. 36(12):1004-1010. 703 Mathers DSC, Pfleger B. 2006. The global burden of rheumatoid arthritis in the 704 year 2000. Criterion. 1(2). 705 Mikuls TR, Payne JB, Reinhardt RA, Thiele GM, Maziarz E, Cannella AC, Holers 706 VM, Kuhn KA, O'Dell JR. 2009. Antibody responses to porphyromonas

- gingivalis (p. Gingivalis) in subjects with rheumatoid arthritis and periodontitis. Int Immunopharmacol. 9(1):38-42.
- 709 Okada M, Kobayashi T, Ito S, Yokoyama T, Abe A, Murasawa A, Yoshie H. 2013.
- 710 Periodontal treatment decreases levels of antibodies to porphyromonas
- gingivalis and citrulline in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and periodontitis.
- 712 J Periodontol. 84(12):e74-84.
- 713 Okada M, Kobayashi T, Ito S, Yokoyama T, Komatsu Y, Abe A, Murasawa A,
- Yoshie H. 2011. Antibody responses to periodontopathic bacteria in relation
- to rheumatoid arthritis in japanese adults. J Periodontol. 82(10):1433-1441.
- 716 Ortiz P, Bissada NF, Palomo L, Han YW, Al-Zahrani MS, Panneerselvam A, Askari
- A. 2009. Periodontal therapy reduces the severity of active rheumatoid
- arthritis in patients treated with or without tumor necrosis factor inhibitors. J
- 719 Periodontol. 80(4):535-540.
- 720 Pinho Mde N, Oliveira RD, Novaes AB, Jr., Voltarelli JC. 2009. Relationship
- between periodontitis and rheumatoid arthritis and the effect of non-surgical
- periodontal treatment. Brazilian dental journal. 20(5):355-364.
- 723 Pollock J, Raza K, Pratt AG, Hanson H, Siebert S, Filer A, Isaacs JD, Buckley CD,
- McInnes IB, Falahee M. 2016. Patient and researcher perspectives on

- facilitating patient and public involvement in rheumatology research.
- 726 Musculoskeletal Care.
- 727 Pope C, Mays N. 2006. Qualitative research in health care.
- 728 Public Health England. 2015. What is known about the oral health of older people
- in england and wales. A review of oral health surveys of older people.
- 730 London.
- 731 Ribeiro J, Leao A, Novaes AB. 2005. Periodontal infection as a possible severity
- factor for rheumatoid arthritis. J Clin Periodontol. 32(4):412-416.
- Rosenstein ED, Greenwald RA, Kushner LJ, Weissmann G. 2004. Hypothesis: The
- humoral immune response to oral bacteria provides a stimulus for the
- 735 development of rheumatoid arthritis. Inflammation. 28(6):311-318.
- 736 Sokka T, Kautiainen H, Pincus T, Verstappen SMM, Aggarwal A, Alten R,
- Andersone D, Badsha H, Baecklund E, Belmonte M et al. 2010. Work
- disability remains a major problem in rheumatoid arthritis in the 2000s: Data
- from 32 countries in the quest-ra study. Arthritis Res Ther. 12(2):R42.
- 740 Tan TP, Stokes T, Shaw EJ. 2009. Use of qualitative research as evidence in the
- 741 clinical guideline program of the national institute for health and clinical

742	excellence. International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare. 7(3):169-
743	172.
744	Ward V, Hill J, Hale C, Bird H, Quinn H, Thorpe R. 2007. Patient priorities of care
745	in rheumatology outpatient clinics: A qualitative study. Musculoskeletal
746	Care. 5(4):216-228.
747	White DA, Tsakos G, Pitts NB, Fuller E, Douglas GV, Murray JJ, Steele JG. 2012.
748	Adult dental health survey 2009: Common oral health conditions and their
749	impact on the population. Br Dent J. 213(11):567-572.
750	