

Effect of privacy concerns and engagement on social support behaviour in online health community platforms

Tseng, Hsiao-Ting; Ibrahim, Fahad; Hajli, Nick; Nisar, Tahir M.; Shabbir, Haseeb

DOI:

[10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121592](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121592)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Tseng, H-T, Ibrahim, F, Hajli, N, Nisar, TM & Shabbir, H 2022, 'Effect of privacy concerns and engagement on social support behaviour in online health community platforms', *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, vol. 178, 121592. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121592>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

**Effect of Privacy Concerns and Engagement on Social Support Behaviour in Online
Health Community Platforms**

Dr Hsiao-Ting Tseng

Department of Information Management, National Central University, Taoyuan, Taiwan

httseng@mgt.ncu.edu.tw

Dr Fahad Ibrahim

School of Management

Swansea University

fahad.ibrahim@swansea.ac.uk

Dr Nick Hajli

School of Management

Swansea University

Nick.hajli@swansea.ac.uk

Dr Tahir M. Nisar

Southampton Business School

University of Southampton

Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK

t.m.nisar@soton.ac.uk

Dr. Haseeb Shabbir

Reader in Marketing

Huddersfield University Business School

University of Huddersfield

Huddersfield

HD1 3DH

Email: h.a.shabbir@hud.ac.uk

Effect of Privacy Concerns and Engagement on Social Support Behaviour in Online Health Community Platforms

The growth of interactive technologies has fostered different online health communities (OHCs) where individuals share similar interests in health-related information and exchange social support to facilitate health outcomes. While OHCs offer a variety of benefits to society, it is challenged by surrounding issues of privacy concerns. Breach of privacy poses undesirable consequences for people, and thus privacy concerns can influence individuals' social support behaviour in OHC platforms. Moreover, willingness to engage in the community can be an outcome of prosocial behaviour, motivating people to offer additional social support on OHC platforms. Hence, addressing the role of engagement in a multi-actor online environment requires further attention. Drawing on social support theory, by examining the effects of privacy concerns, control of information, and community engagement, this study develops a framework to create an informed and sharing online community. Using survey data collected from different OHC platforms on Facebook, our study presents some interesting conclusions. Our results show that community engagement and privacy concerns can influence certain types of social support (i.e., information or emotional support), leading to OHC members' intention to participate. Our conceptual model and findings will inform both future research and policymakers.

Keywords: privacy; perceived control of information; community engagement; social support; online health community

1.0 Introduction

The evolution of Web 2.0 has facilitated the inception of different online platforms, where people with similar interests and commonalities share their knowledge, ideas, experiences, opinions and often establish a sense of duty towards the network members (Luo et al., 2020; Park et al., 2015). The insurgence of these online platforms has shepherded the healthcare industry towards remarkable transition and fostered an environment for different online health communities (OHCs) where patient networking has turned out to be an essential part of the health care experience (Gage, 2013; Li et al., 2018). Undoubtedly, these OHCs have remarkable potency to provide advantages for the healthcare sector in many aspects, such as being accessible by a large audience, achieving high levels of engagement, removing the location and physical access barriers (Griffiths et al., 2012; Laranjo, 2016; Welch et al., 2016). Besides, communities like PatientsLikeMe in the US; HealthUnlocked in the UK; Ping A Good Doctor in China have certainly made it easier and faster to diffuse health-related resources such as sharing information, offering emotional support, validation of experience and treatment, and logistical help along with professional medical consultation and information access. Such diffusion of health interventions through these platforms facilitated considerable behavioural change in people seeking health information and self-healthcare management. For example, individuals in the UK looking for health-related information online increased from 54% in 2018 to 63% in 2019 (Office for National Statistics, 2020). In fact, a nationwide survey in 2020 shows that 25.4% of people in the US intended to get cancer-related information online before going to doctors or health care providers at first (HINTS, 2020). Therefore, OHCs have appeared to be an unconventional collaborative platform in the healthcare industry, ensuring a suitable environment for easy accessibility of information and offering different alternative routes for healthcare service provisions.

One of the fundamental motives behind individuals engage in OHCs is social support (Wang et al., 2021b). Social support empowers OHC members who struggle with similar health challenges by offering knowledge, skills, and awareness to identify and engage in appropriate health-related

decisions (Lin and Kishore, 2021; Wentzer and Bygholm, 2013). Hence, within an OHC's integrative environment, social support plays a crucial role in individuals' healthcare management-related behaviours (Greaney et al., 2018; Latkin and Knowlton, 2015). Nevertheless, irrespective of various benefits, OHCs present challenges regarding privacy breach issues. For instance, 249.09 million individuals are being affected directly or indirectly by healthcare data breaches between 2005 to 2019 (Seh et al., 2020). More recently, in May 2021 alone, 6,535,130 healthcare records were exposed or compromised across 63 incidents in the US (HIPPA, 2021). These privacy breaches can lead to reconstructing anyone's identity and can trigger prejudice, harassment, privacy invasion, damage of personal information and even identity theft, putting individual safety at risk. Such incidents highlight the importance of privacy control in OHCs. However, existing literature has provided evidence of privacy related issues in different contexts, but scant attention has been paid to the impact of privacy concerns in the OHCs context (Shirazi et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2018). While OHC literature has provided evidence on the impact of privacy concerns on trust (Bansal and Gefen, 2010), personal health information disclosure (Zhang et al., 2018), knowledge sharing intentions (Dang et al., 2020), or antecedents of privacy calculus model (Kordzadeh et al., 2016), it provides limited clues whether privacy concerns can influence individuals social support exchange behaviour in OHC platforms. Therefore, investigating the impact of privacy concern drivers (i.e., perceived control of information and privacy risk) on OHC members' social support exchange behaviour is critical.

Moreover, the collaborative environment of OHC has also transformed the nature of community engagement and, therefore, has received growing consideration in the existing literature. While engagement is considered critical for organisational settings in different industries (Shawky et al., 2020), it is also particularly crucial for the success and sustainability of OHC platforms (Gopalsamy et al., 2017; Young, 2013). A growing body of literature has given particular attention to engagement behaviour in the social media and online community contexts. For example, privacy concerns (Jozani et al., 2020), relational bonds (Kim and Kim, 2018), image interactivity (Cano et

al., 2017), social media affordance (Cabiddu et al., 2014), channel richness (Mirzaei and Esmailzadeh, 2021), social identity (Feng et al., 2021), and social support (Molinillo et al., 2020) have shown to influence users' engagement behaviour. However, little research has examined whether the willingness to engage in the community can explain and predict the community members' actual relevant behaviour (i.e., social support) (Cao et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2018). Specifically, community engagement from a prosocial point of view has rarely been examined in relation to the individuals social support providing behaviour in OHC platforms. Hence, in this study, we take a prosocial view of community engagement to examine its effect on OHC member's social support providing behaviour.

Given the preceding discussion, our study attempts to build a theoretical framework to examine how privacy concerns, engagement, and social aspects can affect individuals' intention to act on the support provided within the OHC platforms. By integrating the drivers of privacy concerns and social support theory, this study offers a better understanding of how individuals react to the privacy issue in OHCs. Our study also captures community engagement as a behavioural manifestation towards social support providing actions and conceptualises it as individuals' prosocial contributions to the OHCs (Ray et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2018). Specifically, we develop propositions on how privacy control concerns and prosocial intention through engagement can affect different social support offering behaviour in the OHCs that could influence individuals' intention to participate. We also believe that this study will help governments and health organisations develop a more informed social climate for engaging people in healthy communities. While OHCs can play a key role, we need to understand the elements that persuade people to participate in these platforms. Hence, this study seeks to address the following research questions:

RQ1: Whether privacy concerns affect individuals' social support offering behaviour in OHCs?

RQ2: What is the effect of community engagement on individuals' social support behaviour in OHCs?

RQ3: To what extent social support can influence an individual's health-related online community participation intentions?

The rest of this paper proceeds as follows. We first provide a theoretical background to this research and develop a conceptual model and hypotheses. We then discuss the method used, estimate the model, and test the hypotheses to examine the relationships between privacy concerns (i.e., perceived information control and privacy risks), community engagement, social support (i.e., information and emotional support), and participation intention. The paper concludes by discussing the findings, implications, and limitations.

2.0 Literature Review and Hypothesis Development

2.1 Social support in OHCs

OHC can be referred to as a virtual forum/internet-based platform where members share collective interests in health-related information, experiences and emotions and offer support to others within the community (Atanasova et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018). OHCs represent a valuable platform for individuals to diffuse health-related resources, including informational support, logistical advocacy, emotional relief, caregiving strategies, and even financial donations for people who seek support to cope with illness or understand health issues better (Smith and Christakis, 2008; Thoits, 2011). According to Laireiter and Baumann (1992), such forms of assistance offered by online community members constitute social support. Previous studies (e.g., Mazzoni and Cicognani, 2014; Shirazi et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021b) have shown that OHCs can incredibly influence patients' health-related behaviour and assist individuals to manage health-related uncertainties through additional social support. For instance, Liu et al. (2020) demonstrated that social support in OHCs is effective in helping users to improve their insights on the cause of the illness or lowering the uncertainty through verification of their interpretation of the illness. In addition, Park et al. (2020) stated that empathetic and encouraging messages in OHC could offer emotional and informational support through which members can manage uncertainties and enhance their mood or improve their health-related behaviour. In fact, social support in the OHC can obviate stigma and reduce barriers to access

support (Davison et al., 2000; Johnson and Ambrose, 2006). These social supports make community members feel more informed, more in control, and more able to manage their health conditions (Bronstein, 2017; Setoyama et al., 2011). Therefore, social support is considered as an indispensable experience for members in any OHC platform (Introne and Goggins, 2019).

Sarason et al. (1983: 127) broadly defined social support as "the existence or availability of people on whom we can rely, people who let us know that they care about, value, and love us". Alternatively, Cobb (1976: 300) defined social support as fitting to one or more of the following three options: information leading the subject to believe that they are cared for and loved; esteemed and valued; and belongs to a network of communication and mutual obligation. Thus, social support can be defined as an exchange of resources between individuals (Shumaker and Brownell 1984), reflecting a concept of support that is perceived to be beneficial by both the provider and receiver (Yan and Tan, 2014). In such a sense, social support is not just a consequence of relationships; rather it results from individuals' successful negotiation and mobilisation (Hajli et al., 2015; Offer, 2012), which enable networking, communication, reliance, common understanding, mutual social protocols, intangible information, and relationship exchanges. In fact, Wang et al. (2021a) identified that social support for remotely working people during the COVID-19 pandemic had provided necessary emotional and instrumental resources to handle unique challenges. Similarly, in OHCs, receiving social support plays an important role in transforming individuals' health-related experiences (Li et al., 2018) and empowers patients that improve their compliance to treatment and recovery (DiMatteo, 2004). For example, social support has been shown to benefit patients who are constrained by their conditions such as coronary disease (Waring et al., 2018), Alzheimer's disease (White and Dorman, 2000), Huntington's disease (Coulson et al., 2007), cancer (Turner et al., 2001), HIV/AIDS (Ranjit et al., 2020), or different disabling conditions (Frost and Massagli, 2008; Wicks et al., 2010). Besides, social support found to improve patient's life quality (Li et al., 2016; Yao et al., 2015) offer support for mothers who are suffering from postpartum depression (Evans et al., 2012), and helping patients move to a healthier state who are suffering from different psychological issues

(Pollard and Kennedy, 2007; Yan and Tan, 2014). Therefore, given the role of online social support, it has become an important aspect of OHC related studies.

Social support is a construct embedded in online communities where individuals offer their advice and know-how, answer to questions, provide recommendations and express their feeling of attachment. Hence, existing literature has generally categorised social support into different forms, such as informational, emotional, companionship, and instrumental support (Berkman et al., 2000; Wortman and Conway, 1985). Despite being conceptualised multidimensionally (Lo, 2019), there is an agreement that informational and emotional support are more fitting for online community settings (Chen et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2021b). In particular, social support, such as informational and emotional support, is vital and beneficial for OHC members for health-related decisions and outcomes (Lin et al., 2016; Yan and Tan, 2014). Informational support refers to advise, guidance, suggestions, experience, or knowledge (Liang et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2015). Informational support through explaining symptoms, own experiences, and suggestions, can offer direction and assistance to solve the health problems of online community members. Alternatively, emotional support involves listening, encouragement, sympathy, empathy, concern, or trust to compensate for negative emotions (Johnson and Lowe, 2015; Nadeem et al., 2019; Yoo et al., 2014). Emotional support can provide patients with the experience of being loved, cared for, valued, and empathised. Given the interactions that take place in online platforms, we conceive that such a collaborative process of exchanging informational and emotional support likely to develop a sense of mutual obligation within the community members and can increase their engagement and encouragement to support others (Lin et al., 2015; Loane et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2013). Thus, to assess the effect of social support in OHCs, this study emphasises on the informational and emotional support.

Nevertheless, online platforms pose undesirable consequences such as personal information breaches (Malhotra et al., 2004), theft usurpation, and disclosure of security-sensitive information (Featherman et al., 2010; Suh and Han, 2003), financial fraud (Demetis, 2020; Saridakis et al., 2016). Most of the time, OHC's members need to disclose personal information such as geographic location,

telephone number, real name, thus increase the potential risks of information theft and privacy invasion (Lambert et al., 2012; von Muhlen and Ohno-Machado, 2012). Additionally, OHC patients may need to address their health issues in further detail with health professionals, doctors, or other patients (Bansal and Gefen, 2010; Li et al., 2018), increasing the risk of private information exposure. As a result, users of online platforms have a great privacy concern regarding the use of their personal health information and the degree of control they have over their health information (Bansal and Gefen, 2010). Previous studies (e.g., Li et al., 2020; Li et al., 2018; Metzger, 2006) suggested that the ability to control personal information and privacy risk assessment plays an important role in deciding whether to seek/share/disclose information. Thus, given several privacy concerns triggered by patients when dealing with online health information, we must further explore the role of perceived risk and information control on patients' health information seeking/sharing intentions.

2.2 Perceived control of information and perceived privacy risk

Managing online privacy is a constant challenge that people experience while interacting, disclosing, or discussing their personal information online. Especially, people are sensitive to personal health information and want to have control over their information because of privacy and security concerns. Controlling personal information is critical for online user's privacy management, as the ability to control is deeply rooted in the concept of privacy (Heravi et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2012). In fact, individuals perceive privacy through the degree of their belief in control over what information is shared, how data is collected, and whom it is shared with (Malhotra et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2011). While most people have little or false sense of control over how their data is being used, and shared (Cavusoglu et al., 2016; Jozani et al., 2020), usually, most people try to evaluate the extent of control they have over it (Foxman and Kilcoyne, 1993). Such evaluations over the control of information are referred to as 'perceived control of information', where individuals perceive they can control the use of their own information.

Perceived control can influence attitudes, intentions (Averill, 1973; Skinner, 1996), and behaviours of online platform users more than actual control (Hajli and Lin, 2016). For instance, Wang and Liu (2019) state that when people perceive high control over their shared information, they are willing to post more information online. Similarly, perceived control of information drives users' intensity to use online platforms and intention to publicly share more information (Cavusoglu et al., 2016; Jordaan and Van Heerden, 2017). Sometimes higher perceived control of information even leads to an unnecessary revelation of private information (Brandimarte et al., 2012). Alternatively, a sense of losing control over information can induce information removal or a negative attitude towards information sharing (Sheng et al., 2019; Taddei and Contena, 2013). Therefore, the prominence of control of information seems to be a vital factor influencing privacy concerns among online social platform users (Hanna et al., 2010). Besides, it implies that higher perceived control of information will be more likely to allow individuals to feel protected and encourage seeking and sharing health-related information on online platforms.

Perceived control of information has been utilised to reflect an individual's innate fear of potentially losing their private information. For example, studies have found that higher perceived control of information plays a crucial role in reducing privacy concerns (Dinev and Hart, 2006; Xu et al., 2011), which ultimately increases, such as online transactions, online social interactions, or civic expressions (Jiang et al., 2013; Wang and Liu, 2019; Xu et al., 2012). With higher perceived control of information, individuals produce a higher amount of self-disclosure and willingness to post/share information online (Olivero and Lunt, 2004; Taddei and Contena, 2013). While OHC platforms have made virtual health diagnosis and consultation very easy, OHC members are mostly anxious about information leaks. This compromising disclosure can lead to damaging consequences such as discrimination, presumption, humiliation, especially with sensitive issues related to psychological disorders, physical incapacities, sexual diseases, drug abuse (Anderson and Agarwal, 2011; Obermeyer et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2018). To increase information security and prevent privacy invasions, OHC platforms offer different privacy policies and settings to control personal

information and protect users' privacy (Jozani et al., 2020; Saridakis et al., 2016). Such perceived control of information can decrease an individual's discretion and help them disclose information within the online community (Acquisti et al., 2015; Cavusoglu et al., 2016). Therefore, we propose:

***H1_a:** Perceived control of information is positively related to the user's emotional support.*

***H1_b:** Perceived control of information is positively related to the user's informational support.*

***H1_c:** Perceived control of information is positively related to users' participation intention in OHCs.*

Besides, privacy risk is another direct antecedent of privacy concerns (Li, 2012; Xu et al., 2005). Due to the nature of online community platforms, it is easy to collect, distribute, and utilise user's personal information, which ultimately increases the possibility of potential control loss over personal information resulting in higher privacy risk. Thus, users' concerns or beliefs regarding the degree to which they associate a high potential loss with sharing personal information have been referred to as perceived privacy risk (Gerlach et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2011). Perceived privacy risk can be a key predictor of users' online behaviour, and it can affect issues from trust to willingness in disclosing personal information (Bugshan and Attar, 2020; Dinev and Hart, 2006; Malhotra et al., 2004). Research has shown that OHCs give rise to inconvenient access, misappropriation, and revelation of private health information (Li, 2013). These communities mainly count on communication regarding personal health information with other users, creating secondary usage of health data and posing serious privacy risks (Li, 2013; Safran et al., 2007). Therefore, users may not be willing to take part in OHCs and disclose their personal health information. However, studies (e.g., Cheung et al., 2015; Hallam and Zanella, 2017; Heravi et al., 2018) have found that perceived privacy risks have limited or no impact on disclosing personal information. Li et al. (2018) have observed that perceived risk does not affect health information sharing and seeking intentions within certain study groups in their research. Privacy calculus theory shed light on such argument, suggesting that individuals perform a calculus between the cost of privacy risk and benefit of

disclosing information, where if potential gain overtakes the cost, individuals are willing to disclose information (Culnan and Armstrong, 1999; Kokolakis, 2017). Despite studies show that individuals exhibit discrepancies between their intentions to protect privacy and self-disclosure behaviour (i.e., privacy paradox), it is apparent that privacy concerns significantly reduce the disclosure of personal information (Baruh et al., 2017; Koohikamali et al., 2017). Hence, the impact of perceived privacy risk remains an open question and warrants further investigation, especially in the OHC context.

Perceived privacy risk denotes the perceptions and beliefs of people about the potential loss of control over data about themselves (Bélanger and Crossler, 2011; Tseng and Wang, 2016). Such perceived privacy risks have been found to negatively affect an individual's behaviours and decisions toward using online sites (Chang and Tseng, 2013; Van Slyke et al., 2006). Moreover, the privacy risks can have a negative impact on an individual's information seeking and sharing intentions (Dinev and Hart, 2005; Krasnova et al., 2010). Even perceived privacy risk can be critical in terms of individuals decisions concerning information-sharing behaviour on blogs (Chai et al., 2011) and online civic engagement (Wang and Liu, 2019). Besides, the nature of online platforms makes the private data easily collectable, distributable, and usable without users' consents (Hajli and Lin, 2016). Hence, higher perception of privacy risk can negatively impact online users' informational and emotional support seeking intentions.

H2_a: Perceived privacy risk is negatively related to the user's emotional support.

H2_b: Perceived privacy risk is negatively related to the user's informational support.

2.3 Engagement in OHCs

The concept of engagement has recently gained importance because of the dominance of online platforms and their user's growing intention to seek online social support. Higgins (2006: 422) generically defined engagement as "to be involved, occupied, and interested in something." However, the concept of engagement has been subjected to various interpretations beyond the notion of involvement and participation (Azer et al., 2021; Brodie et al., 2019). Existing literature defined

engagement as a psychological or motivational construct reflecting an individual's behavioural investment to accomplish their roles. For instance, Kahn (1990) defined engagement as individuals' being emotionally connected, cognitively vigilant, and physically involved in a role that reflects their thinking, creativity, beliefs, and values and promotes their relationship with other group members. Similarly, Brodie et al. (2013) conceptualised engagement as a context-dependent, multidimensional concept of a psychological state that comprises emotional and behavioural investment in the process of relational exchange. In contrast, engagement has also been defined as motivation (intrinsic/extrinsic) to interact and cooperate with community members (Algesheimer et al., 2005; Baldus et al., 2015). These definitions promote individuals' behaviour of delivering instantaneous value for others, but they go more than fulfilling a sense of duty and show prosocial behaviours that are emergent, virtuous, helpful, conscientious, innovative, and interpersonally collaborative (Ray et al., 2014; Rich et al., 2010). In this study, we take a prosocial contribution standpoint towards defining engagement in OHCs.

Prosocial behaviour is described as voluntary behaviour primarily aimed at benefitting others (Eisenberg et al., 2015). It is driven by the intrinsic and/or extrinsic motives such as altruism (desire to benefit others with no concern for self), egoism (desire to benefit the self), collectivism (desire to benefit collective members of a valued group) and/or principlism (desire to benefit others to uphold moral principles) (Batson et al., 2011; Slattery et al., 2019). These motives are underpinned by different sentiments such as promoting self-identity (Caprara and Steca, 2005; Ray et al., 2014), or feeling good about oneself through helping others (Fu et al., 2017; Lavertu et al., 2020), or expecting reciprocal benefits (Grant and Dutton, 2012; Yang et al., 2020). Therefore, the fundamental rationale behind prosocial behaviour can be seen to be self-serving, mutually beneficial, or socially acceptable. Consequently, a prosocial exchange may occur over different behaviour, such as giving, lending, or sharing (Belk, 2010; Harvey et al., 2020). To facilitate these behaviours, members try to create, contribute, or consume online content (Dolan et al., 2019; Van Doorn et al., 2010), show commitment (Wiertz and de Ruyter, 2007; Zheng et al., 2015), engage in interaction (Brodie et al.,

2021; Wirtz et al., 2013), co-create and/or collaborate (Azer and Alexander, 2018; Laroche et al., 2012), and participate (Algesheimer et al., 2005; Brodie et al., 2019) in the online communities. In this process, engaged individuals believe that their contributions bring impact and share a sense of belongingness, mutual responsibilities, specific beliefs, and develop obligations towards fellow members in the community (Algesheimer et al., 2005; Muniz and O'guinn, 2001). Considering this prosocial perspective, we define engagement as an individual's voluntary behaviour driven by intrinsic or extrinsic motives that are perceived to be personally meaningful, socially beneficial, and emotionally connected towards the community.

Despite having various conceptualisations of engagement, we define engagement as a form of behaviour because most studies agree that contributing to communities reflects the behavioural dimension of the engagement (e.g., Azer et al., 2021; Dolan et al., 2016; Oliveira et al., 2016; Shawky et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2018). In addition, Calder and Malthouse (2008) believed that engagement is a motivational force that drives an individual's behavioural response as a consequence of engagement. Besides, prosocial behaviour comprises a wide class of behaviour from involving costs for the self and resulting in benefits for others (Wittek and Bekkers, 2015); thus, we identify engagement as a mutually dependent process. Moreover, active contribution in online communities through disseminating personal information, experiences, and knowledge emphasises the interactive, two-way nature of community engagement and reflects its behavioural dimensions (Brodie et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2018). Therefore, this study focuses on the behavioural aspect of engagement in an OHC context as a collaborative process.

Several studies have examined user engagement and participation in online communities. For example, Khan (2017) found that user engagement is driven by motivations such as information giving and seeking, relaxing entertainment, social interaction and self-status seeking in social media platforms. Also, Shahbaznezhad et al. (2021) identified that rational, emotional, and transactional content and online platform contribute to positive user engagement. Besides, OHC studies have explored different factors influencing users' engagement. Feng et al. (2021) identified that the

community factors' social identity and perceived effectiveness played a significant role in influencing engagement in the OHC platform. Further, Mirzaei and Esmailzadeh (2021) showed that perceived channel richness and perceived social support positively influence OHC engagement. These studies show various factors influence engagement intentions in online platforms and offer copious evidence that engagement is an integral element in any online community context. However, further research is needed to investigate whether community engagement can explain and predict the individuals' social support providing behaviour in OHCs.

Online community provides a collaborative platform (Faraj et al., 2011; Mirzaei and Esmailzadeh, 2021), which allows community engagement and social relationship development as well as empowers its members through information exchange (Househ et al., 2014; Liang et al., 2011; Lowe and Johnson, 2017). Previous studies (e.g., Chou et al., 2009; McKenna and Bargh, 1998; Meier et al., 2007) show that member's participation in online support groups provides both informational and emotional benefits regarding a wide range of health conditions and illnesses. For instance, involved health community members seek informational support regarding medical experiences, treatment history and suggestions, disease diagnosis and prevention, health risk assessment advice from doctors (Gibbons et al., 2011; Oh, 2012; Xiao et al., 2014). Also, community members can provide emotional support, increasing community members' ability to fight stress, depression, loneliness, emotional hardships, bad moods, and continue treatment regimens (Lieberman and Goldstein, 2005; Menon et al., 2014; van Uden-Kraan et al., 2008). In other virtual community contexts, Cao et al. (2021) showed that engagement intention positively influences content creation, contribution, and consumption behaviour. Also, Molinillo et al. (2020) identified that engaged customers are likely to co-construct unique experiences by exchanging information and knowledge (i.e., willingness to co-create) on social commerce websites. Furthermore, Ray et al. (2014) showed that the exchange of information is driven by the greater sense of engagement that inspires community members to help others in a meaningful way. Besides, in a qualitative study, Azer et al. (2021) capture that engaged social community platform users to manifest informational,

supportive, inspiring, and emotional behaviour during the COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, it is likely that an increase in community engagement would lead to an increase in the social support received from OHCs. Therefore, we posit the following two hypotheses:

H3_a: Community Engagement has a positive effect on users' emotional support.

H3_b: Community Engagement has a positive effect on users' informational support.

2.4 Social support and intention to participate

Social support is a critical element of human interaction (Rozzell et al., 2014) and significant indicator that leads to experiencing social benefit (Vicary and Fraley, 2010). According to Vaux (1988), it is a process of seeking, offering, and evaluating supportive behaviours, regularly projected through the exchange of verbal and nonverbal messages. Online social support helps users with their intangible needs, such as emotional and informational support (Coulson, 2005; Madjar, 2008). Especially, people need emotional and informational support because of their health-related issues (Schaffer et al., 2008) and such support may attract individuals to be more active and participative in online community platforms (Liang et al., 2011). According to Hsu et al. (2012) dependable and responsive exchange of information will lead to better community involvement. Besides, Li et al. (2018) show that people get benefits from informational and emotional support that ultimately increase their intention to share health information in the online social network communities. Therefore, social support has a significant effect on the individual's psychological state (Hajli et al., 2015), which may affect an individual's willingness to participate/act on the support received in OHCs. So, we propose that:

H4: Emotional support positively affects users' online community participation intention.

H5: Informational support positively affects users' online community participation intention.

Based on the discussion above, we present our conceptual model in Figure 1. This model aims to understand the impact of privacy concerns and community engagement on social support behaviour, contributing to members' intention to participate in OHC platforms.

Insert Figure 1 here

3.0 Research Method

3.1 Data Collection

We collected primary data through different OHCs. We invited 1000 random users from healthcare-based online platforms where members can collaborate with others in the group to share information and offer immediate responses to deliver health-related solutions. With a response rate of 20.2%, we have received 202 usable questionnaires from several healthcare-based pages such as the CDC, Act Against AIDS, CDC Tobacco Free, CDC en Espanol, CDC Emergency, Million Hearts, NIOSH, Weight of the Nation, Veto Violence, and Start Talking Stop HIV. The sample population for this study are members who had been involved in any of the healthcare-based pages mentioned above. Table 1 provides demographical information about our participants.

Insert Table 1 here

3.2 Measurements

All the items for each indicator were adapted from previous research, and some statements were modified to fit the current research context. All items used a 7-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). Items for perceived privacy risk were adapted from Pavlou et al. (2007) and Hajli and Lin (2016) that measure individual's subjective evaluation with regard to what happens to the disclosed information, whereas items of perceived control of information were adapted from Krasnova et al. (2010) and Hajli and Lin (2016) that attempts to assess perception regarding the possibility of managing subject's own information. Items for community engagement were adapted from Algesheimer et al. (2005), Hajli and Lin (2016), and Baldus et al. (2015) to measure users' effort to interact with others and willingness to refer potential users who need support. In addition, two key concepts measured the social support indicator, i.e., informational and emotional support for which the items were adopted from Hajli (2014). Finally, the dependent variable intention to participate

was measured by capturing individuals' tendencies to act on support received from the OHC. We asked participants to consider their behaviour and activities on the online health platforms while answering questions. Table 2 provides further description and information regarding each construct and related items.

Insert Table 2 here

4.0 Data Analysis and Results

Structural equation modelling (SEM) is a distinct technique that implies a confirmatory approach to assess multiple relationships for developing a model (Hair et al., 2013; Tabachnick and Fidell, 2013). As a result, to assess the conceptual model and its related hypotheses, structural equation modelling (SEM) has been used as an analytical technique, and the data have been analysed with IBM AMOS 26.0 software. First, we have carried out some preliminary assessments to determine the plausible context of the distribution and understand the data's appropriateness for multivariate analysis. Second, we have assessed the measurement model to determine the reliability and validity of theoretical constructs. Third, we examine the common method variance (CMV). Fourth, we have measured the structural model by estimating the significance of the causal relationships among the constructs. Results from each analysis are presented in the following.

4.1 Preliminary Analysis

Prior to structural equation modelling, we have carried out various analyses to establish a logical context of the distribution and identify the data's appropriateness for multivariate analysis. At first, we have calculated the normality assessment of distributions of variables using a z-score by dividing the skewness and kurtosis values by their standard errors. At a conservative statistical significance level of 0.01, the z-score values show that not all variable falls between the threshold values of ± 2.58 . Further analyses using Shapiro-Wilk and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests ($p < .05$) also illustrate that the distributions of variables violate the normality assumption. Besides, we have also assessed the multivariate normality by using Mardia's coefficient of multivariate kurtosis, which indicated that

the dataset was multivariate non-normal.

Given that the data are multivariate non-normal, to fix this problem, a Bollen–Stine bootstrap (n=2000 at 95% bias-corrected confidence interval) has been performed to achieve stronger accuracy in confidence intervals (Nevitt and Hancock, 2001; Schumacker et al., 2015). Moreover, the detrimental effects of nonnormality and underestimating variance disappear with sample sizes over 200 (Tabachnick and Fidell, 2013; Waternaux, 1976). Therefore, with a sample size of $N=202$, non-normal distributions of variables do not impose any constraints derived from the normality assumption.

To identify potential outliers in the dataset, the Mahalanobis distance method (Mahalanobis D^2) has been used. The results illustrate that all observation values of D^2/df ($df=5$) are less than the threshold value of 4.0, exhibiting no characteristics of outliers in the dataset (Hair et al., 2013). Levene's test of equality of variance also shows that homogeneity of variances is present. Besides, Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) analysis indicates no evidence of multicollinearity issue, as VIF values are between 1.001 to 1.260, well below the cut-off point of 4.0, and tolerances are more than 0.10 ranging from 0.79 to 0.99 (Pallant, 2016). Finally, potential non-response bias has also been assessed by comparing the early and late respondents (Armstrong and Overton, 1977). We have split the data based on the response order of the survey before and after the first seven days as a dividing point. The results show no statistically significant difference between these two groups at a 95% confidence level, supporting that non-response bias does not appear to be an issue in this study.

4.2 Measurement Model Analysis

At first, by assessing causal relationships between the observed variables and the underlying latent variables, we have assessed the validity of the measurement model. Thus, to assess the unidimensionality of the constructs and the underlying latent variables, we have performed confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). The combinations of fit statistics for CFA illustrate that chi-square/degrees of freedom $\chi^2/df = 1.504$, standardised root mean square residual (SRMR) = 0.054, root-mean-square error of approximation (RMSEA) = 0.050 with $pclose = 0.484$, comparative fit

index (CFI) = 0.974, Tucker–Lewis index (TLI) = 0.969, incremental fit indices (IFI) = 0.975, have met the requirements of recommended values (Bagozzi and Yi, 1988; Bentler and Bonett, 1980; Brown, 2006), thus exhibiting a good fit to the collected data.

Also, convergent validity has been used to determine the construct validity by assessing Cronbach's alpha (α), standardised factor loading estimates (FL), average variance extracted (AVE), and composite reliability (CR) values (Fornell and Larcker, 1981; Hair et al., 2013). Cronbach alpha is commonly used to assess internal consistency as it involves correlating inter-item responses to determine whether principal items are measuring the same domain (Rattray and Jones, 2007; Tabachnick and Fidell, 2013). The results in **Table 2** illustrate that for each variable, the alpha values are above 0.70, ensuring high reliability (ranging from 0.722 to 0.970) (Bollen and Lennox, 1991; Sarantakos, 2013). Standardised factor loading estimates for all variables are statistically significant at $p < 0.001$ and range from 0.765 to 0.848, which exceeds the minimum criterion of 0.50. Besides, composite reliability values range from 0.744 to 0.970, which are greater than the commonly accepted cut-off value of 0.70 and ensure construct reliability. Finally, the average variance extracted (AVE) for each variable exceeded the recommended benchmark of 0.50 (See **Table 3**). These results confirm that the research has sufficient reliability and convergent validity.

Next, the following techniques have measured the discriminant validity of the scales. First, the results show that the square root of AVE for each construct (bold letter on the diagonal in **Table 3**) is higher than the correlation between any pair of distinct constructs (Fornell and Larcker, 1981). Second, none of the correlation coefficients exceeds the threshold value of 0.70 (Sepasgozar et al., 2019; Yukl et al., 2008). Finally, all maximum-shared squared variances (MSV) for the factors are smaller than the AVE. These results presented in **Table 3** show that all latent variables differ sufficiently from each other and thus provide evidence of discriminant validity (Urbach and Ahlemann, 2010).

Insert Table 3 here

4.3 Common Method Variance

While researchers report different post-hoc statistical tests for CMV bias, disagreement remains regarding the application of the most appropriate approach (Malhotra et al., 2017; Simmering et al., 2015). Thus, we have used three different statistical analyses to assess the severity of CMV in the study. First, Harman's single factor test extracted six factors explaining 79.29% of the variance and un-rotated factor solution shows that the first factor explains only 17.38% of the variance, which is below the threshold of 50%, showing the potential bias for common method variance is low (Harman, 1976; Podsakoff et al., 2003). Second, a partial correlation technique using a marker variable has been used to assess the influence of common method variance. The results show that adjusted correlations were only slightly deviated from the unadjusted correlations with unchanged significance levels, thus showing that common method variance is very unlikely to contaminate the results (Lindell and Whitney, 2001).

Third, a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) marker technique recommended by Williams et al. (2010) has been used to identify potential CMV impact on the study results. The results presented in **Table 4** show that the test for Method-C Model (constrained model) resulted in a significant chi-square difference of $\Delta\chi^2=34.557$ at $\Delta df=6$, which indicates that there is shared CMV between the latent marker variable and substantive variable indicators. Next, a model comparison between Method-U (unconstrained model) and the Method-C model shows a significant chi-square difference of $\Delta\chi^2=53.472$ at $\Delta df=20$, showing CMV is not affecting all substantive constructs related correlations equally (Malhotra et al., 2017). Finally, to assess whether the correlations are significantly biased by marker variable method effects, a comparison of the Method-U and Method-R has been performed. The chi-square difference test resulted in a non-significant difference of $\Delta\chi^2=2.619$ at $\Delta df=15$, which shows that the presence of CMV does not spuriously inflate or skew the relationships between the substantive variables (Shuck et al., 2017; Williams et al., 2010). Thus, the above three different analyses indicate CMV does not pose any concerns for the results.

4.4 Structural Model Analysis

After confirming the measurement model fit and related validity issues, the study proceeds to the second step of SEM, identifying and assessing the theorised structural model. The results show that the structural model meets all the requirements for a good model fit. With respect to the threshold values, the absolute fit measures are $\chi^2/df=1.046$; RMR = 0.019, SRMR = 0.023 and RMSEA = 0.015 with a pclose of 0.571, which meets the requirements. In addition, incremental fit measures also illustrate good model fit by exceeding the cut-off value of 0.90, where CFI = 0.999, TLI = 0.996, and IFI = 0.999. Hence, with the evidence of a good model fit, the study progresses to test the proposed hypotheses.

4.5 Hypothesis Testing

The H_{1a} predicts the relationship between perceived control of information and emotional support ($\beta_{H1a} = 0.522$, t-value = 8.814, $p < 0.001$), which was significant. However, in terms of H_{1b} , we did not find any significant relationship between perceived control of information and informational support ($\beta_{H1b} = -0.004$, t-value = -0.073, $p = 0.942$). Thus, *Hypothesis 1a* has been supported, but *Hypothesis 1b* has been rejected. Moreover, the surprising result shows that the relationship between perceived control of information and intention to participate ($\beta_{H1c} = .000$, t-value = 0.006, $p = 0.996$) is non-significant, rejecting *Hypothesis 1c*. With regard to research hypotheses H_{2a} and H_{2b} , results show the relationships between perceived privacy risks, emotional support, and informational support. Perceived privacy risk shows no significant relationship with emotional support ($\beta_{H2a} = -0.079$, t-value = -1.291, $p = 0.197$), indicating *Hypothesis H2a* is rejected. While the result suggests that the perceived privacy risk has a significant positive influence on providing informational support ($\beta_{H2b} = 0.428$, t-value = 6.730, $p < 0.001$), this study hypothesised the relationship inversely. Hence, *Hypothesis H2b* is also rejected. In hypotheses H_{3a} and H_{3b} , results again show that community engagement has no relationship with emotional support ($\beta_{H3a} = -0.103$, t-value = -1.675, $p = 0.094$),

while informational support has a significant relationship with it ($\beta_{H3b} = 0.157$, t-value = 2.460, $p = 0.014$), indicating the acceptance of *Hypothesis 3_b* and rejection of *Hypothesis 3_a*. The relationships between emotional support, informational support, and intention to participate variables are supported in the path analyses. The results show that emotional support ($\beta_{H4} = 0.332$, t-value = 4.273, $p < 0.001$) and informational support ($\beta_{H5} = 0.147$, t-value = 2.227, $p = 0.026$) influence intention to participate, confirming both the *Hypotheses 4* and *Hypotheses 5*. **Figure 2** and **Table 5** show regression coefficients, squared multiple correlations (R^2), t-values and related p-values for each path hypothesised.

Insert Figure 2 here

Insert Table 5 here

4.6 Multi-group Analysis

After evaluating the structural model, the study performs a multi-group analysis to identify the difference in the model between two different groups. A chi-square difference test shows the results of gender-based subgroup analysis ($\chi^2/df = 13.783$, $df = 9$, $p = 0.130$) and indicates no significant difference between the groups. However, scholars (e.g., Yuan and Bentler, 2004; Yuan and Chan, 2016) argue that the chi-square difference test can be problematic and unable to control Type I or Type II errors. Besides, the chi-square difference test is directly affected by sample size (Hair et al., 2013) and for large samples, even inconsequential differences may become significant. Hence, even a chi-square test shows an insignificant difference; the base model can still be substantially different between the groups. So, a comparison between the paths for each group has been performed. Results in **Table 6** shows that out of 9 different paths, only three paths have a significant difference between the groups. Analysis confirms that community engagement has a greater negative effect on male respondents toward emotional support than female respondents. Whereas the result shows that community engagement has a greater positive effect on male respondents toward informational

support than female respondents. This shows that male respondents place significantly greater importance on informational support while communicating on health community platforms than female respondents. Moreover, the analysis also highlights a significant difference between informational support and intention to participate in the groups. The result reveals that male respondents place significantly greater importance on providing informational support than offering emotional support when participated in OHCs.

Insert Table 6 here

5.0 Discussion

Drawing on social support and prosocial behaviour theory, our research tests a new model that aims to provide a better understanding of the three research questions related to consumer behaviour in online communities, examining the role of privacy concerns, control of information, and community engagement with people's participation in OHCs. One of the interesting key findings of this research is that the perceived privacy risk has a positive influence on seeking informational support, which intriguingly differs from most of the existing literature. While other studies found at least no significant relationships between perceived privacy risk and Chinese people's intention to seek health information (Li et al., 2018); personal information disclosure (Heravi et al., 2018); motives for using online platforms or online expressions (Lin and Liu, 2012; Wang and Liu, 2019), none of the studies found a positive relationship. Our finding identifies that when people perceive more privacy risk, they are more willing to explore information in OHCs. One possible justification behind such a relationship may be that crisis situations inflict more urgency on information-seeking needs (Park et al., 2019). For instance, Azer et al. (2021) found that community members offer unfiltered information during the COVID-19 crisis to others, which is inherently unpredictable and unprecedented. Moreover, Zhao and Liu (2021) reported that the perceived severity of societal level risks stimulates individual information-seeking behaviour. Hence, an uncertain environment can trigger the need for an individual to seek information, as it allows them to gain adequate knowledge about a situation to make informed decisions (Superio et al., 2021). As a result, considering between

privacy risk and health crisis or the extent of health information required by an online member, whether to seek/share personal information can result from subjective evaluations of importance. A further alternative explanation of such a positive relationship can be that people tend to think the online platform services are reliable (Hu et al., 2010), and with considerable experience of using the platforms can help to dominate privacy concerns of people, enabling them to attain a higher level of information seeking behaviour (Alsmadi and Prybutok, 2018). Hence, ensuring specific and correct information with suitable user experience within these platforms could be an important mission for healthcare communities and even for governments.

Our findings also shed light on the matter that the benefit of informational and social needs leads to the continued active participation of users in OHCs despite the risk that personal information might be compromised. For instance, Zhu et al. (2021) show that individuals pay more attention and give importance to the perceived benefit of using mobile health applications rather than the privacy risk concerns. Furthermore, Church et al. (2017) identified that online social network exchange benefits suffices to override privacy risk concerns. Besides, different other studies (e.g., Hallam and Zanella, 2017; Li et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2009) have also shared a similar view that individuals trade privacy to accrue benefits. For instance, Alsmadi and Prybutok (2018) stated that users might also decide to deal with some of their security and privacy concerns to get the various benefits of the online platform services. Besides, Turner et al. (2001) found that online communities where participants are vulnerable sometimes compromise privacy concerns to get needed social support. Hence, the perceived benefit can have a greater impact on users' information support seeking/sharing intention than privacy concerns, referring to the perspective of privacy calculus and supporting the existence of the privacy paradox in the OHC context. Moreover, cultural/norms can play a very significant influence, as Li et al. (2018) demonstrate that perceived risk on people's intentions to share health information online platforms may differ based on cultural differences. Thus, this finding calls for a further re-examination of the common belief that privacy risk may decline informational support seeking behaviour in online platforms.

578 Interesting enough, the study did not find any relationships of perceived risk or community
579 engagement with emotional support within OHCs. One of the reasons behind such a finding may be
580 that previous treatment experiences can discourage patients from communicating emotional support
581 within the support groups (Emrick, 1989). For example, Yoo et al. (2018) demonstrated that
582 alcoholics who have received many treatments are disinclined to talk about emotional issues further
583 in virtual community groups because they already feel emotionally overwhelmed. According to Yoo
584 et al. (2018), the severity of patients' illnesses may inhibit them from participating in supportive
585 communication because they spend so much time and energy dealing with their diseases' emotional
586 and physical challenges. Since effects of emotional messages also do not unfold in the same way for
587 all individuals (Bodie and Burleson, 2008; Yoo et al., 2014), our finding suggests that
588 patients/members of OHC sometimes may seek only informational supports, rather than seeking
589 emotional supports.

590 This finding also shed light on how people's wellbeing may be better understood when they
591 become more willing to explore information in OHCs. The term wellbeing first appeared in 1948
592 through the World Health Organization's (WHO) definition of health, which is "a state of complete
593 physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity" (WHO,
594 1948, p.1). Statham and Chase (2010) suggest that the emergence of this definition was to give a de-
595 medicalized view of health and encourage the government to understand the various factors that link
596 to poor health rather than disease and infirmity, such as the physical, mental and social wellbeing of
597 individuals. However, the worldwide knowledge and development of wellbeing have resulted in
598 confusion and complications to its meaning, with Forgeard et al. (2011, p.81) suggesting this "has
599 given rise to blurred and overly broad definitions of wellbeing". To add to this, its development has
600 created objective and subjective views of wellbeing, with objective wellbeing measured by hard facts
601 (e.g., income, educational achievement, housing, life expectancy) and subjective wellbeing relating
602 to an individual's personal perspective of life (e.g. happiness, quality of life, satisfaction) (Statham
603 and Chase, 2010). As community engagement and privacy concerns can influence certain types of

social support, leading to OHC members' intention to participate, we can argue that the benefit of informational and social needs and the continued active participation of users in OHCs also reflect their subjective wellbeing relating to their personal perspectives of life.

Furthermore, the different online platform has distinctive features, which provide users with various gratifications. For instance, Zhang and Jung (2019) did not find emotional support to be a motivational factor for WeChat health community engagement because such a platform may be desirable for providing informational support rather than emotional support. Additionally, as community engagement may require users to constantly co-create information with their peers, the additional strain might limit their emotional and social resource sharing behaviour (Bowman, 2016; Shensa et al., 2016). Besides, variation in characteristics such as disease severity and time since treatment received can vary the urge for emotional support (Coughlin, 2008; Crossley, 2003). However, such investigation lived out of the scope of our study and thus warranted further investigation.

Our research additionally finds that people are willing to seek emotional support when perceived control of information is high, showing the extent to which individuals' ability to control information can help them feel comfortable to seek online social support, which is supported in other types of online communities (Hajli and Lin, 2016). Other research (e.g., Wang et al., 2019) also argue that perceived privacy risks and perceived control of information are key factors to encourage people to co-create value and participate in online communities. As such, our research also suggests that ability to control information or control online privacy allows people to have full control over their private information, which ultimately encourages people to seek social support and further participate in OHC platforms. In addressing the first research question, our analyses found that different privacy concerns will have a different impact on exchanging social support in OHC platforms, as perceptions of privacy concerns are context specific (Kehr et al., 2015). Additionally, the subjective nature of privacy concern, the pervasive expansion of online platforms, involvement of multiple parties, the nature of data disclosure and related ethics made it challenging to determine

the effects of the contradictions between individuals' privacy concerns and behaviours (Jang and Sung, 2021; Jozani et al., 2020). While OHC users can act in their interests, their perception of privacy risk and control of information influence their ethical perceptions, which in turn affects their subsequent behaviours (Wang et al., 2020). Moreover, ethical issues related to privacy concern will prevail as long as the information is shared (Hajli and Lin, 2016), but providing a mechanism of privacy protection to enhance the sense of assurance and new security policies can help to reduce users' perceived privacy related ethical concerns (Shirazi et al., 2021). Hence, ethical companies should collaborate with OHC users to increase their control over shared information and privacy to build a trustworthy environment (Wang et al., 2020). This could be an important factor in the continuous and sustainable use of OHC platforms. Overall, the results also contribute to online ethics related issues by showing how we can build an ethical digital environment for triggering users' social support and OHC participation behaviour.

Our result also shows that community engagement leads to informational support for community members. This finding is aligned with previous studies examining the links between community engagement and social support exchange behaviour. People show their interest to go to OHCs and share their experiences and information about health-related issues, which can be a valuable source of knowledge for others, leading individuals to act on the information provided within the health community (Lin and Kishore, 2021). For instance, Shao (2009, p.10) stated that information seeking "is driven by people's desire to increase awareness and knowledge of one's self, others, and the world". In addition, Johnston et al. (2013) state that the more involved the individual is with the community, the more likely they are to gain information by having access to new information and hearing redundant experiences that reinforce the credibility of the information. This may suggest that information provided through community engagement can lead to more information seeking behaviour, providing personal benefits to individual participants (Nambisan, 2011). Besides, Azer et al. (2021) found that community engagement leads users to engage in informative behaviour, such as individuals who want to support others, raise awareness, and/or keep

everyone updated by supplying information. Hence, our findings fit well with our second research question, supporting the role of prosocial behaviour in adopting socially beneficial initiatives. We found that OHC's offer a collective platform to facilitate a rich profusion of engagement and collaboration through sharing and creating information to assist with a specific health condition, or disease, generating possible solutions, and recommending treatments.

Another key finding of our research is that social support encourages more people to participate in OHCs. This finding is in line with the social support literature (e.g., Chiu et al., 2006; Wang, et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2018), inferring that expected social supports are powerful predictors of an individual's intention to take part in OHC platform. For instance, Mirzaei and Esmailzadeh, (2021) show that informational and emotional support plays essential roles in enhancing an individual's intention to participate in OHC platform. When people seek or receive informational support and emotional support, they are likely to act on the support received on the OHC platform. Wang et al. (2021) found that users' experience in seeking and receiving social support predicts their successive OHC participation. It makes them feel they belong to a network of communication with a shared purpose and such sense encourages them to offer the same supports for others. According to Shumaker and Brownell (1984), receiving social supports from others in the community can provide a sense of fulfilment and mutual obligation, which can motivate them to provide similar support to other community members. Thus, high emotional and informational support levels can result in a better chance of engagement with people in OHCs to share or find valuable information, experience, and emotions. With respect to our third research question, our analyses find evidence that the quantity and the quality of support in the form of informational and emotional support has a significant influence on an individual's health-related online community participation intention.

Finally, this paper also tries to identify the difference between gender regarding the importance they place on perceived control and privacy risks in their decisions about information seeking and online community participation intentions. While there is no such difference between men and

women overall, the study found that men place significantly greater importance than women on informational support in terms of community engagement and intention to take part in OHCs. The finding is supported by a study conducted by Lin et al. (2016). Our study also shed light on the argument (e.g., Kristiansen et al., 2010) that variation in characteristics such as sex can alter the type of social support required.

6.0 Theoretical and practical implications

6.1 Theoretical contributions

This study supplements privacy-related literature with several novel insights. The current study focuses on social support, which has been inadequately investigated as an outcome of information disclosure in previous research (Shirazi et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2018). The first contribution of this study is the conceptualisation of people's participation in health communities with drivers to encourage people by integrating social support theory. The findings provide evidence of the notion that social support is a key element of online communities to build communal relationships. Our research is among the first few studies that aim to facilitate people's intention to participate in OHCs by investigating people's reactions to their control of information and privacy concerns. It also contributed to existing research by identifying that community engagement encourages certain social support behaviour in the OHC platforms. From our understanding, this is the first study that examines community engagement from a prosocial standpoint to understand an individual's social support behaviour in OHCs.

Second, the study contributes to the existing research by recognising that users participate in online communities to attain social support in the form of informational and emotional support (Kordzadeh and Warren, 2017; Yan and Tan, 2014). Benefits from such social supports that users value the most can be self-representation, social control, social capital, social validation, perceived usefulness, or self-clarification (Jiang et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2013; Shibchurn and Yan, 2015). We show that relative importance plays a crucial role in information privacy and social support, where negative consequences are rationally evaluated against possible social outcomes.

Third, the study also emphasises those rational considerations concerning the privacy calculus may be bounded by psychological limitations (Kehr et al., 2015), where decision-making regarding privacy concerns is assumed to be irrational. For instance, specific online community factors might mislead rational decisions regarding privacy concerns (i.e., lots of privacy settings being provided), individuals might become delusional regarding whom they interact with or share their information (Acquisti, 2009; Masur, 2018: 95). As a result, individuals behave irrationally and show dissonance between perceived privacy concerns and actual privacy behaviours. Therefore, our findings also lend support for explaining paradoxical privacy behaviours from an irrational decision-making perspective. However, this study supports a hybrid tactic to overcome such a paradoxical situation as Barth and de Jong (2017) proposed. According to Barth and de Jong (2017), this paradoxical behaviour can be avoided if both rational and irrational processes can be implemented into designing (frontend and backend interface) so that decision-making ultimately becomes self-determined.

Finally, our result shows that individuals perceived control of information do not have any effect on their OHC participation. Even though previous research presented counterarguments, we argue that the perceived control of information and online participation intention are abstract and cognitively distant constructs. We believe that, despite security concerns, when online community users provide social support or participate in online community activities, it does not necessarily indicate that users do not take actions to protect their privacy rather, it can be the case that they have configured a better strategy for their privacy management (Chen, 2018). From a people perspective, this study shows that people can be relational actors talented at acting in their own interests. However, the environment with privacy control and protection is also vital for individuals for offering social support.

6.2 Practical contributions

Current research suggests some significant practical implications for both online community moderators and users. As the study confirms informational and emotional support to be central for online community participation, community platforms should increase informational support and

enhance informational accuracy. Our research suggests that if OHCs and government can provide a trustworthy platform, where people can find adequate and accurate information regarding health care issues/treatment, people are likely to seek/share informational support in OHCs rather than make the physical facilities overwhelmed their presence. Moreover, these health communities should offer and endorse an empathetic environment, which eases and inspires people to seek/share delicate health-related problems and acquire emotional support. Online communities should also develop a user-centred system to direct the patients towards relevant chat rooms/threads/virtual health specialists so that they can get engaged in focused health discussions and receive more benefit/value from taking part in those virtual groups. Besides, an automated system should strengthen a user's privacy awareness and privacy knowledge with a user-friendly interface design that empowers patients to make well-informed decisions to take part in OHCs. Finally, OHC platforms should consider the impact of males and females assigning different importance to social support factors. Since males emphasise more about informational support, OHCs can focus on designing a holistic spatial layout and technologically functional platform, so that people can save time and effort searching for information.

7.0 Limitations and future research direction

This paper develops a new framework to highlight the role of privacy risk, privacy control of information, and community engagement on individual's participation intention on OHC platforms. A theoretical model is developed based on social support theory and tested using survey data from OHC users. The results provide strong support for a practical model to identify people's intention to participate in OHCs. Although this study includes its theoretical and practical contribution, the findings must be interpreted while considering its limitations, suggesting further theoretical and empirical extensions. The main limitation of this research is the sample size. Having a larger sample from different OHCs can better understand people's behaviour on these platforms. Future research should test this model with a new dataset from a wider population. Especially, new research needs to apply this framework with data from people involved with the COVID-19 epidemic to see how

760 pandemic situations influence the patients' information sharing and seeking behaviour. Third, we
761 note that relatively little research has examined how cultural/normative influences shape patient's
762 online community participation behaviour. On online platforms, their virtual community norms
763 mainly affect user's participation (Chiu et al., 2006; Zhou, 2011) or country cultures (Li et al.,
764 2018; Wang and Liu, 2019). Hence, given that cultures/norms can affect user participation
765 behaviour, future research should examine how factors interact with social support issues to
766 influence users' online participation intention. Fourth, the current study focuses on the degree of
767 social support, while examining the contents of the social support issues in OHCs could be an
768 interesting avenue for future research. Besides, examining the role of government can also be a new
769 idea for future research. This is critical in the era of pandemic and epidemics, when governments are
770 asking people to manage the health issues by staying home and getting basic health supports through
771 online platforms.

References

- Acquisti, A., 2009. Nudging privacy: The behavioral economics of personal information. *IEEE Security & Privacy*. 7 (6), 82-85.
- Acquisti, A., Brandimarte, L., Loewenstein, G., 2015. Privacy and human behavior in the age of information. *Science*. 347 (6221), 509-514.
- Algesheimer, R., Dholakia, U. M., Herrmann, A., 2005. The social influence of brand community: Evidence from European car clubs. *Journal of Marketing*. 69 (3), 19-34.
- Alsmadi, D., Prybutok, V., 2018. Sharing and storage behavior via cloud computing: Security and privacy in research and practice. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 85, 218-226.
- Anderson, C. L., Agarwal, R., 2011. The digitization of healthcare: Boundary risks, emotion, and consumer willingness to disclose personal health information. *Information Systems Research*. 22 (3), 469-490.
- Armstrong, J. S., Overton, T. S., 1977. Estimating nonresponse bias in mail surveys. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 14 (3), 396-402.
- Atanasova, S., Kamin, T., Petrič, G., 2018. The benefits and challenges of online professional-patient interaction: Comparing views between users and health professional moderators in an online health community. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 83, 106-118.
- Averill, J. R., 1973. Personal control over aversive stimuli and its relationship to stress. *Psychological Bulletin*. 80 (4), 286-303.
- Azer, J., Alexander, M. J., 2018. Conceptualizing negatively valenced influencing behavior: Forms and triggers. *Journal of Service Management*. 29 (3), 468-490.
- Azer, J., Blasco-Arcas, L., Harrigan, P., 2021. # Covid-19: Forms and drivers of social media users' engagement behavior toward a global crisis. *Journal of Business Research*. 135, 99-111.
- Bagozzi, R. P., Yi, Y., 1988. On the evaluation of structural equation models. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 16 (1), 74-94.
- Baldus, B. J., Voorhees, C., Calantone, R., 2015. Online brand community engagement: Scale development and validation. *Journal of Business Research*. 68 (5), 978-985.
- Bansal, G., Gefen, D., 2010. The impact of personal dispositions on information sensitivity, privacy concern and trust in disclosing health information online. *Decision Support Systems*. 49 (2), 138-150.
- Barth, S., de Jong, M. D. T., 2017. The privacy paradox – Investigating discrepancies between expressed privacy concerns and actual online behavior – A systematic literature review. *Telematics and Informatics*. 34 (7), 1038-1058.
- Baruh, L., Secinti, E., Cemalcilar, Z., 2017. Online privacy concerns and privacy management: A meta-analytical review. *Journal of Communication*. 67 (1), 26-53.

807 Batson, C. D., Ahmad, N., Stocks, E. L., 2011. Four forms of prosocial motivation: Egoism, altruism,
808 collectivism, and principlism. In: D. Dunning (Ed.) *Social Motivation*. Psychology Press, New
809 York, pp. 103-126.

810 Bélanger, F., Crossler, R. E., 2011. Privacy in the digital age: A review of information privacy research in
811 information systems. *MIS Quarterly*. 35 (4), 1017-1041.

812 Belk, R., 2010. Sharing. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 36 (5), 715-734.

813 Bentler, P. M., Bonett, D. G., 1980. Significance tests and goodness of fit in the analysis of covariance
814 structures. *Psychological Bulletin*. 88 (3), 588-606.

815 Berkman, L. F., Glass, T., Brissette, I., Seeman, T. E., 2000. From social integration to health: Durkheim
816 in the new millennium. *Social Science & Medicine*. 51 (6), 843-857.

817 Bodie, G. D., Burleson, B. R., 2008. Explaining variations in the effects of supportive messages a dual-
818 process framework. *Annals of the International Communication Association*. 32 (1), 355-398.

819 Bollen, K., Lennox, R., 1991. Conventional wisdom on measurement: A structural equation perspective.
820 *Psychological Bulletin*. 110 (2), 305-314.

821 Bowman, N., 2016. The intesection of audiences and production in a digital world. In: R. Lind, N.
822 Bowman (Eds.) *Video Gaming as Co-Production*. Peter Lang, New York, pp. 107-123.

823 Brandimarte, L., Acquisti, A., Loewenstein, G., 2012. Misplaced confidences: Privacy and the control
824 paradox. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*. 4 (3), 340-347.

825 Brodie, R. J., Fehrer, J. A., Jaakkola, E., Conduit, J., 2019. Actor engagement in networks: Defining the
826 conceptual domain. *Journal of Service Research*. 22 (2), 173-188.

827 Brodie, R. J., Ilic, A., Juric, B., Hollebeek, L., 2013. Consumer engagement in a virtual brand
828 community: An exploratory analysis. *Journal of Business Research*. 66 (1), 105-114.

829 Brodie, R. J., Ranjan, K. R., Verreyne, M.-I., Jiang, Y., Previte, J., 2021. Coronavirus crisis and health
830 care: Learning from a service ecosystem perspective. *Journal of Service Theory and Practice*. 31
831 (2), 225-246.

832 Bronstein, J., 2017. An examination of social and informational support behavior codes on the Internet:
833 The case of online health communities. *Library & Information Science Research*. 39 (1), 63-68.

834 Brown, T. A., 2006. *Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research*, The Guilford Press, New York,
835 U.S.

836 Bugshan, H., Attar, R. W., 2020. Social commerce information sharing and their impact on consumers.
837 *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 153, 119875.

838 Cabiddu, F., De Carlo, M., Piccoli, G., 2014. Social media affordances: Enabling customer engagement.
839 *Annals of Tourism Research*. 48, 175-192.

840 Calder, B. J., Malthouse, E. C., 2008. Media engagement and advertising effectiveness. In: B. J. Calder
841 (Ed.) *Kellogg on Advertising and Media*. Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, N.J., pp. 1-36.

842 Cano, M. B., Perry, P., Ashman, R., Waite, K., 2017. The influence of image interactivity upon user
843 engagement when using mobile touch screens. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 77, 406-412.

844 Cao, D., Meadows, M., Wong, D., Xia, S., 2021. Understanding consumers' social media engagement
845 behaviour: An examination of the moderation effect of social media context. *Journal of Business*
846 *Research*. 122, 835-846.

847 Caprara, G. V., Steca, P., 2005. Self-efficacy beliefs as determinants of prosocial behavior conducive to
848 life satisfaction across ages. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*. 24 (2), 191-217.

849 Cavusoglu, H., Phan, T. Q., Cavusoglu, H., Airolidi, E. M., 2016. Assessing the impact of granular
850 privacy controls on content sharing and disclosure on Facebook. *Information Systems Research*.
851 27 (4), 848-879.

852 Chai, S., Das, S., Rao, H. R., 2011. Factors affecting bloggers' knowledge sharing: An investigation
853 across gender. *Journal of Management Information Systems*. 28 (3), 309-342.

854 Chang, E.-C., Tseng, Y.-F., 2013. Research note: E-store image, perceived value and perceived risk.
855 *Journal of Business Research*. 66 (7), 864-870.

856 Chen, H.-T., 2018. Revisiting the privacy paradox on social media with an extended privacy calculus
857 model: The effect of privacy concerns, privacy self-efficacy, and social capital on privacy
858 management. *American Behavioral Scientist*. 62 (10), 1392-1412.

859 Chen, L., Baird, A., Straub, D., 2019. Fostering participant health knowledge and attitudes: An
860 econometric study of a chronic disease-focused online health community. *Journal of*
861 *Management Information Systems*. 36 (1), 194-229.

862 Cheung, C., Lee, Z. W., Chan, T. K., 2015. Self-disclosure in social networking sites. *Internet Research*.
863 25 (2), 279-299.

864 Chiu, C.-M., Hsu, M.-H., Wang, E. T., 2006. Understanding knowledge sharing in virtual communities:
865 An integration of social capital and social cognitive theories. *Decision Support Systems*. 42 (3),
866 1872-1888.

867 Chou, W.-Y. S., Hunt, Y. M., Beckjord, E. B., Moser, R. P., Hesse, B. W., 2009. Social media use in the
868 United States: implications for health communication. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 11
869 (4), e48.

870 Church, E. M., Thambusamy, R., Nemati, H., 2017. Privacy and pleasure: A paradox of the hedonic use
871 of computer-mediated social networks. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 77, 121-131.

872 Cobb, S., 1976. Social support as a moderator of life stress. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 38 (5), 300-314.

873 Coughlin, S. S., 2008. Surviving cancer or other serious illness: A review of individual and community
874 resources. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*. 58 (1), 60-64.

875 Coulson, N. S., 2005. Receiving social support online: an analysis of a computer-mediated support group
876 for individuals living with irritable bowel syndrome. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*. 8 (6), 580-
877 584.

878 Coulson, N. S., Buchanan, H., Aubeeluck, A., 2007. Social support in cyberspace: A content analysis of
879 communication within a Huntington's disease online support group. *Patient Education and*
880 *Counseling*. 68 (2), 173-178.

881 Crossley, M. L., 2003. 'Let me explain': Narrative emplotment and one patient's experience of oral
882 cancer. *Social Science & Medicine*. 56 (3), 439-448.

883 Culnan, M. J., Armstrong, P. K., 1999. Information privacy concerns, procedural fairness, and impersonal
884 trust: An empirical investigation. *Organization Science*. 10 (1), 104-115.

885 Dang, Y., Guo, S., Guo, X., Vogel, D., 2020. Privacy Protection in Online Health Communities: Natural
886 Experimental Empirical Study. *Journal of medical Internet research*. 22 (5), e16246.

887 Davison, K. P., Pennebaker, J. W., Dickerson, S. S., 2000. Who talks? The social psychology of illness
888 support groups. *American Psychologist*. 55 (2), 205-217.

889 Demetis, D. S., 2020. Breaking bad online: A synthesis of the darker sides of social networking sites.
890 *European Management Journal*. 38 (1), 33-44.

891 DiMatteo, M. R., 2004. Social support and patient adherence to medical treatment: A meta-analysis.
892 *Health Psychology*. 23 (2), 207-218.

893 Dinev, T., Hart, P., 2005. Internet privacy concerns and social awareness as determinants of intention to
894 transact. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*. 10 (2), 7-29.

895 Dinev, T., Hart, P., 2006. An extended privacy calculus model for e-commerce transactions. *Information*
896 *Systems Research*. 17 (1), 61-80.

897 Dolan, R., Conduit, J., Fahy, J., 2016. Social media engagement: A construct of positively and negatively
898 valenced engagement behaviour. In: R. Brodie, L. Hollebeek, J. Conduit (Eds.) *Customer*
899 *Engagement: Contemporary Issues and Challenges*. Routledge, New Zealand, pp. 102-123.

900 Dolan, R., Conduit, J., Frethey-Bentham, C., Fahy, J., Goodman, S., 2019. Social media engagement
901 behavior: A framework for engaging customers through social media content. *European Journal*
902 *of Marketing*. 53 (10), 2213-2243.

903 Eisenberg, N., Spinrad, T. L., Knafo-Noam, A., 2015. Prosocial development. In: M. E. Lamb, R. M.
904 Lerner (Eds.) *Handbook of Child Psychology and Developmental Science*. 7th eds. John Wiley &
905 Sons, Hoboken, N.J., pp. 610-656.

906 Emrick, C. D., 1989. Alcoholics anonymous: Membership characteristics and effectiveness as treatment.
907 In: Marc Galanter (Ed.) *Recent Developments in Alcoholism: Treatment Research*. Springer US,
908 Boston, MA, pp. 37-53.

909 Evans, M., Donelle, L., Hume-Loveland, L., 2012. Social support and online postpartum depression
910 discussion groups: A content analysis. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 87 (3), 405-410.

911 Faraj, S., Jarvenpaa, S. L., Majchrzak, A., 2011. Knowledge collaboration in online communities.
912 *Organization Science*. 22 (5), 1224-1239.

913 Featherman, M. S., Miyazaki, A. D., Sprott, D. E., 2010. Reducing online privacy risk to facilitate e-
914 service adoption: The influence of perceived ease of use and corporate credibility. *Journal of*
915 *Services Marketing*. 24 (3), 219-229.

916 Feng, B., Li, X., Lin, L., 2021. Valenced social identities and the digital divide in online health
917 communities. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 122, 106812.

918 Forgeard, M., Jayawickreme, E., Kern, M., and Seligman, M., 2011. Doing the right thing: Measuring
919 well-being for public policy. *International Journal of Wellbeing*. 1 (1), 79-106.

920 Fornell, C., Larcker, D. F., 1981. Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and
921 measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 18 (1), 39-50.

922 Foxman, E. R., Kilcoyne, P., 1993. Information technology, marketing practice, and consumer privacy:
923 Ethical issues. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*. 12 (1), 106-119.

924 Frost, J. H., Massagli, M. P., 2008. Social uses of personal health information within patients like me, an
925 online patient community: What can happen when patients have access to one another's data.
926 *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 10 (3), e15.

927 Fu, X., Padilla-Walker, L. M., Brown, M. N., 2017. Longitudinal relations between adolescents' self-
928 esteem and prosocial behavior toward strangers, friends and family. *Journal of Adolescence*. 57,
929 90-98.

930 Gage, E. A., 2013. Social networks of experientially similar others: Formation, activation, and
931 consequences of network ties on the health care experience. *Social Science and Medicine*. 95, 43-
932 51.

933 Gerlach, J., Widjaja, T., Buxmann, P., 2015. Handle with care: How online social network providers'
934 privacy policies impact users' information sharing behavior. *The Journal of Strategic Information*
935 *Systems*. 24 (1), 33-43.

936 Gibbons, M. C., Wilson, R. F., Samal, L., Lehmann, C. U., Dickersin, K., Lehmann, H. P., Aboumatar,
937 H., Finkelstein, J., Shelton, E., Sharma, R., 2011. Consumer health informatics: Results of a
938 systematic evidence review and evidence based recommendations. *Translational Behavioral*
939 *Medicine*. 1 (1), 72-82.

940 Gopalsamy, R., Semenov, A., Pasilliao, E., McIntosh, S., Nikolaev, A., 2017. Engagement as a driver of
941 growth of online health forums: Observational study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 19
942 (8), 304-316.

943 Grant, A., Dutton, J., 2012. Beneficiary or benefactor: Are people more prosocial when they reflect on
944 receiving or giving? *Psychological Science*. 23 (9), 1033-1039.

945 Greaney, M. L., Puleo, E., Sprunck-Harrild, K., Haines, J., Houghton, S. C., Emmons, K. M., 2018.
946 Social support for changing multiple behaviors: Factors associated with seeking support and the
947 impact of offered support. *Health Education & Behavior*. 45 (2), 198-206.

948 Griffiths, F., Cave, J., Boardman, F., Ren, J., Pawlikowska, T., Ball, R., Clarke, A., Cohen, A., 2012.
949 Social networks – The future for health care delivery. *Social Science & Medicine*. 75 (12), 2233-
950 2241.

951 Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., 2013. *Multivariate data analysis*, 7th Ed. Pearson
952 Education Limited, Essex.

953 Hajli, M. N., 2014. The role of social support on relationship quality and social commerce. *Technological*
954 *Forecasting and Social Change*. 87, 17-27.

955 Hajli, N., Lin, X., 2016. Exploring the security of information sharing on social networking sites: The
956 role of perceived control of information. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 133 (1), 111-123.

957 Hajli, N., Shanmugam, M., Powell, P., Love, P. E. D., 2015. A study on the continuance participation in
958 on-line communities with social commerce perspective. *Technological Forecasting and Social*
959 *Change*. 96, 232-241.

960 Hallam, C., Zanella, G., 2017. Online self-disclosure: The privacy paradox explained as a temporally
961 discounted balance between concerns and rewards. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 68, 217-227.

962 Hanna, K., Sarah, S., Ksenia, K., Thomas, H., 2010. Online social networks: Why we disclose. *Journal of*
963 *Information Technology*. 25 (2), 109-125.

964 Harman, H. H., 1976. *Modern factor analysis*, 3rd ed. University of Chicago press, Chicago.

965 Harvey, J., Smith, A., Golightly, D., Goulding, J., Gallage, H. S., 2020. Prosocial exchange systems:
966 Nonreciprocal giving, lending, and skill-sharing. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 107, 106268.

967 Heravi, A., Mubarak, S., Choo, K.-K. R., 2018. Information privacy in online social networks: Uses and
968 gratification perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 84, 441-459.

969 Higgins, E. T., 2006. Value from hedonic experience and engagement. *Psychological Review*. 113 (3),
970 439-460.

971 HINTS, 2020. Public use dataset. HINTS 5, cycle 4 (2020) dataset, US. Available at:
972 <<https://hints.cancer.gov/data/download-data.aspx>> Accessed on [14 July 2021].

973 HIPPA, 2021. May 2021 healthcare data breach report, US. Available at:
974 <<https://www.hipaajournal.com/may-2021-healthcare-data-breach-report/>> Accessed on [14 July
975 2021].

976 Househ, M., Borycki, E., Kushniruk, A., 2014. Empowering patients through social media: The benefits
977 and challenges. *Health Informatics Journal*. 20 (1), 50-58.

978 Hsu, C.-L., Chang, K.-C., Chen, M.-C., 2012. The impact of website quality on customer satisfaction and
979 purchase intention: perceived playfulness and perceived flow as mediators. *Information Systems*
980 *and e-Business Management*. 10 (4), 549-570.

981 Hu, W., Yang, T., Matthews, J. N., 2010. The good, the bad and the ugly of consumer cloud storage.
982 *ACM SIGOPS Operating Systems Review*. 44 (3), 110-115.

983 Introne, J., Goggins, S., 2019. Advice reification, learning, and emergent collective intelligence in online
984 health support communities. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 99, 205-218.

985 Jang, C., and Sung, W., 2021. Beyond the privacy paradox: The moderating effect of online privacy
986 concerns on online service use behavior. *Telematics and Informatics*. 65, 101715.

987 Jiang, Z., Heng, C. S., Choi, B. C. F., 2013. Research note—Privacy concerns and privacy-protective
988 behavior in synchronous online social interactions. *Information Systems Research*. 24 (3), 579-
989 595.

990 Johnson, D. S., Lowe, B., 2015. Emotional support, perceived corporate ownership and skepticism
991 toward out-groups in virtual communities. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*. 29, 1-10.

992 Johnson, G. J., Ambrose, P. J., 2006. Neo-tribes: The power and potential of online communities in
 993 health care. *Communications of the ACM*. 49 (1), 107-113.

994 Johnston, A. C., Worrell, J. L., Di Gangi, P. M., Wasko, M., 2013. Online health communities: An
 995 assessment of the influence of participation on patient empowerment outcomes. *Information*
 996 *Technology & People*. 26 (2), 213-235.

997 Jordaan, Y., Van Heerden, G., 2017. Online privacy-related predictors of Facebook usage intensity.
 998 *Computers in Human Behavior*. 70, 90-96.

999 Jozani, M., Ayaburi, E., Ko, M., Choo, K.-K. R., 2020. Privacy concerns and benefits of engagement
 1000 with social media-enabled apps: A privacy calculus perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior*.
 1001 107, 106260.

1002 Kahn, W. A., 1990. Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work.
 1003 *Academy of Management Journal*. 33 (4), 692-724.

1004 Kehr, F., Kowatsch, T., Wentzel, D., Fleisch, E., 2015. Blissfully ignorant: the effects of general privacy
 1005 concerns, general institutional trust, and affect in the privacy calculus. *Information Systems*
 1006 *Journal*. 25 (6), 607-635.

1007 Khan, M. L., 2017. Social media engagement: What motivates user participation and consumption on
 1008 youtube? *Computers in Human Behavior*. 66, 236-247.

1009 Kim, M., Kim, J., 2018. The effects of perceived online justice on relational bonds and engagement
 1010 intention: Evidence from an online game community. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 84, 410-
 1011 419.

1012 Kokolakis, S., 2017. Privacy attitudes and privacy behaviour: A review of current research on the privacy
 1013 paradox phenomenon. *Computers & Security*. 64, 122-134.

1014 Koohikamali, M., Peak, D. A., Prybutok, V. R., 2017. Beyond self-disclosure: Disclosure of information
 1015 about others in social network sites. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 69, 29-42.

1016 Kordzadeh, N., Warren, J., 2017. Communicating personal health information in virtual health
 1017 communities: An integration of privacy calculus model and affective commitment. *Journal of the*
 1018 *Association for Information Systems*. 18 (1), 45-81.

1019 Kordzadeh, N., Warren, J., Seifi, A., 2016. Antecedents of privacy calculus components in virtual health
 1020 communities. *International Journal of Information Management*. 36 (5), 724-734.

1021 Krasnova, H., Spiekermann, S., Koroleva, K., Hildebrand, T., 2010. Online social networks: Why we
 1022 disclose. *Journal of Information Technology*. 25 (2), 109-125.

1023 Kristiansen, M., Tjørnhøj-Thomsen, T., Krasnik, A., 2010. The benefit of meeting a stranger:
 1024 Experiences with emotional support provided by nurses among Danish-born and migrant cancer
 1025 patients. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing*. 14 (3), 244-252.

1026 Laireiter, A., Baumann, U., 1992. Network structures and support functions: Theoretical and empirical
 1027 analyses. In: V. O. F. Hans, B. Urs (Eds.) *The Series in Clinical and Community Psychology. The*
 1028 *Meaning and Measurement of Social Support*. Hemisphere Publishing Corp., pp. 33-55.

1029 Lambert, K. M., Barry, P., Stokes, G., 2012. Risk management and legal issues with the use of social
1030 media in the healthcare setting. *Journal of Healthcare Risk Management*. 31 (4), 41-47.

1031 Laranjo, L., 2016. Social media and health behavior change. In: Shabbir Syed-Abdul, Elia Gabarron,
1032 Annie Y.S. Lau (Eds.) *Participatory health through social media*. Elsevier, pp. 83-111.

1033 Laroche, M., Habibi, M. R., Richard, M.-O., Sankaranarayanan, R., 2012. The effects of social media
1034 based brand communities on brand community markers, value creation practices, brand trust and
1035 brand loyalty. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 28 (5), 1755-1767.

1036 Latkin, C. A., Knowlton, A. R., 2015. Social network assessments and interventions for health behavior
1037 change: A critical review. *Behavioral Medicine*. 41 (3), 90-97.

1038 Lavertu, L., Marder, B., Erz, A., Angell, R., 2020. The extended warming effect of social media:
1039 Examining whether the cognition of online audiences offline drives prosocial behavior in 'real
1040 life'. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 110, 106389.

1041 Lee, H., Park, H., Kim, J., 2013. Why do people share their context information on social network
1042 services? A qualitative study and an experimental study on users' behavior of balancing perceived
1043 benefit and risk. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*. 71 (9), 862-877.

1044 Li, J., 2013. Privacy policies for health social networking sites. *Journal of the American Medical
1045 Informatics Association*. 20 (4), 704-707.

1046 Li, K., Cheng, L., Teng, C.-I., 2020. Voluntary sharing and mandatory provision: Private information
1047 disclosure on social networking sites. *Information Processing & Management*. 57 (1), 102128.

1048 Li, M.-Y., Yang, Y.-L., Liu, L., Wang, L., 2016. Effects of social support, hope and resilience on quality
1049 of life among Chinese bladder cancer patients: A cross-sectional study. *Health and Quality of
1050 Life Outcomes*. 14 (1), 1-9.

1051 Li, P., Cho, H., Goh, Z. H., 2019. Unpacking the process of privacy management and self-disclosure from
1052 the perspectives of regulatory focus and privacy calculus. *Telematics and Informatics*. 41, 114-
1053 125.

1054 Li, Y., 2012. Theories in online information privacy research: A critical review and an integrated
1055 framework. *Decision Support Systems*. 54 (1), 471-481.

1056 Li, Y., Wang, X., Lin, X., Hajli, M., 2018. Seeking and sharing health information on social media: A net
1057 valence model and cross-cultural comparison. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*.
1058 126, 28-40.

1059 Liang, T.-P., Ho, Y.-T., Li, Y.-W., Turban, E., 2011. What drives social commerce: The role of social
1060 support and relationship quality. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*. 16 (2), 69-90.

1061 Lieberman, M. A., Goldstein, B. A., 2005. Self-help on-line: an outcome evaluation of breast cancer
1062 bulletin boards. *Journal of Health Psychology*. 10 (6), 855-862.

1063 Lin, S.-W., Liu, Y.-C., 2012. The effects of motivations, trust, and privacy concern in social networking.
1064 *Service Business*. 6 (4), 411-424.

1065 Lin, T.-C., Hsu, J. S.-C., Cheng, H.-L., Chiu, C.-M., 2015. Exploring the relationship between receiving
1066 and offering online social support: A dual social support model. *Information & Management*. 52
1067 (3), 371-383.

1068 Lin, X., Kishore, R., 2021. Social media-enabled healthcare: A conceptual model of social media
1069 affordances, online social support, and health behaviors and outcomes. *Technological
1070 Forecasting and Social Change*. 166, 120574.

1071 Lin, X., Zhang, D., Li, Y., 2016. Delineating the dimensions of social support on social networking sites
1072 and their effects: A comparative model. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 58, 421-430.

1073 Lindell, M. K., Whitney, D. J., 2001. Accounting for common method variance in cross-sectional
1074 research designs. *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 86 (1), 114-121.

1075 Liu, N., Tong, Y., Chan, H. C., 2020. Dual effects of social support seeking in patient-centric online
1076 healthcare communities: A longitudinal study. *Information & Management*. 57 (8), 103270.

1077 Liu, X., Sun, M., Li, J., 2018. Research on gender differences in online health communities. *International
1078 journal of medical informatics*. 111, 172-181.

1079 Lo, J., 2019. Exploring the buffer effect of receiving social support on lonely and emotionally unstable
1080 social networking users. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 90, 103-116.

1081 Loane, S. S., Webster, C. M., D'Alessandro, S., 2015. Identifying consumer value co-created through
1082 social support within online health communities. *Journal of Macromarketing*. 35 (3), 353-367.

1083 Lowe, B., Johnson, D., 2017. Diagnostic and prescriptive benefits of consumer participation in virtual
1084 communities of personal challenge. *European Journal of Marketing*. 51 (11/12), 1817-1835.

1085 Luo, N., Wang, Y., Zhang, M., Niu, T., Tu, J., 2020. Integrating community and e-commerce to build a
1086 trusted online second-hand platform: Based on the perspective of social capital. *Technological
1087 Forecasting and Social Change*. 153

1088 Madjar, N., 2008. Emotional and informational support from different sources and employee creativity.
1089 *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*. 81 (1), 83-100.

1090 Malhotra, N. K., Kim, S. S., Agarwal, J., 2004. Internet users' information privacy concerns (IUIPC): The
1091 construct, the scale, and a causal model. *Information Systems Research*. 15 (4), 336-355.

1092 Malhotra, N. K., Schaller, T. K., Patil, A., 2017. Common method variance in advertising research: When
1093 to be concerned and how to control for it. *Journal of Advertising*. 46 (1), 193-212.

1094 Masur, P. K., 2018. *Situational privacy and self-disclosure: Communication processes in online
1095 environments*, Springer International Publishing, Switzerland.

1096 Mazzoni, D., Cicognani, E., 2014. Sharing experiences and social support requests in an internet forum
1097 for patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Journal of Health Psychology*. 19 (5), 689-696.

1098 McKenna, K. Y., Bargh, J. A., 1998. Coming out in the age of the internet: Identity" demarginalization"
1099 through virtual group participation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 75 (3), 681-
1100 694.

1101 Meier, A., Lyons, E., Frydman, G., Forlenza, M., Rimer, B., 2007. How cancer survivors provide support
1102 on cancer-related internet mailing lists. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 9 (2), 1-22.

1103 Menon, I. S., Sharma, M. K., Chandra, P. S., Thennarasu, K., 2014. Social networking sites: An
1104 adjunctive treatment modality for psychological problems. *Indian Journal of Psychological*
1105 *Medicine*. 36 (3), 260-263.

1106 Metzger, M. J., 2006. Effects of site, vendor, and consumer characteristics on web site trust and
1107 disclosure. *Communication Research*. 33 (3), 155-179.

1108 Mirzaei, T., Esmaeilzadeh, P., 2021. Engagement in online health communities: Channel expansion and
1109 social exchanges. *Information & Management*. 58 (1), 103404.

1110 Molinillo, S., Anaya-Sánchez, R., Liébana-Cabanillas, F., 2020. Analyzing the effect of social support
1111 and community factors on customer engagement and its impact on loyalty behaviors toward
1112 social commerce websites. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 108, 105980.

1113 Muniz, A. M., O'guinn, T. C., 2001. Brand community. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 27 (4), 412-432.

1114 Nadeem, W., Juntunen, M., Hajli, N., Tajvidi, M., 2019. The role of ethical perceptions in consumers'
1115 participation and value co-creation on sharing economy platforms. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 1-
1116 21.

1117 Nambisan, P., 2011. Information seeking and social support in online health communities: impact on
1118 patients' perceived empathy. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 18 (3),
1119 298-304.

1120 Nevitt, J., Hancock, G. R., 2001. Performance of bootstrapping approaches to model test statistics and
1121 parameter standard error estimation in structural equation modeling. *Structural Equation*
1122 *Modeling*. 8 (3), 353-377.

1123 Obermeyer, C. M., Baijal, P., Pegurri, E., 2011. Facilitating HIV disclosure across diverse settings: A
1124 review. *American Journal of Public Health*. 101 (6), 1011-1023.

1125 Offer, S., 2012. Barriers to social support among low-income mothers. *International Journal of Sociology*
1126 *and Social Policy*. 32 (3/4), 120-133.

1127 Office for National Statistics, 2020. Internet access - Households and individuals: 95% confidence
1128 intervals, UK. Available at:
1129 <[https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/householdcharacteristics/homeinternet](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/householdcharacteristics/homeinternetandsocialmediausage/datasets/internetaccesshouseholdsandindividuals95confidenceintervals)
1130 [andsocialmediausage/datasets/internetaccesshouseholdsandindividuals95confidenceintervals](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/householdcharacteristics/homeinternetandsocialmediausage/datasets/internetaccesshouseholdsandindividuals95confidenceintervals)>
1131 Accessed on [14 July 2021].

1132 Oh, S., 2012. The characteristics and motivations of health answerers for sharing information,
1133 knowledge, and experiences in online environments. *Journal of the American Society for*
1134 *Information Science and Technology*. 63 (3), 543-557.

1135 Oliveira, M. J., Huertas, M. K. Z., Lin, Z., 2016. Factors driving young users' engagement with
1136 Facebook: Evidence from Brazil. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 54, 54-61.

1137 Olivero, N., Lunt, P., 2004. Privacy versus willingness to disclose in e-commerce exchanges: The effect
 1138 of risk awareness on the relative role of trust and control. *Journal of Economic Psychology*. 25
 1139 (2), 243-262.

1140 Pallant, J., 2016. SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using IBM SPSS, 6th ed.
 1141 Allen & Unwin, Sydney.

1142 Park, C., Jun, J., Lee, T., 2015. Consumer characteristics and the use of social networking sites: A
 1143 comparison between Korea and the US. *International Marketing Review*. 32 (3/4), 414-437.

1144 Park, I., Sarnikar, S., Cho, J., 2020. Disentangling the effects of efficacy-facilitating informational
 1145 support on health resilience in online health communities based on phrase-level text analysis.
 1146 *Information & Management*. 57 (8), 103372.

1147 Park, S., Boatwright, B., Johnson Avery, E., 2019. Information channel preference in health crisis:
 1148 Exploring the roles of perceived risk, preparedness, knowledge, and intent to follow directives.
 1149 *Public Relations Review*. 45 (5), 101794.

1150 Pavlou, P. A., Liang, H., Xue, Y., 2007. Understanding and mitigating uncertainty in online exchange
 1151 relationships: A principal-agent perspective. *MIS quarterly*. 105-136.

1152 Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J.-Y., Podsakoff, N. P., 2003. Common method biases in
 1153 behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of*
 1154 *Applied Psychology*. 88 (5), 879–903.

1155 Pollard, C., Kennedy, P., 2007. A longitudinal analysis of emotional impact, coping strategies and post-
 1156 traumatic psychological growth following spinal cord injury: A 10-year review. *British journal of*
 1157 *health psychology*. 12 (3), 347-362.

1158 Ranjit, Y. S., Shrestha, R., Copenhaver, M., Altice, F. L., 2020. Online HIV information seeking and pre-
 1159 exposure prophylaxis awareness among people who use drugs. *Journal of Substance Abuse*
 1160 *Treatment*. 111, 16-22.

1161 Rattray, J., Jones, M. C., 2007. Essential elements of questionnaire design and development. *Journal of*
 1162 *Clinical Nursing*. 16 (2), 234-243.

1163 Ray, S., Kim, S. S., Morris, J. G., 2014. The Central Role of Engagement in Online Communities.
 1164 *Information Systems Research*. 25 (3), 528-546.

1165 Rich, B. L., Lepine, J. A., Crawford, E. R., 2010. Job engagement: Antecedents and effects on job
 1166 performance. *Academy of Management Journal*. 53 (3), 617-635.

1167 Rozzell, B., Piercy, C. W., Carr, C. T., King, S., Lane, B. L., Tornes, M., Johnson, A. J., Wright, K. B.,
 1168 2014. Notification pending: Online social support from close and nonclose relational ties via
 1169 Facebook. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 38, 272-280.

1170 Safran, C., Bloomrosen, M., Hammond, W. E., Labkoff, S., Markel-Fox, S., Tang, P. C., Detmer, D. E.,
 1171 2007. Toward a national framework for the secondary use of health data. *Journal of the American*
 1172 *Medical Informatics Association*. 14 (1), 1-9.

1173 Sarantakos, S., 2013. Social research, 4th ed. Palgrave Macmillan, London.

1174 Sarason, I. G., Levine, H. M., Basham, R. B., Sarason, B. R., 1983. Assessing social support: The social
1175 support questionnaire. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 44 (1), 127–139.

1176 Saridakis, G., Benson, V., Ezingard, J.-N., Tennakoon, H., 2016. Individual information security, user
1177 behaviour and cyber victimisation: An empirical study of social networking users. *Technological
1178 Forecasting and Social Change*. 102, 320-330.

1179 Schaffer, R., Kuczynski, K., Skinner, D., 2008. Producing genetic knowledge and citizenship through the
1180 Internet: Mothers, pediatric genetics, and cybermedicine. *Sociology of Health & Illness*. 30 (1),
1181 145-159.

1182 Schumacker, R. E., Lomax, R. G., Schumacker, R., 2015. A beginner's guide to structural equation
1183 modeling, 4th ed. Taylor & Francis, New York.

1184 Seh, A. H., Zarour, M., Alenezi, M., Sarkar, A. K., Agrawal, A., Kumar, R., Khan, R. A., 2020.
1185 Healthcare data breaches: Insights and implications. *Healthcare*. 8 (2), 133-150.

1186 Sepasgozar, S. M., Hawken, S., Sargolzaei, S., Foroozanfa, M., 2019. Implementing citizen centric
1187 technology in developing smart cities: A model for predicting the acceptance of urban
1188 technologies. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 142, 105-116.

1189 Setoyama, Y., Yamazaki, Y., Nakayama, K., 2011. Comparing support to breast cancer patients from
1190 online communities and face-to-face support groups. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 85 (2),
1191 95-100.

1192 Shahbaznezhad, H., Dolan, R., Rashidirad, M., 2021. The role of social media content format and
1193 platform in users' engagement behavior. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*. 53, 47-65.

1194 Shao, G., 2009. Understanding the appeal of user-generated media: A uses and gratification perspective.
1195 *Internet Research*. 19 (1), 7-25.

1196 Shawky, S., Kubacki, K., Dietrich, T., Weaven, S., 2020. A dynamic framework for managing customer
1197 engagement on social media. *Journal of Business Research*. 121, 567-577.

1198 Sheng, X., Felix, R., Saravade, S., Siguaw, J. A., Ketron, S. C., Krejtz, K., Duchowski, A. T., 2019. Sight
1199 unseen: The role of online security indicators in visual attention to online privacy information.
1200 *Journal of Business Research*. 111, 218-240.

1201 Shensa, A., Sidani, J. E., Lin, L. Y., Bowman, N. D., Primack, B. A., 2016. Social media use and
1202 perceived emotional support among US young adults. *Journal of Community Health*. 41 (3), 541-
1203 549.

1204 Shibchurn, J., Yan, X., 2015. Information disclosure on social networking sites: An intrinsic–extrinsic
1205 motivation perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 44, 103-117.

1206 Shirazi, F., Wu, Y., Hajli, A., Zadeh, A. H., Hajli, N., Lin, X., 2021. Value co-creation in online
1207 healthcare communities. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 167, 120665.

1208 Shuck, B., Nimon, K., Zigarmi, D., 2017. Untangling the predictive nomological validity of employee
1209 engagement: Partitioning variance in employee engagement using job attitude measures. *Group
1210 & Organization Management*. 42 (1), 79-112.

1211 Shumaker, S. A., Brownell, A., 1984. Toward a theory of social support: Closing conceptual gaps.
1212 Journal of Social Issues. 40 (4), 11-36.

1213 Simmering, M. J., Fuller, C. M., Richardson, H. A., Ocal, Y., Atinc, G. M., 2015. Marker variable choice,
1214 reporting, and interpretation in the detection of common method variance: A review and
1215 demonstration. Organizational Research Methods. 18 (3), 473-511.

1216 Skinner, E. A., 1996. A guide to constructs of control. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. 71
1217 (3), 549-570.

1218 Slattery, P., Finnegan, P., Vidgen, R., 2019. Creating compassion: How volunteering websites encourage
1219 prosocial behaviour. Information and Organization. 29 (1), 57-76.

1220 Smith, H. J., Dinev, T., Xu, H., 2011. Information privacy research: An interdisciplinary review. MIS
1221 Quarterly. 35 (4), 989-1016.

1222 Smith, K. P., Christakis, N. A., 2008. Social networks and health. Annual Review of Sociology. 34, 405-
1223 429.

1224 Statham, J. and Chase, E., 2010. Childhood wellbeing: A brief overview. Available at:
1225 <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/242676811_Childhood_Wellbeing_A_Brief_Overview
1226 w> Accessed on [9 December 2021].

1227 Suh, B., Han, I., 2003. The IS risk analysis based on a business model. Information & Management. 41
1228 (2), 149-158.

1229 Superio, D. L., Anderson, K. L., Oducado, R. M. F., Luceño, M. T., Palcullo, V. E. V., Bendalian, M. V.
1230 T., 2021. The information-seeking behavior and levels of knowledge, precaution, and fear of
1231 college students in Iloilo, Philippines amidst the COVID-19 pandemic. International Journal of
1232 Disaster Risk Reduction. 62, 102414.

1233 Tabachnick, B. G., Fidell, L. S., 2013. Using multivariate statistics, 6th ed. Pearson Education, Boston.

1234 Taddei, S., Contena, B., 2013. Privacy, trust and control: Which relationships with online self-disclosure?
1235 Computers in Human Behavior. 29 (3), 821-826.

1236 Thoits, P. A., 2011. Mechanisms linking social ties and support to physical and mental health. Journal of
1237 Health and Social Behavior. 52 (2), 145-161.

1238 Tseng, S.-Y., Wang, C.-N., 2016. Perceived risk influence on dual-route information adoption processes
1239 on travel websites. Journal of Business Research. 69 (6), 2289-2296.

1240 Turner, J. W., Grube, J. A., Meyers, J., 2001. Developing an optimal match within online communities:
1241 An exploration of CMC support communities and traditional support. Journal of Communication.
1242 51 (2), 231-251.

1243 Urbach, N., Ahlemann, F., 2010. Structural equation modeling in information systems research using
1244 partial least squares. Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application. 11 (2), 5-40.

1245 Van Doorn, J., Lemon, K. N., Mittal, V., Nass, S., Pick, D., Pirner, P., Verhoef, P. C., 2010. Customer
1246 Engagement Behavior: Theoretical Foundations and Research Directions. Journal of Service
1247 Research. 13 (3), 253-266.

1248 Van Slyke, C., Shim, J., Johnson, R., Jiang, J. J., 2006. Concern for information privacy and online
1249 consumer purchasing. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. 7 (6), 415-444.

1250 van Uden-Kraan, C., Drossaert, C., Taal, E., Seydel, E., van de Laar, M., 2008. Self-reported differences
1251 in empowerment between lurkers and posters in online patient support groups. *Journal of*
1252 *Medical Internet Research*. 10 (2), e18.

1253 Vaux, A., 1988. *Social support: Theory, research, and intervention*, Praeger publishers.

1254 Vicary, A. M., Fraley, R. C., 2010. Student reactions to the shootings at virginia tech and northern illinois
1255 university: Does sharing grief and support over the internet affect recovery? *Personality and*
1256 *Social Psychology Bulletin*. 36 (11), 1555-1563.

1257 von Muhlen, M., Ohno-Machado, L., 2012. Reviewing social media use by clinicians. *Journal of the*
1258 *American Medical Informatics Association*. 19 (5), 777-781.

1259 Wang, B., Liu, Y., Qian, J., Parker, S. K., 2021a. Achieving effective remote working during the
1260 COVID-19 pandemic: A work design perspective. *Applied Psychology*. 70 (1), 16-59.

1261 Wang, X., Liu, Z., 2019. Online engagement in social media: A cross-cultural comparison. *Computers in*
1262 *Human Behavior*. 97, 137-150.

1263 Wang, W., Shukla, P., Shi, G., 2021b. Digitalized social support in the healthcare environment: Effects of
1264 the types and sources of social support on psychological well-being. *Technological Forecasting*
1265 *and Social Change*. 164, 120503.

1266 Wang, X., Tajvidi, M., Lin, X., and Hajli, N., 2020. Towards an ethical and trustworthy social commerce
1267 community for brand value co-creation: A trust-commitment perspective. *Journal of Business*
1268 *Ethics*. 167 (1), 137-152.

1269 Wang, X., Zhao, K., Street, N. 2017. Analyzing and predicting user participations in online health
1270 communities: A social support perspective. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 19 (4), 1-16.

1271 Wang, X., High, A., Wang, X., Zhao, K., 2021. Predicting users' continued engagement in online health
1272 communities from the quantity and quality of received support. *Journal of the Association for*
1273 *Information Science and Technology*. 72 (6), 710-722.

1274 Waring, M. E., McManus, D. D., Amante, D. J., Darling, C. E., Kiefe, C. I., 2018. Online health
1275 information seeking by adults hospitalized for acute coronary syndromes: Who looks for
1276 information, and who discusses it with healthcare providers? *Patient Education and Counseling*.
1277 101 (11), 1973-1981.

1278 Waternaux, C. M., 1976. Asymptotic distribution of the sample roots for a nonnormal population.
1279 *Biometrika*. 63 (3), 639-645.

1280 Welch, V., Petkovic, J., Pardo, J. P., Rader, T., Tugwell, P., 2016. Interactive social media interventions
1281 to promote health equity: An overview of reviews. *Health promotion and chronic disease*
1282 *prevention in Canada: research, policy and practice*. 36 (4), 63.

1283 Wentzer, H. S., Bygholm, A., 2013. Narratives of empowerment and compliance: Studies of
 1284 communication in online patient support groups. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*. 82
 1285 (12), 386-394.

1286 White, M. H., Dorman, S. M., 2000. Online support for caregivers. Analysis of an internet Alzheimer
 1287 mailgroup. *Computers in Nursing*. 18 (4), 168-176.

1288 WHO, 1948. Constitution of the World Health Organization, Available at:
 1289 <https://apps.who.int/gb/bd/pdf_files/BD_49th-en.pdf#page=6> Accessed on [27 August 2021].

1290 Wicks, P., Massagli, M., Frost, J., Brownstein, C., Okun, S., Vaughan, T., Bradley, R., Heywood, J.,
 1291 2010. Sharing health data for better outcomes on patients like me. *Journal of Medical Internet*
 1292 *Research*. 12 (2), 1-12.

1293 Wiertz, C., de Ruyter, K., 2007. Beyond the call of duty: Why customers contribute to firm-hosted
 1294 commercial online communities. *Organization Studies*. 28 (3), 347-376.

1295 Williams, L. J., Hartman, N., Cavazotte, F., 2010. Method variance and marker variables: A review and
 1296 comprehensive CFA marker technique. *Organizational Research Methods*. 13 (3), 477-514.

1297 Wirtz, J., Den Ambtman, A., Bloemer, J., Horváth, C., Ramaseshan, B., Van De Klundert, J., Canli, Z.
 1298 G., Kandampully, J., 2013. Managing brands and customer engagement in online brand
 1299 communities. *Journal of Service Management*. 24 (3), 223-244.

1300 Wittek, R., Bekkers, R., 2015. Altruism and prosocial behavior, sociology of. In: D. J. Wright (Ed.)
 1301 *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences*. Elsevier, 2nd ed. Oxford, pp.
 1302 579-583.

1303 Wortman, C. B., Conway, T. L., 1985. The role of social support in adaptation and recovery from
 1304 physical illness. In: C. Wortman, T. Conway (Eds.) *Social Support and Health*. Academic Press,
 1305 New York, pp. 281-302.

1306 Wu, J., Fan, S., Zhao, J. L., 2018. Community engagement and online word of mouth: An empirical
 1307 investigation. *Information & Management*. 55 (2), 258-270.

1308 Xiao, N., Sharman, R., Rao, H. R., Upadhyaya, S., 2014. Factors influencing online health information
 1309 search: An empirical analysis of a national cancer-related survey. *Decision Support Systems*. 57,
 1310 417-427.

1311 Xu, H., Dinev, T., Smith, J., Hart, P., 2011. Information privacy concerns: Linking individual perceptions
 1312 with institutional privacy assurances. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*. 12
 1313 (12), 798-824.

1314 Xu, H., Teo, H.-H., Tan, B., 2005. Predicting the adoption of location-based services: The role of trust
 1315 and perceived privacy risk. *Proceedings of the Twenty-Sixth International Conference on*
 1316 *Information Systems*. ICIS, United States.

1317 Xu, H., Teo, H.-H., Tan, B. C., Agarwal, R., 2009. The role of push-pull technology in privacy calculus:
 1318 the case of location-based services. *Journal of management information systems*. 26 (3), 135-174.

1319 Xu, H., Teo, H.-H., Tan, B. C., Agarwal, R., 2012. Research note—effects of individual self-protection,
1320 industry self-regulation, and government regulation on privacy concerns: a study of location-
1321 based services. *Information Systems Research*. 23 (4), 1342-1363.

1322 Yan, L., Tan, Y., 2014. Feeling blue? Go online: An empirical study of social support among patients.
1323 *Information Systems Research*. 25 (4), 690-709.

1324 Yang, Z., Janakiraman, N., Hossain, M. T., Grisaffe, D. B., 2020. Differential effects of pay-it-forward
1325 and direct-reciprocity on prosocial behavior. *Journal of Business Research*. 121, 400-408.

1326 Yao, T., Zheng, Q., Fan, X., 2015. The impact of online social support on patients' quality of life and the
1327 moderating role of social exclusion. *Journal of Service Research*. 18 (3), 369-383.

1328 Yoo, W., Namkoong, K., Choi, M., Shah, D. V., Tsang, S., Hong, Y., Aguilar, M., Gustafson, D. H.,
1329 2014. Giving and receiving emotional support online: Communication competence as a
1330 moderator of psychosocial benefits for women with breast cancer. *Computers in Human*
1331 *Behavior*. 30, 13-22.

1332 Yoo, W., Shah, D. V., Chih, M.-Y., Gustafson, D. H., 2018. Predicting changes in giving and receiving
1333 emotional support within a smartphone-based alcoholism support group. *Computers in Human*
1334 *Behavior*. 78, 261-272.

1335 Young, C., 2013. Community management that works: How to build and sustain a thriving online health
1336 community. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 15 (6), 119-133.

1337 Yu, Y., Yang, J. P., Shiu, C.-S., Simoni, J. M., Xiao, S., Chen, W.-t., Rao, D., Wang, M., 2015.
1338 Psychometric testing of the Chinese version of the medical outcomes study social support survey
1339 among people living with HIV/AIDS in China. *Applied Nursing Research*. 28 (4), 328-333.

1340 Yuan, K.-H., Bentler, P. M., 2004. On chi-square difference and z tests in mean and covariance structure
1341 analysis when the base model is misspecified. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*. 64
1342 (5), 737-757.

1343 Yuan, K.-H., Chan, W., 2016. Measurement invariance via multigroup SEM: Issues and solutions with
1344 chi-square-difference tests. *Psychological Methods*. 21 (3), 405-426.

1345 Yukl, G., Seifert, C. F., Chavez, C., 2008. Validation of the extended influence behavior questionnaire.
1346 *The Leadership Quarterly*. 19 (5), 609-621.

1347 Zhang, L., Jung, E. H., 2019. WeChatting for health: An examination of the relationship between
1348 motivations and active engagement. *Health Communication*. 34 (14), 1764-1774.

1349 Zhang, X., Liu, S., Chen, X., Wang, L., Gao, B., Zhu, Q., 2018. Health information privacy concerns,
1350 antecedents, and information disclosure intention in online health communities. *Information &*
1351 *Management*. 55 (4), 482-493.

1352 Zhao, S., Liu, Y., 2021. The more insufficient, the more avoidance? Cognitive and affective factors that
1353 relates to information behaviours in acute risks. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 12, 1-11.

1354 Zheng, X., Cheung, C. M., Lee, M. K., Liang, L., 2015. Building brand loyalty through user engagement
1355 in online brand communities in social networking sites. *Information Technology & People*. 28
1356 (1), 90-106.

1357 Zheng, Y., Zhao, K., Stylianou, A., 2013. The impacts of information quality and system quality on users'
1358 continuance intention in information-exchange virtual communities: An empirical investigation.
1359 *Decision Support Systems*. 56, 513-524.

1360 Zhou, T., 2011. Understanding online community user participation: A social influence perspective.
1361 *Internet Research*. 21 (1), 67-81.

1362 Zhu, M., Wu, C., Huang, S., Zheng, K., Young, S. D., Yan, X., Yuan, Q., 2021. Privacy paradox in
1363 mHealth applications: An integrated elaboration likelihood model incorporating privacy calculus
1364 and privacy fatigue. *Telematics and Informatics*. 61, 101601.

Figure 1: Research Framework




Figure 2: Estimation Results for the Structural Model




Table 1: Demographic Background (N=202)

Demographic	Range	Frequency	Percentage %
Gender	Male	116	57.4
	Female	85	42.1
	Prefer not to answer	1	.50
Age	17-23	8	4.0
	24-29	44	21.8
	30-39	55	27.2
	40-49	67	33.2
	50-59	23	11.4
	60-above	3	1.5
	Prefer not to answer	2	1.0
Educational Level	Diploma	2	1.0
	Some undergraduate work	50	24.8
	Bachelor's degree	25	12.4
	Some graduate work	91	45.0
	Postgrad's degree	29	14.4
	Doctorate/professional degree	5	2.5
Country	UK	87	43.1
	USA	115	56.9

Table 2: Constructs and Items with Descriptive Statistics, Factor Loadings, and Reliability Scores

Indicators	Items	M	SD	FL	Variance	α
Perceived Control of Information adapted from Krasnova et al. (2010) and Hajli and Lin (2016)						
PCI2	Privacy setting allows me to have full control over the information I provide on online health communities.	4.67	1.20	0.886	9.13 %	0.822
PCI1	I feel in control over the information I provide on online health communities.	4.69	1.34	0.740		
PCI3	I feel in control of who can view my information on online health communities.	4.68	1.21	0.717		
Perceive Privacy Risk adapted from Pavlou et al. (2007) and Hajli and Lin (2016)						
PPR3	I suspect that my privacy is not well protected by online health communities.	5.99	1.47	0.986	6.89 %	0.964
PPR1	I am concerned that online health communities are collecting too much personal information about me.	5.97	1.49	0.984		
PPR2	I'm worried that unknown third parties will access my personal information on online health communities.	5.72	1.54	0.880		
Community Engagement adapted from Algesheimer et al. (2005), Hajli and Lin (2016), and Baldus et al. (2015)						
CEG2	I am willing to recommend an application or online health communities with multimedia functions that are worth trying to help my friends in my favourite online health community.	5.14	1.59	0.833	5.94 %	0.772
CEG1	I will ask my friends in forums and communities to provide me with their health information and suggestions.	5.04	1.56	0.702		
CEG3	I am willing to share my own information and experience of online health communities, applications, or website with my friends in my favourite online health community through ratings and reviews.	5.39	1.45	0.649		
Informational Support adopted from Hajli (2014)						
IS1	In my favourite online health community, some people would offer suggestions when I needed help.	5.49	1.65	0.987	19.6%	0.970
IS3	When faced with difficulties, some people in my favourite online health community would help me discover the cause and provide me with suggestions.	5.47	1.66	0.975		
IS2	When I encountered a problem, some people in my favourite online health community would give me information to help me overcome the problem	5.49	1.62	0.906		

Emotional Support adopted from Hajli (2014)

ES2	When faced with difficulties, some people in my favourite online health community comforted and encouraged me.	3.54	1.44	0.882	6.87%	0.886
ES1	When faced with difficulties, some people in my favourite online health community are on my side with me.	3.70	1.58	0.829		
ES3	When faced with difficulties, some people in my favourite online health community listened to me talking about my private feelings.	3.99	1.38	0.820		
ES4	When faced with difficulties, some people in my favourite online health community expressed interest and concern in my well-being.	3.45	1.35	0.725		
Intention to Participate (New items)						
IP2	If my friends offer information about their health care experience in my favourite online health community, I would act on them.	4.94	1.71	0.798	17.5%	0.843
IP1	If my friends ask for advices about a health-related problem in my favourite online health community, I intent to share it with them.	4.64	1.63	0.780		
IP3	If I need health information, I would consider the experiences of my friends in my favourite online health community.	4.90	1.37	0.775		
IP4	If a professional nurse offers advice based on his/her experience in my favourite online health community, I would act on them.	4.63	1.40	0.688		

Note: M = Mean, SD = Standard Deviation, FL = Standardised Factor Loadings, α = Cronbach's Alpha

Table 3: Convergent and Discriminant Validity

Variables	CR	AVE	MSV	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. Perceived Privacy Risk	0.966	0.905	0.215	0.951					
2. Perceived Control Information	0.826	0.615	0.221	-0.016	0.784				
3. Community Engagement	0.774	0.536	0.058	0.238	-0.007	0.732			
4. Emotional Support	0.888	0.666	0.221	-0.110	0.470	-0.111	0.816		
5. Informational Support	0.970	0.916	0.215	0.463	-0.012	0.242	-0.094	0.957	
6. Intention Participate	0.846	0.580	0.080	0.046	0.149	-0.088	0.284	0.105	0.762
<i>Note:</i> AVE = Average Variance Extracted; CR = Composite Reliability; MSV = Maximum Shared Squared Variance									

Table 4: Model Comparison for CFA Model with Marker Variable

Model	$\chi^2(df)$	CFI	RMSEA (90% CI)	LR of $\Delta\chi^2$	Model comparison
CFA with marker variable	335.48 (231)	0.964	0.047 (.036, .058)		
Baseline	335.48 (235)	0.965	0.046 (.034, .057)		
Method-C	370.04 (241)	0.955	0.052 (.041, .062)	34.557, $df = 6$, $p < .001$	vs. Baseline
Method-U	316.57 (221)	0.967	0.046 (.034, .057)	53.472, $df = 20$, $p < .001$	vs. Method-C
Method-R	319.18 (236)	0.971	0.042 (.029, .053)	2.619, $df = 15$, $p = .999$	vs. Method-U

Note: CFA = Confirmatory Factor Analysis; CFI = Comparative Fit Index; RMSEA = Root Mean Square Error of Approximation; LR = Likelihood Ratio Test; C = Constrained; U = Unconstrained; R = Restricted.

CFA marker model = CFA with a marker variable, **Baseline model** = marker variable having fixed factor loadings and fixed error variances with unstandardized factor loadings and error variances obtained from the CFA marker model, **Method-C model** = constrained model where the substantive item factor loadings from marker variable have been constrained to be equal, **Method-U model** = unconstrained model, where the substantive item factor loadings from marker variable have been freely estimated, and **Method-R model** = restricted model, where the substantive factor correlations of Method-U have been restricted to their values obtained from the Baseline model.

Table 5: Results of Hypothesis Testing

<i>H_s</i>	Path Directions		Std. β	Std. error	t-value	p-value	Results
<i>H_{1a}</i>	Perceived Control of Information	→ Emotional Support	0.522	0.068	8.814	***	Supported
<i>H_{1b}</i>		→ Informational Support	-0.004	0.123	-0.073	0.942	Rejected
<i>H_{1c}</i>		→ Intention to Participate	0.000	0.086	0.006	0.996	Rejected
<i>H_{2a}</i>	Perceived Privacy Risk	→ Emotional Support	-0.079	0.040	-1.291	0.197	Rejected
<i>H_{2b}</i>		→ Informational Support	0.428	0.071	6.730	***	Rejected
<i>H_{3a}</i>	Community Engagement	→ Emotional Support	-0.103	0.068	-1.675	0.094	Rejected
<i>H_{3b}</i>		→ Informational Support	0.157	0.122	2.460	0.014	Supported
<i>H₄</i>	Emotional Support	→ Intention to Participate	0.332	0.074	4.273	***	Supported
<i>H₅</i>	Informational Support		0.147	0.037	2.227	0.026	Supported

Table 6: Multi-Group Analysis Between Male and Female Groups

Path Directions	Male path coefficient	Female path coefficient	Male-Female significant difference	Relationship Interpretation
Group Difference (Chi-square difference)		$\chi^2/df = 13.783, df=9, p = .130$		There is no difference between the male and female group
	(Std. β , p-value)	(Std. β , p-value)	p-value	
PPR→ES	-0.046, p = .564	-0.166, p = .084	.397	There is no difference
PPR→IS	0.386, p < .001	0.483, p < .001	.612	There is no difference
CEG→ES	-0.223, p = .005	0.110, p = .262	.012	The relationship is only significant for Male.
CEG→IS	0.297, p < .001	-0.039, p = .710	.023	The relationship is only significant for Male.
PCI→ES	0.522, p < .001	0.554, p < .001	.604	There is no difference
PCI→IS	-0.063, p = .424	0.020, p = .835	.529	There is no difference
ES→IP	0.378, p < .001	0.269, p = .030	.466	There is no difference
IS→IP	0.192, p = .027	0.071, p = .498	.326	The relationship is only significant for Male.
PCI→IP	-0.026, p = .797	0.026, p = .830	.743	There is no difference
Note: PPR = Perceived privacy risk, CEG = Community engagement, PCI = Perceived control of information, ES = Emotional support, IS = Informational support, IP = Intention to participate				