### UNIVERSITYOF **BIRMINGHAM**

## University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

### Anticoagulation with edoxaban in patients with long Atrial High-Rate Episodes ≥24 hours

Becher, Nina; Toennis, Tobias; Bertaglia, Emmanuele; Blomstrom-Lundqvist, Carina; Brandes, Axel; Cabanelas, Nuno; Calvert, Melanie; Camm, John; Chlouverakis, Gregory; Dan, Gheorghe-Andrei; Dichtl, Wolfgang; Diener, Hans Christoph; Fierenz, Alexander; Goette, Andreas; de Groot, Joris R; Hermans, Astrid; Lip, Gregory; Lubinski, Andrzej; Marijon, Eloi; Merkely, Bela

10.1093/eurheartj/ehad771

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Becher, N, Toennis, T, Bertaglia, E, Blomstrom-Lundqvist, C, Brandes, A, Cabanelas, N, Calvert, M, Camm, J, Chlouverakis, G, Dan, G-A, Dichtl, W, Diener, HC, Fierenz, A, Goette, A, de Groot, JR, Hermans, A, Lip, G, Lubinski, A, Marijon, E, Merkely, B, Mont, L, Ozga, A-K, Rajappan, K, Sarkozy, A, Scherr, D, Schnabel, R, Schotten, U, Sehner, S, Simantirakis, E, Vardas, P, Velchev, V, Wichterle, D, Zapf, A & Kirchhof, P 2023, 'Anticoagulation with edoxaban in patients with long Atrial High-Rate Episodes ≥24 hours', *European Society of* Cardiology. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehad771

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

- •Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- •User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 16. May. 2024

#### **Atrial High-Rate Episodes ≥24 hours**

2

1

- 4 Nina Becher, MD<sup>1,2</sup>\*, Tobias Toennis, MD<sup>1,2</sup>\*, Emanuele Bertaglia, MD, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Carina
- 5 Blomström-Lundqvist, MD, PhD<sup>4,5</sup>, Axel Brandes, MD, PhD<sup>6</sup>, Nuno Cabanelas, MD<sup>7</sup>, Melanie
- 6 Calvert, PhD<sup>8,9</sup>, A John Camm, MD, QHP, PhD<sup>10</sup>, Gregory Chlouverakis, MD, PhD<sup>11</sup>, Gheorghe-
- 7 Andrei Dan, PhD<sup>12</sup>, Wolfgang Dichtl, MD, PhD<sup>13</sup>, Hans Christoph Diener, MD<sup>14</sup>, Alexander
- 8 Fierenz, MSc<sup>15</sup>, Andreas Goette, MD<sup>16,32</sup>, Joris R. de Groot, MD, PhD<sup>17</sup>, Astrid N. L. Hermans,
- 9 MSc<sup>18</sup>, Gregory Y.H. Lip, MD<sup>19</sup>, Andrzej Lubinski, MD, PhD<sup>20</sup>, Eloi Marijon, MD, PhD<sup>21</sup>, Béla
- 10 Merkely, MD, MSc, PhD<sup>22</sup>, Lluís Mont, MD, PhD<sup>23,24</sup>, Ann-Kathrin Ozga, MSc, PhD<sup>15</sup>, Kim
- Rajappan, MD<sup>25</sup>, Andrea Sarkozy, MD, PhD<sup>26</sup>, Daniel Scherr, MD<sup>27</sup>, Renate B. Schnabel, MD<sup>1,2</sup>,
- 12 Ulrich Schotten, MD, PhD<sup>18,32</sup>, Susanne Sehner, MSc<sup>15</sup>, Emmanuel Simantirakis, MD, PhD<sup>28</sup>,
- Panos Vardas, MD, PhD<sup>28,29</sup>, Vasil Velchev, MD, PhD<sup>30</sup>, Dan Wichterle, MD, PhD<sup>31</sup>, Antonia
- Zapf, PhD<sup>15</sup>, Paulus Kirchhof, MD<sup>1,2,32</sup> on behalf of the NOAH-AFNET 6 investigators.

15

- 16 1 Department of Cardiology, University Heart & Vascular Center Hamburg, University Medical Center
- 17 Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
- 18 2 German Center for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), Partner Site Hamburg/Kiel/Luebeck, Hamburg,
- 19 Germany
- 20 3 Department of Cardiac, Vascular, Thoracic and Public Health Sciences, Azienda Ospedaliera, Padua, Italy
- 4 Department of Medical Science, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
- 22 5 Department of Cardiology, School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health, Örebro
- 23 University, Örebro, Sweden
- 6 Department of Cardiology, Esbjerg Hospital University Hospital of Southern Denmark, Esbjerg, and
- 25 Department of Regional Health Research, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark
- 26 7 Cardiology Department, Fernando Fonseca Hospital, Amadora, Portugal
- 27 8 Centre for Patient Reported Outcomes Research, Institute of Applied Health Research, University of
- 28 Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, United Kingdom
- 29 9 NIHR Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre and NIHR Applied Research Collaboration West
- 30 Midlands, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, UK
- 31 10 Cardiovascular and Cell Sciences Research Institute, St George's, University of London, and Imperial
- 32 College, London, United Kingdom
- 33 11 Biostatistics Lab, School of Medicine, University of Crete, Crete, Greece
- 34 12 Medicine University "Carol Davila", Colentina University Hospital, Bucharest, Romania
- 35 13 Department of Internal Medicine III, Cardiology and Angiology, Innsbruck Medical University,
- 36 Innsbruck, Austria

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

- 1 14 Department of Neuroepidemiology, Institute for Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology
- 2 (IMIBE), University Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany
- 3 15 Institute of Medical Biometry and Epidemiology, University Medical Centre Hamburg-Eppendorf,
- 4 Germany
- 5 16 Department of Cardiology and Intensive Care Medicine, St Vincenz-Hospital Paderborn, Paderborn,
- 6 Germany
- 7 17 Heart Center, Department of Clinical and Experimental Cardiology, Amsterdam Cardiovascular
- 8 Sciences, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- 9 18 Departments of Cardiology and Physiology, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands
- 10 19 Liverpool Centre for Cardiovascular Science at University of Liverpool, Liverpool John Moores
- 11 University and Liverpool Heart & Chest Hospital, Liverpool, United Kingdom; and Danish Center for
- Health Services Research, Department of Clinical Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark
- 13 20 Medical University of Gdańsk, Department of Cardiology and Internal Diseases, Gdańsk, Poland
- 14 21 Cardiology Division, European Georges Pompidou Hospital, Paris, France
- 15 22 Heart and Vascular Centre, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary
- 16 23 Hospital Clinic, Universtitat de Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain; Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques
- 17 August Pi Sunyer (IDIBAPS). Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain
- 18 24 Centro de Investigacion Biomedica en Red Cardiovascular (CIBERCV), Madrid, Spain
- 19 25 Cardiac Department, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust,
- 20 Oxford, United Kingdom
- 21 26 HRMC, University Hospital Brussels, VUB, Belgium and new contact
- 22 27 Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Graz, Austria
- 23 28 Department of Cardiology, Heraklion University Hospital, Heraklion, Crete, Greece
- 24 29 Biomedical Research Foundation Academy of Athens (BRFAA), Greece and Hygeia Hospitals Group,
- 25 Athens, Greece
- 26 30 Cardiology Clinic, St. Anna University Hospital, Medical University Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria
- 27 31 Department of Cardiology, Institute for Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Prague, Czechia
- 28 32 Atrial Fibrillation NETwork (AFNET), Muenster, Germany

30 \*contributed equally

- 32 **Short Title:** Oral Anticoagulation in patients with AHRE 24 hrs
- 33 Correspondence to:
- 34 Paulus Kirchhof
- 35 Department of Cardiology
- 36 University Heart and Vascular Center Hamburg
- 37 University Medical Center Eppendorf
- 38 Martinistrasse 52
- 39 20246 Hamburg
- 40 Germany

p.kirchhof@uke.de 1 2 +49 40 741053824 (Judith Ebeling) 3 4 Word count (introduction to acknowledgements): 3706 (+1121 for references) 5 6 7 **Abstract** 8 **Background and Aims.** Patients with long atrial high-rate episodes (AHRE) ≥24 hours and stroke 9 risk factors are often treated with anticoagulation for stroke prevention. Anticoagulation has never 10 been compared to no anticoagulation in these patients. 11 **Methods.** This secondary prespecified analysis of NOAH-AFNET 6 examined interactions between AHRE duration at baseline and anticoagulation with edoxaban compared to placebo in 12 patients with AHRE and stroke risk factors. The primary efficacy outcome was a composite of 13 14 stroke, systemic embolism, or cardiovascular death. The safety outcome was a composite of major bleeding and death. Key secondary outcomes were components of these outcomes and ECG-15 16 diagnosed atrial fibrillation. Results. AHRE ≥24 hours were present at baseline in 259/2389 patients enrolled in NOAH-17 AFNET 6 (11%, 78±7 years old, 28% women, CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score 4). Clinical characteristics 18 19 were not different from patients with shorter AHRE. During a median follow-up of 1.8 years, the 20 primary outcome occurred in 9/132 patients with AHRE >24 hours (4.3%/patient-year, 2 strokes) 21 treated with anticoagulation and in 14/127 patients treated with placebo (6.9%/patient-year, 2 22 strokes). AHRE duration did not interact with the efficacy (p-interaction=0.65) or safety (p-23 interaction=0.98) of anticoagulation. Analyses including AHRE as a continuous parameter 24 confirmed this. Patients with AHRE  $\geq$ 24 hours developed more ECG-diagnosed atrial fibrillation 25 (17.0%/patient-year) than patients with shorter AHRE (8.2%/patient-year; p <0.001).

- 1 Conclusions. This hypothesis-generating analysis does not find an interaction between AHRE
- 2 duration and anticoagulation therapy in patients with device-detected AHRE and stroke risk
- 3 factors. Further research is needed to identify patients with long AHRE at high stroke risk.
- 5 **Keywords:** atrial high-rate episodes, stroke, atrial fibrillation, NOAH-AFNET 6

6

#### Anticoagulation in patients with long Atrial High-Rate Episodes (AHRE) ≥ 24 hours

A subanaylsis of the Non-vitamin Kantagonist Oral anticoagulation in patients with Atrial High rate episodes (NOAH-AFNET 6) trial





1 2

3

4


5

6

259/2389 patients with device-detected AHRE ≥24 hours (78 years old, 37% women, median CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score 4)



AHRE reviewed by Corelab



AHRE < 24 hours			AHRE ≥ 24 hours			
events/N (%/patient-years)			events/N (%/patient-years)			
Anticoagulation	Placebo	HR (95% CI)	Anticoagulation	Placebo	HR (95% CI)	
20/1062	21/1068	0.92	2/132	2/127	1.03	
(0.90)	(0.96)	(0.50, 1.70)	(0.95)	(0.97)	(0.14, 7.32	

\*p-interaction=0.89

Long durations of device-detected AHRE, including durations ≥24 hours, did not interact with the treatment effect of anticoagulation in the NOAH-AFNET 6 trial.

Similarly, there was no interaction between the effect of anticoagulation therapy and AHRE duration used as a continuous variable.

Stroke rate appeared low (1%/patient-year) without oral anticoagulation.

Patients with AHRE  $\geq$ 24 hours developed more ECG-diagnosed atrial fibrillation over time compared to those with shorter AHRE durations.

Graphical Abstract 160x90 mm (x DPI)



#### 1 Key Question

- 2 Does the duration of atrial high-rate episodes (AHRE) interact with the efficacy and safety of oral
- anticoagulation in patients with AHRE and stroke risk factors, especially when episodes are longer
- 4 than 24 hours?

#### 5 **Key Finding**

- 6 Baseline AHRE duration did not interact with the efficacy and safety of anticoagulation in the
- 7 NOAH-AFNET 6 trial. Clinical characteristics were not different between patients with AHRE
- 8 ≥24 hours and those with shorter AHRE. Stroke rate appeared low across AHRE durations
- 9 (approximately 1%/year).

#### 10 **Take-Home-Message**

- Duration of the longest AHRE episode does not have a strong effect on the efficacy and safety of
- 12 anticoagulation. Better methods to identify patients with AHRE at high risk of stroke are needed.

1	Abbreviations	
2		
3	AF	Atrial fibrillation
4	AHRE	Atrial high-rate episodes
5	ECG	Electrocardiogram
6	IQR	Interquartile range
7	ISTH	International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis

Non vitamin K antagonist Oral anticoagulants in patients with Atrial High-

9 rate episodes trial

NOAH – AFNET

#### Introduction

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Atrial high-rate episodes (AHRE), short atrial arrhythmias lasting a few minutes (5 to 6 minutes or more) that are typically asymptomatic and resemble short episodes of atrial fibrillation (AF), are detected in approximately every fifth patient with an implanted pacemaker, defibrillator, or loop recorder<sup>1</sup>. Patients with AHRE, also called sub-clinical AF, have a higher stroke risk than patients without AHRE<sup>2</sup>. Approximately half of the patients with AHRE have electrocardiogram (ECG)-documented AF3. The stroke risk associated with AHRE in the absence of ECGdocumented AF is lower than the stroke risk associated with ECG-documented AF1. A sub-analysis of the ASSERT (Asymptomatic Atrial Fibrillation and Stroke Evaluation in Pacemaker Patients and the Atrial Fibrillation Reducing Atrial Pacing) trial and a meta-analysis by Uittenbogaart et al suggest a higher stroke risk associated with AHRE lasting ≥24 hours<sup>4, 5</sup>. In clinical practice, data from these relatively small observational studies and the resemblance of long AHRE episodes with AF often result in use of oral anticoagulation for stroke prevention in patients with AHRE ≥24 hours without ECG-documented AF6. Randomized data evaluating anticoagulation in these patients were lacking. Recently, the double-blind, double dummy Non vitamin K antagonist Oral anticoagulants Atrial High rate episodes (NOAH-AFNET 6) trial found that oral anticoagulation with edoxaban does not reduce the composite outcome of stroke, systemic embolism, or cardiovascular death compared to no anticoagulation in elderly patients with AHRE and stroke risk factors<sup>7</sup>. The main effect of anticoagulation therapy was an increase in major bleeding. The low stroke rate observed without oral anticoagulation (approximately 1%/year) in an elderly population with multiple stroke risk factors (mean age 78 years, median CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score 4) reduced the power of the trial to detect an effect of anticoagulation on stroke. Central analysis of all AHRE episodes in a core lab<sup>8</sup> enabled a granular sub-analysis of patients with very long AHRE episodes.

#### Methods

This is a secondary prespecified analysis of the NOAH-AFNET 6 trial data set<sup>7</sup>. We investigated the effects of AHRE duration at the time of randomization (termed at baseline), split into patients with maximal AHRE duration ≥24 hours and patients limited to episodes lasting from 6 minutes to 23:59 hours (termed <24 hours). Patients were classified as AHRE ≥24 hours when at least one episode was longer than 24 hours at baseline. We also split patients by their median longest AHRE duration and investigated the interaction of baseline AHRE duration as a continuous variable. The interaction of these AHRE categories with the efficacy and safety of oral anticoagulation in patients randomized to anticoagulation or placebo was analyzed.

#### Trial Design and Population

Details of the design of the trial have been reported <sup>8</sup>. NOAH-AFNET 6 was approved by ethics board in all participating countries and institutions. All patients provided written informed consent prior to participation. In brief, 206 sites in 18 European countries randomized 2608 patients with AHRE, but without ECG-documented AF, aged ≥65 years and with at least one additional stroke risk factor to oral anticoagulation with edoxaban in the dose approved for stroke prevention in AF or to no anticoagulation (placebo). Patients randomized to no anticoagulation who had an accepted indication for aspirin received aspirin 100 mg/day with the study medication. Those without an indication for aspirin and all patients randomised to edoxaban took a dummy aspirin tablet. Each patient was seen to hand out study medication every six months. These visits included an ECG. Per protocol, all patients were switched from study medication to open-label anticoagulation upon ECG documentation of AF. The primary analysis population consisted of all 2389 patients who were randomized, took at least one dose of study drug and had core-lab verified

- 1 information about maximum AHRE duration at baseline. All events were centrally adjudicated by
- 2 an independent event review committee. All patients were followed up for outcomes until the end
- 3 of the trial.

#### Review of AHRE Episodes

All AHRE reports and recordings were uploaded onto the electronic trial management system. An independent core laboratory based at Maastricht University, Netherlands, reanalyzed all AHRE episodes to verify whether AHRE data uploaded by trial sites fulfilled the inclusion criteria. The following features were reviewed: start of recording, end of recording, date of first AHRE, number of all AHRE, number of adequate AHRE, maximal duration of AHRE, and maximum atrial rate during AHRE (all at baseline). The core laboratory also determined the time of the last AHRE episode in relation to baseline, performed quality control and provided feedback to trial sites regarding their uploaded AHRE data if necessary. All patients with adequate AHRE episodes after core-lab review were included in this analysis.

#### Primary and Secondary Outcomes

The primary efficacy outcome and safety outcome of this analysis are identical to the outcomes in the main trial<sup>7, 8</sup>. The primary efficacy outcome was a composite of stroke, systemic embolism and cardiovascular death. Secondary outcomes included stroke, systemic embolism, a composite of stroke and systemic embolism, and cardiovascular death, and a secondary post-hoc outcome consisting of a composite of ischemic stroke and systemic embolism, excluding pulmonary embolism and myocardial infarction. The primary safety outcome was a composite of major bleeding according to the ISTH definition and all-cause death<sup>8</sup>.

#### Statistical Analysis

Categorical data are summarized by numbers and percentages. Continuous data are summarized by mean and standard deviation or median with 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile (interquartile range, IQR) as appropriate. The primary analysis population consisted of all randomized patients receiving at least one dose of the study drug, i.e., a modified intention-to-treat population. For the primary time-to-event analyses, patients were censored when they developed ECG-documented AF, were unblinded, lost to follow-up, or withdrew consent. The primary efficacy outcome and the safety outcome were also analyzed for the safety population (all randomized patients), the perprotocol population, and a population that was not censored for AF-onset or unblinding. All Ukrainian patients were censored on 24<sup>th</sup> February 2022, the day of the Russian invasion. Sensitivity analyses including these patients were conducted.

Baseline characteristics were compared between patients with maximal AHRE duration at baseline <24 hours and ≥24 hours using chi-squared test for categorical data, *t*-test for non-skewed continuous data, and Mann-Whitney U test for skewed continuous data.

A cause-specific Cox-proportional hazards model using the Breslow method to handle tied failures was conducted, with frailty for centers and the fixed effects random group, the randomization strata indication for acetylsalicylic acid, maximum AHRE duration, and the interaction between the random group and maximum AHRE duration under the assumption of independent censoring. Maximum AHRE duration was included as a continuous variable on a natural logarithmic scale. In a further model maximum AHRE duration was considered as a categorical variable (categories <24 hours and ≥24 hours and categories by median). The outcome results are reported as group-specific event rates in percent per patient-year and as adjusted estimated cause-specific hazard ratio with a two-sided 95% confidence interval and corresponding p-value. Cumulative incidence curves are shown using Aalen-Johansen estimates that take

l	competing events into account, otherwise, Kaplan-Meier curves are used. The proportional hazard
2	assumption was checked graphically via Schoenfeld residuals and the linearity assumption for
3	continuous predictors via martingale residuals.
1	The interaction between maximal AHRE duration and CHA2DS2-VASc score (≤4 and >4)
5	was also considered in a model for the primary outcome. The effect of maximal AHRE duration a
5	baseline on time to AF-onset was analysed in a model without inclusion of the random group and
7	without censoring for unblinding or withdrawal of consent.

For all outcomes the worst-case scenario was used for missing values, i.e. deaths of unknown cause were classified as cardiovascular death. No other imputation was conducted. No adjustment for multiple testing was conducted.

Sample size calculation for the primary study can be found in the recently published main paper <sup>7</sup>. All analyses were conducted using R (version 4.2.3).

#### Results

#### Demographics and Clinical Characteristics

Demographics, clinical characteristics and comorbidities did not differ between AHRE duration and treatment groups (**Figure 1**, **Table 1 and Supplementary Table S1**) with three exceptions: patients with AHRE  $\geq$ 24 hours were more likely to be men and had a slightly higher body mass index and lower estimated glomerular filtration rate.

#### **AHRE Characteristics**

Adequate baseline AHRE recordings were confirmed by the core lab in 2389/2536 patients (94.2%). Maximal AHRE durations ≥24 hours at baseline were found in 259/2389 patients (11%, **Table 1**). In patients with AHRE ≥24 hours, the median duration of the longest AHRE was 53.1

- hours (IQR 32.3, 96.0), whilst in patients with AHRE <24 hours the median longest AHRE duration
  was 2.2 hours (IQR 0.64, 5.9). At baseline, patients with AHRE ≥24 hours had a higher median
  number of AHRE than patients with shorter AHRE duration (AHRE ≥24 hours: 9 (IQR 2, 27);

  AHRE <24 hours: 4 (IQR 1, 14); p<0.001, Figure 2, Table 1, Supplementary Table S1). The
  distribution of maximal AHRE duration at baseline (longest single episode) are shown in Figure

  AHRE to the baseline visit was 60 days (IQR
- 7 22,149) in the total population. That duration was shorter in patients with AHRE ≥24 hours (43
- 8 days, IQR 12.0, 108) than in patients with shorter AHRE (65 days, IQR 23, 157, p=0.002, **Table 1**
- 9 and Supplementary Table S1, Figure 2B).

#### Primary Efficacy Outcome

Cumulative incidence curves of the primary efficacy outcome (composite of stroke, systemic embolism, or death from cardiovascular causes) are shown in **Figure 3A** and **Graphical Abstract** by treatment group in patients with AHRE ≥24 hours and patients with shorter AHRE durations. There was no interaction between randomized treatment and AHRE duration (p-interaction=0.65). The point estimates of the event rates were not identical. In patients with AHRE duration ≥24 hours, the primary outcome occurred in 9/132 (6.8%) patients with anticoagulation (4.3%/patient-year) and 14/127 (11%) patients with placebo (6.9%/patient-year, AHRE ≥24 hours edoxaban vs. placebo adjusted HR 0.86, 95% CI 0.62-1.19). The primary efficacy outcome occurred in 70/1062 patients with AHRE <24 hours with anticoagulation (3.2%/patient-year) and in 80/1068 patients with placebo (3.7%/patient-year, AHRE <24 hours edoxaban vs. placebo adjusted HR 0.66, 95% CI 0.28-1.53; **Figure 3A** and **Table 2**).

When AHRE duration was evaluated as a continuous variable, there was no interaction with the treatment effect (p-interaction=0.98). Likewise, there was no treatment interaction when AHRE

duration was categorized by median duration ( $\leq$ 2.82 hours and  $\geq$ 2.82 hours, p-interaction=0.4,

#### **Supplementary Table S2 und Figure S1).**

The findings of the sensitivity analysis of the primary efficacy outcome and safety outcome, a safety population, a per-protocol population along with population without censoring for AF onset and unblinding displayed a high degree of consistency with the primary efficacy and safety

analysis (Supplementary Table S3).

#### Safety Outcome

AHRE ≥24 hours did not interact with the safety outcome, a composite of bleeding and death. The point estimates for the primary safety outcomes were almost identical in patients with AHRE ≥24 hours compared to patients with shorter AHRE (AHRE ≥24 hours HR 1.30, 95% CI 0.62-2.71; AHRE <24 hours HR 1.32, 95% CI 1.01-1.73, p-interaction=0.96, **Table 2** and **Figure 3D**). Splitting AHRE duration by its median or including AHRE duration as a continuous parameter did not identify an interaction between anticoagulation therapy and AHRE duration for the safety outcome either (p-interaction=0.65 for split by median AHRE duration; p-interaction=0.88 for AHRE as continuous variable).

#### Secondary Outcomes

Ischemic stroke occurred in 2/132 patients with AHRE ≥24 hours randomized to anticoagulation (0.95%/patient-year) and in 2/127 patients with AHRE ≥24 hours randomized to placebo (0.97%/patient-year, AHRE ≥24 hours edoxaban vs. placebo adjusted HR 1.03, 95% CI 0.14, 7.32). In patients with AHRE <24 hours, ischemic stroke occurred in 20/1062 patients randomized to anticoagulation (0.90%/patient-year) and 21/1068 patients randomized to placebo (0.96%/patient-year) (AHRE <24 hours edoxaban vs. placebo HR 0.92, 95% CI 0.50-1.70, **Table** 

2, Figure 3B and Graphical Abstract). There was no interaction between AHRE duration and
 randomized treatment for the outcome ischemic stroke (p-interaction=0.89).

The post-hoc outcome combining ischemic stroke and systemic embolism excluding pulmonary embolism and myocardial infarction in patients with AHRE ≥24 hours occurred in 8/132 patients (3.8%/patient-year) randomized to anticoagulation and 13/127 patients randomized to placebo (6.4%/patient-year, HR 0.63 (0.26, 1.52)). In patients with shorter AHRE durations, this outcome occurred in 60/1062 patients with anticoagulation (2.7%/patient-year) and 61/1068 patients with placebo (2.8%/patient-year, HR 0.97 (0.68, 1.38); p-interaction=0.45). No hemorrhagic stroke occurred in either group. Similar results were observed for the secondary outcomes and the post-hoc outcomes using AHRE duration as a continuous variable or as a categorical variable by median AHRE duration (≤2.82 hours and >2.82 hours) (Supplementary Table S2 and Figure S2).

Adjusted multiple regression analysis, 3-way interaction analysis for the  $CHA_2DS_2$ -VAS<sub>C</sub> score ( $\leq 4$  and >4), and for the AHRE duration  $\geq 24$  hours and  $\leq 24$  hours showed no differences between treatment groups (**Supplementary Figure S3**).

#### Time from AHRE to ECG-diagnosed AF

Patients with AHRE ≥24 hours at baseline developed more ECG-diagnosed AF (76/259 (29.3%)) than patients with shorter AHRE durations (374/2130 (17.6%), **Figure 4**), also reflected by a higher incidence of ECG-diagnosed AF during follow-up (17.0%/patients-year) than patients with shorter AHRE (8.2%/patient-year, HR 2.20; 95% CI 1.71-2.84, p<0.001). Consequently, the median follow-up time on active study medication was shorter in patients with AHRE ≥24 hours (1.5 years, IQR 0.6, 2.5) than in patients with AHRE <24 hours (1.9 years, IQR 0.9, 3.3).

#### Discussion

risk of stroke.

#### **Main Findings**

This secondary prespecified analysis of the NOAH-AFNET 6 trial based on standardized, core-lab analysis of all qualifying AHRE episodes at baseline identified the following: (i) long durations of device-detected AHRE, including durations ≥24 hours, did not interact with the treatment effect of anticoagulation in the NOAH-AFNET 6 trial; (ii) similarly, there was no interaction between the effect of anticoagulation therapy and AHRE duration used as a continuous variable; (iii) stroke rate appeared low (1%/patient-year) without oral anticoagulation in patients with AHRE ≥24 hours and in the overall population of patients with AHRE despite multiple clinical stroke risk factors (median CHA2DS2-VASc= 4); and (iv) patients with AHRE ≥24 hours developed more ECG-diagnosed AF over time compared to those with shorter AHRE durations.

This is the first analysis assessing the interaction between AHRE duration and anticoagulation therapy in patients with AHRE and stroke risk factors. The hypothesis-generating findings illustrate the need for further research into factors to identify patients with AHRE at high

# Which factors could explain the low rate of stroke and thrombotic events without anticoagulation in patients with long AHRE in NOAH-AFNET 6?

Patients with device-detected AHRE have a higher stroke risk than patients without AHRE, although the stroke risk appears lower compared to patients with ECG-diagnosed AF¹. This prespecified secondary analysis of the NOAH-AFNET 6 trial did not find that AHRE duration interacts with the efficacy and safety of oral anticoagulation in a large, randomized, double-blind trial (**Graphical abstract**). Unexpectedly, the stroke rate appeared low in patients across AHRE durations, including in patients with AHRE ≥24 hours not receiving anticoagulation (1%/year).

1 The observed stroke rate in patients with long AHRE durations is comparable to the stroke rate in

2 large routine care databases of patients with AHRE and stroke risk factors<sup>9, 10</sup>.

Small observational data sets, including a subgroup analysis of the ASSERT trial with similar demographic and clinical characteristics compared to this data set (ASSERT: age 77.2 years, CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VAS<sub>C</sub> score 4), suggested a higher rate of stroke<sup>4, 5</sup>. Patients randomized in NOAH-AFNET 6 had an ECG recorded every six months and received anticoagulation upon ECG documentation of AF, in accordance with current guidelines on the initiation of anticoagulation in patients with ECG-documented AF<sup>11</sup>. In patients with AHRE  $\geq$ 24 hours, these ECGs found AF in 17%/year, and in 29% of the patients during the duration of the trial. It is unclear how many patients received anticoagulation after ECG documentation of AF in ASSERT<sup>5</sup>. Timely detection of ECGdocumented AF and initiation of open-label anticoagulation is a likely contributor to the lower rate of stroke in NOAH-AFNET 6. There was a numerical signal for more ischemic events in patients with AHRE ≥24 hours, and the point estimates for thrombotic events were higher in patients with long AHRE randomized to no anticoagulation compared to patients with long AHRE randomized to anticoagulation. Within the limitations of this analysis, our results do not identify an interaction between AHRE duration and the efficacy and safety of oral anticoagulation in patients with AHRE and stroke risk factors.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

#### What Differentiates AHRE from ECG-diagnosed Atrial Fibrillation?

The overwhelming majority of AHRE recorded in NOAH-AFNET 6 show features consistent with AF during episodes, including a high atrial rate (>200 bpm) and irregular RR intervals. NOAH-AFNET 6 enrolled patients without an upper limit for AHRE duration and therefore included patients with very long AHRE. Despite several approaches to analysing AHRE duration at baseline, including a cut-off of ≥24 hours, a split by median duration, and integrating

AHRE duration as a continuous parameter, no subgroup of patients was identified that had a substantially higher stroke risk than the overall population. The main finding in the overall trial, a low rate of stroke, extends to the population with long AHRE durations in this analysis. Overall, this hypothesis-generating analysis suggests that the arrhythmia burden in patients with AHRE may be too low to create a stroke risk that is comparable to the stroke risk in paroxysmal atrial fibrillation<sup>12-16</sup>. The neutral outcome of the intervention tested in the LOOP study, initiation of oral anticoagulation upon AHRE detection by an implanted loop recorder, may support the concept that device-detected AHRE are only associated with a relatively small increase in stroke risk. In LOOP, the overall arrhythmia burden was low (mean estimate 0.13% <sup>17, 18</sup>). The arrhythmia burden in a patient with ECG-diagnosed AF not undergoing rhythm control is likely to be higher (estimated at 11%<sup>19</sup>). Early rhythm control therapy reduced cardiovascular events in the EAST-AFNET 4 trial<sup>20</sup>. This outcome-reducing effect was mediated by attaining sinus rhythm<sup>21</sup>. In view of the low AF burden on rhythm control therapy (0.2% AF burden after AF ablation, 2% AF burden on antiarrhythmic drugs)<sup>22</sup>, a lower arrhythmia burden on rhythm control therapy is a likely driver of reduced outcomes with early rhythm control. Further research on the interaction of stroke risk and arrhythmia burden is needed. Such research would become much easier if reliable methods for atrial arrhythmia quantification and a uniform definition of atrial arrhythmia burden across devices were available<sup>23</sup>. In the view of the authors, a higher arrhythmia burden is a likely contributor to the higher rate of stroke between the population studied here and patients with paroxysmal AF enrolled in anticoagulation trials<sup>14</sup>.

#### Arrhythmia Detection by Wearable Electronic Devices

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The detection rate of atrial arrhythmias resembling AF by wearables<sup>24, 25</sup> is lower than the AHRE rate detected by implanted devices<sup>1, 24, 26</sup>. This is probably due to at least three factors, first

the younger age and lower comorbidity burden in the populations studied using wearables, second the shorter monitoring duration limited to the time during which the devices are worn, and third the incomplete detection of arrhythmias by algorithms and systems used in wearable electronics. Given the low stroke rate in patients with long AHRE durations found here (1%/year), there is a need for randomized trials comparing oral anticoagulation to no anticoagulation in patients with rare atrial arrhythmia episodes. The design of such trials can be improved by including methods to detect patients with rare atrial arrhythmias who are at a high risk of stroke. In addition to clinical stroke risk factors, quantitative proxies for stroke risk obtained from imaging or from circulating biomolecules<sup>27, 28</sup> may be helpful to identify patients with AHRE at high risk of stroke.

11

Limitations

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

This analysis is not sufficient to rule out interaction effects between anticoagulation and AHRE duration on thromboembolic events. The findings were consistent whether analysing AHRE duration categorically split by 24 hour episode duration, by median, or as a continuous variable. The findings need validation in independent and larger cohorts. An individual patient-metaanalysis of the data collected in NOAH-AFNET 6 and ARTESiA<sup>29</sup> can help to identify subgroups of patients at high risk of stroke. Due to the exclusion of patients with AHRE ≥24 hours in ARTESiA, such an effort will not generate more data in patients with very long AHRE durations. Most of the analyses presented here were prespecified in the analysis plan, but some of the outcome definitions were defined post-hoc. This analysis found a numerically higher event rate in patients with long AHRE randomized to no anticoagulation. This illustrates the need for adequately powered randomized trials of anticoagulation therapy in patients with long device-detected atrial arrhythmias. NOAH-AFNET 6 only tested anticoagulation with edoxaban. Efficacy and safety of anticoagulation with other anticoagulants can only be inferred. NOAH-AFNET 6 was conducted in Europe, and most patients had access to evidence-based management of cardiovascular conditions to reduce stroke risk, including blood pressure control, treatment of dyslipidemias and diabetes, and heart failure therapy. Furthermore, an ECG every six months was used to detect AF, triggering treatment with open-label anticoagulation. This may have contributed to the low event rates. Effects in other ethnicities and effects of other anticoagulants can only be deduced from the present data. Furthermore, the enrolment bias inherent in randomized trials may have affected event rates. All devices captured AHRE characteristics, but the methods to quantify the total duration of AHRE and to estimate the monitored time differ between devices and manufactures. Therefore, quantification of the arrhythmia burden at baseline, a candidate predictor of stroke risk 30, 31, was not possible in this analysis. Further analyses may enable quantification of the effect of baseline arrhythmia burden on the efficacy and safety of oral anticoagulation.

#### Conclusions

In this prespecified secondary analysis of the NOAH-AFNET 6 trial, there was no interaction between the duration of the longest AHRE episode and the efficacy and safety of oral anticoagulation. The rate of stroke and thrombotic events appeared low in patients with long AHRE ≥24 hours. Patients experiencing AHRE durations ≥24 hours are more likely to develop AF over time, calling for regular ECG follow-up. Further research is needed to identify patients with AHRE at higher risk of stroke and other cardiovascular events.

#### Acknowledgements

We wish to express our gratitude to all patients who participated in the trial and to the local study teams, to the dedicated staff at AFNET and CRI, and all committee members.

1 References

- 3 1. Toennis T, Bertaglia E, Brandes A, Dichtl W, Fluschnik N, de Groot JR, et al. The
- 4 influence of atrial high-rate episodes on stroke and cardiovascular death: an update. Europace
- 5 2023;**25**(7):euad166.
- 6 2. Healey JS, Connolly SJ, Gold MR, Israel CW, Van Gelder IC, Capucci A, et al.
- 7 Subclinical atrial fibrillation and the risk of stroke. N Engl J Med 2012;**366**(2):120-9.
- 8 3. Bertaglia E, Blank B, Blomstrom-Lundqvist C, Brandes A, Cabanelas N, Dan GA, et al.
- 9 Atrial high-rate episodes: prevalence, stroke risk, implications for management, and clinical gaps
- in evidence. Europace 2019;**21**(10):1459-1467.
- 4. Uittenbogaart SB, Lucassen WAM, van Etten-Jamaludin FS, de Groot JR, van Weert H.
- Burden of atrial high-rate episodes and risk of stroke: a systematic review. Europace
- 13 2018;**20**(9):1420-1427.
- 14 5. Van Gelder IC, Healey JS, Crijns H, Wang J, Hohnloser SH, Gold MR, et al. Duration of
- device-detected subclinical atrial fibrillation and occurrence of stroke in ASSERT. Eur Heart J
- 16 2017;**38**(17):1339-1344.
- 17 6. Perino AC, Fan J, Askari M, Heidenreich PA, Keung E, Raitt MH, et al. Practice
- 18 Variation in Anticoagulation Prescription and Outcomes After Device-Detected Atrial
- 19 Fibrillation. Circulation 2019;**139**(22):2502-2512.
- 20 7. Kirchhof P, Toennis T, Goette A, Camm AJ, Diener HC, Becher N, et al. Anticoagulation
- with Edoxaban in Patients with Atrial High-Rate Episodes. N Engl J Med 2023;389(13):1167-
- 22 1179.
- 8. Kirchhof P, Blank BF, Calvert M, Camm AJ, Chlouverakis G, Diener HC, et al. Probing
- oral anticoagulation in patients with atrial high rate episodes: Rationale and design of the Non-

- 1 vitamin K antagonist Oral anticoagulants in patients with Atrial High rate episodes (NOAH-
- 2 AFNET 6) trial. Am Heart J 2017;**190**:12-18.
- 3 9. Boriani G, Glotzer TV, Santini M, West TM, De Melis M, Sepsi M, et al. Device-
- 4 detected atrial fibrillation and risk for stroke: an analysis of >10,000 patients from the SOS AF
- 5 project (Stroke preventiOn Strategies based on Atrial Fibrillation information from implanted
- 6 devices). Eur Heart J 2014;**35**(8):508-16.
- 7 10. Kaplan RM, Koehler J, Ziegler PD, Sarkar S, Zweibel S, Passman RS. Stroke Risk as a
- 8 Function of Atrial Fibrillation Duration and CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc Score. Circulation
- 9 2019;**140**(20):1639-1646.
- 10 11. Hindricks G, Potpara T, Dagres N, Arbelo E, Bax JJ, Blomstrom-Lundqvist C, et al. 2020
- 11 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation developed in
- 12 collaboration with the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS): The Task
- 13 Force for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation of the European Society of
- 14 Cardiology (ESC) Developed with the special contribution of the European Heart Rhythm
- 15 Association (EHRA) of the ESC. Eur Heart J 2021;**42**(5):373-498.
- 16 12. Lip GY, Frison L, Grind M, Investigators S. Stroke event rates in anticoagulated patients
- with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. J Intern Med 2008;**264**(1):50-61.
- 18 13. Takabayashi K, Hamatani Y, Yamashita Y, Takagi D, Unoki T, Ishii M, et al. Incidence
- 19 of Stroke or Systemic Embolism in Paroxysmal Versus Sustained Atrial Fibrillation: The Fushimi
- 20 Atrial Fibrillation Registry. Stroke 2015;**46**(12):3354-61.
- 21 14. Vanassche T, Lauw MN, Eikelboom JW, Healey JS, Hart RG, Alings M, et al. Risk of
- 22 ischaemic stroke according to pattern of atrial fibrillation: analysis of 6563 aspirin-treated
- patients in ACTIVE-A and AVERROES. Eur Heart J 2015;36(5):281-7a.

- 1 15. Link MS, Giugliano RP, Ruff CT, Scirica BM, Huikuri H, Oto A, et al. Stroke and
- 2 Mortality Risk in Patients With Various Patterns of Atrial Fibrillation: Results From the
- 3 ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 Trial (Effective Anticoagulation With Factor Xa Next Generation in
- 4 Atrial Fibrillation-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 48). Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol
- 5 2017;**10**(1):e004267.
- 6 16. Steinberg BA, Shrader P, Thomas L, Ansell J, Fonarow GC, Gersh BJ, et al. Factors
- 7 associated with non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants for stroke prevention in patients
- 8 with new-onset atrial fibrillation: Results from the Outcomes Registry for Better Informed
- 9 Treatment of Atrial Fibrillation II (ORBIT-AF II). Am Heart J 2017;**189**:40-47.
- 10 17. Diederichsen SZ, Haugan KJ, Brandes A, Lanng MB, Graff C, Krieger D, et al. Natural
- History of Subclinical Atrial Fibrillation Detected by Implanted Loop Recorders. J Am Coll
- 12 Cardiol 2019;**74**(22):2771-2781.
- 13 18. Svendsen JH, Diederichsen SZ, Hojberg S, Krieger DW, Graff C, Kronborg C, et al.
- 14 Implantable loop recorder detection of atrial fibrillation to prevent stroke (The LOOP Study): a
- randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2021;**398**(10310):1507-1516.
- 16 19. Charitos EI, Purerfellner H, Glotzer TV, Ziegler PD. Clinical classifications of atrial
- 17 fibrillation poorly reflect its temporal persistence: insights from 1,195 patients continuously
- monitored with implantable devices. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63(25 Pt A):2840-8.
- 19 20. Kirchhof P, Camm AJ, Goette A, Brandes A, Eckardt L, Elvan A, et al. Early Rhythm-
- 20 Control Therapy in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2020;**383**(14):1305-1316.
- 21 21. Eckardt L, Sehner S, Suling A, Borof K, Breithardt G, Crijns H, et al. Attaining sinus
- 22 rhythm mediates improved outcome with early rhythm control therapy of atrial fibrillation: the
- 23 EAST-AFNET 4 trial. Eur Heart J 2022;**43**(40):4127-4144.

- 1 22. Andrade JG, Deyell MW, Macle L, Wells GA, Bennett M, Essebag V, et al. Progression
- of Atrial Fibrillation after Cryoablation or Drug Therapy. N Engl J Med 2023;388(2):105-116.
- 3 23. Schnabel RB, Marinelli EA, Arbelo E, Boriani G, Boveda S, Buckley CM, et al. Early
- 4 diagnosis and better rhythm management to improve outcomes in patients with atrial fibrillation:
- 5 the 8th AFNET/EHRA consensus conference. Europace 2023;**25**(1):6-27.
- 6 24. Perez MV, Mahaffey KW, Hedlin H, Rumsfeld JS, Garcia A, Ferris T, et al. Large-Scale
- 7 Assessment of a Smartwatch to Identify Atrial Fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2019;381(20):1909-
- 8 1917.
- 9 25. Lubitz SA, Atlas SJ, Ashburner JM, Lipsanopoulos ATT, Borowsky LH, Guan W, et al.
- 10 Screening for Atrial Fibrillation in Older Adults at Primary Care Visits: VITAL-AF Randomized
- 11 Controlled Trial. Circulation 2022;**145**(13):946-954.
- 12 26. Fabritz L, Connolly DL, Czarnecki E, Dudek D, Guasch E, Haase D, et al. Smartphone
- and wearable detected atrial arrhythmias in Older Adults: Results of a fully digital European Case
- finding study. Eur Heart J Digit Health 2022;**3**(4):610-625.
- 15 27. Hijazi Z, Lindback J, Alexander JH, Hanna M, Held C, Hylek EM, et al. The ABC (age,
- biomarkers, clinical history) stroke risk score: a biomarker-based risk score for predicting stroke
- in atrial fibrillation. Eur Heart J 2016;**37**(20):1582-90.
- 18 28. Hijazi Z, Benz AP, Lindback J, Alexander JH, Connolly SJ, Eikelboom JW, et al. Bone
- morphogenetic protein 10: a novel risk marker of ischaemic stroke in patients with atrial
- 20 fibrillation. Eur Heart J 2023;**44**(3):208-218.
- 21 29. Lopes RD, Alings M, Connolly SJ, Beresh H, Granger CB, Mazuecos JB, et al. Rationale
- 22 and design of the Apixaban for the Reduction of Thrombo-Embolism in Patients With Device-
- 23 Detected Sub-Clinical Atrial Fibrillation (ARTESiA) trial. Am Heart J 2017;**189**:137-145.

- 1 30. Chen LY, Chung MK, Allen LA, Ezekowitz M, Furie KL, McCabe P, et al. Atrial
- 2 Fibrillation Burden: Moving Beyond Atrial Fibrillation as a Binary Entity: A Scientific Statement
- From the American Heart Association. Circulation 2018;137(20):e623-e644.

- 4 31. Chew DS, Li Z, Steinberg BA, O'Brien EC, Pritchard J, Bunch TJ, et al. Arrhythmic
- 5 Burden and the Risk of Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients With Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation
- 6 and Cardiac Implanted Electronic Devices. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2022;**15**(2):e010304.

#### Figure Legends

2

1

- 3 Graphical Abstract. Anticoagulation with edoxaban in patients with long AHRE  $\geq$ 24 hours.
- 4 AHRE, atrial high-rate episodes; CI, confidence interval; ECG, electrocardiogram; HR, hazard
- 5 ratio

6

- 7 Figure 1: CONSORT flow chart of this secondary prespecified subanalysis. Shown is the
- 8 analysis population, the number of patients with a primary efficacy outcome, the number of patients
- 9 with a safety outcome, and the number of patients who developed ECG-diagnosed atrial fibrillation
- in each group.

11

- 12 Figure 2. Atrial high-rate episodes (AHRE) characteristics by AHRE duration. A: Number of
- AHRE episodes prior to at baseline. **B:** Time from last adequate AHRE to baseline by AHRE
- duration in months. C: Duration of the maximal AHRE at baseline (longest single episode) in
- minutes and days. All three panels depict AHRE <24 hours in orange, and AHRE ≥24 hours in
- blue. The apparent peak at four days (99 hours) AHRE duration is due to the fact that some
- 17 manufacturers only store precise AHRE durations up to 99 hours, while other manufacturers and
- devices precisely capture AHRE durations up to 9999 hours. All graphs show separate distributions
- 19 for each randomized group in the 2389 patients with adequate AHRE. There were no differences
- 20 between randomized groups.

- Figure 3. Cumulative incidence curves of the primary outcome and secondary outcomes incidence
- curves considering death competing event (Aalen Johansen curve).
- 24 **3 A:** Primary outcome, a composite of stroke, systemic embolism and cardiovascular death

- 1 **3B:** All-cause death and major bleeding.
- 2 **3C:** Ischemic stroke
- 3 **3D:** Ischemic stroke or systemic embolism

- 5 Figure 4. Cumulative incidence curve from baseline to ECG-diagnosed atrial fibrillation
- 6 considering death as competing event (Aalen Johansen curve) (p<0.001). Survival curves are
- 7 shown for patients with long AHRE ≥24 hours (blue) and shorter AHRE (orange) split by
- 8 randomized group.

9

Table 1. Demographic variable, clinical parameters, and AHRE characteristics at baseline by maximal AHRE duration <24 hours and

>24 hours and by randomized treatment group.

	AHRE duration at b	paseline <24 hours	AHRE duration at	baseline ≥24 hours	Total
	Edoxaban (N=1062)	Placebo (N=1068)	Edoxaban (N=132)	Placebo (N=127)	(N=2389)
Demographics					
Age, mean ± SD	$78 \pm 6.5$	$78 \pm 6.7$	$77 \pm 6.5$	$78 \pm 7.6$	$78 \pm 6.6$
Age ≥ 75 years, N	714 (67%)	729 (68%)	88 (67%)	81 (64%)	1612 (68%)
Female Sex, N (%)	398 (38%)	405 (38%)	32 (24%)	40 (32%)	875 (37%)
Clinical					
BMI [kg/m²], median (IQR)	27.7 (25.1, 31.3)	27.7 (25.0, 30.8)	28.3 (25.3, 31.9)	27.2 (25.5, 31.4)	27.7 (25.1, 31.
CHA <sub>2</sub> DS <sub>2</sub> -VASc-score, nedian (IQR)	4 (3, 5)	4 (3, 5)	4 (3, 5)	4.0 (3, 5)	4 (3, 5)
CHA <sub>2</sub> DS <sub>2</sub> -VA score, median IQR)	3 (3, 4)	3 (3, 4)	4 (3, 4)	3.0 (3, 4.)	3 (3, 4)
Modified HAS-BLED Score, median (IQR)	3 (3, 4)	3 (3, 4)	3 (3, 4)	3 (3, 4)	3 (3, 4)
Comorbidities					
Heart failure <sup>a</sup> , N (%)	292 (28%)	283 (27%)	45 (34%)	32 (25%)	652 (27%)
Hypertension <sup>b</sup> , N (%)	909 (86%)	927 (87%)	117 (89%)	115 (91%)	2068 (87%)
Diabetes mellitus, N (%)	288 (27%)	276 (26%)	41 (31%)	38 (30%)	643 (27%)
Prior stroke or TIA, N (%)	101 (10%)	112 (11%)	11 (8%)	14 (11%)	238 (10%)
Prior myocardial infarction, PCI, or CABG, N (%)	300 (28%)	267 (25%)	38 (29%)	32 (25%)	637 (27%)
					28

eGFR (CKD-EPI) [ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> ]	$64.4 \pm 17.4$	64.5 ±17.5	$60.7 \pm 16.7$	$61.4 \pm 16.5$	64.1 ± 17.4 https://a
AHRE characteristics					caden
AHRE ( $\geq$ 170 bpm atrial rate and $\geq$ 6 min duration)	1023 (96%)	1037 (97%)	128 (97%)	123 (97%)	2311 (97%)
Number of total AHRE at baseline, median (IQR)	4.0 (1.0, 15.0)	4.0 (1.0, 13.8)	10.5 (2.0, 36.2)	9.0 (2.0, 24.0)	4.0 (1.0, 15.0) m/eurhear
Maximum duration of AHRE at baseline [h], median (IQR)	2.2 (0.7, 5.9)	2.2 (0.6, 5.9)	58.0 (30.7, 100.0)	52.5 (33.4, 96.0)	2.8 (0.8, 9.4) advance-art
Time from first adequate AHRE to baseline in [days], median (IQR)	117.0 (46.2, 245.0)	126.0 (49.0, 252.0)	155.0 (56.0, 300.0)	121.0 (41.0, 220.0)	122.0 (47.0, 249.0) 00/10.100
Maximum atrial rate during AH	RE episodes at baseline [b	pm]			93/eur
Mean ± SD	$433.9 \pm 135.9$	$421.1 \pm 137.0$	$474.9 \pm 120.5$	$465.5 \pm 124.9$	432.4 ± 135.7
Median, IQR	400.0 (331.0, 549.0)	400.0 (308.0, 545.0)	404.0 (400.0, 600.0)	400.0 (400.0, 600.0)	400.0 (330.0, 549.0)
Time between the last AHRE ar	nd baseline [days]				771/73
Median, IQR	63.0 (22.0, 146.0)	69.0 (24.0, 168.5)	54.0 (20.5, 114.0)	30.0 (8.0, 95.0)	60.0 (22.0, 149.0
≤3 months	252/422 (60%)	235/407 (58%)	51/75 (68%)	53/73 (73%)	591/977 (60%)
>3 months	170/422 (40%)	172/407 (42%)	24/75 (32%)	20/73 (27%)	386/977 (40%)
AHRE, atrial high-rate episode; bp glomerular filtration rate; IQR, int clinically overt or LVEF < 45%. chronic treatment for hypertensi	terquartile range; SD, stand	ard deviation; TIA, tran	sient ischemic attack	•	Birmingh
					29 vember 2023

AHRE, atrial high-rate episode; bpm, beats per minutes; CKD-EPI, chronic kidney disease-epidemiology collaboration equation; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation; TIA, transient ischemic attack 2

<sup>3</sup> <sup>a</sup> clinically overt or LVEF < 45%.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> chronic treatment for hypertension, estimated need for continuous antihypertensive therapy or resting blood pressure > 145/90 mmHg

- 1 The HASBLED score was modified for this analysis of a population exposed to NOAC. The point for labile INR values was not considered.
- 2 Information about major bleeding was limited to the assessment available at the baseline visit of the trial. All patients were considered suitable for
- 3 NOAC therapy by the site investigators.

**Table 2:** Efficacy and safety outcomes for the primary and secondary outcomes by AHRE duration <24 hours and ≥24 hours and by randomized treatment group.

2

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/advance-article/doi/10.1093/eurheartj/ehad771/7396060 by University of Birmingham user on 14 November 2023 p-value AHRE duration at baseline <24 hours AHRE duration at baseline >24 hours interaction Edoxaban vs. Edoxaban Placebo Edoxaban Placebo Edoxaban vs. Placebo Placebo no. of patients with no. of patients with Adjusted HR Adjusted HR event/patient-yr. (95% CI) event/patient-yr. (95% CI) (% per patient-yr) (% per patient-yr) Primary efficacy 80/2164.7 0.86 14/202.3 70/2218.7 9/209.1 0.66 0.65 outcome§ (3.16)(3.70)(0.62, 1.19)(4.30)(6.92)(0.28, 1.53)Secondary efficacy outcomes 20/2233.1 Ischemic stroke 21/2183.6 0.92 2/211.0 2/207.1 1.03 0.89 (0.90)(0.96)(0.50, 1.70)(0.95)(0.97)(0.14, 7.32)Systemic embolism 13/2240.9 24/2174.8 0.25 0.55 1/209.1 4/203.1 0.51 (0.58)(0.48)(1.97)(1.10)(0.28, 1.08)(0.03, 2.26)Myocardial infarction 9/2250.8 14/2179.1 1/209.1 2/207.9 (0.40)(0.64)(0.48)(0.96)Pulmonary embolism 1/207.9 3/2248.6 8/2188.5 0 (0.13)(0.37)(0.48)Peripheral limb 2/2193.1 0 1/206.1 1/2252.2 (0.04)(0.09)(0.49)Abdominal embolism 1/2193.9 0 0 0 (0.05)Cardiovascular death 0.94 42/2255.4 44/2193.6 6/211.0 10/209.4 0.63 0.58 (1.86)(2.01)(0.61, 1.44)(2.84)(4.78)(0.23, 1.76)MACE 85/2147.1 78/2198.2 0.90 9/206.4 12/207.0 0.79 0.89 (3.55)(3.96)(0.66, 1.23)(4.36)(5.80)(0.33, 1.88)2/207.1 0.71 Ischemic stroke or 23/2226.3 32/2173.8 0.71 2/211.0 1.01 systemic arterial (1.03)(1.47)(0.41, 1.21)(0.95)(0.97)(0.14, 7.20)embolism 61/2183.0 0.97 13/203.8 0.63 0.45 Ischemic stroke or 60/2229.9 8/211.0 systemic arterial (2.69)(2.79)(0.68, 1.38)(3.79)(6.38)(0.26, 1.52)

ade
mic
.ou
p.c
om/
eur)
rhea
Į.
adv
anc
nce-ai
rtic
e/c
joi/
10.1
1093
$\omega$
eurhe
ıeartj
е
had.
1771
730
39606
d 090
Š
Jniv
ers
ity c
Ĕ
3irmin
9
ham ı
า user
o Je
ň 1
4 Z
love
$\exists$
2
02
S

			RIV	,		
embolism (post hoc						
definition*)		(5)				
Safety outcomes		1				
All cause death and	126/2201.0	95/2165.7 1.32	16/204.4	13/206.1	1.30	0.96
major bleeding	(5.72)	$(4.39) \qquad (1.01, 1.73)^{\S}$	(7.83)	(6.31)	(0.62, 2.71)	
All cause death	92/2255.4	78/2193.6	12/211.0	11/209.4	1.15	0.97
	(4.08)	$(3.56) \qquad (0.85, 1.57)$	(5.69)	(5.25)	(0.50, 2.61)	
Major bleeding	45/2201.0	20/2165.7 2.22	7/204.4	4/206.1	1.78	0.69
	(2.04)	$(0.92) \qquad (1.31, 3.76)^{\S\S}$	(3.43)	(1.94)	(0.52, 6.12)	

§ p = 0.04

2 §§ p=0.003







Figure 1 160x199 mm ( x DPI)







1 2 3	Permission information file
4	The authors declare that all illustrations and figures in the manuscript are entirely original and do
5	not require reprint permission.
6	