

A lower bound on the multicolor size-Ramsey numbers of paths in hypergraphs

Bal, Deepak; DeBiasio, Louis; Lo, Allan

DOI:

[10.1016/j.ejc.2024.103969](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2024.103969)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Bal, D, DeBiasio, L & Lo, A 2024, 'A lower bound on the multicolor size-Ramsey numbers of paths in hypergraphs', *European Journal of Combinatorics*, vol. 120, 103969. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2024.103969>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

European Journal of Combinatorics

journal homepage: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/european-journal-of-combinatorics>

A lower bound on the multicolor size-Ramsey numbers of paths in hypergraphs

Deepak Bal^a, Louis DeBiasio^{b,1}, Allan Lo^{c,2}^a Department of Mathematics, Montclair State University, Montclair, NJ, United States of America^b Department of Mathematics, Miami University, Oxford, OH, United States of America^c School of Mathematics, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 25 August 2023

Accepted 26 March 2024

Available online xxxx

ABSTRACT

The r -color size-Ramsey number of a k -uniform hypergraph H , denoted by $\hat{R}_r(H)$, is the minimum number of edges in a k -uniform hypergraph G such that for every r -coloring of the edges of G there exists a monochromatic copy of H . In the case of 2-uniform paths P_n , it is known that $\Omega(r^2 n) = \hat{R}_r(P_n) = O(r^2 \log r n)$ with the best bounds essentially due to Krivelevich (2019). In a recent breakthrough result, Letzter et al. (2021) gave a linear upper bound on the r -color size-Ramsey number of the k -uniform tight path $P_n^{(k)}$; i.e. $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k)}) = O_{r,k}(n)$. At about the same time, Winter (2023) gave the first non-trivial lower bounds on the 2-color size-Ramsey number of $P_n^{(k)}$ for $k \geq 3$; i.e. $\hat{R}_2(P_n^{(3)}) \geq \frac{8}{3}n - O(1)$ and $\hat{R}_2(P_n^{(k)}) \geq \lceil \log_2(k+1) \rceil n - O_k(1)$ for $k \geq 4$.

We consider the problem of giving a lower bound on the r -color size-Ramsey number of $P_n^{(k)}$ (for fixed k and growing r). Our main result is that $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k)}) = \Omega_k(r^k n)$ which generalizes the best known lower bound for graphs mentioned above. One of the key elements of our proof turns out to be an interesting result of its own. We prove that $\hat{R}_r(P_{k+m}^{(k)}) = \Theta_k(r^m)$ for all $1 \leq m \leq k$; that is, we determine the correct order of magnitude of the r -color size-Ramsey number of every sufficiently short tight path.

All of our results generalize to ℓ -overlapping k -uniform paths $P_n^{(k,\ell)}$. In particular we note that when $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{k}{2}$, we have $\Omega_k(r^2 n) = \hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k,\ell)}) = O(r^2 \log r n)$ which essentially matches

E-mail addresses: deepak.bal@montclair.edu (D. Bal), debiasld@miamioh.edu (L. DeBiasio), s.a.lo@bham.ac.uk (A. Lo).¹ Research supported in part by U.S. National Science Foundation grant DMS-1954170.² The research leading to these results was supported by EPSRC, United Kingdom, grant no. EP/V002279/1 and EP/V048287/1. There are no additional data beyond that contained within the main manuscript.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2024.103969>0195-6698/© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

the best known bounds for graphs mentioned above. Additionally, in the case $k = 3$, $\ell = 2$, and $r = 2$, we give a more precise estimate which implies $\hat{R}_2(P_n^{(3)}) \geq \frac{28}{9}n - O(1)$, improving on the above-mentioned lower bound of Winter in the case $k = 3$.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Given hypergraphs G and H and a positive integer r , we write $G \rightarrow_r H$ to mean that in every r -coloring of the edges of G , there exists a monochromatic copy of H . Given a k -uniform hypergraph H , the r -color size-Ramsey number of H , denoted by $\hat{R}_r(H)$, is the minimum number of edges in a k -uniform hypergraph G such that $G \rightarrow_r H$. The r -color Ramsey number of H , denoted by $R_r(H)$, is the minimum number of vertices in a k -uniform hypergraph G such that $G \rightarrow_r H$. If $r = 2$, then we drop the subscript.

For all integers $0 \leq \ell \leq k - 1$ and positive integer m , a k -uniform ℓ -overlapping path (or a (k, ℓ) -path for short) with m edges is a k -uniform graph on vertex set $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k+(m-1)(k-\ell)}\}$ with edges $\{v_{(i-1)(k-\ell)+1}, \dots, v_{i(k-\ell)+k}\}$ for all $i \in [m]$ (note that the case $\ell = 0$ corresponds to a matching). Note that any pair of consecutive edges has exactly ℓ vertices in common. A (k, ℓ) -path with n vertices, denoted by $P_n^{(k, \ell)}$, has $\frac{n-\ell}{k-\ell}$ edges (so whenever we write $P_n^{(k, \ell)}$, we are implicitly assuming that $k - \ell$ divides $n - \ell$). We sometimes write $P_{\ell+m(k-\ell)}^{(k, \ell)}$ to emphasize that the (k, ℓ) -path has m edges. If $\ell = 0, 1, k - 1$, then $P_n^{(k, \ell)}$ is a k -uniform matching, a k -uniform loose path and a k -uniform tight path, respectively. We write $P_n^{(k)}$ and P_n for $P_n^{(k, k-1)}$ and $P_n^{(2)}$, respectively.

For graphs, Beck [6] proved $\hat{R}(P_n) = O(n)$ and the best known bounds [5,8] are $(3.75 - o(1))n \leq \hat{R}(P_n) < 74n$. For the r -color version, it is known [5,9,10,27] that

$$\Omega(r^2 n) = \hat{R}_r(P_n) = O((r^2 \log r)n). \quad (1.1)$$

After a number of partial results, e.g. [7,20,31], Letzter, Pokrovskiy, and Yepremyan [29] recently proved that for all $r \geq 2$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq k - 1$, $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k, \ell)}) = O_{r,k}(n)$ (their result covers more than just the case of paths, but for simplicity we do not state their general result here). At around the same time, Winter provided the first non-trivial lower bounds on the size-Ramsey number of $P_n^{(k, \ell)}$ for 2 colors.

Theorem 1.1 (Winter [35,36]). *For all integers $n \geq k \geq 2$,*

- (i) $\hat{R}(P_n^{(3)}) \geq \frac{8}{3}n - \frac{28}{3}$.
- (ii) $\hat{R}(P_n^{(k)}) \geq \lceil \log_2(k+1) \rceil n - 2k^2$.
- (iii) *For all integers $\frac{2k}{3} < \ell \leq k - 1$, $\hat{R}(P_n^{(k, \ell)}) \geq \frac{\lceil \log_2(\frac{2k-\ell}{k-\ell}) \rceil}{k-\ell} n - 5k^2$.*

In this paper, we prove a general lower bound on the r -color size-Ramsey number of $P_n^{(k, \ell)}$. Our lower bound depends on both the r -color Ramsey number of the path $P_n^{(k, \ell)}$ (for which the order of magnitude $R_r(P_n^{(k, \ell)}) = \Theta_k(rn)$ is already known; see (1.2) below) and the r -color size-Ramsey number of the “short” path $P_{\ell-1+\lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor(k-\ell)}^{(k-1, \ell-1)}$ (for which essentially nothing was known). See the first paragraph of Section 3 for an explanation of the significance of $P_{\ell-1+\lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor(k-\ell)}^{(k-1, \ell-1)}$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $r \geq 2$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq k - 1$ be integers, and set $q := \ell - 1 + \lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor(k - \ell)$ and $c_0 := c_0(r, k, \ell) = 2k^2 \hat{R}_r(P_q^{(k-1, \ell-1)})$. For all integers $n \geq c_0$, we have*

$$\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k, \ell)}) \geq \frac{1}{k} \hat{R}_r(P_q^{(k-1, \ell-1)}) R_r(P_{n-c_0}^{(k, \ell)}).$$

In particular, if $\ell = k - 1$, then $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k)}) \geq \frac{1}{k} \hat{R}_r(P_{2k-2}^{(k-1)}) R_r(P_{n-c_0}^{(k)})$.

As mentioned above, it is known that $R_r(P_n^{(k,\ell)}) = \Theta_k(rn)$. More specifically, for all integers $r, k \geq 2$ and sufficiently large n ,

$$\left(1 + \frac{r-1}{(k-\ell) \lceil \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rceil}\right)n - O_r(1) < R_r(P_n^{(k,\ell)}) \leq R_r(P_n^{(k)}) < (1 + o(1))rn, \quad (1.2)$$

where the upper bound follows from a result of Allen, Böttcher, Cooley, Mycroft [1] and the lower bound is due to Proposition 2.1 (there are more precise results for some specific values of k and r , but we defer this discussion to Section 2). As a result, in order to get an explicit lower bound from Theorem 1.2, it remains to get a lower bound on $\hat{R}_r(P_q^{(k-1,\ell-1)})$, where $q := \ell - 1 + \lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor (k-\ell)$. In fact, we are able to determine $\hat{R}_r(P_q^{(k-1,\ell-1)})$ exactly up to a constant factor depending on k ; more specifically, we show $\hat{R}_r(P_q^{(k-1,\ell-1)}) = \Theta_k(r^{\lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor - 1})$ (or in the case of tight paths, $\hat{R}_r(P_{2k-2}^{(k-1)}) = \Theta_k(r^{k-1})$). We obtain this result as a consequence of the following more general theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *For all integers $1 \leq \ell \leq k-1$ and $1 \leq m \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor$, we have*

$$\hat{R}_r(P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k,\ell)}) = \Theta_k(r^m).$$

In particular, when $\ell = k-1$, this says that for all $1 \leq m \leq k$, $\hat{R}_r(P_{k+m}^{(k)}) = \Theta_k(r^m)$.

In Section 6, we have a further discussion about the hidden constants in Theorem 1.3.

From (1.2) and Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 (with the appropriate reindexing – see the proof in Section 5), we get the following corollary.

Corollary 1.4. *For all integers $r, k \geq 2$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq k-1$, $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k,\ell)}) = \Omega_k(r^{\lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor} n)$. In particular, if $\ell = k-1$, then $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k)}) = \Omega_k(r^k n)$.*

In the case $k = 2$, we are able to refine the bound in Theorem 1.3 by determining the r -color size-Ramsey number of P_4 for all $r \geq 2$ within a factor of 4.

Theorem 1.5. *For all integers $r \geq 2$, $\frac{r^2}{2} < \hat{R}_r(P_4) \leq (r+1)(2r+1)$.*

Additionally we note that for $k = r = 2$, Harary and Miller [21] proved that

$$\hat{R}(P_4) = 7. \quad (1.3)$$

So as a consequence of Theorem 1.5 and (1.3), we get a refinement of Corollary 1.4 for tight paths when $k = 3$.

Corollary 1.6.

$$\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(3)}) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{28n}{9} - 390 & \text{if } r = 2, \\ \frac{r^2(r+2)}{12}n - O(r^5) & \text{if } r \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Finally, when $1 \leq \ell \leq k/2$, we slightly improve Corollary 1.4. We show that $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k,\ell)}) = \Omega_k(r^{\lceil \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rceil} n) = \Omega_k(r^2 n)$, which matches, up to a $\log r$ factor, the best known upper bound (Observation 4.1 implies that $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k,\ell)}) \leq \hat{R}_r(P_n)$ and as mentioned above, Krivelevich [27] proved that $\hat{R}_r(P_n) = O((r^2 \log r)n)$).

Theorem 1.7. *For all integers $r, k \geq 2$, $1 \leq \ell \leq k/2$, and $n > 12r^2(k-\ell) + \ell$, we have $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k,\ell)}) = \Omega_k(r^2 n)$.*

1.1. Notation

We sometimes write k -graph to mean k -uniform hypergraph and k -path to mean k -uniform tight path. Given a k -graph H and a vertex $v \in V(H)$, the *link graph* of v in H , denoted by H_v , is the

$(k-1)$ -graph on $V(H)$ such that $e \in \binom{V(H)}{k-1}$ is an edge of H_v if and only if $e \cup \{v\}$ is an edge of H . For a subset $S \subseteq V(H)$, we write $H \setminus S$ to be the sub- k -graph of H obtained by deleting vertices of S . We sometimes also identify H with its edge set.

We write $f(r, k, d) = O_{k,d}(g(r, k, d))$ to mean that there exists a constant $C_{k,d}$, possibly depending on k and d , such that $f(r, k, d) \leq C_{k,d} \cdot g(r, k, d)$ for sufficiently large r . Likewise for $f(r, k, d) = \Omega_{k,d}(g(r, k, d))$ and $f(r, k, d) = \Theta_{k,d}(g(r, k, d))$.

For integers a and b , we write $[b]$ for $\{1, \dots, b\}$ and $[a, b]$ for $\{a, a+1, \dots, b\}$.

1.2. Organization of paper

In Section 2, we give lower bounds on the Ramsey numbers of (k, ℓ) -paths and upper bounds on the size Ramsey numbers of short tight paths. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.2, and in Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.3. Section 5 contains proofs of the remaining results discussed above. Finally, we discuss some open problems in Section 6.

2. Relationship between size-Ramsey numbers, Ramsey numbers, and Turán numbers of paths

While there are many papers which give exact results [15,17], asymptotic results [18,22,23,30], and bounds [26] on $R_r(P_n^{(k,\ell)})$ for some particular values of r, k, ℓ , the general problem of (asymptotically) determining $R_r(P_n^{(k,\ell)})$ is completely wide open. For our purposes, we will only need a lower bound on $R(P_n^{(k,\ell)})$ which holds for all n , and an upper bound on $R(P_n^{(k)})$ which holds for $n = O(k)$. We note that even if the exact value of $R_r(P_n^{(k)})$ was known for all $r, k, n \geq 2$, the only effect it would have on our results is slightly improving the hidden constants in Corollary 1.4.

2.1. A lower bound on the Ramsey number of (k, ℓ) -paths

Recall that $P_{km}^{(k,0)}$ is the k -uniform matching of size m . The following proposition gives a lower bound on $R_r(P_n^{(k,\ell)})$, which is based on the construction of Alon, Frankl, and Lovász [3] giving a lower bound on $R_r(P_{km}^{(k,0)})$, and was essentially already observed in [23].

Proposition 2.1. *For all integers $r, k \geq 2, m \geq 1, 1 \leq \ell \leq k-1$, and $n = \ell + m(k-\ell)$, we have*

$$R_r(P_n^{(k,\ell)}) \geq (r-1) \left\lceil \frac{n-\ell}{(k-\ell) \lceil \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rceil} \right\rceil + n-r+1 > \left(1 + \frac{r-1}{(k-\ell) \lceil \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rceil} \right) n - 2(r-1).$$

In particular, when $k-\ell$ divides k , we have $R_r(P_n^{(k,\ell)}) > \frac{r-1+k}{k} n - 2(r-1)$ and when $1 \leq \ell \leq k/2$, we have $R_r(P_n^{(k,\ell)}) > \frac{r-1+2(k-\ell)}{2(k-\ell)} n - 2(r-1)$.

Proof. Let $m' = \lceil m / \lceil \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rceil \rceil$ and note that $P_n^{(k,\ell)}$ contains a matching of size m' .

Let A_1, \dots, A_{r-1} be disjoint vertex sets each of order $m'-1$ and one vertex set A_r of order $n-1$. Then take a complete k -graph on $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_r$ and color each edge e with the smallest index i such that $e \cap A_i \neq \emptyset$. Note that for all $i \in [r-1]$, there is no matching of color i of order m' and thus there is no (k, ℓ) -path of color i of order n . Also, all edges of color r are contained in A_r which is of order $n-1$, so there is no (k, ℓ) -path of color r of order n . So we have $R_r(P_n^{(k,\ell)}) > (r-1)(m'-1) + n-1 = (r-1)m' + n-r$, as desired. \square

We also note that in the case of graphs it is known [37] that $R_r(P_n) \geq (r-1)(n-1)$ for all $r \geq 3$, which is better than the bound above when $k=2$ and $r \geq 4$.

We now consider the case when r is sufficiently large compared to k and n , in which case we can improve the lower bound by a factor of k for infinitely many r . Let $K_n^{(k)}$ be the complete k -graph on n vertices. A $K_n^{(k)}$ -decomposition of $K_N^{(k)}$ is a collection $\mathcal{K}_n^{(k)}$ of edge-disjoint $K_n^{(k)}$ such that every edge of $K_N^{(k)}$ is contained in exactly one copy of $K_n^{(k)}$. Keevash [25] proved that a $K_n^{(k)}$ -decomposition

of $K_N^{(k)}$ exists for sufficiently large N subject to some necessary divisibility conditions. Glock, Kühn, Lo, and Osthus [16] gave an alternative proof.³

Theorem 2.2 (Keevash [25], Glock, Kühn, Lo, and Osthus [16]). *For all integers $1 \leq k \leq n$, there exists $N_0 = N_0(n, k)$ such that there is a $K_n^{(k)}$ -decomposition of $K_N^{(k)}$ for all integers $N > N_0$ with $\binom{n-i}{k-i} | \binom{N-i}{k-i}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$.*

We use Theorem 2.2 to extend a result of Axenovich, Gyárfás, Liu, and Mubayi [4, Theorem 11] who proved that $R_r(P_5^{(3)}) \geq 2r(1 - o(1))$ for all $r \geq 2$. As in [4], we use the following result of Pippenger and Spencer [34] (stated here only for regular hypergraphs).

Theorem 2.3 (Pippenger and Spencer [34]). *Every D -regular, m -uniform hypergraph having the property that every pair of vertices is contained together in $o(D)$ edges can be decomposed into $(1 + o(1))D$ many matchings.*

Proposition 2.4. *For all integers $n > k \geq 2$ there exists an $r_0 = r_0(n, k)$ such that for infinitely many integers $r \geq r_0$, $R_r(P_n^{(k)}) \geq r(n - k)(1 - o(1))$.*

Proof. Let $N_0 = N_0(n - 1, k)$ be given by Theorem 2.2. Let $N \geq N_0$ be an integer such that $\binom{n-1-i}{k-i} | \binom{N-i}{k-i}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. So there exists a $K_{n-1}^{(k)}$ -decomposition $\mathcal{K}_{n-1}^{(k)}$ of $K_N^{(k)}$. Let $V = V(K_N^{(k)})$. Define an $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ -graph H with $V(H) = \binom{V}{k-1}$ and $E(H) = \{ \binom{V(K)}{k-1} : K \in \mathcal{K}_{n-1}^{(k)} \}$. The degree of every $S \in V(H)$ in H is the number of $K_{n-1}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{K}_{n-1}^{(k)}$ containing S , which is $(N - (k - 1)) / ((n - 1) - (k - 1)) = (N - k + 1) / (n - k)$. Given any two distinct $S, S' \in V(H)$, note that $S \cup S'$ contains an edge of $K_N^{(k)}$, so there is at most one $K_{n-1}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{K}_{n-1}^{(k)}$ containing $S \cup S'$. Hence every pair of vertices in H is contained together in at most one edge. Now Theorem 2.3 implies that H can be decomposed into at most $(1 + o(1))(N - k + 1) / (n - k)$ matchings, and we color each such matching with a distinct color. Let r be the number of colors used, so $N = (1 - o(1))r(n - k)$. Each matching corresponds to a set of $K_{n-1}^{(k)}$ which pairwise intersect in at most $k - 2$ vertices, so the largest tight component has order $n - 1$ and thus there are no monochromatic copies of $P_n^{(k)}$. \square

2.2. An upper bound on the size-Ramsey number of short tight paths

We will later need an upper bound on $\hat{R}_r(P_{\ell+m(k-\ell)}^{(k,\ell)})$ for all integers $1 \leq \ell \leq k - 1$ and $2 \leq m \leq \lceil \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rceil + 1$; however, we will prove in Lemma 4.2 that $\hat{R}_r(P_{\ell+m(k-\ell)}^{(k,\ell)}) \leq \hat{R}_r(P_{2m-2}^{(m-1)})$. As a result, we only discuss tight paths in this subsection.

For graphs, it is known that

$$R_r(P_3) = \begin{cases} r + 1 & \text{if } r \text{ is even} \\ r + 2 & \text{if } r \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

which is equivalent to determining the edge chromatic number of complete graphs. Bierbrauer [6] proved the following surprisingly difficult result

$$R_r(P_4) = \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } r = 3, \\ 2r + 2 & \text{if } r \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 2r + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

For 3-uniform hypergraphs, Axenovich, Gyárfás, Liu, and Mubayi [4, Theorem 7] determine $R_r(P_4^{(3)})$ exactly for all $r \geq 2$ (it is either $r + 1$, $r + 2$ or $r + 3$ depending on divisibility conditions on r). They also prove [4, Theorem 11] that $2r(1 - o(1)) \leq R_r(P_5^{(3)}) \leq 2r + 3$ for all $r \geq 2$.

³ Note that the results in [16,25] are phrased in terms of designs, so the reader should note that a $K_n^{(k)}$ -decomposition of $K_N^{(k)}$ is equivalent to a k -($N, n, 1$) design.

Given a k -graph H , let $\text{ex}(n, H)$ be the maximum number of edges in a k -graph G on n vertices such that $H \not\subseteq G$. Note that if G is a k -graph on n vertices with more than $\text{ex}(n, H)$ edges, then $H \subseteq G$.

Kalai conjectured (see [11]) that for all integers $N \geq n \geq k \geq 2$, $\text{ex}(N, P_n^{(k)}) \leq \frac{n-k}{k} \binom{N}{k-1}$. While Kalai's conjecture is still open, a straightforward bound of

$$\text{ex}(N, P_n^{(k)}) \leq (n-1) \binom{N}{k-1} \quad (2.2)$$

was given explicitly by Györi, Katona, and Lemons [19, Theorem 1.11], and in more generality by Füredi and Jiang [12] (see [13] for further discussion). The best known bounds are due to Füredi, Jiang, Kostochka, Mubayi, and Verstraëte [14], but as their result depends on the parity of k and only improves on (2.2) by about a factor of 2, we will use the simpler bound given in (2.2).

We will also use the following trivial observation

$$\hat{R}_r(H) \leq \binom{R_r(H)}{k}. \quad (2.3)$$

Combining (2.2) and (2.3) we have the following corollary (which will be useful when $n = O(k)$).

Corollary 2.5. *For all integers $n, r, k \geq 2$, $R(P_n^{(k)}) \leq rkn$ and thus $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k)}) \leq \binom{rkn}{k} \leq (ern)^k$.*

Proof. We first show that $R(P_n^{(k)}) \leq rkn$. Note that

$$\frac{1}{r} \binom{rkn}{k} = \frac{rkn - k + 1}{rk} \binom{rkn}{k-1} > (n-1) \binom{rkn}{k-1},$$

so the majority color class in any r -coloring of $K_{rkn}^{(k)}$ contains a copy of $P_n^{(k)}$ by (2.2).

Thus by (2.3), we have $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k)}) \leq \binom{rkn}{k} \leq (ern)^k$ as desired. \square

Note that if Kalai's conjecture is true, then we would have $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k)}) \leq \binom{rn}{k}$. (So for example, if $n \leq 2k$, we would have $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k)}) \leq \binom{rn}{k} \leq \left(\frac{ern}{k}\right)^k \leq (2er)^k$.)

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 (which can be thought of as a generalization of [5, Lemma 2.4, Corollary 2.5]). Given a (k, ℓ) -path $P = v_1 v_2 \dots v_p$, let the *exterior* of P , denoted by P_{ext} , be the set consisting of the first ℓ vertices and the last ℓ vertices of P ; that is $P_{\text{ext}} = \{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\} \cup \{v_{p-\ell+1}, \dots, v_p\}$, and let the *interior* of P , denoted by P_{int} , be $V(P) \setminus P_{\text{ext}}$ (so for example in the case $k = 2$, the endpoints of the path form the exterior and the remaining vertices form the interior). The key observation is that if $v \in P_{\text{int}}$, then the link graph of v in P is either a $(k-1, \ell-1)$ -path with $\lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor$ edges or a $(k-1, \ell-1)$ -path with $\lceil \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rceil$ edges (and this is not necessarily the case if $v \in P_{\text{ext}}$).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Recall that $q = \ell - 1 + \lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor (k - \ell)$, set $\hat{d} = \hat{R}_r(P_q^{(k-1, \ell-1)})$, and set $n' = n - 2k^2 \hat{d}$. Let $H = (V, E)$ be a k -graph with

$$|E| < \frac{\hat{d}}{k} R_r(P_{n'}^{(k, \ell)}). \quad (3.1)$$

We will show that there is an r -coloring of H with no monochromatic $P_n^{(k, \ell)}$.

Let $S = \{v \in V : d(v) < \hat{d}\}$. Since

$$\hat{d} \cdot |V \setminus S| \leq \sum_{v \in V} d(v) = k \cdot |E| < \hat{d} \cdot R_r(P_{n'}^{(k, \ell)}),$$

we have $|V \setminus S| < R_r(P_{n'}^{(k,\ell)})$ and thus we can color $H \setminus S$ with r colors so there is no monochromatic $P_{n'}^{(k,\ell)}$ in $H \setminus S$.

Let E_S be the set of edges from E which intersect S and let H_S be the k -graph induced by edges in E_S . Note that for every vertex in the interior of a (k, ℓ) -path, its link graph contains a $(k-1, \ell-1)$ -path with $\lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor$ edges; i.e. $P_q^{(k-1, \ell-1)}$. Recall that every $v \in S$ has degree less than $\hat{d} = R_r(P_q^{(k-1, \ell-1)})$ in H_S . So if we can color the edges of H_S so that there is no monochromatic $P_q^{(k-1, \ell-1)}$ in the link graph of every $v \in S$, then no vertex of S will be in the interior of any monochromatic (k, ℓ) -paths. Thus H would have no monochromatic (k, ℓ) -path of order $n' + 2\ell$ (as there are no monochromatic (k, ℓ) -paths of order n' in $H \setminus S$ and there are 2ℓ vertices in the exterior of a (k, ℓ) -path). However, we cannot color each link graph of $v \in S$ independently since it is possible for an edge to contain more than one vertex from S . Instead, we are able to provide an r -coloring having the property that if a vertex of S is in the interior of a monochromatic (k, ℓ) -path, then it must be within distance $k^2\hat{d}$ from an endpoint of P (which explains the reason for the definition of n').

We begin by partitioning S into sets S_1, \dots, S_c such that for all $e \in E_S$ and all $i \in [c]$, $|e \cap S_i| \leq 1$ with c as small as possible. Since H_S is a k -uniform hypergraph with maximum degree at most $\hat{d}-1$, the usual greedy coloring algorithm (color the vertices one by one and note that each vertex is contained in an edge with at most $(k-1)(\hat{d}-1)$ vertices which have already been colored) implies that

$$c \leq (k-1)(\hat{d}-1) + 1 \leq k\hat{d}.$$

For all $i \in [c]$, let E_i be the set of edges which are incident with a vertex in S_i but no vertices in $S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{i-1}$ and let H_i be the k -graph induced by edges in E_i . Note that $\{E_1, \dots, E_c\}$ is a partition of E_S . For each $i \in [c]$, E_i can be further partitioned based on which vertex in S_i they are adjacent to. For all $i \in [c]$ and all $v \in S_i$, we color the edges of E_i incident with v so that there are no monochromatic copies of $P_q^{(k-1, \ell-1)}$ in the link graph of v in H_i (which is possible by the definition of \hat{d} and the previous sentences).

We now show that H does not contain a monochromatic (k, ℓ) -path on n vertices. Suppose $P = v_1 v_2 \dots v_p$ is a monochromatic (k, ℓ) -path in H . The next claim shows that if $v_j \in S$, then v_j is not far from v_1 or v_p .

Claim 3.1. *If $v_j \in S$, then $\min\{j, p-j+1\} \leq \ell + (k-1)c$.*

Proof of Claim 3.1. Let $j_0 = j$ and $i_0 \in [c]$ be such that $v_{j_0} \in S_{i_0}$. If $v_{j_0} \in P_{\text{ext}}$, then $\min\{j, p-j+1\} \leq \ell$ and so we are done. If $v_{j_0} \in P_{\text{int}}$, then we will show that there is a vertex $v_{j_1} \in S_{i_1}$ such that $|j_1 - j_0| \leq k-1$ and $i_1 < i_0$. We then repeat this argument for v_{j_1} and note that this will stop within c rounds.

Formally, we will show that there exists $b \in [c]$, $j_0, j_1, \dots, j_b \in [p]$, and $i_0 > i_1 > \dots > i_b \geq 1$ such that $v_{j_b} \in P_{\text{ext}}$ and, for all $a \in [b]$, $|j_a - j_{a-1}| \leq k-1$. Since $v_{j_b} \in P_{\text{ext}}$, we have $j_b \in [\ell] \cup [p-\ell+1, p]$. If $j_b \in [\ell]$, then we have

$$j_0 = j_b + \sum_{a \in [b]} (j_{a-1} - j_a) \leq j_b + \sum_{a \in [b]} |j_{a-1} - j_a| \leq \ell + c(k-1)$$

and a similar calculation shows that if $j_b \in [p-\ell+1, p]$, then $p-j+1 \leq \ell + (k-1)c$. This implies the claim.

Suppose that we have found j_a and i_a for some $a \geq 0$. If $j_a \in [\ell] \cup [p-\ell+1, p]$, then we are done. Suppose that $j_a \notin [\ell] \cup [p-\ell+1, p]$, that is, $v_{j_a} \in P_{\text{int}}$. Note that the link graph of v_{j_a} in P contains $P_q^{(k-1, \ell-1)}$. Thus by the coloring of the edges in E_S , there must exist $j_{a+1} \in [j_a-k+1, j_a+k-1]$ and $i_{a+1} < i_a$ such that $v_{j_{a+1}} \in S_{i_{a+1}}$. ■

By Claim 3.1, we know that if we remove $\ell + c(k-1)$ vertices from each end of P , then the resulting (k, ℓ) -path lies in $H \setminus S$, which has order at most $n' - 1$ by the coloring of $H \setminus S$. Therefore, we have $p \leq 2(\ell + c(k-1)) + n' - 1 < 2k^2\hat{d} + n' = n$ as required. □

4. Size-Ramsey numbers of short (k, ℓ) -paths

4.1. Upper bound

Dudek, La Fleur, Mubayi, and Rödl [7] observed that for all $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{k}{2}$, $\hat{R}(P_n^{(k, \ell)}) \leq \hat{R}(P_n)$ and Han, Kohayakawa, Letzter, Mota, and Parczyk [20] observed that if 3 divides k , then $\hat{R}(P_n^{(k, 2k/3)}) \leq \hat{R}(P_n^{(3)})$. We begin with a generalization of these observations (the first part was already mentioned by Winter [35] in the case $r = 2$).

Observation 4.1. *Let $n, r, k \geq 2$ be integers.*

- (i) *For all $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{k}{2}$, $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k, \ell)}) \leq \hat{R}_r(P_{\frac{n-\ell}{k-\ell}+1})$.*
- (ii) *For all $n \geq k > \ell \geq 1$ and $d \geq 1$ such that d divides n, k , and ℓ , $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k, \ell)}) \leq \hat{R}_r(P_{n/d}^{(k/d, \ell/d)})$.*
- (iii) *For $1 \leq \ell \leq k-1$, and $1 \leq m < \frac{k}{k-\ell}$, $\hat{R}_r(P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k, \ell)}) \leq \hat{R}_r(P_{\ell-1+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k-1, \ell-1)})$.*

Proof. For (i), let $m = \frac{n-\ell}{k-\ell}$, so that $P_n^{(k, \ell)}$ has m edges. Begin with a graph G such that $G \rightarrow_r P_{m+1}$. Now replace each vertex with a set of ℓ vertices and add an additional $k-2\ell$ unique vertices to each edge to get a k -graph H with the same number of edges as G such that $H \rightarrow_r P_n^{(k, \ell)}$ (any r -coloring of H corresponds to an r -coloring of G , and any monochromatic P_{m+1} in G corresponds to a monochromatic $P_n^{(k, \ell)}$ in H).

For (ii), begin with a (k/d) -graph G such that $G \rightarrow_r P_{n/d}^{(k/d, \ell/d)}$. Now replace each vertex with a set of d vertices to get a k -graph H with the same number of edges as G such that $H \rightarrow_r P_n^{(k, \ell)}$.

For (iii), note that $P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k, \ell)}$ contains a vertex v such that its link graph is precisely $P_{\ell-1+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k-1, \ell-1)}$. Begin with a $(k-1)$ -graph G such that $G \rightarrow_r P_{\ell-1+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k-1, \ell-1)}$. Now let y be a new vertex and add y to each edge of G to get a k -graph H with the same number of edges as G such that $H \rightarrow_r P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k, \ell)}$. \square

The following lemma provides an upper bound on the r -color size-Ramsey number of every sufficiently short (k, ℓ) -path.

Lemma 4.2. *For all integers $r \geq 2$, $1 \leq \ell \leq k-1$, and $1 \leq m \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor$,*

$$\hat{R}_r(P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k, \ell)}) \leq \hat{R}_r(P_{2m}^{(m)}) \leq (2emr)^m.$$

In particular (when $m = 1$), we have $\hat{R}_r(P_{2k-\ell}^{(k, \ell)}) = r + 1$.

Proof. First suppose that $k-\ell$ divides k and $m = \frac{k}{k-\ell}$. In this case we have $\frac{\ell}{k-\ell} = \frac{k}{k-\ell} - 1 = m-1$ and $\frac{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}{k-\ell} = 2m$. So by Observation 4.1(ii) and Corollary 2.5, we have $\hat{R}_r(P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k, \ell)}) \leq \hat{R}_r(P_{2m}^{(m)}) \leq (2emr)^m$.

Next suppose that $m < \frac{k}{k-\ell}$. In this case, there is a (non-empty) set of $k-m(k-\ell)$ vertices which are contained in every edge of $P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k, \ell)}$. Take an $(m(k-\ell))$ -graph H with $\hat{R}_r(P_{2m(k-\ell)}^{(m(k-\ell), (m-1)(k-\ell))})$ edges such that $H \rightarrow_r \hat{R}_r(P_{2m(k-\ell)}^{(m(k-\ell), (m-1)(k-\ell))})$ and create a k -graph H' having the same number of edges as H by adding a set U of $k-m(k-\ell)$ vertices which are contained in every edge of H . Now in every r -coloring of the edges of H' (and by extension H), there is a monochromatic copy of $P_{2m(k-\ell)}^{(m(k-\ell), (m-1)(k-\ell))}$ in H and thus a monochromatic copy of $P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k, \ell)}$ in H' . Now by Observation 4.1(ii) and Corollary 2.5, we have

$$\hat{R}_r(P_{2m(k-\ell)}^{(m(k-\ell), (m-1)(k-\ell))}) \leq \hat{R}_r(P_{2m}^{(m)}) \leq (2emr)^m.$$

Note that when $m = 1$ we get the more precise bound because we trivially have $\hat{R}_r(P_{2m}^{(m)}) = r + 1$. \square

4.2. Lower bound

The following lemma will be applied with $\left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2f(k, \ell, m)} \right\rfloor$ in place of r to give us a lower bound on $\hat{R}_r(P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k, \ell)})$ for all $1 \leq m \leq \left\lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \right\rfloor$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $r, k \geq 2$, $1 \leq \ell \leq k-1$, and $1 \leq m \leq \left\lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \right\rfloor$ be integers. For all $j \in [m+1]$, set $t_j = k - (j-1)(k-\ell)$ and set*

$$f(k, \ell, m) := \binom{k}{\ell} \sum_{j \in [m]} \binom{\ell}{t_{j+1}} \text{ and } g(k, \ell, m) := \prod_{j \in [m-1]} \binom{t_j}{t_{j+1}}.$$

If H is a k -graph with $|H| \leq \frac{r^m}{g(k, \ell, m)}$, then there exists a $(2r \cdot f(k, \ell, m) + 1)$ -edge-coloring of H without a monochromatic $P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k, \ell)}$.

Note that if $\ell = k-1$, then we have $g(k, k-1, m) = k(k-1) \cdots (k-(m-2))$ and $f(k, k-1, m) = k \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{k-1}{i}$.

Before beginning the proof, we give a high level overview. We are given a k -uniform hypergraph H with a sufficiently small number of edges which we must color in such a way that there is no monochromatic $P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k, \ell)}$. In order to produce such a coloring, we actually prove something stronger. We say that a pair of edges $(e, f) \in E(H) \times E(H)$ is “dangerous” if $|e \cap f| = \ell$ and they satisfy an additional special property (see (4.1) below). As we will prove, every copy of $P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k, \ell)}$ contains a dangerous pair of edges. Furthermore, the definition of dangerous will imply that for every edge $e \in E(H)$, there are only a bounded number of $f \in E(H)$ for which (e, f) is a dangerous pair. This allows us to greedily color the edges of H so that no dangerous pair of edges receives the same color and therefore there is no monochromatic copy of $P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k, \ell)}$. Now we proceed to the formal proof.

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Set $B_1 = H$. For all $j \in [2, m+1]$, let B_j be the t_j -graph on $V(H)$ such that

$$B_j = \left\{ S \in \binom{V}{t_j} : \deg_{B_{j-1}}(S) > r \right\}.$$

In words, for all $j \in [2, m+1]$, B_j is a t_j -graph, whose edges are those with degree “too large” in B_{j-1} . We first establish the following claim.

Claim 4.4. $B_{m+1} = \emptyset$.

Note that when $m = \left\lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \right\rfloor$ and $k-\ell$ divides k , B_{m+1} is the 0-graph and we take $B_{m+1} = \emptyset$ to mean that the empty set is not an edge of B_{m+1} ; that is, B_m has at most r edges.

Proof of Claim. If $B_{m+1} \neq \emptyset$, then there is some t_{m+1} -set which has degree greater than r in B_m and thus $|B_m| > r$. Observe that for all $j \in [2, m]$,

$$r|B_j| < \sum_{S \in B_j} \deg_{B_{j-1}}(S) \leq \binom{t_{j-1}}{t_j} |B_{j-1}|.$$

Hence

$$|E(H)| = |B_1| > \frac{r}{\binom{t_1}{t_2}} |B_2| > \cdots > \frac{r^{m-1}}{\binom{t_1}{t_2} \cdots \binom{t_{m-1}}{t_m}} |B_m| > \frac{r^m}{\binom{t_1}{t_2} \cdots \binom{t_{m-1}}{t_m}} = \frac{r^m}{g(k, \ell, m)},$$

a contradiction. ■

We say that a pair of edges $(e, e') \in E(H) \times E(H)$ is *dangerous* if

$$|e \cap e'| = \ell \text{ and } \exists j^* \in [m] \text{ and } S \in \binom{e \cap e'}{t_{j^*+1}} \setminus B_{j^*+1} \text{ such that } S \cup (e' \setminus e) \in B_{j^*}. \quad (4.1)$$

We now prove that every copy of $P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k, \ell)}$ in H contains a dangerous pair of edges.

Claim 4.5. Let P be a copy of $P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k,\ell)}$ in H with consecutive edges e_1, \dots, e_{m+1} . Then there exists $i^* \in [m]$ such that (e_{i^*}, e_{i^*+1}) is dangerous.

Proof of Claim. First note that for all $i, i' \in [m+1]$ with $i < i'$, $|e_i \cap e_{i'}| = k - (i' - i)(k - \ell) = t_{i' - i + 1}$. We begin by showing that there exist $i^*, j^* \in [m]$ such that $e_{i^*} \cap e_{i^*+j^*-1}, e_{i^*+1} \cap e_{i^*+j^*} \in B_{j^*}$ and $e_{i^*} \cap e_{i^*+j^*} \notin B_{j^*+1}$. For all $j \in [m+1]$, let Q_j be the (t_j, t_{j+1}) -path with consecutive edges $e_1 \cap e_j, \dots, e_{m+2-j} \cap e_{m+1}$. Note that $Q_1 \subseteq H = B_1$ and $Q_{m+1} \not\subseteq B_{m+1}$ by Claim 4.4 (Again, if $m = \lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor$ and $k - \ell$ divides k , then Q_{m+1} contains the empty set as an edge but B_{m+1} does not.). Thus there exists a smallest $j^* \in [m]$ such that $Q_{j^*} \subseteq B_{j^*}$ and $Q_{j^*+1} \not\subseteq B_{j^*+1}$. Since $Q_{j^*+1} \not\subseteq B_{j^*+1}$, there exists i^* such that $e_{i^*} \cap e_{i^*+j^*} \in Q_{j^*+1} \setminus B_{j^*+1}$.

We now show that (e_{i^*}, e_{i^*+1}) is dangerous. Let $S = e_{i^*} \cap e_{i^*+j^*} \subseteq e_{i^*} \cap e_{i^*+1}$, so $S \in \binom{e_{i^*} \cap e_{i^*+1}}{t_{j^*+1}} \setminus B_{j^*+1}$. Note that $(e_{i^*+1} \setminus e_{i^*}) \cap S = \emptyset$, $(e_{i^*+1} \setminus e_{i^*}) \subseteq e_{i^*+1} \cap e_{i^*+j^*}$ and

$$k - \ell = |e_{i^*+1} \setminus e_{i^*}| \geq |(e_{i^*+1} \setminus e_{i^*}) \cap e_{i^*+j^*}| = |e_{i^*+1} \cap e_{i^*+j^*}| - |S| = t_{j^*-1} - t_{j^*} = k - \ell.$$

Hence $S \cup (e_{i^*+1} \setminus e_{i^*}) = e_{i^*+1} \cap e_{i^*+j^*} \in B_{j^*}$. Therefore, (e_{i^*}, e_{i^*+1}) is dangerous. ■

We next show that for every $e \in E(H)$, there are a bounded number of $e' \in E(H)$ such that (e, e') is dangerous.

Claim 4.6. For all $e \in E(H)$, there are at most $r \cdot f(k, \ell, m)$ many $e' \in E(H)$ such that (e, e') is dangerous.

Proof of Claim. Let $e \in E(H)$. For all $j \in [m]$, we count the number of $e' \in E(H)$ such that (e, e') satisfy (4.1) with $j^* = j$. There are at most $\binom{k}{\ell}$ choices for $e \cap e'$ and at most $\binom{\ell}{t_{j+1}}$ choices for $S \in \binom{e \cap e'}{t_{j+1}} \setminus B_{j+1}$. Since $S \not\subseteq B_{j+1}$ and $S \cup (e' \setminus e) \in B_j$, there are at most r choices for $e' \setminus e$. Hence there are at most $r \binom{k}{\ell} \binom{\ell}{t_{j+1}}$ many $e' \in E(H)$ such that (e, e') satisfy (4.1) with $j^* = j$. Therefore the total number of $e' \in E(H)$ such that (e, e') satisfy (4.1) is at most

$$r \binom{k}{\ell} \sum_{j \in [m]} \binom{\ell}{t_{j+1}} = r \cdot f(k, \ell, m)$$

as required. ■

Finally, we use Claim 4.6 to color the edges of H such that no dangerous pair receives the same color. Since every copy of $P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k,\ell)}$ contains a dangerous pair of edges by Claim 4.5, this implies that such a coloring of H does not contain a monochromatic $P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k,\ell)}$.

Let D be the auxiliary digraph such that $V(D) = E(H)$ and $(e, e') \in E(D)$ if and only if (e, e') is dangerous. Let G be the underlying undirected graph of D . A proper vertex-coloring of G gives an edge-coloring of H (with the same set of colors) without a monochromatic $P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k,\ell)}$. Note that for all $U \subseteq V(D)$, $G[U]$ has at most $\Delta^+(D)|U|$ edges and so $\delta(G[U]) \leq 2\Delta^+(D)$. This implies $\chi(G) \leq 2\Delta^+(D) + 1 \leq 2r \cdot f(k, \ell, m) + 1$ where the last inequality follows from Claim 4.6. □

We now prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Lemma 4.2 we have $\hat{R}_r(P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k,\ell)}) \leq (2emr)^m$. By Lemma 4.3 with $\left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2f(k, \ell, m)} \right\rfloor$ in place of r (noting that $2 \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2f(k, \ell, m)} \right\rfloor f(k, \ell, m) + 1 \leq r$), we have

$$\hat{R}_r(P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k,\ell)}) > \frac{\left(\left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2f(k, \ell, m)} \right\rfloor \right)^m}{g(k, \ell, m)} = \Omega_{k, \ell, m}(r^m). \quad \square$$

5. Corollaries, more precise bounds, and a further extension

First we prove Corollary 1.4, then we obtain the more precise bounds given in Theorem 1.5, Corollary 1.6, and Theorem 1.7. At the end, we mention one more extension of our results.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. By Theorem 1.3 (with $k-1, \ell-1, \lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor - 1$ in place of k, ℓ, m respectively – noting that $\lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor - 1 \leq \lfloor \frac{k-1}{k-\ell} \rfloor$), we have $\hat{R}_r(P_{\ell-1+\lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor (k-\ell)}^{(k-1, \ell-1)}) = \Omega_k(r^{\lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor - 1})$.

Thus by Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k, \ell)}) \geq \frac{1}{k} \hat{R}_r(P_{\ell-1+\lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor (k-\ell)}^{(k-1, \ell-1)}) \left(1 + \frac{r-1}{(k-\ell) \lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor} \right) (1 - o(1))n = \Omega_k(r^{\lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor} n). \quad \square$$

To give an improved lower bound on $\hat{R}(P_4)$, we first need a few definitions. The *arboricity* of a graph G , denoted by $\text{arb}(G)$, is the smallest number of forests needed to decompose the edge set of G . The *star arboricity* of a graph G , denoted by $\text{arb}_*(G)$, is the smallest number of star-forests needed to decompose the edge set of G . Note that since every forest can be decomposed into at most two star-forests we have that for all G , $\text{arb}_*(G) \leq 2\text{arb}(G)$. A well-known result of Nash-Williams [32,33] says that $\text{arb}(G) \leq k$ if and only if $|E(H)| \leq k(|V(H)| - 1)$ for all subgraphs $H \subseteq G$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Note that (2.3) and (2.1) imply that

$$\hat{R}_r(P_4) \leq \binom{R_r(P_4)}{2} \leq \binom{2r+2}{2} = (r+1)(2r+1).$$

It remains to show that $\hat{R}_r(P_4) > \frac{r^2}{2}$. Let G be a graph with $|E(G)| \leq \frac{r^2}{2}$. Note that a star-forest is P_4 -free, so it suffices to show that $\text{arb}_*(G) \leq r$. If $H \subseteq G$ with $|V(H)| \leq r$, then

$$|E(H)| \leq \frac{|V(H)|(|V(H)| - 1)}{2} \leq \frac{r}{2}(|V(H)| - 1)$$

and if $|V(H)| \geq r+1$, then

$$|E(H)| \leq |E(G)| \leq \frac{r^2}{2} \leq \frac{r}{2}(|V(H)| - 1).$$

Now Nash-Williams' theorem mentioned above implies that $\text{arb}_*(G) \leq 2\text{arb}(G) \leq 2\frac{r}{2} = r$, and thus G has an r -coloring with no monochromatic P_4 . \square

Proof of Corollary 1.6. Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 2.1 (with $k=3$ and $\ell=2$) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_r(P_n^{(3)}) &\geq \frac{1}{3} \hat{R}_r(P_4) R_r(P_{n-18\hat{R}_r(P_4)}^{(3)}) \\ &> \frac{1}{3} \hat{R}_r(P_4) \left(\frac{r+2}{3} (n - 18\hat{R}_r(P_4)) - 2(r-1) \right) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{28n}{9} - 390 & \text{if } r=2 \\ \frac{r^2(r+2)}{12}n - O(r^5) & \text{if } r \geq 3, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds by (1.3) and Theorem 1.5 respectively. \square

To prove Theorem 1.7, we use the following result of Alon, Ding, Oporowski, and Vertigan [2].

Theorem 5.1 ([2, Theorem 4.1]). *Every graph with maximum degree Δ can be vertex $\lceil \frac{\Delta+2}{3} \rceil$ -colored such that every connected subgraph in every color class has at most $12\Delta^2$ vertices.*

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Let $n > 12r^2(k-\ell) + \ell$. We will show that $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k, \ell)}) \geq \frac{r}{k^2} R_{\lfloor \frac{2r-2}{3} \rfloor}(P_n^{(k, \ell)})$, from which the result will follow.

Let $H = (V, E)$ be a k -graph with

$$|E| < \frac{r}{k^2} R_{\lfloor \frac{2r-2}{3} \rfloor}(P_n^{(k, \ell)}).$$

Let $S = \{v \in V : d(v) < \frac{r}{k}\}$. So we have

$$|V \setminus S| \frac{r}{k} \leq \sum_{v \in V(H)} d(v) = k|E| < \frac{r}{k} R_{\lfloor \frac{2r-2}{3} \rfloor}(P_n^{(k, \ell)}),$$

and thus $|V \setminus S| \leq R_{\lfloor \frac{2r-2}{3} \rfloor}(P_n^{(k,\ell)})$. Thus we may color $H \setminus S$ with $\lfloor \frac{2r-2}{3} \rfloor$ colors without creating a monochromatic $P_n^{(k,\ell)}$.

Now let E_S be the set of edges in H that are incident to S . We will use the remaining $r - \lfloor \frac{2r-2}{3} \rfloor = \lceil \frac{r+2}{3} \rceil$ colors on the edges incident to E_S . Form an auxiliary graph G whose vertex set is E_S and e_1, e_2 are adjacent in G if and only if $|e_1 \cap e_2| = \ell$. By the definition of S we have $\Delta(G) < k \cdot \frac{r}{k} = r$. By [Theorem 5.1](#), we may use $\lceil \frac{r+2}{3} \rceil$ new colors to color the vertices of G such that every connected subgraph in every color class has at most $12\Delta^2$ vertices. Thus applying these colors to the corresponding edges in E_S , we obtain a coloring of H with no monochromatic $P_n^{(k,\ell)}$ for all $n > 12r^2(k-\ell) + \ell$.

Finally, by [Proposition 2.1](#), we have $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k,\ell)}) \geq \frac{r}{k^2} R_{\lfloor \frac{2r-2}{3} \rfloor}(P_n^{(k,\ell)}) = \Omega_k(r^2n)$. \square

We conclude this section with one more extension of our results. Our proof of [Theorem 1.2](#) can also be used to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $k, r \geq 2$ be integers, let \mathcal{H} be a family of k -graphs, and let F be a $(k-1)$ -graph such that for all $H \in \mathcal{H}$ the link graph of every vertex in H contains a copy of F . Then*

$$\hat{R}_r(\mathcal{H}) \geq \frac{1}{k} \hat{R}_r(F) \cdot R_r(\mathcal{H}).$$

Proof. This is just a generalization of [Theorem 1.2](#), but the proof is easier since we are assuming that every vertex in H contains a copy of F in its link graph. Indeed, if we color the edges as in the proof of [Theorem 1.2](#), there can be no monochromatic copy of any $H \in \mathcal{H}$ which intersects S (otherwise, if $i \in [c]$ is minimum such that $V(H) \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$, then $v \in V(H) \cap S_i$ has no monochromatic copy of F in its link graph). \square

As an application, let $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 2k-1}^{(k)}$ denote the family of k -uniform tight cycles on at least $2k-1$ vertices. Note that for all $C \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 2k-1}^{(k)}$ and all $v \in V(C)$, the link graph of v in C contains a copy of $P_{2k-2}^{(k-1)}$. We obtain the following corollary of [Theorem 5.2](#), [Theorem 1.3](#), and [Proposition 2.4](#).

Corollary 5.3. *For all integers $k \geq 2$ and infinitely many integers $r \geq 2$, we have $\hat{R}_r(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 2k-1}^{(k)}) = \Omega_k(r^k)$.*

The significance of this corollary is that $\hat{R}_r(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 2k-1}^{(k)}) = \Omega_k(r^k)$ whereas [Theorem 1.3](#) implies that $\hat{R}_r(P_{2k-1}^{(k)}) = \Theta_k(r^{k-1})$.

Proof. By [Theorems 5.2](#) and [1.3](#) we have

$$\hat{R}_r(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 2k-1}^{(k)}) \geq \frac{1}{k} \hat{R}_r(P_{2k-2}^{(k-1)}) \cdot R_r(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 2k-1}^{(k)}) \geq \Omega_k(r^{k-1}) \cdot R_r(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 2k-1}^{(k)}).$$

Since $R_r(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 2k-1}^{(k)}) \geq R_r(P_{2k-1}^{(k)})$ and [Proposition 2.4](#) implies that for infinitely many r , $R_r(P_{2k-1}^{(k)}) \geq (1 - o(1))(k-1)r$, we have that for infinitely many r , $\hat{R}_r(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 2k-1}^{(k)}) = \Omega_k(r^k)$. \square

6. Conclusion

In the proof of [Theorem 1.3](#) (for simplicity, restricted to the case $\ell = k-1$ and using estimates $f(k, k-1, m) \leq k(ek/m)^m$ and $g(k, k-1, m) \leq k^m$) we show that for all $1 \leq m \leq k$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2k^2(ek/m)^m} \right)^m r^m \leq \hat{R}_r(P_{k+m}^{(k)}) \leq \hat{R}_r(P_{2m}^{(m)}) \leq (2em)^m r^m. \quad (6.1)$$

It would be nice to improve these bounds; in particular, the lower bound. A related question is as follows.

Problem 6.1. Is it true that for all positive integers m there exists constants c_m, C_m such that for all $k, r \geq 2$, $c_m r^m \leq \hat{R}_r(P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k,\ell)}) \leq C_m r^m$.

One approach to [Problem 6.1](#) and improving the bounds in (6.1) is as follows. Note that [Lemma 4.2](#) implies that $\hat{R}_r(P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k,\ell)}) \leq \hat{R}_r(P_{2m}^{(m)})$ for all $1 \leq m \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor$. At the moment we have no evidence to refute the possibility that these are equal. So formally, we raise the following problem. In either case, if the answer is yes, then the answer is yes in [Problem 6.1](#) and improves the lower bound in (6.1).

Problem 6.2. Is it true that $\hat{R}_r(P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k,\ell)}) = \hat{R}_r(P_{2m}^{(m)})$ for all integers $1 \leq m \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor$? If not, is it true that $\hat{R}_r(P_{\ell+(m+1)(k-\ell)}^{(k,\ell)}) = \Omega_m(\hat{R}_r(P_{2m}^{(m)}))$?

In [Theorem 1.7](#) we are able to improve the lower bound coming from [Corollary 1.4](#) by an extra factor of r . It would be interesting to do this for all $k/2 < \ell \leq k-1$ where $k-\ell$ does not divide k . In [Theorem 1.2](#), we use the fact that for every vertex v in the interior of a (k, ℓ) -path, the link graph of v is a $(k-1, \ell-1)$ -path with at least $\lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor$ edges, but perhaps there is some alternate proof which is able to make use of the fact that every edge of the path contains some vertex whose link graph is a $(k-1, \ell-1)$ -path with $\lceil \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rceil$ edges. If so, this would imply $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k,\ell)}) = \Omega_k(r^{\lceil \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rceil} n)$ (see the proof of [Corollary 1.4](#) and note that $\lceil \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rceil - 1 \leq \lfloor \frac{k-1}{k-\ell} \rfloor$).

Problem 6.3. In [Theorem 1.2](#) can we replace “ $q = \ell - 1 + \lfloor \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rfloor (k - \ell)$ ” with the term “ $q = \ell - 1 + \lceil \frac{k}{k-\ell} \rceil (k - \ell)$ ”?

Regarding [Corollary 5.3](#), it would be interesting to know if $R_r(C_{\geq 2k-1}^{(k)}) = O_k(r)$. If so, this would imply by (2.3) and [Corollary 5.3](#) that for infinitely many r , $\hat{R}_r(C_{\geq 2k-1}^{(k)}) = \Theta_k(r^k)$.

Problem 6.4. Is it true that for all integers $k, r \geq 2$, $R_r(C_{\geq 2k-1}^{(k)}) = O_k(r)$?

One thing to note about [Problem 6.4](#) is that unlike in [Corollary 2.5](#) (where we show that $R_r(P_{2k-1}^{(k)}) \leq rk(2k-1)$), [Problem 6.4](#) cannot be solved by applying a Turán-type result to the majority color class. B. Janzer [24] proved that there exists k -uniform hypergraphs on N vertices with $\Omega(N^{k-1} \frac{\log N}{\log \log N})$ edges which have no tight cycles at all. On the other hand, a result of Letzter [28] says that every k -uniform hypergraph on N vertices with no tight cycles has $O((\log N)^5 N^{k-1})$ edges.⁴ As in [Corollary 2.5](#), this implies that $R_r(C_{\geq 2k-1}^{(k)}) = O_k(r(\log r)^5)$.

Finally, our lower bounds on $\hat{R}_r(P_n^{(k)})$ are for fixed k and growing r . Can we say anything about the case of fixed r and growing k (like Winter did for $r = 2$)?

Problem 6.5. What is the growth rate of $\hat{R}_r(P_{2k}^{(k)})$ for fixed r and growing k ? In particular, when $r = 2$, is $\hat{R}_r(P_{2k}^{(k)}) = \omega(k \log_2 k)$? If so, then [Theorem 1.2](#) would give an improvement over Winter’s result.

Acknowledgments

We thank the referees for their helpful comments which allowed us to greatly improve the presentation of the paper.

References

- [1] P. Allen, J. Böttcher, O. Cooley, R. Mycroft, Tight cycles and regular slices in dense hypergraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 149 (2017) 30–100.
- [2] N. Alon, G. Ding, B. Oporowski, D. Vertigan, Partitioning into graphs with only small components, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 87 (2) (2003) 231–243.

⁴ Letzter’s proof gives no explicit lower bound on the length of the tight cycle one obtains beyond this threshold; however, the proof in [28, Theorem 6] can be slightly modified to give a tight cycle with at least $3k-2 \geq 2k-1$ vertices. We thank Shoham Letzter for pointing this out to us.

- [3] N. Alon, P. Frankl, L. Lovász, The chromatic number of Kneser hypergraphs, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 298 (1) (1986) 359–370.
- [4] M. Axenovich, A. Gyárfás, H. Liu, D. Mubayi, Multicolor Ramsey numbers for triple systems, *Discrete Math.* 322 (2014) 69–77.
- [5] D. Bal, L. DeBiasio, New lower bounds on the size-Ramsey number of a path, *Electron. J. Combin.* 29 (1) (2022) Paper No. 1.18, 20.
- [6] J. Bierbrauer, Ramsey numbers for the path with three edges, *European J. Combin.* 7 (3) (1986) 205–206.
- [7] A. Dudek, S. La Fleur, D. Mubayi, V. Rödl, On the size-Ramsey number of hypergraphs, *J. Graph Theory* 86 (1) (2017) 104–121.
- [8] A. Dudek, P. Prałat, An alternative proof of the linearity of the size-Ramsey number of paths, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 24 (3) (2015) 551–555.
- [9] A. Dudek, P. Prałat, On some multicolor Ramsey properties of random graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 31 (3) (2017) 2079–2092.
- [10] A. Dudek, P. Prałat, Note on the multicolour size-Ramsey number for paths, *Electron. J. Combin.* 25 (3) (2018) Paper No. 3.35, 5.
- [11] P. Frankl, Z. Füredi, Exact solution of some Turán-type problems, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 45 (2) (1987) 226–262.
- [12] Z. Füredi, T. Jiang, Turán numbers of hypergraph trees, 2015, arXiv preprint arXiv:1505.03210.
- [13] Z. Füredi, T. Jiang, A. Kostochka, D. Mubayi, J. Verstraëte, Hypergraphs not containing a tight tree with a bounded trunk, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 33 (2) (2019) 862–873.
- [14] Z. Füredi, T. Jiang, A. Kostochka, D. Mubayi, J. Verstraëte, Tight paths in convex geometric hypergraphs, *Adv. Comb.* (2020) Paper No. 1, 14.
- [15] L. Gerencsér, A. Gyárfás, On Ramsey-type problems, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math.* 10 (1967) 167–170.
- [16] S. Glock, D. Kühn, A. Lo, D. Osthus, The existence of designs via iterative absorption: hypergraph F -designs for arbitrary F , *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 284 (1406) (2023) v+131.
- [17] A. Gyárfás, M. Ruszinkó, G.N. Sárközy, E. Szemerédi, Three-color Ramsey numbers for paths, *Combinatorica* 27 (1) (2007) 35–69.
- [18] A. Gyárfás, G.N. Sárközy, E. Szemerédi, The Ramsey number of diamond-matchings and loose cycles in hypergraphs, *Electron. J. Combinat.* 15 (1) (2008) R126.
- [19] E. Györi, G.Y. Katona, N. Lemons, Hypergraph extensions of the Erdős-Gallai theorem, *European J. Combin.* 58 (2016) 238–246.
- [20] J. Han, Y. Kohayakawa, S. Letzter, G.O. Mota, O. Parczyk, The size-Ramsey number of 3-uniform tight paths, *Adv. Comb.* (2021) Paper No. 5, 12.
- [21] F. Harary, Z. Miller, Generalized Ramsey Theory. VIII. The Size Ramsey Number of Small Graphs, in: *Studies in Pure Mathematics*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983, pp. 271–283.
- [22] P.E. Haxell, T. Łuczak, Y. Peng, V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, M. Simonovits, J. Skokan, The Ramsey number of hypergraph cycles. I, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 113 (1) (2006) 67–83.
- [23] P.E. Haxell, T. Łuczak, Y. Peng, V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, J. Skokan, The Ramsey number for 3-uniform tight hypergraph cycles, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 18 (1–2) (2009) 165–203.
- [24] B. Janzer, Large hypergraphs without tight cycles, *Comb. Theory* 1 (2021).
- [25] P. Keevash, The existence of designs, 2014, arXiv preprint arXiv:1401.3665.
- [26] C. Knierim, P. Su, Improved bounds on the multicolor Ramsey numbers of paths and even cycles, *Electron. J. Combin.* 26 (1) (2019) P1.26.
- [27] M. Krivelevich, Long cycles in locally expanding graphs, with applications, *Combinatorica* 39 (1) (2019) 135–151.
- [28] S. Letzter, Hypergraphs with no tight cycles, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 151 (02) (2023) 455–462.
- [29] S. Letzter, A. Pokrovskiy, L. Yepremyan, Size-Ramsey numbers of powers of hypergraph trees and long subdivisions, 2021, arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.01942.
- [30] A. Lo, V. Pfenninger, The Ramsey number for 4-uniform tight cycles, 2021, arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.05276.
- [31] L. Lu, Z. Wang, On the size-Ramsey number of tight paths, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 32 (3) (2018) 2172–2179.
- [32] C.S.J.A. Nash-Williams, Edge-disjoint spanning trees of finite graphs, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 36 (1961) 445–450.
- [33] C.S.J.A. Nash-Williams, Decomposition of finite graphs into forests, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 39 (1964) 12.
- [34] N. Pippenger, J. Spencer, Asymptotic behavior of the chromatic index for hypergraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 51 (1) (1989) 24–42.
- [35] C. Winter, Size-Ramsey number of uniform hypergraph paths (Ph.D. thesis), Universität Hamburg, 2020.
- [36] C. Winter, Lower bound on the size-Ramsey number of tight paths, *J. Comb.* 14 (2) (2023) 271–279.
- [37] S. Yongqi, Y. Yuansheng, F. Xu, L. Bingxi, New lower bounds on the multicolor Ramsey numbers $R_r(C_{2m})$, *Graphs Combin.* 22 (2) (2006) 283–288.