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```
Algorithm 1: VND-CARP
    Generate tour by Frederickson heuristic.
    Apply SHORTEN and CUT to obtain an initial solution.
    while True do
        Set \(i=D / Q, s_{\text {best }}=s\);
        while True do
            Set number of neighbours: \(c=1\).
            Set best value of a neighbour: \(f_{\text {best }}=f(s)\).
            while \(c \leq M_{i}\) do
            Select \(i\) routes in \(s\), merge them into a giant tour.
            Apply SWITCH and then CUT on this tour.
            Apply SHORTEN on each new tour.
            New resulting solution: \(s^{\prime}\);
            if \(f\left(s^{\prime}\right)<f_{\text {best }}\) then
                Set best \(=s^{\prime}\);
                Set \(f_{\text {best }}=f\left(s^{\prime}\right) ;\)
            \(\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{c}+1\);
        if \(f_{\text {best }}<f(s)\) then
            Set \(s=\) best \(_{s}\);
        else
            \(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}-1\)
        if \(i \geq 1\) then
            break;
        if \(f(s) \geq f\left(s_{\text {best }}\right)\) then
            break;
```

```
Algorithm 2: ILMA
    Initialization: \(n c-3\) chromosomes;
    Add one chromosome by Path Scanning;
    Add one chromosome by Augmnt-Merge;
    Add one chromosome by Ulusoy's split;
    while Stop criterion is not met do
        Select two chromosomes \(P_{1}\) and \(P_{2}\) by binary tournament selection;
        Apply ordered crossover operator to \(P_{1}\) and \(P_{2}\) to generate \(O_{x}\);
        Set \(O=O_{x}\);
        if \(\operatorname{rand}()<P_{l s}\) then
        apply local search to \(O_{x}\) to generate \(O_{m}\);
        if \(O_{m}\) is not existed in pop then
                \(O=O_{m} ;\)
        Evaluate \(O\) to get \(f(O)\);
        if \(f(O)==f\left(P_{1}\right)\) then
            Replace \(P_{1}\) by \(O\);
        else if \(f(O)==f\left(P_{2}\right)\) then
            Replace \(P_{2}\) by \(O\);
        else if \(f(O)==f(P) \& \& P!=P_{1} \& \& P!=P_{2}\) then
            Discard \(O\);
        else if \(f(O)\) is not used in current pop then
            Randomly choose a \(P\) from \([n c / 2, n c]\);
            Replace \(P\) with \(O\);
        Resort Population;
        if Replacement criteria are met then
            replace \(n\) rep chromosomes with randomly generated chromosomes;
```

```
Algorithm 3: The pseudo code of Simulation System
    Input: Executable solution \(s\), Time of change: \(t\), Previous graph \(G\)
    Set Events probability: \(\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{5}\right\}\);
    Set probability for broke down roads recovering: \(p_{b d r r}\);
    Set probability for congest roads recovering and becoming better: \(p_{c r r}, p_{c r b b}\);
    Determine the stopping point for each vehicles according to \(s, t, G\);
    Update graph, and remove all served tasks.
    Randomly select \(p_{1} \times 100 \%\) vehicles to break down (Event 1).
    Update the graph.
    /**** Cost Impact ****/
    for each edge \(e_{i}\) do
        if e.change \(==0\) then
            \(r_{2}=\operatorname{rand}(), r_{3}=\operatorname{rand}()\)
            if \(r_{2}<p_{2}\) and \(r_{3}<p_{3}\) then
                    Event 2 happens: \(e_{i} \cdot\) cost \(=\operatorname{Inf}, e_{i} \cdot\) change \(=2\);
                if \(r_{2}<p_{2}\) and \(r_{3}>p_{3}\) then
                    Event 3 happens: Increase cost of \(e_{i}, e_{i}\).change \(==3\);
        else if \(e_{i}\).change \(=2\) and \(\operatorname{rand}()<p_{\text {recover }}\) then
            Recover edge \(e_{i}, e_{i}\).change \(=0\);
        else if \(e\).change \(==3\) then
            if rand ()\(<p_{\text {congestion_recover }}\) then
            Recover edge \(e_{i}, e_{i}\).change \(=0\);
        else if \(\operatorname{rand}()<p_{\text {congestion_better }}\) then
                    Decrease cost of \(e_{i}\)
        else
            Increase cost of \(e_{i}\)
        else
        continue;
    /**** Demand Impact ****/
    for each edge \(e_{i}\) do
        if e.change \(=1\) then
            continue;
        else
            \(r_{4}=\operatorname{rand}(), r_{5}=\operatorname{rand}() ;\)
            if Edge.demand \(>0\) and \(r_{4}<p_{4}\) then
                    Event 4 happens: \(e_{i}\).change \(=4\);
            if Edge.demand \(==0\) and \(r_{5}<p_{5}\) then
                    Event 5 happens: \(e_{i}\).change \(=5\);
    Output: The new graph \(G_{1}\)
```

```
Algorithm 4: Build auxiliary graph for DCARP
    Input: Individual : \(I=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{N}\right\}\)
        Stop points for outside vehicles: \(V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{K}\right\}\)
        Remain capacity for outside vehicles: \(C P=\left\{c p_{1}, c p_{2}, \ldots, c p_{K}\right\}\)
    Generate \(N+1\) Nodes (Index from 0 to \(N\) ) for the auxiliary graph \(G^{*}\).
    for each outside vehicle \(k\) do
        for each node pair: \(\mathrm{Node}_{i}\) and \(\mathrm{Node}_{j}\) do
            Use vehicle \(k\) to serve \(\left\{t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}, \ldots, t_{j}\right\}\);
            Sub-route: \(r_{i j k}=\left\{v_{k} \rightarrow t_{i+1} \rightarrow t_{i+2}, \rightarrow \ldots, \rightarrow t_{j} \rightarrow\right.\) depot \(\} ;\)
            Calculate the total demand \(d_{i j k}\) of \(r_{i j k}\);
            if \(d_{i j k}>c p_{k}\) then
                continue;
            else
                Calculate the cost of \(r_{i j k}: c_{i j k}\);
                Assign an edge \(e_{i j k}\) between \(N_{o d e}\) and \(N_{o d e}\) with weight equal to \(c_{i j k}\);
```

Output: An auxiliary graph $G^{*}$

```
Algorithm 5: \(A^{*}\) based optimal split scheme
    Input: Individual : \(I=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{N}\right\}\)
    Build an auxiliary graph \(G^{*}\) for DCARP;
    expandNode \(=\) Node \(_{0} ;\) openNodeSet \(=\{ \} ;\) pathSet \(=\{ \} ;\)
    while True do
        if expandNode \(==\) target then
            Shortest path \(P\) : path correspond to expandNode;
            Minimal cost \(C\) : \(f_{\text {expandNode }}\) correspond to expandNode;
            break;
        Select rootPath (i.e. path from \(N o d e_{0}\) to expandNode) from pathSet;
        for each successor of expandNode do
            newPath \(=\) rootPath + expandNode \(\rightarrow\) successor;
            Remove all edges correspond to vehicles being used in newPath for successor;
            Calculate the \(h_{\text {succ }}\) and \(g_{\text {succ }}\);
            Set \(f_{\text {succ }}=h_{\text {succ }}+g_{\text {succ }}\);
            if successor \(==\) target then
                    Repair \(f_{\text {succ }}\);
            Add the successor into openNodeSet;
            Add the path correspond to successor into pathSet;
        Remove the expandNode from openNodeSet, and the rootPath from pathSet;
        Select the node in openNodeSet with minimal \(f\) as expandNode;
    The shortest path from Node \(_{0}\) to target in \(G^{*}: P=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{M}\right\}\);
    Each \(p_{m}\) represents an edge \(e_{i j k}\), which denotes a sub-route \(r_{i j k}\);
    Obtain the solution \(S\) by splitting the \(I\) by \(P\).
    Output: Solution \(S=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{M}\right\}\), Minimal cost: \(C\)
```

```
Algorithm 6: Greedy split scheme
    Input: Individual : \(I=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{N}\right\}\)
    Build an auxiliary graph \(G^{*}\) for DCARP;
    for each edge \(e_{i j k}\) in \(G^{*}\) do
        Calculate the UDC: \(U D C_{i j k}\);
    expandNode \(=\) Node \(_{0} ;\) newPath \(=\) Node \(_{0}\)
    while True do
        if \(\operatorname{expandNode}==\) target then
            Greedy path: newPath, \(P=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{M}\right\}\);
            Calculate the greedy cost of greedy path: \(C\);
            break;
        rootPath \(\leftarrow\) newPath;
        Select the \(N_{\text {ode }}^{X}\) with minimal \(U D C\) from all successors for expandNode;
        newPath \(=\) rootPath + expandNode \(\rightarrow\) Node \(_{X}\);
        Remove all edges correspond to vehicles being used in newPath;
        expandNode \(\leftarrow\) Node \(_{X}\);
    Each \(p_{m}\) represents an edge \(e_{i j k}\), which denotes a sub-route \(r_{i j k}\);
    Obtain the solution \(S\) by splitting the \(I\) by \(P\).
    Output: Solution \(S=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{m}\right\}\), Greedy cost: \(C\)
```

```
Algorithm 7: The hybrid local search framework
    Input: The update Map (update graph data)
            Dynamic State:
            1). Stop locations of outside vehicles;
                    2). Remaining capacities of outside vehicles;
                    3). Remaining tasks.
    Initialize the solution archive SA}\leftarrow\varnothing\mathrm{ ;
    Re-construct the solution S0 with explicit routes;
    Add initial solution into archive SA=SA\cupS
    4 Set global best solution S}\mp@subsup{S}{gb}{}=\mp@subsup{S}{0}{}\mathrm{ for each solution S}\mp@subsup{S}{i}{}\mathrm{ in }SA\mathrm{ do
    | Local best solution Slb}=\mp@subsup{S}{i}{}\mathrm{ ;
    while true do
            // The following loop (line7-line10) run in parallel
            for each neighborhood move Move j}\mathrm{ do
                Solution S Sj = Move }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{j}}{(Slb}{\prime}
                if improved AND archive is not full then
                    Add S Si into archive: SA=SA\cupS Smi
            Update best solution S}\mp@subsup{S}{lb}{}\mathrm{ from S Sm;
            if No improved move OR exceed time limitation then
                break;
            if S}\mp@subsup{S}{lb}{}\mathrm{ .cost < S Sgb.cost then
            Sgb}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{S}{lb}{}
        if exceed time limitation then
            break;
```

Output: The global best solution $S_{g b}$

```
Algorithm 8: Pseudo code of the instance generator.
    Input: Static instance, initial solution;
            The full capacity of vehicles: \(Q\);
            A configuration of dynamic event: \(C_{\text {Event }}\);
            Configurations of state factors: \(C_{O V}, C_{R Q}\);
    Output: A DCARP instance
    if \(C_{\text {Event }}==N D\) then
    if \(N D-N==\) few then
        \(p=20 \%\)
    else
        \(p=80 \%\)
        Uniformly random select \(p\) of tasks in the remaining tasks and save them into a set
        \(S^{\prime} t_{N D}\).
        if \(N D-V==\) small then
            \(d m=\frac{Q}{\left|S e t_{N D}\right|}\)
        else
            \(d m=\frac{4 Q}{\left|S e t_{N D}\right|}\)
        Add demand \(d m\) to each task in \(\operatorname{Set}_{N D}\).
    if \(C_{\text {Event }}==N T\) then
    Save all available edges (not task) in a list \(L_{i s t}{ }_{N T}\);
    if \(N T-P==\) close then
        Sort List \(_{N T}\) in ascending order according to the max distance of two nodes to the
            depot.
    else
            Sort List \(_{N T}\) in descending order according to the max distance of two nodes to the
                depot.
    if \(N T-N==\) few then
        \(p=20 \%\)
        else
            \(p=80 \%\)
        Select the front \(p\) of edges from List \(_{N T}\) as the new tasks and save into \(\operatorname{Set}_{N T}\).
        if \(N T-V==\) small then
            \(d m=\frac{Q}{\left|\operatorname{Set}_{N D}\right|}\)
    else
        \(d m=\frac{4 Q}{\left|S e t_{N D}\right|}\)
    Add demand \(d m\) to each task in \(\operatorname{Set}_{N T}\).
```

