

## A literature review of the training offered to qualified prescribers to use electronic prescribing systems

Brown, Clare L.; Reygate, Katie; Slee, Ann; Coleman, Jamie; Pontefract, Sarah; Bates, David W; Husband, Anthony; Watson, Neil; Slight, Sarah

DOI:

[10.1111/ijpp.12296](https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpp.12296)

License:

Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*

Brown, CL, Reygate, K, Slee, A, Coleman, J, Pontefract, S, Bates, DW, Husband, A, Watson, N & Slight, S 2016, 'A literature review of the training offered to qualified prescribers to use electronic prescribing systems', *International Journal of Pharmacy Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpp.12296>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

### **Publisher Rights Statement:**

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Brown, C. L., Reygate, K., Slee, A., Coleman, J. J., Pontefract, S. K., Bates, D. W., Husband, A. K., Watson, N. and Slight, S. P. (2016), A literature review of the training offered to qualified prescribers to use electronic prescribing systems: why is it so important?. *Int J Pharm Pract*. doi:10.1111/ijpp.12296, which has been published in final form at 10.1111/ijpp.12296 . This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving

### **General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

### **Take down policy**

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1

2

**A literature review of the training offered to qualified prescribers to use**

3

**electronic prescribing systems: Why is it so important?**

4

5

**Clare Brown<sup>1,2</sup>, Katie Reygate<sup>3</sup>, Ann Slee<sup>4,5</sup>, Jamie J. Coleman<sup>5</sup>, Sarah K.**

6

**Thomas<sup>5</sup>, David W. Bates<sup>6,7,8</sup>, Andrew K. Husband<sup>1</sup>, Neil Watson<sup>2</sup>, Sarah P.**

7

**Slight<sup>1,2,6</sup>**

8

**Author affiliations:**

9

**1.** Division of Pharmacy, School of Medicine, Pharmacy and Health, Durham

10

University, Stockton on Tees, Durham, U.K.;

11

**2.** Newcastle upon Tyne hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Queen Victoria Road,

12

Newcastle upon Tyne, Tyne and Wear, U.K.;

13

**3.** Health Education KSS Pharmacy, Downsmere Building, Princess Royal

14

Hospital, West Sussex, U.K.;

15

**4.** eHealth Research Group, Centre for population health sciences, University of

16

Edinburgh, Edinburgh, U.K.;

17

**5.** College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham,

18

Edgbaston, Birmingham, U.K.;

19

**6.** The Center for Patient Safety Research and Practice, Division of General

20

Internal Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA;

21

**7.** Harvard Medical School, 250 Longwood Ave, Boston, MA, USA;

22

**8.** Harvard School of Public Health, 677 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA, USA.

23

24

25

26

27 **Correspondence to:**

28 Dr. Sarah P. Slight MPharm, Ph.D, PGDip

29 School of Medicine, Pharmacy and Health,

30 Holliday Building,

31 Queen's Campus,

32 Stockton on Tees,

33 TS17 6BH

34 Tel: +44 191 334 0548

35 Fax: +44 191 334 0374

36 email: [s.p.slight@durham.ac.uk](mailto:s.p.slight@durham.ac.uk)

37

38 **Keywords:** Education; Educational Measurement; Teaching; Electronic Prescribing;

39 Medical

40

41 **Word Count: 3507**

42

43

44

45

46

47 **Abstract**

48

49 **Objectives:** A key element of the implementation and on-going use of an electronic  
50 prescribing (ePrescribing) system is ensuring that users are, and remain, sufficiently  
51 trained to use the system. Studies have suggested that insufficient training is  
52 associated with suboptimal use. However, it is not clear from these studies how  
53 clinicians are trained to use ePrescribing systems or the effectiveness of different  
54 approaches. We sought to describe the various approaches used to train qualified  
55 prescribers on ePrescribing systems and to identify whether users were educated  
56 about the pitfalls and challenges of using these systems.

57

58 **Methods:** We performed a literature review, using a systematic approach across three  
59 large databases: Cumulative Index Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL),  
60 Embase and Medline were searched for relevant English language articles. Articles  
61 that explored the training of qualified prescribers on ePrescribing systems in a  
62 hospital setting were included.

63

64 **Key Findings:** Our search of ‘all training’ approaches returned 1,155 publications, of  
65 which seven were included. A separate search of ‘online’ training found three relevant  
66 publications. Training methods in the ‘all training’ category included clinical  
67 scenarios, demonstrations and assessments. Regarding ‘online’ training approaches; a  
68 team at the University of Victoria in Canada developed a portal containing simulated  
69 versions of electronic health records, where individuals could prescribe for fictitious  
70 patients. Educating prescribers about the challenges and pitfalls of electronic systems  
71 was rarely discussed.

72

73 **Conclusions:** A number of methods are used to train prescribers; however the lack of  
74 papers retrieved suggests a need for additional studies to inform training methods.

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

## 88 **INTRODUCTION**

89

90 Electronic Prescribing (ePrescribing) systems have been associated with a  
91 range of potential benefits over paper-based systems, particularly when implemented  
92 with clinical decision support (CDS).(1-4) Benefits, including improved patient  
93 outcomes, safer patient care and potential cost savings from improved formulary  
94 management, by prompting clinicians to prescribe generic rather than branded  
95 medications,(5) has meant that the number of ePrescribing systems (home grown and  
96 commercial), implemented across a diverse range of settings is growing. The

97 implementation of these systems in United Kingdom (U.K.) hospitals has surged and  
98 is expected to continue increasing partly due, to the financial incentives offered such  
99 as the National Health Service's (NHS) Integrated Digital Care Fund, the Safer  
100 Hospitals Safer Wards Fund and the recent government recommendations to  
101 encourage increased productivity.(6-8) Similar increases in the use of healthcare  
102 technology have also been seen in the United States, where the use of computerized  
103 provider order entry (CPOE) systems has more than tripled since 2010.(9) This has  
104 been largely driven by The Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical  
105 Health (HITECH) Act, which offered financial incentives to organisations that could  
106 demonstrate 'meaningful use' of Electronic Health Records (EHRs).(10) Australian  
107 government incentives, have also been associated with increased uptake of  
108 computerised prescribing in primary care.(11)

109         A key element of the implementation and on-going use of an ePrescribing  
110 system is ensuring that users are, and remain, sufficiently trained and competent to  
111 use the system effectively. The user training should be comprehensive enough to  
112 cover all aspects of how a user may need to interact with a system to undertake their  
113 role, but also highlight potential pitfalls and challenges that they may encounter.  
114 Organisations can learn from those who have experienced the implementation process  
115 about what 'went well' and 'not so well'. Ash et al. stressed the importance of  
116 educating clinicians about the unintended consequences of ePrescribing systems, so  
117 that clinicians do not fall into the trap of over reliance on technology, and risk patient  
118 harm.(12) The number of different professionals (e.g. nurse or pharmacists) who can  
119 prescribe is also expanding, thus the training provided needs to accommodate users'  
120 varying backgrounds and roles. These systems are continuously evolving and offer an  
121 ever increasing range of new features thus it is important to not only consider

122 introductory training but also the approaches used to inform existing staff about  
123 system changes. Training is not sufficient to overcome poor design, but vendors  
124 should be incentivised to develop systems using user-centred design principles.

125         Organisations face challenges in delivering effective training including: large  
126 numbers of staff; staff resistance/availability to attend training; rotation between  
127 wards and specialties; and temporary/short term staff. Little evidence has been  
128 published on the training strategies used to familiarise staff with these systems, many  
129 of which change following implementation through local customisation and system  
130 upgrades. Online training strategies have been utilised in medical education and can  
131 offer a potentially convenient and efficient way of training large numbers of  
132 practitioners;(13) however, the effectiveness of this approach for users of ePrescribing  
133 systems is not clear.

134         Some studies suggest that insufficient training is associated with suboptimal  
135 use of a system.(14, 15) Baysari et al. found that large numbers of CDS alerts were  
136 generated by the improper use of the system, leading to the production of ‘technically  
137 preventable’ alerts.(14) Additionally, high override rates of CDS alerts have been  
138 reported.(16) Her et al. found that almost 1 in 5 non-formulary medication alerts were  
139 inappropriately overridden, thus reducing the potential for cost savings.(5) Shulman et  
140 al. also found that the rate of errors made when using an ePrescribing system,  
141 decreased over time, demonstrating a learning curve that had taken place.(17) Such  
142 studies highlight the pitfalls of these systems and the importance of training and  
143 education both in facilitating successful implementation of electronic systems and  
144 averting errors. Furthermore, although there are fundamental differences between the  
145 provision of healthcare services between clinical settings and countries, there are key

146 elements of the prescribing process that all prescribers must perform, such as the  
147 selection of a drug dose and frequency.

148 We conducted a literature review to describe the approaches used to train  
149 qualified prescribers on ePrescribing systems in a hospital setting. We were also  
150 interested in knowing whether online training approaches were used and whether  
151 training covered the pitfalls and challenges of using these systems.

152

## 153 **METHODS**

154

### 155 **Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

156 Articles that explored the training of qualified prescribers (including medical  
157 and non-medical practitioners) on ePrescribing systems in a hospital setting were  
158 included. We chose to focus on the training of qualified and practicing prescribers due  
159 to the specific challenges associated with training large groups of busy clinicians,  
160 which can be different to the challenges faced with training undergraduate students in  
161 a more ‘relaxed’ environment. We were interested in the types of training approaches  
162 used, the relative effectiveness of any specific approach (if discussed), and any  
163 challenges encountered. Studies that explored training of undergraduate medical  
164 students, training of clinical skills other than prescribing, or the use of ePrescribing or  
165 EHRs in medical education (e.g., to enable students to monitor patient progress) were  
166 excluded (Appendix 1 and 2). Studies did not need to include a comparator group, as  
167 this may have presented practical and ethical challenges to carrying out the study in a  
168 hospital population.

169



## 170 **Search Strategy and Study Selection**

171 Three large databases were searched including: Cumulative Index Nursing and  
172 Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), Embase (OVID), and Medline (OVID). The  
173 search terms used are listed in Table 1. Sets of search terms employed included  
174 “Electronic Prescribing” OR “Computerized Provider Order Entry” in Set 1; and  
175 “Clinical Decision Support” OR “Decision Support System” in Set 2; and “Electronic  
176 Medical Record” in Set 3; and “Education Clinical” OR “Medical Education” in Set  
177 4; and “Education Distance” in Set 5; and “Prescribing” in Set 6 (Table 1). These sets  
178 were combined and our full search strategy for one database can be accessed in  
179 appendix 3. The search was performed on the 15<sup>th</sup> May 2015. Only papers published  
180 in English were considered. A separate search, which included ‘electronic  
181 prescribing’ and ‘online training’, was also conducted. We did not restrict the  
182 timeframe for these searches. In addition, we searched the websites of vendors of  
183 electronic prescribing systems supplied in the U.K for suggested training approaches.  
184 We included all publication types (including editorials and opinion pieces).

185

## 186 **Data Extraction and Synthesis**

187 All duplicate articles were removed. Titles and abstracts were initially  
188 reviewed followed by the full text by one author (CLB) and any queries were  
189 discussed with a further reviewer (SPS), if necessary. Reference lists were also  
190 examined for additional papers. Data were abstracted onto a customised data  
191 extraction sheet by one author (CLB), which included variables such as: title of the  
192 study; country of origin; decision to include and justification for the choice. A  
193 narrative synthesis of all eligible studies was undertaken. Papers were read and re-

194 read, and key recurring themes and sub-themes were identified iteratively from the  
195 data. In keeping with the aim of this review, we focused on the types of training  
196 approaches used to train qualified prescribers in the hospital setting and the  
197 challenges associated with training.

198

## 199 **RESULTS**

200

201 The search for ‘all training’ returned a total of 1,155 publications; after  
202 reviewing titles, abstracts and full texts, a total of 1,149 were excluded (Figure 1).  
203 After reviewing the reference lists of the remaining publications, one further article  
204 was included. A total of seven articles were included, comprising of three full text  
205 publications from the US,(18-20) and two from Canada.(20, 21) The remaining two  
206 articles were conference abstracts, one from the UK (22) and one from  
207 Pakistan/Tanzania.(23) Further detail about the range of study types can be obtained  
208 in Appendices 1 and 2. The authors of the conference abstracts were contacted and  
209 asked for additional information, including (i) the type of training delivered and  
210 whether online training methods were used (if unclear from the publication), (ii)  
211 whether a competence assessment was used, and (iii) whether the training was  
212 developed internally or by the vendor. We obtained responses from all authors apart  
213 from one.(23) We decided to include the two studies by Borycki et al. and Kushniruk  
214 et al., as there was potential for these training methods to be used for practicing  
215 prescribers.(20, 21)

216 The separate search for the use of ‘online’ training methods returned 25  
217 publications. After reviewing the titles, abstracts and full text, three relevant articles  
218 were identified (Figure 2), two of which were previously identified and included in

219 the search of “all training” approaches. The additional article found in this separate  
220 ‘online’ search(24) was included making eight publications in total.

221

## 222 **Traditional training approaches**

223

224 Typically, a variety of training methods were used such as classroom-based  
225 sessions, which included ‘run through’ demonstrations and practical exercises, as well  
226 as face-to-face or ward-based training facilitated by ‘super-users’ (expert staff  
227 members that have received additional training). Super-users were found to play a  
228 valuable role in providing ward-level support and reduce the need for costly external  
229 training.(25) Tools such as e-learning packages, quick reference guides, for example a  
230 list for keyboard short cuts and ‘how to’ guides, were also provided.(18, 22) Three  
231 studies used traditional classroom-based learning to train users; one on a paediatric  
232 intensive care unit,(22) another across an integrated delivery system(18), and a third  
233 study conducted at two United States (U.S.) hospitals.(25) Users were given an  
234 overview of the specific features of their system, using a combination of  
235 demonstrations, lectures and practical exercises, thus allowing the users to gain  
236 ‘hands-on’ experience of using the system.(18, 22) In particular Bredfeldt et al.  
237 encouraged staff to customise their own live version of the EHR by, for example,  
238 creating preference lists, thus allowing users to experience the benefits of this  
239 functionality immediately.(18) Ensuring clinicians have ample opportunities to attend  
240 training was important, so weekend and out-of-hour sessions were organised in one  
241 study.(25)

242 In terms of user evaluation, formal assessments, quizzes and feedback  
243 methods were utilized in three studies.(18, 22, 23) Bredfeldt et al. evaluated post-

244 training performance of two skills (covered during the training session) to measure  
245 the effect of training.(18) Classroom-based training and ‘hands-on’ activities were  
246 found to have been associated with improved utility of certain functions.(18)  
247 However, users would have appreciated more opportunities to receive training on the  
248 ‘live’ system and felt that the range of topics covered should be broader.(18)  
249 Bredfeldt et al. also sent e-mails to users to report their usage of specific features and  
250 compared their activity with that of their peers, serving to remind users of the learning  
251 material and track their progress.(18)

252

### 253 **Online training approaches**

254

255 Web-based demonstrations were used in only one study.(23) Three papers  
256 describe the work of one team, which have developed an online portal, which housed  
257 a range of simulated versions of different EHRs containing electronic prescribing  
258 functionality. Healthcare professional students, practicing professionals and  
259 healthcare informaticians were given access to this portal where they could prescribe  
260 for fictitious patients in a safe environment.(20, 21, 24) The portal also provided an  
261 opportunity for users to learn about the design of different systems that influence  
262 clinical practice.(20, 21, 24)

263 Evaluation of online training methods was limited. Experiences and lessons  
264 learned from the University of Victoria’s EHR portal appeared to be positive, with  
265 users perceiving the experience as valuable and having a greater understanding of  
266 how EHR systems were to be used in practice.(20) Ayoub et al. did not specify how  
267 quizzes were developed or which areas were assessed; although trainees reportedly  
268 scored highly in these.(23) Jimenez highlighted the importance of providing timely

269 feedback to users after completing exercises.(19)

270

### 271 **Clinical scenarios and exercises**

272

273 Two studies described using targeted clinical scenarios that focused on  
274 particular problem areas to train staff. Foster et al. developed exercises based on  
275 commonly encountered prescribing errors, such as the prescribing of Tazocin<sup>®</sup>  
276 (piperacillin-tazobactam, an antibacterial) at non-standard times.(22) Bredfeldt et al.  
277 targeted training to specific clinical areas, such as pre-operative patient visits, where  
278 there had been a number of support requests from existing users.(18) Developing  
279 expertise-specific scenarios relevant to clinicians from different specialist areas was  
280 considered important.(19, 24)

281

## 282 **DISCUSSION**

283

284 The papers identified a range of approaches used to train qualified prescribers,  
285 including the use of ‘traditional’ training, online training, and clinical scenarios and  
286 exercises. The use of a range of different approaches may appeal to individual  
287 learning styles, with users appreciative of relevant and tailored clinical-scenarios in  
288 particular. We chose to search for published studies in three large databases.  
289 However, it is possible that studies may have been published in other databases or  
290 unpublished work (e.g., reports or working papers) may exist in the grey literature.  
291 We only focused on the training of qualified prescribers due to the specific  
292 requirements of their training. However, we are conscious that some training  
293 approaches used for other groups, such as undergraduate students, may have been

294 potentially applicable and possibly useful. We also acknowledge that only one  
295 researcher (CLB) conducted the data extraction and no quality assessment of the  
296 included studies was undertaken. Notwithstanding these limitations, it is clear that  
297 there is a lack of published research in this area, which needs to be addressed;  
298 organisations should also share any lessons learnt from their experiences of training  
299 prescribers during the implementation stage and after continued use of ePrescribing  
300 systems to fill the knowledge gap.(26)

301 The papers identified outlined a number of methods used to train qualified  
302 prescribers, including classroom-based sessions,(18, 22, 25) demonstrations and  
303 ‘hands-on’ exercises. Some studies incorporated assessment, which allowed users to  
304 track their own progress and informed senior staff about those who may need further  
305 assistance.(18, 22, 23) Clinical scenarios aimed at addressing commonly encountered  
306 prescribing errors or frequent technical support requests were also used.(18, 22) Such  
307 problem areas may reveal systems flaws that may contribute to the occurrence of  
308 errors or poor usability. For instance, although ePrescribing can decrease prescribing  
309 of ‘non-formulary medicines’,(27) formulary alerts are often inappropriately  
310 overridden.(5) Therefore, understanding how users interact with these systems is  
311 important for the development of informed training strategies.

312 This review found that combinations of different learning methods were used,  
313 which appealed to the learning styles of different users. For example, Ross and  
314 Banchy used a combination of one-to-one and group classroom-training sessions to  
315 address the specific needs of medical staff and maximise attendance.(25) Evidence of  
316 this was also apparent when training staff on other non-ePrescribing forms of  
317 healthcare-information systems. For instance, McCain et al. reported how challenging  
318 it was to get nurse and physician users to attend classroom-based training sessions on

319 an EHR system (as opposed to an electronic prescribing system) due to other clinical  
320 commitments. Users felt that these sessions failed to address their learning needs by  
321 either being too simplistic or too advanced. This resulted in a blended learning  
322 strategy being adopted that included a combination of computer-based learning  
323 exercises and a training CD, which facilitated 'self-study' where users could train at a  
324 convenient time and pace.(28) Clearly, this approach may be beneficial when training  
325 prescribers on ePrescribing systems. Therefore, due to the heavy workloads and often  
326 unpredictable schedules of prescribers, it would seem reasonable to suggest a training  
327 approach that allows users to train at their own pace and convenience. Laramee et al.  
328 found that participants preferred written guidance on how to perform tasks rather than  
329 computer 'help' functions. Organisations should therefore consider providing a range  
330 of learning tools to meet users' needs.(28-30) Notably, we found a relatively small  
331 number of studies, which have been conducted either on one particular ward or  
332 organisation, thus may not be generalisable to other settings. The workforce in rural  
333 or remote locations for instance, may lack sufficient resources to hire healthcare  
334 informatics staff who are important for the deployment and ongoing support of  
335 ePrescribing systems, therefore more targeted and accessible approaches such as  
336 checklists and toolkits may be useful.(10)

337 It is likely that other training methods employed in practice are not discussed  
338 in the small number of articles found in this review. Suppliers of ePrescribing systems  
339 may provide a range of training options, such as workshops or e-learning; however  
340 these are typically focused towards key internal staff who will disseminate training to  
341 others or are primarily delivered during the implementation phase, rather than during  
342 the later stages when the systems are embedding (on-going support).

343           The use of e-learning as a method of training clinicians on an ePrescribing  
344 system was considered important in the included studies.(18, 19) A study, which  
345 delivered educational material primarily to nurses via an e-learning tutorial, was  
346 associated with high completion rates of the training module (74% of the 2,080  
347 nurses) and perceived improvements in the completeness of documentation within the  
348 EHR, thus supporting this approach.(31) The American Health Information  
349 Management Association (AHIMA) and the American Medical Informatics  
350 Association (AMIA) developed recommendations related to workforce issues during  
351 EHR implementation and suggested that a range of innovative learning techniques,  
352 including electronic-methods, should be used.(26) E-learning material should be  
353 engaging, potentially including interactive scenarios, simple and concise, clearly  
354 specify learning outcomes, and take care to limit the amount of information  
355 presented.(31) With organisations choosing to migrate from one system to another  
356 (e.g., Brigham and Women’s hospital in Boston recently transitioned from a home-  
357 grown system to a commercial system), and clinicians often rotating between sites  
358 (e.g., between a tertiary care and a community hospital) or specialities (e.g., between  
359 a medical and a surgical rotation), it is important that users feel able to carry out their  
360 key tasks on different systems. Tools such as the University of Victoria’s EHR portal  
361 that provided users with an opportunity to train on a range of systems may be  
362 particularly useful. These ‘virtual learning environments’ should replicate as much as  
363 possible the interoperability issues associated with using multiple systems (e.g. failure  
364 to integrate allergy information from the EHR into the ePrescribing software)(32) so  
365 that prescribers are prepared for these challenges. The importance of intra-system  
366 interoperability, and the need to improve the transfer and use of information between  
367 systems is well-recognised in the literature.(33, 34)



368 Training specifically aimed towards educating prescribers about the  
369 challenges and pitfalls of ePrescribing was rarely discussed. However, studies  
370 frequently include education and training as a solution to some of “the issues”  
371 encountered, or as an explanation for why users fail to use the system as intended.(14,  
372 35-37) Sittig et al. made specific recommendations, such as, providing adequate  
373 training opportunities for clinicians to experience the system before implementation,  
374 potentially enforcing a minimum level of training before use of the system is  
375 authorised. They also proposed that organisations deliver ‘walk-throughs’ of the  
376 different processes for specific clinical staff.(36) This supports the studies by Foster et  
377 al. and Bredfeldt et al, which highlight the need to tailor the clinical scenarios and  
378 content of training to the role, expertise and tasks performed by the user.(18, 22, 38,  
379 39) Training approaches should encompass both procedural tasks (e.g., prescribing)  
380 and cognitive tasks (e.g., interpreting CDS alerts) so that prescribers realise the full  
381 potential of the system.(38) Importantly, prescribers should be able to identify and  
382 address gaps in their own knowledge;(26) learning outcomes can provide a  
383 benchmark for users to judge themselves against.(40) Alongside training, it is  
384 important for system developers to improve the design and usability of ePrescribing  
385 and CDS systems. Increasing CDS alert specificity and sensitivity to produce more  
386 ‘patient-centred’ recommendations is likely to reduce the impact of alert-fatigue and  
387 improve patient outcomes.(41, 42) Implementation is costly,(3) therefore the effect of  
388 interventions, should be evaluated to inform practice.

389

## 390 **CONCLUSION**

391

392 Organisations are currently using a range of learning methods to train  
393 qualified prescribers to use electronic systems. Online learning may facilitate the  
394 training for many users. However, the lack of papers retrieved suggests a need for  
395 additional studies to inform training and assessment methods. Finally, further research  
396 should explore the best way of training users about the pitfalls and challenges  
397 associated with electronic systems.

398

399 **Competing Interests Statement:** The authors have no competing interests to declare.

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414 **References**

- 415 1 Bates DW et al. The impact of computerized physician order entry on medication  
 416 error prevention. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 1999 Jul-Aug;**6**(4):313-21.
- 417 2 Garg A et al. Effects of computerized clinical decision support systems on  
 418 practitioner performance and patient outcomes. A systematic review. *JAMA.*  
 419 2005;**293**:1223 - 38.
- 420 3 Kaushal R et al. Return on investment for a computerized physician order entry  
 421 system. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2006 May-Jun;**13**(3):261-6.
- 422 4 Nuckols TK et al. The effectiveness of computerized order entry at reducing  
 423 preventable adverse drug events and medication errors in hospital settings: A  
 424 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Syst Rev.* 2014 June 04;**3**(1).
- 425 5 Her QL et al. The frequency of inappropriate nonformulary medication alert  
 426 overrides in the inpatient setting. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 2016 ocv181; DOI:  
 427 10.1093/jamia/ocv181
- 428 6 NHS England. The Integrated Digital Care Fund: Achieving integrated health and  
 429 care records. NHS England. 2014.
- 430 7 NHS England. Safer Hospitals, Safer Wards: Achieving an Integrated Digital Care  
 431 Record. NHS England. 2013.
- 432 8 Lord Carter of Coles. Operational productivity and performance in English NHS  
 433 acute hospitals: Unwarranted variations. Department of Health RHJH. 2016.
- 434 9 The Leapfrog Group. Results of the 2014 Leapfrog Hospital Survey: Computerized  
 435 Physician Order Entry; 2014.
- 436 10 Slight SP et al. Meaningful Use of Electronic Health Records: Experiences From  
 437 the Field and Future Opportunities. *JMIR Med Inform.* 2015;**3**(3):e30.
- 438 11 Henderson J et al. Extent and utilisation of computerisation in Australian general  
 439 practice. *Med J Aust.* 2006 Jul 17;**185**(2):84-7.
- 440 12 Ash JS et al. Some Unintended Consequences of Information Technology in  
 441 Health Care: The Nature of Patient Care Information System-related Errors. *J Am*  
 442 *Med Inform Assoc.* 2004 March/April;**11**(2):104-12.
- 443 13 Ruiz JG et al. The impact of E-learning in medical education. *Acad Med.* 2006  
 444 Mar;**81**(3):207-12.
- 445 14 Baysari MT et al. Failure to utilize functions of an electronic prescribing system  
 446 and the subsequent generation of 'technically preventable' computerized alerts. *J Am*  
 447 *Med Inform Assoc.* 2012;**19**(6):1003-10.
- 448 15 Noblin A et al. EHR implementation in a new clinic: A case study of clinician  
 449 perceptions. *J Med Syst.* 2013 August;**37**(4).
- 450 16 van der Sijs H et al. Overriding of drug safety alerts in computerized physician  
 451 order entry. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 2006 Mar-Apr;**13**(2):138-47.
- 452 17 Shulman R et al. Medication errors: a prospective cohort study of hand-written and  
 453 computerised physician order entry in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care.* 2005  
 454 Oct;**9**(5):R516-R21.
- 455 18 Bredfeldt C et al. Training providers: beyond the basics of electronic health  
 456 records. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2013;**13**(1):503.
- 457 19 Jimenez A. E-learning supports EHR implementations. In addition to meaningful  
 458 use, we need to define meaningful training. *Health Manag Technol.* 2010  
 459 Nov;**31**(11):22-3.
- 460 20 Borycki EM et al. The University of Victoria Interdisciplinary Electronic Health  
 461 Record Educational Portal. *Stud Health Technol Inform.* 2009;**143**:49-54.

- 462 21 Kushniruk AW et al. Bringing electronic patient records into health professional  
463 education: towards an integrative framework. *Stud Health Technol Inform.*  
464 2009;**150**:883-7.
- 465 22 Foster S et al. Competency based training program for electronic prescribing  
466 improves patient safety. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* 2011 May;**1**):A19. [conference  
467 abstract]
- 468 23 Ayoub N et al. Developing competency through webinar to establish oncology  
469 pharmacy services at the Aga Khan Hospital Dar-es-Salaam Tanzania. *Pediatr Crit*  
470 *Care Med.* 2014 June;**1**):10.[conference abstract]
- 471 24 Borycki EM et al. From Prototype to Production: Lessons Learned from the  
472 Evolution of an EHR Educational Portal. *AMIA Annu Symp Proc.* 2009;**2009**:55-9.
- 473 25 Ross C, Banchy P. The key to CPOE: thoughtful planning, flexible training and  
474 strong staff involvement leads to a successful CPOE implementation. *Health Manag*  
475 *Technol.* 2007;**28**(11):22.
- 476 26 American Health Information Management Association AMIA. Building the Work  
477 Force for Health Information Transformation. 2006.
- 478 27 Fischer M et al. Effect of electronic prescribing with formulary decision support  
479 on medication use and cost. *Arch Intern Med.* 2008;**168**(22):2433 - 9.
- 480 28 McCain CL. The right mix to support electronic medical record training:  
481 classroom computer-based training and blended learning. *J Nurses Staff Dev.* 2008  
482 Jul-Aug;**24**(4):151-4.
- 483 29 Edwards G et al. Innovative health information technology training: exploring  
484 blended learning. *Comput Inform Nurs.* 2011;**30**(2):104 - 9.
- 485 30 Laramie AS et al. Learning from within to ensure a successful implementation of  
486 an electronic health record. *Comput Inform Nurs.* 2011 Aug;**29**(8):468-77; quiz 78-9.
- 487 31 Topaz M et al. Educating clinicians on new elements incorporated into the  
488 electronic health record: theories, evidence, and one educational project. *Comput*  
489 *Inform Nurs.* 2013 Aug;**31**(8):375-9; quiz 80-1.
- 490 32 Halamka J et al. E-Prescribing Collaboration in Massachusetts: Early Experiences  
491 from Regional Prescribing Projects. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 2006  
492 May/June;**13**(3):239-44.
- 493 33 Ash J, Bates D. Factors and forces affecting EHR system adoption: report of a  
494 2004 ACMI discussion. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 2005;**12**(1):8 - 12.
- 495 34 Poon EG et al. Overcoming barriers to adopting and implementing computerized  
496 physician order entry systems in US hospitals. *Health Aff.* 2004 Jul-Aug;**23**(4):184-  
497 90.
- 498 35 Campbell EM et al. Overdependence on Technology: An Unintended Adverse  
499 Consequence of Computerized Provider Order Entry. *AMIA Annu Symp Proc.*  
500 2007;**2007**:94-8.
- 501 36 Sittig DF et al. Assessing the anticipated consequences of Computer-based  
502 Provider Order Entry at three community hospitals using an open-ended, semi-  
503 structured survey instrument. *Int J Med Inform.* 2008;**77**(7):440-7.
- 504 37 Zhou L et al. How Many Medication Orders are Entered through Free-text in  
505 EHRs? - A Study on Hypoglycemic Agents. *AMIA Annu Symp Proc.* 2012  
506 11/03;**2012**:1079-88.
- 507 38 van Stiphout F et al. Task analysis of information technology-mediated medication  
508 management in outpatient care. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 5 JUN 2015  
509 DOI:10.1111/bcp.12625
- 510 39 Ross S, Patey R, Flin R. Is it time for a nontechnical skills approach to  
511 prescribing? *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2014 Oct;**78**(4):681-3.

- 512 40 Biggs J. Aligning teaching for constructing learning. *The Higher Education*  
513 *Academy*. 2003;p1-4.
- 514 41 Coleman JJ et al. On the alert: future priorities for alerts in clinical decision  
515 support for computerized physician order entry identified from a European workshop.  
516 *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*. 2013;**13**:111.
- 517 42 Seidling HM et al. What, if all alerts were specific - estimating the potential impact  
518 on drug interaction alert burden. *Int J Med Inform*. 2014 Apr;**83**(4):285-91.  
519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534 Table 1: Search Terms

535

Set 1	Set 2	Set 3	Set 4	Set 5	Set 6
(Electronic Prescribing)	(Clinical Decision Support)	(Electronic Medical Record)	(Education Clinical)	(Education Distance)	(Prescriber (Included in Embase Search Only))
Computerized prescriber order entry Computerized provider order entry Electronic physician order entry Electronic order entry Electronic prescribing Electronic prescription Computerized physician order entry CPOE Computerized order entry Medical order entry systems	Clinical decision support Decision support system CDS Drug therapy, computer assisted	Electronic medical record/ Electronic health record Electronic patient record	Education Clinical education Training Course Competence Medical education Clinical competence Competence assessment Prescriber training Prescriber assessment	Education Distance Distance learning Educational non-traditional (CINAHL only)	Prescribed Prescribing Prescription

