

The role of social risk factors and engagement with maternity services in ethnic disparities in maternal mortality

Cosstick, Eleanor ; Nirmal, Rachel; Cross-Sudworth, Fiona; Knight, Marian; Kenyon, Sara

DOI:

[10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101587](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101587)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Cosstick, E, Nirmal, R, Cross-Sudworth, F, Knight, M & Kenyon, S 2022, 'The role of social risk factors and engagement with maternity services in ethnic disparities in maternal mortality: a retrospective case note review', *EClinicalMedicine*, vol. 52, 101587. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101587>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

The role of social risk factors and engagement with maternity services in ethnic disparities in maternal mortality: A retrospective case note review

Eleanor Cosstick,^{a,1} Rachel Nirmal,^{a,1} Fiona Cross-Sudworth,^b Marian Knight,^c and Sara Kenyon^{b*}

^aUniversity of Birmingham Medical School, Birmingham B15 2TH, UK

^bInstitute of Applied Healthcare, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

^cNational Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7LF, UK

Summary

Background Reasons for ethnic disparities in maternal death in the UK are unclear and may be explained by differences in social risk factors and engagement with maternity services.

Methods In this retrospective systematic case note review, we used anonymised medical records from MBRRACE-UK for all Other than White, and White European/Other women plus a random sample of White British/Irish women who died in pregnancy or up to 1 year afterwards from 01/01/2015 to 12/31/2017. We used a standardised data extraction tool developed from a scoping review to explore social risk factors and engagement with maternity services.

Findings Of 489 women identified, 219 were eligible for the study and 196 case notes were reviewed, including 103/119 from Other than White groups, 33/37 White European/Other and a random sample of 60/333 White British/Irish. The presence of three or more social risk factors was 11.7% (12/103) in Other than White women, 18.2% (6/33) for White European/Other women and 36.7% (22/60) in White British/Irish women. Across all groups engagement with maternity services was good with 85.5% (148/196) receiving the recommended number of antenatal appointments as was completion of antenatal mental health assessment (123/173, 71.1%). 15.5% (16/103) of Other than White groups had pre-existing co-morbidities and 51.1% (47/92) had previous pregnancy problems while women across White ethnic groups had 3.2% (3/93) and 33.3% (27/81) respectively. Three or more unscheduled healthcare attendances occurred in 60.0% (36/60) of White British/Irish, 39.4% (13/33) in White European/Other and 35.9% (37/103) of Other than White women. Evidence of barriers to following healthcare advice was identified for a fifth of all women. None of the 17 women who required an interpreter received appropriate provision at all key points throughout their maternity care.

Interpretation Neither increased social risk factors or barriers to engagement with maternity services appear to underlie disparities in maternal mortality. Management of complex social factors and interpreter services need improvement.

Funding National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration West Midlands.

Copyright Crown Copyright © 2022 Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

Keywords: Ethnic minorities; Maternal death; Risk factors; Access to maternity services; Interpreter services; Maternal co-morbidities

eClinicalMedicine

2022;52: 101587

Published online xxx

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclim.2022.101587>

eclim.2022.101587

Introduction

A maternal death is conventionally defined as a death of a woman during or within 42 days of the end of pregnancy due to an associated or exacerbated cause.¹ The significance of late maternal deaths, occurring after 42 days but within a year following pregnancy, is increasingly recognised and being investigated.^{2,3}

*Corresponding author at: Institute of Applied Healthcare, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK.

E-mail address: s.kenyon@bham.ac.uk (S. Kenyon).

¹ First authors.

Research in context

Evidence before this study

MBRRACE-UK Confidential Enquiries, which utilise tried and tested methodology of multidisciplinary groups of senior clinicians systematically reviewing a defined set of deaths to assess care quality and support future practice, additionally identified that British Black women are four times more likely, and Asian women twice as likely, to die during or after pregnancy than White women. This is similar to other high-income country findings. Other than White women have also sometimes received a poorer quality of care than White women. While reasons for maternal mortality disparity are unclear, issues with lack of access or engagement with maternity services, such as late booking and not attending appointments, as well as multiple physical, obstetric or social risk factors have been linked to higher mortality rates.

Added value of this study

This study was a retrospective systematic review of medical records of a sample of the women who died 2015–2017 in the UK using a data extraction tool developed from a scoping review. While review of medical records has limitations, neither increased social risk factors or access and engagement with maternity services appear to underlie ethnic disparities in maternal death rates. These factors appear to be most frequently seen in White British women.

Implications of all the available evidence

Management of complex social factors and provision of interpreter services are targets for improvement and could potentially reduce maternal deaths across all ethnic groups. Further research into reasons for the disparity in ethnicity and maternal mortality is urgently needed, and should focus on how best to address clinical, social and cultural complexity and providing individualised care.

Maternal deaths in high income countries, including the UK, are uncommon.^{4,5} Recent figures indicate a maternal mortality rate of 8.8 per 100,000 maternities in the UK.⁶ The most recent Confidential Enquiry reviewed all maternal deaths from 2017 to 2019 and reported that Black women in the UK were four times more likely, and Asian women almost twice as likely, to die during or shortly after pregnancy than white women.⁶ Similar ethnic differences in maternal mortality rates have been reported over a number of years yet the reasons for such disparities remain unclear.⁷

There is some evidence to suggest that access to and engagement with maternity services is problematic for ethnic minority women in the UK, and that Other than White groups are more likely to access antenatal care late.^{8,9} However, the causes and prevalence of such barriers amongst women who died is not known.

The MBRRACE-UK report published in 2020 reported that 90% of women who died during or within a year after pregnancy experienced a “constellation of biases”.⁶ These include having physical and mental health problems, delayed antenatal care, being non-English speaking, and complex social factors such as domestic abuse, smoking, and unemployment. All of these factors directly relate to or can affect access and engagement with maternity services across ethnic groups.⁶

Uniquely, this study aimed to systemically review the anonymised medical records of a sample of women who died, during or within one year of pregnancy, between 2015 and 2017, to explore whether social risk factors and barriers to access and engagement with maternity services as documented within maternal case notes could underlie these disparities in maternal mortality.

Methods

An initial literature scope identified access and engagement barriers faced by Other than White groups using maternity services in the UK. This informed the development of a standardised data extraction tool to systematically review anonymised notes of women from Other than White groups, White British/Irish and White European/Other women, who died during or up to a year following pregnancy in the UK from 2015–2017.

Literature review

We searched MEDLINE and CINAHL databases using a search strategy developed from key words and synonyms for Black or Asian, maternity care and access (see Supplementary Table S1). Searches were limited to UK, peer-reviewed, English-language articles published from 01 01 2010 to 06 02 2020.

All article types were included as the search aims were explorative. Relevant articles included an outcome of access or engagement with maternity services and analysis or sub-group analysis for a Black or Asian population, or a population made up of a Black or Asian majority. Two independent researchers screened titles, abstracts and full texts against pre-defined eligibility criteria (see Supplementary Table S2) with queries resolved by discussions involving a third researcher.

Initial MEDLINE and CINAHL searches obtained 55 articles. Following removal of duplicates and screening of results, 18 articles remained eligible for review. The full texts for two articles were unavailable so a total of 16 articles were reviewed. The stages and reasons for exclusion plus study characteristics and relevant findings of included articles into Supplementary Tables are both recorded in supporting information (see Supplementary Figure 1 and Table S3).

Of 16 included articles, half were qualitative studies with interview or focus group methodologies, six retrospective analyses of survey-based or routinely collected data, one Q methodology study and one review article. Issues identified included language barriers, problems with interpreters, cultural barriers, and inadequate knowledge of maternity services (from not being UK citizens), as well as complex social, physical and mental health needs, which were inadequately addressed.^{10–23} A number of factors contributed to later booking, fewer appointments and dissatisfaction with care amongst Black and Asian women.^{10,12,13,15,17–22,24,25} A summary of the key literature findings is included in Supplementary Table S4.

Development of the standardised data extraction tool

Literature review findings informed the majority of items in the data extraction tool. Input was also obtained through screening of relevant guidelines. Complex social factors (detailed in Box 1), as defined by the 2018 Revolving Doors Agency and Birth Complications report on the perinatal experiences of women facing multiple disadvantage (defined as three or more complex social factors), were also included.²⁶ Following piloting and minor revisions, the final version of the data extraction form can be seen in Supplementary Table S5.

Box 1 Complex social risk factors

Revolving Doors Agency and Birth Complications²⁶ report list of complex social factors of multiple disadvantage

- Domestic violence or abuse
- Substance misuse
- Mental health issues
- Criminal justice involvement
- Homelessness
- Young age (under 20 years)
- Physical disability
- Learning difficulty
- Significant financial need
- Recent migrant (less than 1 year in UK)
- Unable to speak or understand English
- Social services involvement

Medical record review

Anonymised medical records for women who died during or within one year following pregnancy from 2015 to 2017 (inclusive) were examined. We included the complete cohort from Other than White groups ($n = 119$) and reviewed case notes from 103 women, including those of mixed Black or Asian ethnicities. We included the complete cohort of White European/Other women ($n = 37$) who died as they may face language barriers and not be familiar with the UK health system.²⁷ and reviewed case notes from 33. The remaining 60 White women who died and whose records were examined were randomly sampled using a computer-based random number generator from the 333 White British/

Irish maternal deaths (2015–2017), making this the largest group of a single ethnicity.

These records were used to collect data on items included in the standardised extraction form for each woman. Two independent researchers reviewed the medical records and extracted data for each woman who died. Queries regarding interpretation of medical records were resolved by discussions, re-examination of records and consultation with a third reviewer.

Analysis

Data for each item on the extraction tool from all cases were entered into an Excel spreadsheet. Frequencies and percentages were calculated for background characteristics of the women who died, features of their deaths and the care they received.

Women's ethnicities were grouped according to UK census categorisation.²⁸ In line with guidance on writing about ethnicity, instead of using the terms Black, Asian and other Ethnic minority (BAME/BME) we refer to these women as from Other than White groups.²⁹ Comorbidities (such as cardiac conditions, epilepsy, cancer) or previous pregnancy problems (such as intra-uterine death, post-partum haemorrhage, gestational diabetes) were considered significant if they required referral for obstetrician-led care.

Social risk factor information was identified by healthcare professionals' documentation in the case notes such as tickbox checklists, appointment summaries or letters. This information usually originated from women often at the initial booking into maternity care appointment as part of a routine assessment but may also have been added to or amended at later points. Complex social factors were defined using the Revolving Doors Agency and Birth Complications report described in Box 1.²⁶ These were deemed appropriately addressed where they were identified and discussed or referred to support services by maternity care providers.

Late booking was defined according to the NHS key performance indicator recommending that antenatal assessment should occur before 13 weeks.³⁰ Whether or not women received the minimum number of recommended antenatal visits was determined by comparing the number of routine visits to the National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) antenatal care guidance (ten for nulliparous and seven for multiparous women),³¹ taking into account gestational age at birth and death. It was not possible to objectively quantify the number of women who received a minimum standard of postnatal care as NICE do not set out a standard schedule for postnatal visits but recommend local planning and individualised care strategies.³² Screening was defined as routine NHS recommended blood tests and/or ultrasound scans for both maternal and fetal conditions.³³ Routine mental health assessment should be undertaken in both the antenatal and postnatal periods

and current NICE guidelines suggest using the Whoo-ley questions.³⁴

Details of ethics approval

Identifiable MBRRACE-UK data were collected in England and Wales without consent with approval of the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care under Section 251 of the NHS Act 2006 (15/CAG/0119). Data were collected in Scotland without consent with approval from the Public Benefit and Privacy Panel for Health and Social Care (1920–0131). Identifiable information was not provided from Northern Ireland. The legal basis for this activity is Article 6 (1)(e) and Article 9 (2)(i) under the General Data Protection Regulation. All MBRRACE-UK information was anonymised prior to use for research.

Permission to access anonymised MBRRACE-UK data was obtained from the Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership (HQIP) for the purpose of this study. Ethical approval for the study was granted by the University of Birmingham Internal Research Ethics Committee (IREC) on 29.01.2020 (Reference: IREC2019/ 1646192) and 25.01.2021 (Reference: IREC2020/1762770).

Role of the funding source

The funder of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. All authors had access to the data

and accept responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results

Maternal characteristics

Of the 219 maternal deaths, medical records were unavailable for 20 women. These were records of women who died in the late postnatal period whose relevant records were not able to be obtained from hospitals by MBRRACE UK. Three of the women in the White European/Other group had been incorrectly classified and were also excluded making a total of 23/219 (10.5%). Thus, our final sample comprised 103/119 women from Other than White groups and 93 White women, of whom 33/37 were White European/Other and 60/63 were White British/Irish (see [Figure 1](#) for details).

Black African was the commonest ethnicity amongst Black women (see [Table 1](#) for maternal characteristics). Pakistani was the commonest ethnicity among Asian women. The majority of women from Other than White groups (63/103, 61.2%) were UK citizens, although citizenship was unknown for over a tenth (14/103, 13.6%). Most White European/Other women were European Union (EU) Citizens (24/33, 72.7%).

The majority of women from Other than White groups were multiparous (68/103, 66.0%). Obesity (BMI $\geq 30\text{kg/m}^2$) was identified in 38.3% (23/60) of the




Figure 1. Flow-chart of women who died in 2015–2017 per ethnic group and those whose medical records were reviewed.

			White British/Irish women <i>n</i> = 60 (%) ^a	European/Other women <i>n</i> = 33 (%) ^a	Other than White women <i>n</i> = 103 (%) ^a
Ethnicity	Black or Black	Caribbean	N/A	N/A	11 (10.7)
		British	N/A	N/A	33 (32)
	Asian	India	N/A	N/A	14 (13.6)
		Pakistani	N/A	N/A	20 (19.4)
	White	Bangladeshi	N/A	N/A	4 (3.9)
		Chinese	N/A	N/A	4 (3.9)
		Other Asian Background	N/A	N/A	5 (4.9)
		British/Irish	60 (100)	N/A	N/A
		Other	N/A	33 (100)	N/A
	Mixed	White & Black Caribbean	N/A	N/A	4 (3.9)
		White & Black African	N/A	N/A	3 (2.9)
		White & Asian	N/A	N/A	0 (0)
		Other mixed background	N/A	N/A	3 (2.9)
	Unknown		N/A	N/A	2 (1.9)
Citizenship status	UK Citizen		60 (100)	8 (24.2)	63 (61.2)
	EU Citizen		0 (0)	24 (72.7)	3
	Non-EU Citizen		0 (0)	1 (3)	6 (5.8)
	Asylum seeker/refugee/undocumented migrant		0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (4.9%)
	Student, work or spousal visas/indefinite leave to remain		0 (0)	0 (0)	12 (11.7)
	Unknown		0 (0)	0 (0)	14 (13.6)
Age at death (years)	29 and under		28 (46.7)	7 (21.2)	33 (32)
	30 to 39		28 (46.7)	22 (66.7)	56 (54.4)
	40 and over		4 (6.7)	4 (12.1)	14 (13.6)
Parity	Nulliparous		27 (45)	13 (39.4)	34 (33)
	Multiparous		31 (51.7)	19 (57.6)	68 (66.0)
BMI (kg/m ²)	Unknown		2 (3.3)	1 (3)	1 (1)
	24.9 or less		22 (36.7)	19 (57.6)	35 (34)
	25 to 29.9		11 (18.3)	5 (15.2)	32 (31.1)
	30 to 34.9		11 (18.3)	3 (9.1)	18 (17.5)
	35 and over		12 (20)	4 (12.1)	17 (16.5)
Occupation	Unknown		4 (6.7)	2 (6.1)	1 (1)
	Employed		26 (43.3)	20 (60.6)	53 (51.5)
	Unemployed		21 (35)	6 (18.2)	19 (18.4)
	Housewife		8 (13.3)	5 (15.2)	22 (21.4)
Smoking	Unknown/other		5 (8.3)	2 (6.1)	9 (8.7)
			29 (48.3)	8 (24.2)	6 (5.8)

Table 1: Maternal characteristics.

^a Due to rounding percentages may not add to 100%.

White British/Irish women, 34.0% (35/103) of Other than White and 21.2% (7/33) of White European/Other women. Over a third (21/60, 35.0%) of White British/Irish women were unemployed, while this was 18.4% (19/103) in Other than White groups and 18.2% (6/33) in White European/Other women.

Smoking was identified in 6/103 (5.8%) women from Other than White groups while for White British/Irish women who died it was 48.3% (29/60).

There was 15.5% (16/103) of Other than White women with three or more physical comorbidities and

51.1% (47/92) had previous pregnancy problems while women in White ethnic groups had 3.2% (3/93) and 33.3% (27/81) respectively (see [Tables 2](#) and [3](#)).

Complex social factors

Multiple disadvantage (three or more complex social factors) was overall faced by a fifth of women: 36.7% (22/60) of White British/Irish women, 18.2% (6/33) of White European/Other women and 11.7% (12/103) of women in the Other than White group (see [Table 4](#)). Of

	White British/Irish women n = 60 (%)	White European/Other women n = 33 (%)	Other than White women n = 103 (%)
Number of women with any pre-existing physical comorbidity, BMI >30 or age over 40			
None	21 (35.0%)	13 (39.4%)	32 (31.1%)
One	24 (40.0%)	10 (30.3%)	37 (35.9%)
Two	13 (21.7%)	9 (27.3%)	18 (17.5%)
Three or more	2 (3.3%)	1 (3.0%)	16 (15.5%)
Number of women with any pre-existing physical comorbidity (excluding BMI and age >40)			
None	36 (60.0%)	19 (57.6%)	47 (45.6%)
One	19 (31.7%)	9 (27.3%)	38 (36.9%)
Two	5 (8.3%)	4 (12.1%)	10 (9.7%)
Three or more	0	1 (3.0%)	8 (7.8%)

Table 2: Pre-existing physical comorbidities and selected risk factors.

women in the Other than White group 55.3% (57/103) had no complex social factors compared to 36.7% (22/60) of White British/Irish women and 36.4% (12/33) of White European/Other women. There was 15.2% (5/33) of recent migrants in the White European/Other group while Other than White group had 3.9% (4/103).

Existing complex social factors were identified by maternity services among women from the Other than White group in 66/73 (90.4%) (see Table 5). A similar number of both Other than White and White British women had social risk factors both identified and actioned, such as referring to specialist services, by maternity services (46/73, 63.0% and 64/102, 62.7% respectively) while for White European/Other women, 77.5% (31/40) were identified and a quarter (10/40, 25.0%) were addressed.

	White British/Irish women n = 60 (%) ^a	European/Other women n = 33 (%) ^a	Other than White women n = 103 (%) ^a
Significant financial need	4 (6.7)	4 (12.1)	6 (5.8)
Insecure Housing ^b	7 (11.7)	0 (0)	13 (12.6)
Substance misuse	21 (35)	4 (12.1)	4 (3.9)
Criminal justice involvement	13 (21.7)	2 (6.1)	0 (0)
Social services involvement	23 (38.3)	4 (12.1)	13 (12.6)
Learning disability	4 (6.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Physical disability	2 (3.3)	1 (3)	7 (6.8)
Domestic abuse	18 (30)	3 (9.1)	5 (4.9)
Mental health issues	29 (48.3)	11 (33.3)	24 (23.3)
Young (<20 years)	5 (8.3)	0 (0)	2 (1.9)
Recent migrant (<1 year)	0 (0)	5 (15.2)	4 (3.9)
Does not speak/understand English	0 (0)	10 (30.3)	7 (6.8)
Number of women with complex social risk factors			
None	22 (36.7)	12 (36.4)	57 (55.3)
One	8 (13.3)	10 (30.3)	26 (25.2)
Two	8 (13.3)	5 (15.2)	8 (7.8)
Three or more	22 (36.7)	6 (18.2)	12 (11.7)

Table 4: Frequency of complex social risk factors.

^a Due to rounding percentages may not add to 100%.

^b We have used insecure housing instead of homeless to reflect the findings of our literature search.

Features of maternity care

A quarter of women (43/173, 24.9%) attended their first antenatal visit late (after 13 weeks gestation) across the cohort (see Table 6). Among the White European/Other group this was a third (9/30, 30.0%), while for Other than White groups, it was a fifth (20/92, 21.7%). A fifth of both women from Other than White (19/92, 20.7%) and White British/Irish groups (10/51, 19.6%) declined screening. Overall, most women had the recommended

	White British/Irish women n = 51 (%) ^a	White European/Other women n = 30 (%) ^a	Other than White women n = 92 (%) ^a
Number of women with previous pregnancy problems	15 (29.4%)	12 (40%)	47 (51.1%)
Previous pregnancy problems			
Caesarean-section	9 (17.6%)	4 (13.3%)	25 (27.2%)
Post-partum haemorrhage	1 (2.0%)	3 (10.0%)	4 (4.3%)
Pre-eclampsia / pregnancy induced hypertension	2 (3.9%)	2 (6.7%)	13 (14.1%)
Miscarriage (3+) / ectopic	0	0	6 (6.5%)
Stillbirth / neonatal death	1 (2.0%)	2 (6.7%)	3 (3.3%)
Intrauterine growth restriction / small for gestational age / oligohydramnios	4 (7.8%)	2 (6.7%)	11 (12.0%)
Gestational diabetes mellitus	1 (2.0%)	0	3 (3.3%)
Puerperal / peri-partum psychosis / postnatal depression	2 (3.9%)	1 (3.3%)	2 (2.2%)
Placental abruption / previa / antepartum haemorrhage	0	0	5 (5.4%)

Table 3: Previous pregnancy problems.

^a Due to rounding percentages may not add to 100%.

	White British/Irish women <i>n</i> = 51 (%)	White European/ Other women <i>n</i> = 30 (%)	Other than White women <i>n</i> = 92 (%)
Total complex social factors identified amongst those who received any antenatal care	102	40	73
Number (%) of social factors identified by maternity services	84/102 (82.4%)	31/40 (77.5%)	66/73 (90.4%)
Number (%) of social risk factors identified and addressed by maternity services	64/102 (62.7%)	10/40 (25.0%)	46/73 (63.0%)

Table 5: Complex social factors identified and addressed for women booked by maternity services.

	White British/Irish women <i>n</i> = 60 (%) ^a	European/Other women <i>n</i> = 33 (%) ^a	Other than White women <i>n</i> = 103 (%) ^a
Antenatal (AN) care			
Number of women who received AN care	<i>n</i> = 51 (85.0%)	<i>n</i> = 30 (90.9%)	<i>n</i> = 92(89.3%)
Late first antenatal visit (>13 weeks)	14 (27.5)	9 (30)	20 (21.7)
Declined screening	10 (19.6)	2 (6.7)	19 (20.7)
Received recommended number of antenatal visits for gestation	42 (82.4)	24 (80)	82 (89.1)
Did Not Attend (DNA) two or more appointment(s)	11 (21.6)	3 (10)	15 (16.3)
% of total DNAs followed up	18/21 (85.7%)	10/11 (90.9%)	25/26 (96.2%)
Postnatal (PN) care			
Number of women eligible for PN care in community^b	<i>n</i> = 33 (39.8%)	<i>n</i> = 18 (21.7%)	<i>n</i> = 32 (38.6%)
0 home visits/appointments	1 (3.0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
1-2 home visits/appointments	4 (13.8)	1 (5.6)	10 (34.5)
3+ home visits/appointments	23 (79.3)	15 (83.3)	14 (48.3)
Unknown	5 (15.2)	2 (11.1)	8 (27.3)
2 or more DNAs	1 (3.4)	0 (0)	0 (0)
% of total DNAs followed up	0 (0)	N/A	N/A

Table 6: Features of antenatal and postnatal care.

^a Due to rounding percentages may not add to 100%.

^b Women who died after discharge from hospital and within the first year of giving birth.

number of antenatal visits for their gestation, and nearly all antenatal non-attendances were followed up. Fewer than half of women from Other than White groups received three or more postnatal visits (14/32, 48.3%). Over three quarters of eligible women in both White groups received three or more postnatal visits: 15/18 (83.3%) in White European/Other women and 48.3% (14/29) of Other than White women.

Mental health assessment

Overall, nearly three quarters (123/173, 71.1%) of women had evidence of antenatal routine mental health assessment, with little difference between the ethnic groups. While overall only 32.5% (27/83) had evidence of some kind of mental health assessment in the postnatal period, this was similar in the White British/Irish women and European/Other groups (5/33, 15.1% and 3/18, 16.7% respectively) and 59.4% (19/32) in Other than White groups. Assessment was done using the Whooley questions for 68.8% (119/173) of women in the

antenatal period and 7.2% (6/83) of women in the postnatal period (see Supplementary Table S6).

Unscheduled healthcare attendance

Nearly half of all women had three or more unscheduled attendances (outside of planned maternity care) at a healthcare service including General Practitioner, Accident and Emergency department, Day Assessment Unit/Triage (see Supplementary Table S7). Frequent attendance was 35.9% (37/103) in women from Other than White groups, 39.4% (13/33) in White European/Other and 60.0% (36/60) for White British/Irish women.

Language needs

Of the whole cohort (196 women), 17 women had language needs (see Table 7); nearly a third of the White European/Other group (10/33, 30.3%). No woman from any cohort had a professional interpreter at all stages of maternity care. An interpreter was documented as being provided for 56.3% (9/16) of women at their first

	White British/Irish women <i>n</i> = 60 (%) ^a	European/ Other women <i>n</i> = 33 (%) ^a	Other than White women <i>n</i> = 103 (%) ^a
Need for interpreter	0 (0)	10 (30.3)	7 (6.8)
Provision of interpreter			
First antenatal (booking) appointment	N/A	5/9 (55.6)	4/7 (57.1)
Antenatal care	N/A	3/8 (37.5)	4/7 (57.1)
Birth plan	N/A	1/7 (14.3)	0/5 (0)
Intrapartum	N/A	9/9 (100)	0/4 (0)
Postnatal visits	N/A	3/6 (50)	0/4 (0)
Appropriate provision	N/A	0 (0)	0 (0)

Table 7: Maternity service language needs.

^a Due to rounding percentages may not add to 100%.

antenatal appointment, similar between the groups. An interpreter was provided for 69.2% (9/13) of women requiring intrapartum care: 100% (9/9) of White European/Other and 0% (0/4) women from Other than White groups.

Barriers to following advice

Barriers to following advice from healthcare professionals were identified in a fifth of women (see Supplementary Table S8). These were mostly related to not taking prescribed medication, discharging themselves against medical advice and not attending specialist review. This was seen in a quarter (17/60, 28.3%) of White British/Irish women, 18.4% (19/103) of women from Other than White groups and 12.1% (4/33) of White European/Other women. The most common issue relating to not following advice among women from Other than White groups was not taking prescribed medication, while for White British/Irish women it was self-discharge against medical advice.

Discussion

Accepting the limitations, the descriptive analysis from this study supports the hypothesis that differences in social risk factors or access and engagement with maternity services amongst women who died may not completely underlie ethnic disparities in maternal mortality in the UK.

The presence of three or more complex social factors was seen in a tenth (12/103, 11.7%) of Other than White women, and affected over a third (22/60, 36.7%) of White British/Irish women. While a quarter of all women booked at over 13 weeks gestation, the majority received the recommended antenatal visits appropriate for gestation. Routine mental health assessment was documented for most women antenatally, but fewer than a third postnatally. Overall, nearly half of the women had three or more unscheduled healthcare attendances. Unscheduled care is often associated with

psychosocial and clinical needs that are not being met.³⁵ Barriers to following advice from healthcare professionals were identified in a fifth of women. None of the 17 women who required an interpreter received provision throughout maternity care.

Three or more pre-existing physical co-morbidities were common in the Other than White groups (16/103, 15.5%) and over half had previous pregnancy problems (47/92, 51.1%). Two national case control studies have explored factors associated with maternal deaths in the UK. The first investigated the factors associated with maternal deaths from direct pregnancy complications and showed that medical comorbidities are importantly associated with direct obstetric deaths, as was being of Indian ethnicity.³⁶ The second national case control study explored the risk factors associated with direct and indirect maternal death and identified medical comorbidities as well as smoking as being significant.³⁷ This study also suggested that socio-economic inequalities were an important factor.

Our study is the first to attempt to systematically explore whether social risk factors or access and engagement with maternity services among women who died during or after pregnancy in the UK could underlie disparities in maternal mortality. For most groups, we included all available records of women who died. Where records were not available, these were largely for women who died from coincidental causes late in the postpartum year (e.g. malignancy) and whose deaths were less likely to be causally-related to pregnancy. The final sample is therefore likely to be representative of the overall group of women who died directly or indirectly related to pregnancy.

Due to researcher capacity, we sampled a computer-generated random number of White British/Irish women's medical records who died. Although this group formed the largest single ethnic group, this may limit representativeness. Methods for this review were robust, with two reviewers assessing and extracting data independently before discussion and agreement. Relatively few records (23/219, 10.5%) were unavailable for review; however, we did not numerate overall missing data due to the multiple fields in the data extraction form, which is a limitation of the study.

The categorisation of women from Other than White groups hides the heterogeneity of the numerous ethnic groups it contains and joining these groups together is said to be oversimplistic and to not allow exploration of their respective experiences.³⁸ The study size is governed by the national numbers of women dying amongst those pregnant or giving birth; given that these numbers are small, the study would be underpowered for any formal statistical comparison and therefore no formal comparison has been carried out. We could not relate these findings to cause of death or quality of care as agreed by the MBBRACE UK assessors as data are no longer linked. While the data extraction tool was

developed from a scoping review of the relevant literature and relevant NICE guidance for clinical care it is not possible to extract data for some barriers identified in the literature scope (such as culture and racism) through anonymised medical record review. In addition, social factors may not be documented in medical notes robustly, which may lead to an under-representation of these issues.

Evidence of the distribution of complex social factors in pregnancy by ethnicity is scarce and yet confidential enquiries into maternal death have consistently identified adverse impacts of complex social factors.⁶ We have identified that 55.3% (57/103) of women in the Other than White group had no complex social factors. However, multiple disadvantage (three or more complex social factors) was faced by a fifth of women. Though NICE guidance does not specifically address the breadth of social factors considered in this project, multi-agency plans to ensure coordinated care for women with complex social factors is recommended.³⁹ Following identification, referral of complex social factors to other agencies for appropriate action and support was sometimes lacking and should be targeted for improvement.

Previous confidential enquiries have identified late first antenatal booking visit as a significant risk factor for maternal mortality,⁴⁰ and this did not appear to be different between the groups. Evidence from research into women from Other than White groups who have not died has identified late booking as a particular issue.^{8,15} In our study, however, late booking occurred in 30.0% (9/30) of White European/Other women who died, 27.5% (14/60) of White British women and 21.7% (20/103) Other than White groups.

Communication difficulties are a key barrier to engaging with healthcare.^{10,15,41–43} Thus, NICE guidance recommends, for women with difficulty reading or speaking English, an interpreter should be provided who is not a member of the woman's family. While a need for an interpreter was only identified in 17 women in our study, a third of White European/Other women required interpreters. No woman received appropriate provision of language services throughout their care. A lack of available services has been noted across health and social sectors, not solely maternity care.⁴⁴ International research also suggests that, in some instances, language services are available but usage by healthcare professionals is limited by a lack of training and time constraints.^{45–47} Rayment-Jones (2021) described difficulties for non-English speaking women with social risk factors accessing maternity services describing often having no choice of interpreter and being suspicious of both the confidentiality and quality of interpretation during appointments.⁴⁸ This resulted in many preferring to use a trusted family member or friend to interpret for them. Barriers and facilitators to interpreter use need to be more clearly understood and solutions identified.

We identified barriers for a fifth of all women in following advice by healthcare professionals; nearly one in three for White British/Irish women. While in the majority of cases reviewed, healthcare workers worked hard to engage women, this warrants further exploration. There may be multiple reasons for disengagement including anxiety over potential harm to the fetus from adherence to drug therapy,⁴⁹ not feeling safe or comfortable in healthcare environments,⁵⁰ and not perceiving the care offered as useful.⁵¹

The reasons for the disparities in maternal mortality that exist in the UK are likely to be multifactorial and complex. A recent systematic review explored the maternal health inequalities encountered by Other than White women in the UK in relation to their experiences and use of services included a total of eight studies with various ethnicities and geographical locations.⁵² Five interconnected themes were identified following thematic analysis: communication, midwife-woman relationship, culture and social needs, bound together by healthcare services and systems. To effectively address all of these themes requires system level change which involves time, training and resources.

Further evidence of the complexity of the issue is provided by a recent UK national study which aimed to describe the women who died in the UK during or up to a year after the end of pregnancy, to compare the quality of care received by women from different aggregated ethnic groups, and to identify any structural or cultural biases or discrimination affecting their care.⁵³ Results showed no differences in the proportionate causes of deaths during or up to a year after the end of pregnancy amongst women from different aggregated ethnic groups, nor were there any statistically significant differences in the assessed quality of care women received. Multiple areas of bias were identified in the care of a stratified random sample of 54 women received, with clinical, social and cultural complexity evident across all ethnic groups, as indeed our study identified. There was evidence of a lack of nuanced care which was most notable amongst women from Black aggregated ethnic groups who died and microaggressions were most prominent in the care of women from Asian aggregated ethnic groups who died.

The need to tackle these disparities in maternal death have been recognised by the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists with the formation of the Race Equality Taskforce to better understand and tackle racial disparities in women's healthcare and racism within the obstetric and gynaecology workforce.⁵⁴ Recent initiatives within the UK National Health Service (NHS) have been introduced in an attempt to tackle the issues identified. The NHS Long Term Plan set the aspiration that most women would receive continuity of carer aimed at reducing stillbirth, maternal and neonatal mortality and serious brain injury by 2025.⁵⁵ In relation to the care of Other than White mothers, the review

of *Better Births Four Years On* repeated the commitment made in the 2019 *NHS Long-term Plan* to improve maternity services by developing services for all pregnant women, targeting vulnerable groups including 'BAME' women, to receive continuity of care.⁵⁶ This may go some way towards addressing the lack of nuanced care identified. More recently NHS England and NHS Improvement have developed *Equity and Equality Guidance for Local Maternity Systems* whereby plans will be set out to improve equity and equality, with financial support and monitoring of progress.⁵⁷

While these initiatives offer opportunity for improvements which may address the inequalities in maternal mortality that exist there is evidence that the problem is broader than maternity services. A recent national cohort study found that socioeconomic and ethnic inequalities were responsible for a substantial proportion of stillbirths, preterm births and fetal growth restriction in England.⁵⁸ The authors have proposed three key measures: targeting high risk groups with clinical interventions during pregnancy, such as nutrition programmes and improved access to high quality antenatal care; public health strategies to reduce inequalities in women's health before pregnancy; and comprehensive policies to tackle the fundamental causes of inequality, such as income, education, and employment, that indirectly influence pregnancy outcomes.

This study does not appear to identify differences in social risk factors or access and engagement with maternity services amongst women who died which might underlie ethnic disparities in maternal mortality. It appeared that White British/Irish women were more likely to attend unscheduled healthcare services and not follow recommended advice from healthcare professionals as well as be obese, smoke and experience 'multiple social disadvantage'.

There remains an important need for further research to investigate why women from Other than White groups face significant disparities in maternal mortality risk in the UK, as the barriers to access and engagement studied were not predominantly found in this group. Areas of focus should be understanding the experiences of women and how best to address clinical, social and cultural complexity.

Contributors

This study was undertaken over two years in part fulfilment of intercalated degrees in Public Health and Population Sciences at the University of Birmingham by EC and RN. EC developed the data extraction form and collected data from the women from Other than White groups, RN then collected data from the White women and analysed the whole cohort in the subsequent year, which was verified by FCS.

The study was designed by SK and EC/RN. The literature search was led by EC. The medical record reviews were led by EC and RN with FCS and SK acting as

second researchers for validation of extracted data. Data were interpreted and the first draft of the manuscript written by EC and RN. SK supervised all aspects of the projects. MK contributed to the study design, data extraction tool and data interpretation. All authors had access to the Confidential Enquiry data, reviewed and critically revised the final paper for intellectual content and took the decision to submit for publication.

Data sharing statement

Data may be requested through the Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership <https://www.hqip.org.uk/national-programmes/accessing-ncapop-data/>.

Declaration of interests

SK and MK are members of the MBRRACE-UK Collaboration.

MK reports grants from Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership, National Institute for Health Research MRC and Wellbeing of Women; MK has an honorarium for Hooker Distinguished Visiting Professorship McMaster University.

SK reports a funded post from the University of Birmingham; is an NIHR grant holder, and is a collaborator on HQIP funded projects; was on the Steering Committee for NIHR funded trial- Impacted fetal head; was Chair on PreSePT Steering Committee of Health Foundation study; is Deputy Chair of HEE/NIHR Integrated Clinical Academic (ICA) Programme Pre-doctoral Clinical Academic Fellowship Scheme Panel.

RN declares that this work was started while she was undertaking an intercalated degree in Public Health and Population Sciences at the University of Birmingham. MBRRACE provided study materials – access to case notes.

EC declares that this work was started while she was undertaking an intercalated degree in Public Health and Population Sciences at the University of Birmingham.

FCS declares that this work was completed while employed as a research fellow at the University of Birmingham - funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaborative (ARC) West Midlands – Maternity Theme.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied Research Centre (ARC) West Midlands: SK is part funded and FCS fully funded. MK and SK are NIHR Senior Investigators. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. The Maternal, Newborn and Infant Clinical Outcome Review Programme, delivered by

MBRRACE-UK, is commissioned by the Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership (HQIP) on behalf of NHS England, NHS Wales, the Health and Social Care division of the Scottish government, The Northern Ireland Department of Health, and the States of Jersey, Guernsey, and the Isle of Man.

The MBRRACE-UK collaboration are grateful to the contribution of the many healthcare professionals and staff from the health service and other organisations who were involved in the notification of the maternal deaths, the provision of medical records which are required for the national confidential enquiries and supported the execution of this study. The authors would like to acknowledge the assistance of all MBRRACE-UK administration and programming teams.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:[10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101587](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101587).

References

- World Health Organization. The WHO application of ICD-10 to deaths during pregnancy, childbirth and puerperium: ICD MM. 2012. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/70929/9789241548458_eng.pdf. Accessed 14 January 2022.
- de Cosio FG, Jiwani SS, Sanhueza A, Soliz PN, Becerra-Posada F, Espinal MA. Late maternal deaths and deaths from sequelae of obstetric causes in the Americas from 1999 to 2013: a trend analysis. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(9):e0160642. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160642>.
- Kurinczuk JJ, Draper ES, Field DJ, et al. Experiences with maternal and perinatal death reviews in the UK—the MBRRACE-UK programme. 2014. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/1471-0528.12820>. Accessed 14 January 2022.
- National Quality Board. National guidance on learning from deaths. 2017. Available from: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/nqb-national-guidance-learning-from-deaths.pdf>. Accessed 28 July 2021.
- World Health Organization. Maternal mortality. 2019. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/maternal-mortality>. Accessed 14 January 2022.
- Knight M, Bunch K, Tuffnell D, et al. *Saving Lives, Improving Mothers' Care - Lessons Learned to Inform Maternity Care from the UK and Ireland Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths and Morbidity 2017–19*. Oxford: National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, University of Oxford; 2021.
- Knight M, Bunch K, Kenyon S, Tuffnell D, Kurinczuk JJ. A national population-based cohort study to investigate inequalities in maternal mortality in the United Kingdom, 2009–17. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2020;34(4):392–398. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppe.12640>.
- Rowe RE, Magee H, Quigley MA, Heron P, Askham J, Brocklehurst P. Social and ethnic differences in attendance for antenatal care in England. *Public Health*. 2008;122(12):1363–1372. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18639909/>.
- Rowe RE, Garcia J. Social class, ethnicity and attendance for antenatal care in the United Kingdom: a systematic review. *J Public Health Med*. 2003;25(2):113–119. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12848399/>.
- Hatherall B, Morris J, Jamal F, et al. Timing of the initiation of antenatal care: an exploratory qualitative study of women and service providers in East London. *Midwifery*. 2016;36:1–7. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27106937/>.
- Aquino MRJV, Edge D, Smith DM. Pregnancy as an ideal time for intervention to address the complex needs of black and minority ethnic women: views of British midwives. *Midwifery*. 2015;31(3):373–379. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2014.11.006>.
- Cresswell JA, Yu G, Hatherall B, et al. Predictors of the timing of initiation of antenatal care in an ethnically diverse urban cohort in the UK. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2013;13(1):1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-13-103>.
- Binder P, Borné Y, Johnsdotter S, Essén B. Shared language is essential: communication in a multiethnic obstetric care setting. *J Health Commun*. 2012;17(10):1171–1186. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730.2012.665421>.
- Cross-Sudworth F, Williams A, Herron-Marx S. Maternity services in multi-cultural Britain: using Q methodology to explore the views of first- and second-generation women of Pakistani origin. *Midwifery*. 2011;27(4):458–468. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2010.03.001>.
- Asif S, Baugh A, Jones NW. The obstetric care of asylum seekers and refugee women in the UK. *Obstet Gynaecol*. 2015;17(4):223–231. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tog.12224>.
- Chinouya MJ, Madziva C. Late booking amongst African women in a London borough, England: implications for health promotion. *Health Promot Int*. 2019;34(1):123–132. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/dax069>.
- Puthussery S, Twamley K, Macfarlane A, Harding S, Baron M. "You need that loving tender care": maternity care experiences and expectations of ethnic minority women born in the United Kingdom. *J Health Serv Res Policy*. 2010;15(3):156–162. <https://doi.org/10.1258/2Fjhsr.2009.009067>.
- Barber C, Rankin J, Heslehurst N. Maternal body mass index and access to antenatal care: a retrospective analysis of 619,502 births in England. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2017;17(1):1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1475-5>.
- Henderson J, Gao H, Redshaw M. Experiencing maternity care: the care received and perceptions of women from different ethnic groups. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2013;13(1):1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-13-196>.
- Raleigh VS, Hussey D, Seccombe I, Hallt K. Ethnic and social inequalities in women's experience of maternity care in England: results of a national survey. *J Royal Soc Medicine*. 2010;103(5):188–198. <https://doi.org/10.1258/2Fjrs.2010.090460>.
- Mantovani N, Thomas H. Choosing motherhood: the complexities of pregnancy decision-making among young black women 'looked after' by the State. *Midwifery*. 2014;30(3):e72–e78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2013.10.015>.
- García R, Ali N, Guppy A, Griffiths M, Randhawa G. Ethnic differences in risk factors for adverse birth outcomes between Pakistani, Bangladeshi, and White British mothers. *J Adv Nurs*. 2020;76(1):174–182. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.14209>.
- Edge D. Falling through the net — black and minority ethnic women and perinatal mental healthcare: health professionals' views. *General Hospital Psychiatry*. 2010;32(1):17–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2009.07.007>.
- Henderson J, Redshaw M. Sociodemographic differences in women's experience of early labour care: a mixed methods study. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7(7):e016351. <https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/7/7/e016351>.
- Edge D. 'It's leaflet, leaflet, leaflet then, "see you later"': black Caribbean women's perceptions of perinatal mental health care. *Br J General Practice*. 2011;61(585):256–262. <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp11X567063>.
- Cardwell V, Wainwright L, Doohan D, et al. Making Better Births a reality for women with multiple disadvantages. 2019. Available from: <https://www.birthcompanions.org.uk/resources/6-making-better-births-a-reality-for-women-with-multiple-disadvantages#:~:text=Making%20Better%20Births%20as%20a%20reality%20for%20women%20with,trained%20as%20researchers%29%20and%20co-designed%20with%20local%20commissioners>. Accessed 12 April 2021.
- Richards J, Kliner M, Brierly S, Stroud L. Maternal and infant health of Eastern Europeans in Bradford, UK: a qualitative study. *Community Practitioner*. 2014;87(9):33–36.
- UK Government. List of ethnic groups. No date. Available from: <https://www.ethnicity-facts-figures.service.gov.uk/style-guide/ethnic-groups>. Accessed 14 January 2022.
- UK Government. Writing about ethnicity. No date. Available from: <https://www.ethnicity-facts-figures.service.gov.uk/style-guide/writing-about-ethnicity>. Accessed 14 January 2022.
- NHS Digital. Antenatal assessments within 13 weeks. 2015. Available from: <https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/ccg-outcomes-indicator-set/june-2020/domain-1-preventing-people-from-dying-prematurely->

- ccg/1-13-antenatal-assessments-within-13-weeks. Accessed 14 January 2022.
- 31 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Schedule of antenatal appointments. 2021. Available from: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng201/resources/schedule-of-antenatal-appointments-pdf-9204300829>. Accessed 14 January 2022.
- 32 NICE. Postnatal care. 2021. Available from: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng194/chapter/Recommendations#organisation-and-delivery-of-postnatal-care>. Accessed 14 January 2022.
- 33 NICE. Antenatal care. 2021. Available from: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng201/chapter/Recommendations#routine-antenatal-clinical-care>. Accessed 14 January 2022.
- 34 NICE. Antenatal and postnatal mental health: clinical management and service guidance. 2020. Available from: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg192/chapter/1-Recommendations#recognising-mental-health-problems-in-pregnancy-and-the-postnatal-period-and-referral-2>. Accessed 14 January 2022.
- 35 Mehta PK, Carter T, Vinoya C, Kangovi S, Srinivas SK. Understanding high utilization of unscheduled care in pregnant women of low socioeconomic status. *Womens Health Issues*. 2017;27(4):441–448.
- 36 Nair M, Kurinczuk JJ, Brocklehurst P, Sellers S, Lewis G, Knight M. Factors associated with maternal death from direct pregnancy complications: a UK national case–control study. *BJOG*. 2015;122(5):653. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.13279>.
- 37 McCall SJ, Nair M, Knight M. Factors associated with maternal mortality at advanced maternal age: a population-based case–control study. *BJOG*. 2017;124(8):1225–1233. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/1471-0528.14216>.
- 38 Commission on Race and Ethnic Disparities. Commission on race and ethnic disparities: the report. 2021. Available from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4674982/>. Accessed 17 January 2022.
- 39 NICE. Pregnancy and complex social factors: a model for service provision for pregnant women with complex social factors. 2010. Available from: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg110/chapter/Introduction>. Accessed 14 January 2022.
- 40 Haddrill R, Jones GL, Mitchell CA, Anumba DOC. Understanding delayed access to antenatal care: a qualitative interview study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2014;14(1):1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-14-207>.
- 41 Jomeen J, Redshaw M. Ethnic minority women's experience of maternity services in England. *Ethnicity Health*. 2013;18(3):280–296. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13557858.2012.730608>.
- 42 Hayes L. Unequal access to midwifery care: a continuing problem? *J Adv Nurs*. 1995;21(4):702–707. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.1995.21040702.x>.
- 43 McCourt C, Pearce A. Does continuity of carer matter to women from minority ethnic groups? *Midwifery*. 2000;16(2):145–154. <https://doi.org/10.1054/midw.2000.0204>.
- 44 Alexander C, Edwards R, Bogsia Temple with Kanani U, Zhuang L, Miah M, Sam A. Access to services with interpreters: user views. 2004. Available from: <https://www.jrf.org.uk/sites/default/files/jrf/migrated/files/1859352294.pdf>. Accessed 10th May 2021.
- 45 Jaeger FN, Pellaud N, Laville B, Klausner P. Barriers to and solutions for addressing insufficient professional interpreter use in primary healthcare. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2019;19(1):1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-019-4628-6>.
- 46 Granhagen Jungner J, Tiselius E, Pergert P. Reasons for not using interpreters to secure patient-safe communication – a national cross-sectional study in paediatric oncology. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2021;104(8):1985–1992.
- 47 Kale E, Syed HR. Language barriers and the use of interpreters in the public health services. A questionnaire-based survey. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2010;81(2):187–191. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20542656/>.
- 48 Rayment-Jones H, Dalrymple K, Harris J, et al. Project20: Does continuity of care and community-based antenatal care improve maternal and neonatal birth outcomes for women with social risk factors? A prospective, observational study. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(5):e0250947. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250947>.
- 49 Machin LL, Goodwin D, Warriner D. An alternative view of self-discharge against medical advice: an opportunity to demonstrate empathy, empowerment, and care. *Qual Health Res*. 2018;28(5):702–710. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2F1049732318754514>.
- 50 Blankson ML, Goldenberg RL, Keith B. Noncompliance of high-risk pregnant women in keeping appointments at an obstetric complications clinic. *South Med J*. 1994;87(6):634–638. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8202775/>.
- 51 Blankson ML, Goldenberg RL, Keith B. Noncompliance of high-risk pregnant women in keeping appointments at an obstetric complications clinic. *South Med J*. 1994;87(6):634–638. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8202775/>.
- 52 Khan Z. Ethnic health inequalities in the UK's maternity services: a systematic literature review. *Brit J Midwifery*. 2021;29(2):100–107.
- 53 Knight M, Bunch K, Vousden N, et al. A national cohort study and confidential enquiry to investigate ethnic disparities in maternal mortality. *eClinicalMedicine*. 2022;43:101237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.101237>.
- 54 Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. RCOG launches Race Equality taskforce. 2020. Available from: <https://www.rcog.org.uk/en/news/rcog-launches-race-equality-taskforce/>. Accessed 14 January 2022.
- 55 NHS England. The NHS long term plan. 2019. Available from: <https://www.longtermplan.nhs.uk/publication/nhs-long-term-plan/>. Accessed 14 January 2022.
- 56 NHS England. Better births four years on: a review of progress. 2020. Available from: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/publication/better-births-four-years-on-a-review-of-progress/>. Accessed 14 January 2022.
- 57 NHS England. Equity and equality: guidance for local maternity systems. 2021. Available from: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/publication/equity-and-equality-guidance-for-local-maternity-systems/>. Accessed 14 January 2022.
- 58 Jardine J, Walker K, Gurol-Urganci I, et al. Adverse pregnancy outcomes attributable to socioeconomic and ethnic inequalities in England: a national cohort study. *Lancet*. 2021;398(10314):1905–1912. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34735797/>.