UNIVERSITYOF **BIRMINGHAM**

University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

'Out of Sync'

Bates, Rachel; Bailey, Cara; Topping, Annie

DOI:

10.1136/bmjopen-2022-065995

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):
Bates, R, Bailey, C & Topping, A 2022, "Out of Sync': a qualitative investigation of patients' experiences of atrial fibrillation and perceptions of weight management', BMJ open, vol. 12, no. 11, e065995. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-065995

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes

- •Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- •Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
 •User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 05. May. 2024

BMJ Open 'Out of sync': a qualitative investigation of patients' experiences of atrial fibrillation and perceptions of weight management

Rachel Wendy Bates (1), 1,2 Cara Bailey (1), 1 AE Topping (1) 1

To cite: Bates RW, Bailey C, Topping AE. 'Out of sync': a qualitative investigation of patients' experiences of atrial fibrillation and perceptions of weight management. BMJ Open 2022;12:e065995. doi:10.1136/ bmiopen-2022-065995

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files. please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2022-065995).

Received 23 June 2022 Accepted 20 September 2022



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by

¹School of Nursing and Midwifery, Institute of Clinical Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK ²John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK

Correspondence to

Dr Cara Bailey; C.Bailey.2@bham.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Objectives To explore ways to enhance the design of risk factor management and weight-loss services for people with overweight/obesity and atrial fibrillation (AF).

Background AF is the most common cardiac arrhythmia, with serious consequences for health and quality of life. Some evidence indicates weight reduction in people with AF and overweight/obesity may improve symptoms. This population may require additional support with weight management due to factors associated with ageing and

Design Qualitative investigation based on semi-structured interviews

Methods 12 adult participants (4 female, 8 male) with diagnosed AF and a current or previous body mass index >27 kg/m² were recruited at a large tertiary cardiac referral centre in southern England between September 2020 and January 2021. Participants completed quality of life and AF symptom questionnaires using Think-Aloud technique and semi-structured interviews relating to their weight management experiences, needs and preferences. Interviews were audio recorded and analysed thematically using the Capability, Opportunity and Motivation-Behaviour model as a theoretical framework.

Results Three main themes were identified. Being out of rhythm explores the psychological and physical impact of AF on weight management; doing the right thing discusses participants' weight management experiences and broaching the subject explores participants' perspectives on weight management conversations with clinicians. Conclusions There was dissatisfaction with the weight management advice received from healthcare professionals including cardiologists. Participants wanted open, non-judgemental discussion of cardiac health implications of overweight/obesity supported by referral to weight management services. Improved communication including research findings regarding the benefits of weight loss as a factor in AF management might increase motivation to adhere to weight-loss advice in this population.

INTRODUCTION

Mounting evidence demonstrates weight loss improves symptoms and slows disease progression in people with atrial fibrillation (AF) and overweight. Yet despite convincing evidence of the health benefits, few cardiac patients with overweight achieve healthy weight

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ Explores views and experiences of patients with atrial fibrillation and overweight, illuminating barriers to weight management.
- ⇒ The Capability, Opportunity and Motivation-Behaviour model facilitated application of findings to strategies to improve clinical practice.
- ⇒ Only included the views of people willing to discuss weight management.
- ⇒ Participants were recruited from an ethnically homodeneous population: further research is needed to reflect the perspectives of the wider population.

management in the long-term.² Weight loss is a complex and sometimes onerous undertaking, subject to multifactorial biological, behavioural,³ socioeconomic, environmental and personal influences.^{4 5} Incidence of AF increases with age, meaning patients are likely to be older and experience more concurrent conditions than the populations in general weight management studies. Better understanding of patients' lived reality of these factors could improve the design of weight management services for this population.

A qualitative synthesis of studies examining weight management among patients with myocardial infarction (MI) undertaking cardiac rehabilitation found the cardiac event served as a 'wake-up call', revealing the extent of damage to health. 6-8 Although motivated to lose weight, individuals often felt overwhelmed and lacked knowledge and strategies to enact lifestyle changes. 6-8 Referral to weight management services was valued and access to supervised exercise facilities allayed safety concerns.⁶⁸ Personalisation of services increased acceptability and compliance.8

The experiences of people with AF are different from those recovering from MI-AF is not acutely life-threatening, cardiac rehabilitation is not routinely provided, treatment success rates vary. Growing evidence indicates advice to patients with AF should include proactive weight management 10 11





but guidance on tailoring advice and services to meet patients' needs is limited. No existing qualitative literature explores the experiences of people with overweight and AF. The objective of this study is to identify practical ways to address perceived barriers to weight reduction through better understanding of patients' experiences and perspectives.

METHODS

Language and definitions

Overweight and obesity are defined by WHO as a body mass index (BMI) \geq 25 kg/m² or 30 kg/m², respectively. The inclusion criteria for this study adopted a BMI of 27 kg/m² or greater, in line with previous quantitative studies of overweight and AF. The term 'overweight' is used in this report to describe a BMI of 27 kg/m² or over, except when discussing other studies which specifically investigate obesity.

Patient and public involvement

Advice on design, relevance and acceptability was obtained from two volunteers with AF (one also had BMI >27 kg/m²) and previous research experience via a patient and public involvement bulletin. Minor alterations to the patient information sheet were made as advised. No design changes were suggested.

Design

A qualitative interview study design was used to explore patients' perspectives and experiences¹³ of living with AF and overweight. Because weight management involves behaviour change, the Capability, Opportunity and Motivation-Behaviour (COM-B) model¹⁴—which identifies capability, opportunity and motivation as the three essential elements for behaviour change—was used as the theoretical framework. These elements form the hub of the Behaviour Change Wheel that represents the complexity of the economic, social and political influences that interact with COM elements ultimately determining behavioural choices. 15 The model was embedded in study design, as a topic guide for interviews, and as a framework for data analysis to enhance theoretical coherence and consistency.¹⁶ Using an interpretive description approach, 17 we sought clinically usable explanations for weight management behaviours by exploring complex patient experiences through the conceptual COM-B model.

Context and sampling

Twelve participants were purposively recruited from outpatient services at a large tertiary referral centre in southern England between September 2020 and January 2021. All participants had to have AF, have current or previous BMI of 27 kg/m² or over and be able to converse in English (see table 1 for selected participant characteristics). Potential participants were approached during hospital appointments by the direct care team

and provided with a patient information sheet. Fourteen patients were approached in total; two declined, stating they did not wish to discuss weight management. Recruitment continued until data saturation was reached.

Data collection

Interviews were conducted by an experienced female, M-level trained, research nurse (RWB). This information was included in the patient information sheet. One participant was previously known to RWB through participation in an unrelated clinical trial. All others were unknown. Interviews were conducted by telephone due to the COVID-19 pandemic that restricted research and elective clinical activity. The participant known to RWB chose to be interviewed face-to-face in a research facility clinic room after attending a hospital appointment. Two participants were interviewed with their partners in attendance, who occasionally commented in the background. Interviews lasted between 31 and 100 min with a mean of 55 min. Interviews were audio recorded and transcribed verbatim by RWB.

The interview process was carefully planned by RWB, CB and AET to encourage participants to feel comfortable and able to speak openly about their weight management experiences with a focus on service improvement. 18 Participants' experiences were explored using semi-structured interviews.¹⁹ To reduce any discomfort discussing weight and to focus on AF,20 participants began by completing two questionnaires frequently used in quantitative studies of AF and hence well validated: Atrial Fibrillation Symptom Severity Scale²¹; EuroQol-5 Dimension-5L²² using Think-Aloud,²³ a technique previously explored in nursing research by CB²⁴ who provided training and oversight to RWB. Participants were encouraged to verbalise their thoughts while completing the questionnaires which enables deeper reflection, self-awareness and insight into the meanings behind their responses about behaviours and decisions.²³ The Think-Aloud technique facilitated identification of instances where questionnaire responses reflected adaptations in expectations to accommodate worsening health,²⁴ and has been used previously to explore the support needs of patients with AF.²⁵

A topic guide (online supplemental appendix A) based on the COM-B model helped frame the ongoing interview around physical and psychological capabilities, opportunities and motivation for weight management with reference to the questionnaire responses. ¹⁸ For example, "In the category 'Usual Activities', you answered 'I have slight problems doing my usual activities'—can you tell me a bit about what your usual activities include? What do you consider the reasons for these problems? [Capability]". No pilot test was performed but the topic guide was allowed to development as the interviews progressed to follow new lines of enquiry.

Rigour

Several strategies were used to address rigour. Triangulation ²⁶ was carried out by providing a summary of the

Age range (years)	Employment	Education	BMI (kg/ m²)	Type of AF	Years since AF diagnosis	Symptomatic	Reported previous Symptomatic weight loss (kg)	Weight loss method
70–79	Retired teacher	Higher	30	Paroxysmal	>10	Yes	19 (regained)	Very low calorie (self-directed)
70–79	Retired from food industry	Secondary	43	Paroxysmal	5	Yes	Not reported	Very low calorie (self-directed)
69-09	Cook	Secondary	25	Paroxysmal	>10	Yes	19	Dietary, self-directed
69-09	Hospitality	Further	28	Persistent	6	Yes	22 (regained)	Slimming World
50–59	Tradesperson	Secondary	28	Persistent	√	Yes	4	Diet and exercise, self-directed
69-09	Leisure industry (retired firefighter)	Further	41	Persistent	2.5	Yes	50 (partially regained)	Slimming World
50–59	Retired firefighter	Secondary	37	Persistent	1.5	Yes	19 (regained)	Previously Slimming World. Now on BHF diet (LOSE-AF study)
69-09	Nurse	Higher	41	Paroxysmal	o	Yes	Not reported	Various
69-09	Carer	Further	36	Paroxysmal	>10	Yes	11	Diet and exercise, self-directed
69-09	Tradesperson and business owner	Secondary	31	Persistent	>10	No	53 (partial regain)	Previously aloe vera diet. Now Cambridge 1:1 (LOSE-AF study)
20–59	Teacher	Higher	34	Persistent	က	Yes	28 (partial regain)	SlimFast
70–80	Retired electronics engineer	Further	37	Persistent	▽	Yes	7	Cambridge 1:1 (LOSE-AF study)

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-065995 on 7 November 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on November 10, 2022 by guest. Protected by copyright.

interview to each participant before analysis to confirm it reflected their views, meanings and intentions, and to allow for clarification (no participants asked for changes to be made). Reflexivity was facilitated by writing field notes to record responses, reflections and assumptions following each interview and during transcription. The researchers' subjectivity was examined using the Peshkin^{27 28} model of reflection to sharpen awareness of the effect of assumptions, values and biases in shaping the study findings.

The study is reported following the Consolidated criteria for Reporting Qualitative research guidelines²⁹ (online supplemental appendix B) to ensure comprehension and transparency.

Analysis

The transcribed data were imported to a spreadsheet and manually coded line-by-line using the Eclectic Coding process³⁰ to identify processes and phenomena. Process codes, which capture action,³⁰ were widely used

to emphasise the active nature of behaviour change within the COM-B model. Descriptive codes were used to summarise topics, and values codes to label participants' perspectives.³⁰

RWB carried out the initial coding. Coding and subsequent thematic development were reviewed and discussed between RWB, CB and AET to reach consensus.

Codes were mapped onto the COM-B framework and organised into subthemes. As working themes were identified, a recoding process was carried out to maintain thematic coherence³⁰ (see table 2 for examples of this process). As coding progressed, themes were identified which transcended the COM-B divisions or reflected the interplay between them (see figure 1). The colour-coded COM-B framework was therefore dismantled, and the individual codes reorganised within the overarching themes. The resulting schema was checked against the original interviews to enrich the authenticity of the description by returning to the participants' voices and

Table 2 Examples of coding and thematic analysis prod	cess			
Quote	Initial codes (initial framework theme)	Recoded to	COM-B category	Theme
"It bothers me not the actual It bothers me that I can hear it in my ears more than anything".—Male, aged 70–79 years	Annoying symptoms (what it feels like for me)	Psychological impact of AF	Motivation	Being out of rhythm
"At the minute, touch wood it feels like it wants to start, like at work today it kind of skipped a beat and I thought oh no please don't start!"—Female, aged 60–69 years	Touching wood (motivation); dreading relapse (capability — psychological)	Psychological impact of AF	Motivation	Being out of rhythm
"I know if I lost half a stone I would feel that little bit quicker, a bit lighter, but I wouldn't want to lose any more than that because it would like take that bit of strength away".—Male, aged 50–59 years	Being big and strong (motivation—reflective)	Desire to lose weight— confounding factors	Motivation	Doing the right thing
"But yeah that's what I'd like, to go through foods with me, to say well no you can't really have that—I'm only thinking I'll have that because it's got no sugar in it—do you know what I mean? But I don't think about maybe the fat content. I think about the sugar content, I think oh great I can have that—do you know what I mean? So It's someone to sit and educate me, more than anything".—Female, aged 60–69 years	Nutritional knowledge (capability— psychological); desired help (opportunity— physical); wanting to talk about weight (motivation—automatic); changing diet (behaviour change)	Weight management knowledge; desired help	Capability, Opportunity, Motivation; Behaviour Change	Doing the right thing
"I can't remember exactly what she said but I think it was around the weight issue. But none of them, none of them have said that the weight was an issue for any of the procedures that were going to be done, so I didn't make much of it other than to say you know that. But it's usually that if you lose a bit of weight then. I don't know I can't remember what they said but basically lose some weight—it wasn't an order, it was just advice".— Male, aged 50–59 years	Risks not explained (motivation—reflective); choosing what to hear (motivation—automatic)	Patient education	Motivation	Broaching the subject
"Years ago when I lived in [city] um, they could, sounds silly I know but they could put the gym on prescription for so many months, which is what one doctor done for me and I used to go to the gym, um, oh two, three times	Having weight loss prescription (motivation— reflective); doing exercise (capability—physical)	Being referred	Motivation, opportunity	Broaching the subject

a week then". - Female, aged 60-69 years




Figure 1 Map of themes with relation to Capability. Opportunity and Motivation-Behaviour elements. 15 AF, atrial fibrillation.

ensure participants' various perspectives were fairly represented.²⁶

FINDINGS Participants

The sample included four women and eight men, a gender ratio which corresponds to the general AF population.³¹ Ages ranged from 50 to 76 years. A range of socioeconomic, educational and professional backgrounds were represented. All participants identified as white British, consistent with the demography of the AF population managed at the study site (table 1).

Time since AF diagnosis ranged from 4months to 15 years. Five participants had paroxysmal AF (occurring in self-limiting episodes lasting <1 week) and seven had persistent AF (lasting >1 week). Eleven participants reported comorbidities and five reported two or more, which impacted on their activities of daily living and quality of life, the most common being ischaemic heart disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus and joint pain.

BMI ranged from 25 (after sustained weight loss) to 43 kg/m². All participants reported having lost weight at some point in life, from 4 to 53 kg, but most, at least partially, had regained weight.

Being out of rhythm

Being out of rhythm describes the physical and psychological disruption AF caused to participants' lives. Symptoms impeded day-to-day activities, corresponding with the capability component of COM-B. Participants characterised these limitations as a life having lost its rhythm: they could no longer live the life they wanted while experiencing AF and were left waiting to regain their rhythm, both cardiologically and metaphorically. Waiting for treatment, uncertainty of its effectiveness and fear of relapse appeared to sap motivation to engage in healthy lifestyle behaviours. A female participant who

experienced infrequent, random-onset AF episodes reflected on how a sense of suspense affected her psychologically:

I just feel edgy when it's out of sync... I can't wait for it to drop back into rhythm—Female, aged 70-79

The physical impacts of AF included fatigue, breathlessness, chest pain, palpitations and faintness and ranged in severity from mild to debilitating. Nine of the 12 participants had been hospitalised with severe symptoms, and 11 had undergone cardioversion or ablation for symptom management. Although reassured by their cardiologists that AF is not life-threatening, the onset of symptoms could still induce significant anxiety and sometimes panic. This was typified by a healthcare professional participant, who struggled to reconcile her objective clinical understanding of AF with the subjective distress she felt when experiencing arrhythmias:

It is horrible, it is horrible... and it can come on at any time so if I'm at work or at a meeting... you haven't even got a chance to be away from anyone noticing you're not very well ... you don't want people to know you're in AF. Especially people my office who are nurses, they're not going to take much notice really!—Female, aged 60-69 years

Symptoms impacted family activities, work, housekeeping, hobbies and sleep patterns. The sense of life being suspended was experienced as a Life on hold. Some participants felt unsafe and deliberately limited their activities for fear of precipitating an episode of AF or damaging their heart. Participants who had previously been active identified their symptoms as a cause of weight gain. Inability to exercise impacted energy expenditure, but could also affect motivation by disrupting social lives and removing pleasure. Physical limitations often had psychological ramifications, causing frustration and anxiety about deteriorating health and ageing as expressed by a male participant whose career in the fire service had been truncated by AF:

It's doing my head in to a point. I hate it. Frustration is a massive thing because I'm in my 50s and like I said I'm an ex-firefighter and all that stuff and I'm used to being healthy and active, I'm not someone for sitting around and watching TV all day.—Male, aged 50-59 years

None of the participants attributed weight gain solely to AF, although some cited reduced physical capacity due to AF symptoms as a contributing factor. Returning to sinus rhythm was for some the only way to regain health and previous lifestyles. This resulted in postponing weight management attempts until such a time when, back in rhythm, they would regain the mental energy to focus on diet and the physical capability to exercise.



Doing the right thing

All participants considered overweight unhealthy and undesirable, and articulated positive health behaviours as *doing the right thing*. All four elements of the COM-B model are reflected within this theme—participants reported motivation to lose weight and intentional behaviour change but felt their health or bodily constitution hindered their capability, or lacked the opportunity to access appropriate services. Inability to sustain weight loss despite following advice to the best of their ability caused significant frustration. A male participant who had previously lost but then regained weight following a high-protein commercial weight-loss programme reflected on the slower measurable effects of his current low-fat, calorie-controlled approach:

I try and eat healthily—we do eat healthily—we have fruit and veg more, far more than five portions a day, so I believe we eat healthily. I try and exercise, um, so at the moment I'm on this healthy diet that the British Heart Foundation recommend, and my wife's good at measuring out portion sizes and this sort of thing... So we've been doing that for a couple of weeks now and it's ok but I've only lost—for me—hardly anything, like a pound or two—Male, aged 60–69 years

Many participants expressed a sense of unfairness and perceived social judgement towards people with overweight. For some, this created internal conflict as they sought to counter assumptions, while simultaneously berating themselves for a lack of self-discipline. Others viewed their own ill-health as a cause of weight gain, not a consequence of long-term overweight. Four male participants with physically demanding jobs associated being big with being strong.

All participants reported having lost weight at some point in life, citing general health concerns or body image as motivating factors. Organised diet programmes and meal replacement products were identified as helpful in achieving significant weight loss by six participants. Others preferred to self-manage, drawing on calorie reduction and exercise advice from a variety of sources. Repeat cycles of dieting and weight regain were common across the participants. Loss of motivation was frequently cited as precipitating weight regain, often associated with relaxing diet regimes around holidays or weddings. Only one participant maintained her weight loss in the long term, which coincided with significant changes in her lifestyle and personal relationships.

Body as victim, body as cultprit illustrates participants' experiences of managing weight in a body over which they did not feel fully in control. The body was seen as a victim of illness and ageing, with AF conceptualised as an external aggressor to be kept at bay through medication and management of risk factors. Conversely, the body could play the role of culprit in the struggle to achieve a healthy weight, being predisposed to weight gain by 'genetic' factors or childhood eating habits. Two participants described food as an addiction, experiencing bodily

cravings which they lacked the psychological strength to overcome.

Broaching the subject

Broaching the subject explores participants' perceptions of consultations where weight management was discussed (or not) and relates to opportunity and motivation within COM-B. Participants indicated they would be open to discussing their weight within the context of a clinical consultation, but perceived healthcare professionals were reluctant to engage in frank discussion. Only one participant knew that overweight is a risk factor for developing AF, and none was aware of evidence for weightloss improving AF symptoms. 10 11 Many reported doctors 'mentioning' their weight, which became repetitive and irritating when not accompanied by specific explanations of the health risks, or offers of help. A male participant whose weight had increased since retirement expressed frustration at a perceived lack of practical advice and support from healthcare professionals:

The trouble is, it's all very well them saying "You should lose weight" but I couldn't. You know it's difficult to find proper sensible advice on how to do this. You know there are all these companies that set up but they're in there to make money.—Male, aged 70–79 years

Many appeared to deny that their health might be damaged by their overweight having never been unequivocally told. When healthcare professionals broached weight management but omitted to refer patients to relevant services, patients sometimes interpreted that their weight was not yet a cause for concern and that behaviour change could be postponed. Some reported requesting help, but that the sort of help they wanted was not available. Preferences varied widely, from very low calorie meal replacements, dietician review, cognitive behavioural therapy to bariatric surgery. The profusion of conflicting weight management advice in the media and suspicions about commercial motivations of providers discouraged some participants from committing.

Only one participant reported having a meaningful conversation about weight with her cardiologist. As a healthcare professional who described her lifelong overweight as a source of shame and anxiety, she saw this conversation as positive and constructive, despite feeling confronted.

That was a good conversation. Quite a scary one but a good one in that respect because no-one's actually said AF and weight—that's the first. And also that's the first time I've ever actually had that proper conversation about it—Female, aged 60–69 years

On discussion with participants of evidence linking weight loss with improvement in AF, all indicated this information would motivate them to persist with weight management.



DISCUSSION

Summary of findings

This is the first qualitative study to explore the weight management experiences of people with AF and overweight. Interviews with 12 participants were analysed using a COM-B framework to illuminate factors affecting sustained weight management. The analysis identified three main themes: being out of rhythm; doing the right thing and broaching the subject. The interplay between the themes and the elements of COM-B (figure 1) highlights areas where improvements in service provision and communication could support patients to initiate and persist with healthy behaviour change.

Participants in this study appeared unaware of the potential benefits of weight loss to manage AF. Furthermore, while all participants recognised overweight as a general health risk, most failed to make the association with their own cardiac health. Those who recognised the general health risk of overweight expressed self-blame and anger as their perceived weakness and lack of self-control to sustain weight loss.

The role of COM-B

COM-B provided a guide for the interviews and analysis, creating a framework for better understanding behaviour change. In this study, participants reported having previously lost weight by changing their behaviour, thus demonstrating their capacity, opportunity and motivation to do so. The complexity of sustaining changes is reflected in their subsequent weight regain.

The focus on participants' capabilities, opportunities and motivations uncovered various barriers to weight management including reduced physical activity due to AF symptoms, fear of damaging the heart, inadequate communication and support from healthcare professionals, knowledge gaps and lack of access to 'trusted' advice and weight management services. Identification of strategies to overcome these barriers could be guided by reference to the outer rings of the Behaviour Change Wheel, ¹⁵ for example, education and enablement of patients with AF through cardiac rehabilitation provision. ³²

Participants had all lost weight

Somewhat unexpectedly, participants universally reported successful weight-loss efforts—although rarely sustained in the longer term. This in contrast to the commonly held assumption of healthcare professionals that people with overweight are non-compliant with recommended health behaviours. The deed, research shows most people with overweight have tried or are trying to lose weight. Participants were demoralised by healthcare professionals failure to acknowledge weight loss, a finding consistent with previous studies of weight management.

AF symptoms interfered with weight management

The experience of AF symptoms impacted on participants' willingness to engage in regular exercise that

might contribute to weight management. Concerns about damaging the heart are unfounded, indeed studies have shown physical exercise reduces AF burden and improves quality of life.³⁶ Food was seen as a source of comfort during times of anxiety caused by AF symptoms, provoking resistance to weight management through calory-restriction alone. Several participants spoke of postponing weight-loss attempts until an anticipated future time when treatment would restore sinus rhythm. Advances in AF treatment are successfully restoring sinus rhythm in a greater number of patients,³⁷ but to rely solely on medical intervention as the solution would be misplaced. It would seem patients need to become true partners in their care, but that will require greater candour in consultations about lifestyle change as a recommended strategy to improve AF and overall health.³⁸

Participants did not associate AF with overweight

The findings indicate there were significant gaps in knowledge of AF risk factors. Reframing health communication to underscore the weight loss and AF message might serve to better motivate healthy behaviour change.

People who have experienced MI report fear of dying motivated subsequent weight-loss attempts. The participants who had experienced severe, sudden-onset AF described experiencing fear, vulnerability and disrupted self-image comparable with those who have had an MI. This fear did not translate into motivation to lose weight possibly because, unlike cardiovascular disease, AF is not widely associated with overweight in the public consciousness. ³⁹

Lack of awareness of the overweight-AF connection suggests communication of research findings is limited in consultations with patients. By comparison, a recent survey conducted at three hospitals in Belgium found nearly 70% (n=143) of participants were aware of the benefits of weight reduction for AF management. It would be valuable to examine if health messaging and advice are different and offer learning to enhance the content of consultations and/or pathways of care.

Getting the tone of weight conversations right is paramount

In common with the wider weight management literature, ³⁵ ⁴¹ participants in this study wanted frank, non-judgemental discussion focused on the health impact of overweight, positive reinforcement of steps they were currently taking, and referral to weight-loss support services. Advice from healthcare professionals was seen as 'trustworthy' while commercial services were often viewed with suspicion.

Shame, vulnerability and stigma are widely reported by people with obesity. 42 Perceptions that healthcare professionals judge patients negatively on the basis of their body size can be counterproductive to motivating engagement in weight management 35 43 44 and may lead to avoidance of healthcare encounters. 45 46 This may be amplified for people with AF in response to feelings of vulnerability due

to symptoms; a finding in this study echoing others that explored the experiences of people living with AF. 25 47

Healthcare professionals are encouraged to discuss weight opportunistically, 48 but many participants in this, and other weight management studies, 35 have little recollection of the subject being raised. Some participants interpreted this omission to mean their weight was not a concern, again supported in the literature.³⁵ Patients expect healthcare professionals to discuss their weight when it is clinically relevant, and feel let down when they do not,⁴¹ a sentiment echoed by some participants in this study. Opportunistic 'mentions' of weight and what they perceived as poor quality information left participants feeling irritated and patronised, especially when not backed up by explanations of the impact of weight loss on their health, congruent with other studies.³⁵ This dissonance between patient preferences and healthcare professionals' interactions may be due to clinicians' lack of skills when discussing weight management or fear of engendering a negative reaction.⁴⁹

Almost all participants in this study, similar to others, ³⁵ indicated a willingness to be referred to weight management services. Referral to cardiac rehabilitation has been shown to be motivational to patients with cardiovascular disease and overweight. ⁶⁸ Explaining the evidence-based benefits of weight loss to improving AF symptoms, and providing a referral might go some way to addressing the absence of control described in this study. This may offer some agency over both the *out of rhythm* body and prognosis.

Limitations

This study reflects only the views of people who were willing to discuss weight management as those who were unwilling declined the invitation to participate. The sample was homogeneous—all participants identified as white British. This represented the demographic profile of patients treated for AF at the study centre, and may limit generalisability.

The study was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic. Some participants noted that their responses to questions were affected by temporaneous restrictions placed on access to opportunities to exercise and socialise. COVID-19 social distancing precautions necessitated the use of telephone interviews for data capture. Historically, telephone interviews were considered inferior, but arguably they give control to the interviewee who is on their own territory. Telephone interviews provide visual anonymity, minimising perceived judgement based on physical appearance to which people living with overweight may be sensitised. The standard during the conduction of the conduction

Recommendations

The findings clearly identify the need to improve communication, particularly strengthening the signalling of important research-based evidence to patients where weight management might improve AF symptoms and disease progression. This message could be incorporated

in face-to-face discussions in outpatient clinic, patient information leaflets or posters displayed in waiting areas accompanied by details of weight-loss services available through the hospital and in the community.

Locally and more widely, healthcare professionals may benefit from additional training to increase confidence and efficacy in discussing weight with patients. Future research could investigate health education behaviour change among healthcare professionals by applying COM-B to identify gaps in their capability, opportunity and motivation to intervene.

Weight management advice needs to be reinforced with a referral. Options available to clinicians are limited and tend to involve primary care referrals (eg, NHS Digital Weight Management Programme, commercial weight management programmes). Extending access to these schemes to secondary care teams, along with greater involvement of the multidisciplinary team, could provide another opportunity to support patients with weight management.

CONCLUSIONS

This study highlights the importance of sensitive and thoughtful weight management discussion with patients with AF and the importance of sharing research findings about weight loss as a means of reducing symptom burden and reversing disease progression. Patients appeared open to difficult conversations about weight within health consultations and expected professionals to address this topic candidly with them. Offering positive feedback on weight management efforts, providing reassurance about the effect of exercise on AF and offering a referral to appropriate services are all ways in which healthcare professionals can promote weight management behaviour change. Skills training to augment healthcare professionals' confidence when discussing weight management may contribute to person-centred care within this population.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Dr Maria Clark for advice on use of the COM-B model. The authors would also like to thank Crad Allerton and Tony Elliott for providing patient and public involvement advice and Dr Kim Rajappan for providing clinical oversight.

Contributors RWB: conceptualisation; methodology; investigation; data curation; writing, original draft; project administration; funding acquisition. CB: conceptualisation; methodology; writing, review and editing; supervision; guarantor. AET: conceptualisation; methodology; writing, review and editing; supervision.

Funding This work was supported by Oxfordshire Health Services Research Committee (grant number 2020-1349).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. Refer to the 'Methods' section for further details.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Ethical approval was granted by London-Bromley Research Ethics Committee (20/L0/0356). The study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the principles of Good Clinical Practice. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.



Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available in a public, open access repository. Data are available at University of Birmingham UBIRA eData repository with a CC-BY licence DOI: https://doi.org/10.25500/edata.bham.00000851.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Rachel Wendy Bates http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5887-6801 Cara Bailey http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0865-9240 AE Topping http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0111-2341

REFERENCES

- 1 Powell-Wiley TM, Poirier P, Burke LE, et al. Obesity and cardiovascular disease: a scientific statement from the American heart association. Circulation 2021;143:e984–1010.
- 2 Gomadam PS, Douglas CJ, Sacrinty MT, et al. Degree and direction of change of body weight in cardiac rehabilitation and impact on exercise capacity and cardiac risk factors. Am J Cardiol 2016;117:580–4.
- 3 Ghosh S, Bouchard C. Convergence between biological, behavioural and genetic determinants of obesity. Nat Rev Genet 2017;18:731–48.
- 4 Garip G, Yardley L. A synthesis of qualitative research on overweight and obese people's views and experiences of weight management. Clin Obes 2011;1:110–26.
- 5 Greaves C, Poltawski L, Garside R, et al. Understanding the challenge of weight loss maintenance: a systematic review and synthesis of qualitative research on weight loss maintenance. Health Psychol Rev 2017;11:145–63.
- 6 Gallagher R, Kirkness A, Armari E, et al. Weight management issues and strategies for people with high cardiovascular risk undertaking an Australian weight loss program: a focus group study. Nurs Health Sci 2012:14:18–24.
- 7 Nadarajah S. A phenomenological study on lived experiences of PsychoSocio-Spiritual healing in cardiac rehabilitation patients, 2012. Available: http://hdl.handle.net/10713/2316
- 8 Kramer-Kile M. *Diet projects: a study of cardiac rehabilitation participants engaged in changing dietary practices.* Semantic Scholar, Corpus ID 149024773, 2013.
- 9 Andrade JG, Champagne J, Dubuc M, et al. Cryoballoon or radiofrequency ablation for atrial fibrillation assessed by continuous monitoring: a randomized clinical trial. Circulation 2019;140:1779–88.
- 10 Abed HS, Wittert GA, Leong DP, et al. Effect of weight reduction and cardiometabolic risk factor management on symptom burden and severity in patients with atrial fibrillation: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2013;310:2050–60.
- 11 Pathak RK, Middeldorp ME, Meredith M, et al. Long-term effect of goal-directed weight management in an atrial fibrillation cohort: a long-term follow-up study (legacy). J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;65:2159–69.
- 12 World Health Organisation. Obesity. Available: https://www.who.int/health-topics/obesity [Accessed 28 Jun 2021].
- 13 Cresswell J. Research Design Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 3rd Edition. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE, 2009: 3–21.
- 14 Michie S, van Stralen MM, West R. The behaviour change wheel: a new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implement Sci* 2011;6:42.
- 15 Michie S, Atkins L, West R. The Behaviour Change Wheel: A Guide to Designing Interventions. London: Silverback Publishing, 2014.

- 16 Bradbury-Jones C, Taylor J, Herber O. How theory is used and articulated in qualitative research: development of a new typology. Soc Sci Med 2014;120:135–41.
- 17 Thorne S, Jensen L, Kearney MH, et al. Qualitative metasynthesis: reflections on methodological orientation and ideological agenda. Qual Health Res 2004;14:1342–65.
- 18 McIntosh MJ, Morse JM. Situating and constructing diversity in semi-structured interviews. Glob Qual Nurs Res 2015;2:233339361559767.
- 19 Mitchell G. Use of interviews in nursing research. Nurs Stand 2015;29:44–8.
- 20 Whiting LS. Semi-structured interviews: guidance for novice researchers. *Nurs Stand* 2008;22:35–40.
- 21 Dorian P, Cvitkovic SS, Kerr CR, et al. A novel, simple scale for assessing the symptom severity of atrial fibrillation at the bedside: the CCS-SAF scale. Can J Cardiol 2006;22:383–6.
- 22 EuroQol Research Foundation. EuroQol EQ-5D-5L, 2017. Amsterdam. Available: https://euroqol.org/eq-5d-instruments/eq-5d-3l-about/
- 23 Ericsson K, Simon HA. Protocol Analysis: Verbal reports as data. Cambridge MA US: The MIT Press, 1993.
- 24 Bailey C, Kinghorn P, Orlando R. Using 'Think-Aloud' and interview data to explore patient and proxy completion of health and capability measures at the end of life. In: Coast J, ed. *Qualitative* methods for health economics. London, UK: Rowman & Littlefield, 2017: 231–44.
- 25 Zhang L, Gallagher R, Lowres N, et al. Using the 'Think Aloud' technique to explore quality of life issues during standard quality-of-life questionnaires in patients with atrial fibrillation. Heart Lung Circ 2017;26:150–6.
- 26 Carter N, Bryant-Lukosius D, DiCenso A, et al. The use of triangulation in qualitative research. Oncol Nurs Forum 2014;41:545–7.
- 27 Peshkin A. In Search of subjectivity One's own. *Educational Researcher* 1988;17:17–21.
- 28 Bradbury-Jones C. Enhancing rigour in qualitative health research: exploring subjectivity through Peshkin's I's. J Adv Nurs 2007;59:290–8.
- 29 Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. Int J Qual Health Care 2007;19:349–57.
- 30 Saldaña J. *The coding manual for qualitative researchers*. 3rd ed. Los Angeles: SAGE, 2015: 188–93.
- 31 Magnussen C, Niiranen TJ, Ojeda FM, et al. Sex differences and similarities in atrial fibrillation epidemiology, risk factors, and mortality in community cohorts. *Circulation* 2017;136:1588–97.
- Williamson TM, Wilton S, Arena R. Abstract P215: a qualitative exploration of barriers and facilitators to a cardiac Rehabilitationbased weight loss program for patients with atrial fibrillation and obesity. Circulation 2022;145. [Epub ahead of print: Published first online 7 April 2022].
- 33 Phelan SM, Burgess DJ, Yeazel MW. Obesity stigma and patient care. *Obes Rev* 2015;16:319–26.
- 34 Piernas C, Aveyard P, Jebb SA. Recent trends in weight loss attempts: repeated cross-sectional analyses from the health survey for England. *Int J Obes* 2016;40:1754–9.
- 35 Ananthakumar T, Jones NR, Hinton L, et al. Clinical encounters about obesity: systematic review of patients' perspectives. Clin Obes 2020;10:e12347.
- 36 Elliott AD, Mahajan R, Pathak RK, et al. Exercise training and atrial fibrillation. *Circulation* 2016;133:457–9.
- 37 Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, et al. 2016 ESC guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2016;50:e1–88.
- 38 Dineen-Griffin S, Garcia-Cardenas V, Williams K, et al. Helping patients help themselves: a systematic review of self-management support strategies in primary health care practice. PLoS One 2019;14:e0220116.
- 39 American Heart Association. Who is at risk for atrial fibrillation? Available: https://www.heart.org/en/health-topics/atrial-fibrillation/who-is-at-risk-for-atrial-fibrillation-af-or-afib [Accessed 28 Jan 2021].
- 40 Delesie M, Desteghe L, Bertels M, et al. Motivation of overweight patients with atrial fibrillation to lose weight or to follow a weight loss management program: a cross-sectional study. Acta Cardiol 2021;76:494–503.
- 41 Malterud K, Ulriksen K. Obesity, stigma, and responsibility in health care: a synthesis of qualitative studies. *Int J Qual Stud Health Well-being* 2011;6. doi:10.3402/qhw.v6i4.8404. [Epub ahead of print: 22 11 2011].



- 42 Ogden J, Clementi C. The experience of being obese and the many consequences of stigma. *J Obes* 2010;2010. doi:10.1155/2010/429098. [Epub ahead of print: 25 03 2010].
- 43 Albury C, Hall A, Syed A, et al. Communication practices for delivering health behaviour change conversations in primary care: a systematic review and thematic synthesis. BMC Fam Pract 2019;20:111.
- 44 Williams O, Annandale E. Obesity, stigma and reflexive embodiment: Feeling the 'weight' of expectation. Health 2020;24:421–41. doi:10.1177/1363459318812007
- 45 Albury C, Strain WD, Brocq SL, et al. The importance of language in engagement between health-care professionals and people living with obesity: a joint consensus statement. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2020;8:447–55.
- 46 Ratansi Z. How to discuss weight loss with patients and provide ongoing support, 2020. GP. Available: https://www.gponline.com/

- discuss-weight-loss-patients-provide-ongoing-support/obesity/obesity/article/1669445
- 47 Stridsman M, Strömberg A, Hendriks J, et al. Patients' experiences of living with atrial fibrillation: a mixed methods study. Cardiol Res Pract 2019;2019:6590358.
- 48 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Obesity prevention Clinical guideline [CG43]. London; 2015. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg43
- 49 Dewhurst A, Peters S, Devereux-Fitzgerald A, et al. Physicians' views and experiences of discussing weight management within routine clinical consultations: a thematic synthesis. Patient Educ Couns 2017;100:897–908.
- 50 Cachia M, Millward L. The telephone medium and semi-structured interviews: a complementary fit. *Qual Res Organ Manag* 2011:6:265–77.

HIWeiMan-AF Example questions for semi-structured interviews

Example questions

Capability:

"I see that in the category "Usual Activities" you have answered "I have slight problems doing my usual activities" - can you tell me a bit about what your usual activities include? What do you consider the reasons for these problems?"

"In the Self Care category, you have responded that you have no problems in washing and dressing yourself. Are there any other areas of your self-care that you feel have been affected by your health problems e.g. cooking for yourself, doing exercise"

"In the Pain/Discomfort category you have responded that you have no pain or discomfort. I'm interested to know whether your AF symptoms such as breathlessness affect your ability to do physical exercise." If affirmative response, follow up with "How does that feel to you?"

Opportunity

"Thinking about your Usual Activities, are there things that you used to do or would like to do if you had the chance?"

"If you were to try to increase your physical exercise levels, how would you do that?" If no suggestions forthcoming prompt with "Are there any exercise classes at your local community centre?" or "Are there any parks or nice places to go for a walk around where you live?" or "Do you and your partner/family/friends do any activities together"?

Motivation

"In Anxiety/Depression you have responded that you feel moderately anxious or depressed. Is there anything in particular causing this?" If response includes diagnosis of AF or related symptoms, explore further with "Can you explain to me your understanding of your heart condition? How does that affect you?"

"What sort of information and advice have you been given by health care professionals about managing your AF symptoms? How did you feel about this?"

COREQ (COnsolidated criteria for REporting Qualitative research) Checklist

A checklist of items that should be included in reports of qualitative research. You must report the page number in your manuscript where you consider each of the items listed in this checklist. If you have not included this information, either revise your manuscript accordingly before submitting or note N/A.

Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity Personal characteristics Interviewer/Facilitator Credentials 2 What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD 7 Gender 4 Was the researcher shade or female? 7 Gender 4 Was the researcher male or female? 7 Gender 4 Was the researcher male or female? 7 Gender 8 What experience or training did the researcher have? Relationship with porticipants Participant knowledge of 7 What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research Interviewer 8 Gender 9 What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic Domain 2: Study design 7 Theoretical framework Methodological orientation and Theory grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis content analysis content analysis ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis email participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, email email participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball Method of approach 11 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball Method of approach 11 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball Method of approach 11 How were participants selected? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email email participants of data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. fhome, clinic, workplace Presence of non-participants 1 How many participants were in the study? 1 How many people refused to participant or dropped out? Reasons? 2 How many people refused to participants and researchers? 3 Setting of data collection 4 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace Presence of non-participants 1 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace Presence of non	Topic	Item No.	Guide Questions/Description	Reported on
Personal characteristics Interviewer/facilitator Credentials 2 What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD 7 Occupation 3 What was their occupation at the time of the study? 7 Relationship with Participant knowledge of the interviewer of the interviewer of the study? 7 Relationship with participants because the interviewer of the study? 7 Relationship with participants because the study occupation at the time of the study? 7 Relationship with participants because the researcher participants in the study? 7 Relationship with participants which is a relationship established prior to study commencement? 7 Relationship with participants know about the researcher have? 8 Relationship established 6 Was a relationship established prior to study commencement? 7 What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research Interviewer characteristics 8 What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic Domain 2: Study design Theoretical framework Methodological orientation 9 What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis Participant selection Sampling 10 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball 4 Method of approach 11 How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email 4 Sample size 12 How many perticipants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email 4 Setting 5 Setting 6 Setting 7 Presence of non- participants 10 Where was the data collected? e.g., home, clinic, workplace 10 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date 10 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date 11 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? 12 Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? 14 Descript				Page No.
Personal characteristics Tamber T				
Interviewer/facilitator 1 Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group? 7 Credentials 2 What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD 7 Cocupation 3 What was their occupation at the time of the study? 5 Experience and training 5 What experience or training did the researcher have? 8 Everience and training the participants in the study of the interviewer characteristics 8 What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research 1 Interviewer characteristics 8 What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic Domain 2: Study design Theoretical framework Methodological orientation Abethodological orientation and theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis Participant selection Sampling 10 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball Method of approach 11 How were participants were in the study? Non-participantion 13 How many participants were in the study? Non-participants 5 Setting 5 Setting 5 Setting 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace Presence of non- participants 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date Data collection 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date Data collection 17 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? 18 Were repeal interviews carried out? If yes, how many? 7 A. 10 Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research returned to participants for comment and/or 7 A. 10 Audio/visual recording 19 Did the researcher interview or focus group? 10 Audio/visual recording 10 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? 10 Audio/visual recording 11 What was the duration of the inter view or focus group? 12 Audio/visual recording 13 Were transcripts returned to participants for				7
Credentials 2 What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD 7 Occupation 3 What was their occupation at the time of the study? 7 Experience and training 5 What experience or training did the researcher have? Relationship with participants what great is a state of the participants relationship established prior to study commencement? 7 Participant knowledge of 7 What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research interviewer directly a spale, reasons for doing the research interviewer characteristics 8 What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Blas, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic Domain 2: Study design Theoretical framework Methodological orientation and Theory content analysis Participant selection Sampling 10 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email Method of approach 11 How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email Method of approach 13 How many participants were in the study? Non-participation 13 How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? 4 Setting 5 Setting 5 Setting of data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace Presence of non-participants and researchers? 7 Participants Description of sample 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date		1	Which author/a and usted the interview of factor and	7
Occupation 3 What was their occupation at the time of the study? 7 Gender 4 Was the researcher male or female? 7 Experience and training 5 What experience or training did the researcher have? 7 Relationship with porticipants 8 Relationship established 6 Was a relationship established prior to study commencement? 8 Participant knowledge of 7 What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research the interviewer and training 3 what characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic 9 Domain 2: Study design 7 Theoretical framework 8 Methodological orientation 9 What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis consecutive, snowball 4 Method of approach 11 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball 4 Method of approach 11 How were participants were in the study? 9 Mon-participation 13 How many participants were in the study? 1 Setting 5 Setting 6 data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g., home, clinic, workplace 9 Presence of non- 15 Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? 7 Data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g., home, clinic, workplace 9 Presence of non- 15 Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? 7 Description of sample 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date 9 Data collection 14 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? 19 Did the research to use audio or visual recording to collect the data? 19 Did the research to use audio or visual recording to collect the data? 19 Did the research to use audio or visual recording to collect the data? 19 Did the research to use audio or visual recording to collect th	•			
Gender 4 Was the researcher male or female? 7 Experience and training 5 What experience or training did the researcher have? 7 Experience and training 5 What experience or training did the researcher have? 7 Relationship with 7 Participants 8 Relationship established 6 Was a relationship established prior to study commencement? 7 Participant knowledge of 7 What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal 7 Interviewer characteristics 8 What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? 6 E.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic 8 Pomain 2: Study design 7 Theoretical framework 7 Methodological orientation 9 What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. 8 and Theory 9 grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis 9 Participant selection 10 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball 10 How were participants seproached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email 10 How were participants were in the study? 10 How were participants were in the study? 10 How many participants were in the study? 10 How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? 10 How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? 10 How many people refused to participants and researchers? 10 How participants 10 How many people refused to participants and researchers? 10 How participants 10				7
Experience and training 5 What experience or training did the researcher have? Relationship with participants subsished 6 Was a relationship established prior to study commencement? Participant knowledge of 7 What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research liter interviewer characteristics 8 What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic Domain 2: Study design Theoretical framework Methodological orientation 9 What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis Participant selection Sampling 10 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball Method of approach 11 How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email 4 Sample size 12 How many participants were in the study? 5 Setting 5 Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? 5 Setting 6 data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace 7 Presence of non- 15 Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? 7 Presence of non- 15 Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? 7 Presence of non- 15 Was anyone else present besides the participants of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date 7 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? 8 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? 7 Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? 7 Presence of non- 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? 7 Presence of non- 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? 7 Presence of non- 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? 7 Presence of non- 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? 8 Presence of non- 21 What was the durat				7
Relationship with porticipants Relationship established 6 Was a relationship established prior to study commencement? Participant knowledge of 7 What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research Interviewer characteristics 8 What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic Domain 2: Study design Theoretical fromework Methodological orientation 9 What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis Participant selection Sampling 10 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball 4 Method of approach 11 How were participants selected? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email Sample size 12 How many participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email 4 Sample size 12 How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? 4 Setting 5 Setting of data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace Presence of non- participants 15 Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? 7 Participants 20 Data collection 17 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? Repeat interviews 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? 7 Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? 7 The data saturation 21 Was data saturation of the inter views or focus group? 7 The data collection 21 Was data saturation of the inter views or focus group? 7 The data saturation 22 Was data saturation of the inter views or focus group? 7 The data collection 21 Was data saturation of the inter views or focus group? 7 The data collection 21 Was data saturation of the inter views or focus group? 7 The data collection 22 Was data saturation of the inter views or focus group? 7 The data co				/
Relationship established 6 Was a relationship established prior to study commencement? Participant knowledge of 7 What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research Interviewer characteristics 8 What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic Domain 2: Study design Theoretical framework Methodological orientation 9 What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis Participant selection Sampling 10 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball Method of approach 11 How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email Sample size 12 How many participants were in the study? Non-participation 13 How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? Setting Setting 5 Setting 5 Setting 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date Dato collection 14 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? Repeat interview guide 17 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? Repeat interviews 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? 7, 10, and collection 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? Repeat interviews 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? 7, 10, and 10, what was the duration of the inter view or focus group? NA Pouration 21 What was the duration of the inter view or focus group? 7 Data saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? 7 Transcripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 7		5	what experience or training did the researcher have?	
Relationship established 6 Was a relationship established prior to study commencement? Participant knowledge of the interviewer goals, reasons for doing the research goals, reasons for doing the research interviewer characteristics 8 What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic Domain 2: Study design Theoretical framework Methodological orientation and Theory grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis Participant selection Sampling 10 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball 4 Method of approach 11 How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email Sample size 12 How many participants were in the study? Non-participation 13 How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? 4 Setting of data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace Presence of non-participants Description of sample 16 Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? 7 Participants 18 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? Repeat interviews 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? 7, 10 Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? Field notes 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? NA Duration 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? 7 Data saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? 7 Tanscripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 7	•			
Participant knowledge of the interviewer goals, reasons for doing the research goals, reasons for doing the research goals, reasons for doing the research interviewer characteristics 8 What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic interviewer characteristics of the sample? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic interview guide interview guide interviews and interests in the research topic interview guide interviews and interests in the research topic interview goals, reasons and interests in the research topic interview or focus group? In the research topic interview goals, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic interview goals, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic interview goals interview goals interview goals interview in the study? In the research goals interview goals interviews and goals interview goals interview goals interviews and goals interview goals interviews goals interviews and goals interview goals interviews goals interviews and goals interview goals interviews goals interviews goals goals interview goals go			West and the selection of the selection	7
the interviewer linterviewer goals, reasons for doing the research linterviewer characteristics 8 What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? e.g. Blas, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic Pomain 2: Study design Theoretical framework Methodological orientation 9 What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis Participant selection Sampling 10 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball 4 How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email 4 How many participants were in the study? Sample size 12 How many participants were in the study? Setting 5 Setting of data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace Presence of non- 15 Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? 7 participants Description of sample 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date Data collection 17 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? Repeat interviews 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? 7 Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? Puration 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? 7 Data saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? 8 Transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 7 Data saturation 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 7 Data saturation 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 7 Data saturation 25 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 7 Data saturation 25 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 7 Data saturation 25 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 7 Data saturation 25 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 7 Data saturation 25 Were transc	<u> </u>			7
Interviewer characteristics 8 What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic 7, 14, 5	·	'		'
e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic Domain 2: Study design Theoretical framework				7 44 4-
Domain 2: Study design Theoretical framework What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis Participant selection Sampling 10 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball 4 Method of approach 11 How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email 4 Sample size 12 How many participants were in the study? Mon-participation 13 How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? 4 Setting Settin	interviewer characteristics	8		/, 14, 15
Methodological orientation and Theory 9 What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis 4 Participant selection 4 4 Sampling 10 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball 4 Method of approach 11 How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email 4 Sample size 12 How many participants were in the study? 4 Non-participation 13 How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? 4 Setting 5 Setting of data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace 7 Presence of non-participants 15 Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? 7 Persence of non-participants 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date 7 Description of sample 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date 7 Data collection 17 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? <th< td=""><td>Damain 2. Charles de des</td><td></td><td>e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic</td><td></td></th<>	Damain 2. Charles de des		e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	
Methodological orientation and Theory grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis Participant selection Sampling 10 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball 4 Method of approach 11 How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email 4 Sample size 12 How many participants were in the study? Non-participation 13 How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? 4 Setting 5 Setting of data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace 7 Presence of non-participants 9 Description of sample 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date 9 Data collection 17 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? 8 Repeat interviews 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? 7 Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? 7 Field notes 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? 7 Data saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? 8 Transcripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 7 Sample size 4 4 Description of sample 15 How many participants were in the study? 15 4 Description of sample 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date 9 Field notes 10 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? 17 Non-participants 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? 7 Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? 19 Field notes 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? 7 Data saturation 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? 7 Data saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? 7 Field notes 19 Field notes 1				
and Theory grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis Participant selection Sampling 10 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball Method of approach 11 How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email 4 Sample size 12 How many participants were in the study? Non-participation 13 How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? 4 Setting 5 Setting 5 Setting 6 data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace Presence of non-participants were in the study? 7 Presence of non- 15 Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? 7 Participants 7 Description of sample 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date 7 Data collection 6, 10 Interview guide 17 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? 7 Repeat interviews 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? 7, 10 Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? 7 Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? 7 Duration 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? 7 Data saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? 7 Transcripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 7		1 2	What washed a last a factor to the control of the c	
Content analysis Participant selection Sampling 10 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball Method of approach 11 How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email Sample size 12 How many participants were in the study? Non-participation 13 How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? Setting Setting Setting Setting of data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace Presence of non- participants Description of sample 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date Data collection Interview guide 17 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? Repeat interviews 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? Field notes 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? NA Data saturation 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? To bata saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? Transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 5 Setting 4		9		4
Participant selection Sampling 10 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball Method of approach 11 How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email 4 Sample size 12 How many participants were in the study? Non-participation 13 How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? 4 Setting 5 Setting of data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace Presence of non-participants Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? 7 Participants Description of sample 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date 6 Data collection 17 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? Repeat interviews 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? 7, 10 Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? Field notes 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? 7 Data saturation 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? 7 Data saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? 8 Transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 7	and Theory			+
Sampling 10 How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball Method of approach 11 How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email 4 Sample size 12 How many participants were in the study? Non-participation 13 How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? 4 Setting 5 Setting of data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace 7 Presence of non-participants	Dankining andI		content analysis	
Consecutive, snowball 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10	I.,	
Method of approach 11 How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email Sample size 12 How many participants were in the study? Non-participation 13 How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? Setting Setting Setting of data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace Presence of non-participants Description of sample 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date Data collection Interview guide 17 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? Repeat interviews 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? Field notes 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? Data saturation 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? Tanscripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 5	Sampling	10		
email Sample size 12 How many participants were in the study? Non-participation 13 How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? 5 Setting Setting of data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace Presence of non- participants Description of sample 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date Data collection Interview guide 17 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? Repeat interviews 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? Field notes 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? Data saturation 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? Transcripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 5 Audio/visual recording to collect the data? Visual recordin			·	4
Sample size 12 How many participants were in the study? Non-participation 13 How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? 4 Setting Setting of data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace 7 Presence of non-participants 8 Description of sample 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date 7 Interview guide 17 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? Repeat interviews 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? 7, 10 Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? Field notes 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? 7 Data saturation 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? 7 Data saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? 8 Transcripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 7 5	Method of approach	11		
Non-participation 13 How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? 5 Setting Setting of data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace 7 Presence of non-participants				4
Setting of data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace Presence of non-participants			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4
Setting of data collection 14 Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace Presence of non-participants 15 Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? 7 Participants 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date 17 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? 8 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? 7, 10 Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? 9 Field notes 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? 19 Data saturation 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? 7 Data saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? 8 Transcripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 5		13	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	
Presence of non- participants Description of sample 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date Data collection				5
Description of sample 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date Data collection Interview guide 17 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? Repeat interviews 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? Field notes 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? NA Duration 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? Tanscripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 5			- :	
Description of sample 16 What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date Data collection Interview guide 17 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? Repeat interviews 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? Field notes 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? NA Duration 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? Transcripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 5		15	was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	7
data, date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			7
Interview guide 17 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? Repeat interviews 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? Field notes 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? NA Duration 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? 7 Data saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? Transcripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 5	Description of sample	16		/
Interview guide 17 Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? Repeat interviews 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? Field notes 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? NA Duration 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? 7 Data saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? Transcripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 5	Data callection		data, date	0.10
tested? Repeat interviews 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? Field notes 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? Duration 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? Data saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? Transcripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 5		1 47	Warrange and a state of the sta	6, 10
Repeat interviews 18 Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? Field notes 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? Duration 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? Data saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? Transcripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 5	interview guide	1/		
Audio/visual recording 19 Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? Field notes 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? NA Duration 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? 7 Data saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? Transcripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 5	Daniel intend	40		
Field notes 20 Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? NA Duration 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? 7 Data saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? Transcripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 5				7, 10
Duration 21 What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? 7 Data saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? 8 Transcripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 7 5				NA
Data saturation 22 Was data saturation discussed? Transcripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 7 5				
Transcripts returned 23 Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or 5				
5				8
	Transcripts returned	23	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or	7
				5
				8

Topic	Item No.	Guide Questions/Description	Reported on	
			Page No.	
		correction?		
Domain 3: analysis and				
findings			8	
Data analysis				
Number of data coders	24	How many data coders coded the data?	0	
Description of the coding	25	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	8	
tree			8	
Derivation of themes	26	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	8	
Software	27	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?		
Participant checking	28	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?		
Reporting			10-13	
Quotations presented	29	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings?		
		Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	10-13	
Data and findings consistent	30	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	10-13	
Clarity of major themes	31	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	11, 12,	13
Clarity of minor themes	32	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	<u> </u>	

Developed from: Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357

Once you have completed this checklist, please save a copy and upload it as part of your submission. DO NOT include this checklist as part of the main manuscript document. It must be uploaded as a separate file.