

GPR-assisted evaluation of probabilistic fatigue crack growth in rib-to-deck joints in orthotropic steel decks considering mixed failure models

Heng, Junlin; Zhou, Zhixiang; Zou, Yang; Kaewunruen, Sakdirat

DOI:

[10.1016/j.engstruct.2021.113688](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2021.113688)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Heng, J, Zhou, Z, Zou, Y & Kaewunruen, S 2022, 'GPR-assisted evaluation of probabilistic fatigue crack growth in rib-to-deck joints in orthotropic steel decks considering mixed failure models', *Engineering Structures*, vol. 252, 113688. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2021.113688>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1 GPR-assisted evaluation of probabilistic fatigue crack growth in rib-to-deck joints in orthotropic steel
2 decks considering mixed failure models

3 Junlin HENG^{a, b}, Zhixiang ZHOU^{a, *}, Yang Zou^c, Sakdirat Kaewunruen^d

4 ^a Department of Civil Engineering, College of Civil and Transportation Engineering, Shenzhen University,
5 Shenzhen 518060, China

6 ^b Department of Engineering Structures, School of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Delft University
7 of Technology, Delft 2628 CN, the Netherlands

8 ^c Department of Bridge Engineering, School of Civil Engineering, Chongqing Jiaotong University,
9 Chongqing 400074, China

10 ^d Department of Civil Engineering, School of Engineering, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15
11 2TT, the United Kingdom

12 **Abstract:** Rib-to-deck (RD) welded joints in orthotropic steel bridge decks demonstrates two major
13 fatigue failure models, including the toe-to-deck (TTD) cracking and root-to-deck (RTD) cracking.
14 Generally, the sole failure model is employed in the fatigue assessment of RD joints, which caused a hot
15 debate on the dominant failure model. In this paper, the fatigue life of rib-to-deck has been evaluated in a
16 probabilistic way with considering mixed failure models. A probabilistic fatigue crack growth (PFCG)
17 model is at first established for the RD joint using fracture mechanics. In the PFCG model, two crack-like
18 initial flaws are assumed at the weld toe and root of the RD joint. Then, the RD joint is idealised by a
19 parallel system considering the two failure models, i.e., the failure of the joint occurs once critical size is
20 reached in any model. Meanwhile, a typical OSD bridge is selected as the prototype to derive the
21 vehicle-induced stress spectra in RD joints. In simulating the uncertainty in vehicle loads, a random

22 traffic model is implemented with the multi-scale modelling via the Monte Carlo simulation (MCS). After
23 that, the machine learning tool, gaussian process regression (GPR), is used to assist and boost the fracture
24 analysis in the PFCG simulation. With the trained and validated GPR, the computational efficiency could
25 be remarkably improved with the dedicated balance between accuracy, efficiency and flexibility. Based on
26 the derived stress spectra and the GPR model, the PFCG model could be implemented using MCS. After
27 that, the result from the PFCG simulation discussed in detail, including the fatigue failure model, fatigue
28 reliability and life prediction, crack size evolution and remain fatigue life. In the normal case that the
29 same initial flaw is assumed at the root and toe, the RD joint shows a slightly higher possibility of RTD
30 cracking compared with the TTD cracking. By contrast, in the parallel case that a larger initial flaw is
31 assumed at the weld toe due to inferior welding quality, the TTD replace the RTD as the primary failure
32 case. However, in both cases, the secondary failure model still contributes a lot to the fatigue failure and
33 could not be ignored. As a result, a remarkable reduction can be observed in the fatigue reliability of RD
34 joints when considering mixed failure models. Further investigation is made on the crack size evolution
35 during the FCG process, including the crack size and aspect ratio. After that, the remain fatigue life
36 estimation is performed on RD joints, revealing progressive accumulation of failure probability. This
37 research not only highlights the influence of mixed failure models on the fatigue performance of welded
38 joints, but also provide an insight into the application of machine learning tools in solving the structural
39 deterioration issue.


40 **Keywords:** Orthotropic steel deck; Rib-to-deck joint; Mixed failure models; Probabilistic fatigue crack
41 growth; Gaussian process regression.

42 1. Introduction

43 1.1 Research problem

44 *Mixed failure models in RD joints*

45 The orthotropic steel deck (OSD) [1] is a highly integral deck system fabricated with various types of
46 welded connections. Among all the connections, the rib-to-deck (RD) welded joint accounts for the
47 largest proportion, e.g., 50 times the bridge length of the RD joint can be observed in a typical OSD [2].
48 Moreover, the RD joint is directly influenced by the cyclic vehicle loads, as shown in Fig.1. As a result,
49 the RD joint becomes very prone to fatigue cracking after the bridge has been exploited for several
50 decades [3], which hinders the further application of OSDs even if they illustrate superior performance
51 and capacity over other deck systems. In the RD joint, the weld toe and weld root are two critical sites for
52 fatigue cracking due to the notable welding residual stress and discontinuity in geometry and material [4].
53 Accordingly, two different patterns of fatigue failure are observed in RD joints, including the toe-to-deck
54 (TTD) crack and the root-to-deck (RTD) crack, as depicted in Fig.1. Both the TTD crack and RTD crack
55 are detrimental to the serviceability and durability of OSDs, which is of particular concerns in the fatigue
56 design.



58

Fig. 1. Typical failure model of rib-to-deck joints

59 *Uncertainties in fatigue crack growth*

60 As generally acknowledged, the fatigue crack growth (FCG) of welded connections is affected by a
61 list of complicated factors with notable uncertainties [5]. To this end, the FCG process in RD joints
62 becomes highly stochastic, which incurs difficulties in fatigue assessment. Besides, the uncertainty also
63 results in the variation in the fatigue failure pattern in the RD joint, i.e., both the TTD cracking and RTD
64 cracking were reported in the fatigue test and field inspection of OSDs [6]. To this end, a hot issue is still
65 open to discussion over the fatigue cracking pattern of RD joints.

66 1.2 State-of-the-art review

67 *TTD or RTD cracking*

68 The TTD cracking (as shown in Fig. 1) is commonly observed in the model fatigue test using the
69 full-scale RD specimen [7], which consists of a deck plate and a closed U-ribs connected by two RD
70 joints. Tian et al. [8] conducted the fatigue test of 7 RD specimens fabricated by partial joint penetration
71 (PJP) welding. The TTD cracking was observed in 6 of 7 specimens, while only one shows the
72 root-to-throat cracking. Heng et al. [9] carried out a similar fatigue test of 7 full-scale RD specimen, in
73 which the TTD crack was found in all the specimens. The test also suggests that the fatigue crack initiates
74 at the deck toe and then grows in both the length and thickness direction of the deck until the failure. In
75 the further study by Heng et al. [10], 4 more specimens were tested with the special effort to monitor the
76 crack growth. Besides the same observation of the TTD cracking, the monitoring result suggests the
77 semi-elliptical crack shape during the propagation. Similar tests were performed on RD specimens by
78 Cheng et al. [11], Nagy et al.[12] and Li et al. [13], which also suggests a dominance of TTD cracking. It

79 is worth noting that a systematic fatigue test panel of RD joints was performed by Ocel et al. [14], with a
80 total of 185 RD specimens. Except for the 30 runouts, the remain 155 specimens shows a remarkably high
81 proportion of TTD cracking, i.e., 125 specimens with TTD cracking (about 81%). Among the 30
82 specimens without TTD, 16 specimens were fabricated with a large root gap deliberately, leading to the
83 RTD cracking.

84 As aforementioned, the RTD cracking is another primary failure model of RD joint, especially when
85 using the partial joint specimen [15]. Ya et al. [16] employed the rotational vibrator to test the partial joint
86 specimen, consisting of a deck plate and a truncated rib wall. The RTD cracking was observed in all the
87 20 specimens with notable length, while only one mild TTD crack (95 mm-long) was found accompanied
88 by a larger RTD crack (250 mm-long). Lv and Li [17] performed a similar fatigue test using the hydraulic
89 loading machine, which also suggests the RTD cracking in all the 9 specimens. In addition, Fu et al. [18]
90 tested 40 similar partial joint specimens, with the RTD cracking observed in all the specimens.

91 Besides the pure TTD or RTD cracking, the two failure models were also simultaneously observed in
92 the full-scale OSD specimens. Sim et al. [19] tested 6 full-scale OSD specimens of 10000 mm-long and
93 3000 mm-wide, which consists of 4 U-ribs and 3 floor beams. Three types of welding were used,
94 including the 80% PJP, weld melt through (WMT), and the one alternating between 80% PJP and WMT
95 every 1000 mm. A total of 7 cracks were observed in the 3 cracked specimens, 6 of which were TTD
96 cracking. The only RTD crack initiated from the transition between the 80% PJP and WMT. According to
97 the result, the RD is prone to TTD cracking when the penetration rate is properly controlled. Kainuma et
98 al. [20] carried out the fatigue test on 12 full-scale OSD specimens of 2000 mm-long and 1400 mm-wide.
99 Overall, the 9 cracked specimens shown a dominance of RTD cracking, i.e., 6 with the RTD and 3 with

100 the TTD.

101 *Preliminary consideration of mixed failure models*

102 As discussed above, the fatigue performance of RD joints is influenced by the mixed failure models,
103 including the TTD cracking and RTD cracking. Conner et al. [2] suggested that the RTD cracking leads to
104 a fatigue resistance poorer than the TTD cracking. However, the RTD cracking could be effectively
105 prevented by the proper penetration rate (i.e., between 70% and 95% with a target of 80%) and tight fit-up
106 gap (i.e., <0.5 mm) between the deck and U-rib. To this end, more attention and effort should be paid to
107 the TTD cracking when the welding is implemented with reasonable configuration and procedures. Wang
108 et al. [21] investigated the FCG behaviour of RD joint using the extended finite element method (XFEM).
109 Two initial flaws in the same size were assumed at the deck toe and root, and the numerical result shows
110 the comparable behaviour of the TTD and RTD cracking models. Li et al. [22] proposed the concept of
111 governing failure model, i.e., the fatigue failure of the RD joint is only governed by the failure model with
112 the poorest fatigue performance. Based on this notion, the equivalent structural stress is solved under
113 various failure models and compared to determine the governing failure model and the corresponding
114 fatigue life. Luo et al. [23] proposed a similar method using the concept of governing fatigue model, in
115 which the strain energy density is used as the evaluation indicator instead of the stress.

116 *Advance in fatigue assessment*

117 In most of the code of practices[24][25][26], the fatigue assessment of the welded connection is
118 made by checking the solved stress range and the number of cycles against the stress-life (S-N) curve,
119 which is derived from the sufficient fatigue test data at the detail- or structural-level. The above S-N
120 approach is simplified and practical but lacks transferability between different welded connections [27].

121 Alternatively, the fracture mechanics [28] is employed to simulate the FCG in the welded connections,
122 which can assess different details using the material test data only [29].

123 The FCG process involves prominent uncertainties, including the aleatory uncertainty in its nature
124 and the epistemic uncertainty in modelling the issue [30]. As a solution, the deterministic fatigue
125 assessment could be conducted based on the statistics of model parameters. For instance, the design S-N
126 curve is usually established under the survival rate of 97.7%, i.e., the mean minus two times standard
127 deviation [31]. Meanwhile, the vehicle effect is often represented by a standard fatigue truck, which is
128 derived from the field survey and statistics [32]. The above statistics-based approach may be conservative
129 but cannot fully reflect the random nature of fatigue [33]. Alternatively, the direct probabilistic approach
130 is used, including the stress-based probabilistic stress-life (PSN) approach [34][35] and fracture
131 mechanics-based probabilistic fatigue crack growth (PFCG) method [36][37]. The PSN approach
132 modelled the fatigue strength and vehicle configuration as random variables [38], and the result is present
133 in the form of the probabilistic distribution or reliability index [39].

134 The PFCG method is much more complicated than the PSN but can provide an in-depth insight into
135 the hidden mechanism of fatigue cracking. Maljaar and Vrouwenvelder [40] established a PFCG model of
136 rib-to-floor beam joint using the semi-elliptical crack model with 2 degree-of-freedoms (DOFs), and the
137 model is implemented with the analytical solution of SIFs. Heng et al. [10] proposed a similar PFCG
138 model to derive PSN curve of RD joints considering the TTD cracking only. Likewise, Maljaar et al. [41]
139 used the PFCG model to derive the PSN of RD joints respecting the RTD cracking. Wang [42] carried out
140 the PFCG analysis to investigate the macro-crack initiation life (MCIL) of RD joints, which is the
141 pre-detectable life of the crack when its depth is less than 0.5 mm. A list of 2D XFEM-based deterministic

142 analysis was carried out to solve the SIFs for the crack sizing from 0.1 to 0.5 mm. Then, the MCIL was
143 solved through Monte Carlo simulations (MCS) with the linear interpolation of the solved SIFs.

144 1.3 Existing research gaps

145 As discussed above, the RD joint is prone to mixed failure models, including the TTD and RTD
146 cracking. The issue caused special concerns and was addressed in several pioneered works. However, the
147 reviewed studies mainly focused on the deterministic comparison of fatigue performance under different
148 failure models. As a result, the fatigue behaviour is solely determined after the failure model with the
149 poorest performance, i.e., the governing model. Obviously, the non-governing failure model still has the
150 possibility to replace the governing model and cause failure due to the prominent uncertainty. To this end,
151 a probabilistic investigation is urgently required on the FCG behaviour of RD joints by considering the
152 effect of mixed models.

153 Meanwhile, the state-of-the-art PFCG study generally employed the analytical solution or
154 interpolation of deterministic FE results. Although the high solution cost in the PFCG simulation can be
155 mitigated in this way, the flexibility and accuracy of FE-based fracture analysis are not fully utilised. To
156 this end, a novel approach is to be established in order to fully incorporate the FE simulation into the
157 PFCG analysis and to achieve the balance between accuracy, efficiency, and flexibility.

158 1.4 Aim and structure of the paper


159 This study aims at the probabilistic evaluation of fatigue crack growth in rib-to-deck (RD) joints of
160 orthotropic steel decks (OSDs), with the consideration of mixed failure models. The paper is organised as
161 the followings: in Part 2, a probabilistic fatigue crack growth (PFCG) model is established for the RD
162 joint, assuming the initial flaw at both the weld toe and root; in Part 3, a measurement-based random

163 traffic model is employed to derive the vehicle-induced stress spectra at the RD joint in a selected
164 prototype bridge; in Part 4, a gaussian process regression (GPR) model is trained to surrogate the finite
165 element (FE)-based fracture analysis, through which the solution efficiency is notably improved with
166 satisfying accuracy; in Part 5, the result from the above studies is discussed in detail, including the fatigue
167 failure model, fatigue reliability and life prediction, crack size evolution, and remain fatigue life; in Part 6,
168 the major conclusions are drawn based on the above investigation. The research not only highlights the
169 influence of mixed failure models on the fatigue performance of RD joints in OSDs, but also provides an
170 insight into the application of machine learning tools in solving the structural deterioration issue.

171 2. Probabilistic fatigue crack growth model

172 2.1 Fatigue crack growth model

173 According to the above review and discussion, the crack model of RD joints is assumed with dual
174 crack-like initial flaws at the weld toe and root, as shown Fig. 2. During the crack growth, the two cracks
175 are assumed to stay in the perfect semi-elliptical shape with the aspect ratio varied with cycles. Thus, the
176 crack could be idealised by a two-DOF system, including the crack depth denoted as ' a ' and the
177 half-length denoted as ' c '. As depicted in Fig. 2, the notation of DOFs is followed by a subscript 'tc' or
178 'rc', which respectively stands for the toe crack and root crack.



179

180

Fig. 2. Crack model of the RD joint

181 In simulating the FCG process, the Paris model [28] is employed to predict the increase in crack
182 sizes with cycles, as shown in Equation 1.

$$\frac{da}{dN} = C \cdot (\Delta K_a(N))^m, \quad \frac{dc}{dN} = C \cdot (\Delta K_c(N))^m \#(1)$$

183 where a and c represent the crack depth and half-length, respectively; N is the number of loading
184 cycles; C and m are the crack growth rate and power index, respectively; ΔK_a and ΔK_c are the range
185 of SIFs at the crack tip and edge, which is varied with N .

186 Based on Equation 1, the crack size at an arbitrary time t can be estimated with applied loading
187 cycles through integration, as shown in Equation 2.

$$a_t = \int_0^{N_t} (C \cdot (\Delta K_a(N))^m) dN \#(2a)$$

$$c_t = \int_0^{N_t} (C \cdot (\Delta K_c(N))^m) dN \#(2b)$$


188 where a_t and c_t are the crack depth and half-length; N_t is the number of loading cycles at time t .

189 Since the explicit solution of SIFs is unavailable in most cases, Equation 2 is usually approximated
190 by a series of fracture analysis at discrete time points, in which the crack size is gradually increasing
191 step-by-step [43]. For enough accuracy, the increment in crack size should be limited to a reasonable
192 value, e.g., 1% of the current crack size.

193 2.2 Probabilistic modelling of fracture parameters

194 Probabilistic modelling is carried out on the parameters in the proposed FCG model to consider the
195 uncertainty in the initial flaw size and crack growth rule, as shown in Fig. 3. The initial flaw size is
196 modelled by the flaw depth and the aspect ratio, through which the correlation between the depth and
197 half-length of the flaw is implicitly simulated [44], as shown in Figs. 3a and b. In terms of the Paris law,
198 the crack growth rate is modelled as a random variable, and the power index is set as a deterministic value

199 of 3.0 as suggested by [31]. The distribution of the crack growth rate is reproduced via the mean and
 200 design value in [45], as shown in Fig. 3c.



201 Fig. 3. Distribution of variables in the PFCG model: (a) Initial flaw depth [44]; (b) Aspect ratio of the
 202 initial flaw [44]; (c) Crack growth rate [45].

203 The critical crack size is introduced to identify the final state of the single cracking model, i.e.,
 204 failure occurs once the critical size is achieved in either crack depth or length direction. In the case of
 205 crack depth, the critical size a_f is set as the thickness of the deck plate. In terms of the critical
 206 half-length, a notably larger value of $c_f = 200 \text{ mm}$ is assumed, above which the safety and
 207 serviceability of OSDs would be seriously impacted [4]. The weld toe is usually completed with a
 208 welding quality inferior to that of the weld root due to the sudden arc blow-out and spatter [46], variation
 209 in the flank angle [47] and potential under-cut [48] at the toe. To this end, two cases are considered in this
 210 study: (1) Case I - the distribution of initial flaw depth and aspect ratio is the same at the root and toe; (2)
 211 Case II - the mean and standard deviation of initial flaw depth at the toe are two times the values at the
 212 root while the aspect ratio is the same.

213 2.3 Limit state function and reliability block diagram

214 As aforementioned, this study marks the failure of the sole cracking model by the achievement of the
 215 critical crack size in either crack depth or length. Thus, the limit state function (LSF) of a sole cracking

216 model (i.e., TTD or RTD) can be written as Equation 3.

$$G(\dot{X}_i, t) = (a_i(t) - a_f) \cup (c_i(t) - c_f), \quad \forall i = tc \text{ or } rc \#(3)$$


217 where \dot{X}_i is the state vector of the i th cracking model.

218 Accordingly, the probability of failure (PF) of a sole cracking model can be derived as Equation 4.

$$P_{f,i} = P[G(\dot{X}_i, t) \leq 0] = 1 - (1 - P_{f,a_i}) \cdot (1 - P_{f,c_i}), \quad \forall i = tc \text{ or } rc \#(4)$$

219 where $P_{f,i}$ is the PF of the i th cracking model; P_{f,a_i} and P_{f,c_i} stand for the achievement of the
220 critical crack size at the depth and length, respectively.

221 Meanwhile, the failure of the RD joint is assumed once any of the two cracking models occur. To this
222 end, the failure of the RD joint could be idealised as a two-level hierarchical series system, as shown by
223 the reliability block diagram (RBD) in Fig. 4.



224

225

Fig. 4. System-level RBD of the RD joint

226 Accordingly, the system-level PF of the RD joint can be predicted using Equation 5.

$$P_{f,RD} = 1 - \left((1 - P_{f,a_{tc}}) \cdot (1 - P_{f,c_{tc}}) \right) \cdot \left((1 - P_{f,a_{rc}}) \cdot (1 - P_{f,c_{rc}}) \right) \#(5)$$

227 where $P_{f,RD}$ is the system-level PF of the RD joint; $P_{f,a_{tc}}$ and $P_{f,c_{tc}}$ are respectively the
228 probability of the achievement of the critical size in depth and length under the TTD cracking; $P_{f,a_{rc}}$ and

229 $P_{f,c_{rc}}$ respectively standard for the achievement of the critical size in depth and length under the RTD

230 cracking.

231 The MCS is then employed to solve Equation 4 via sampling, as shown in Equation 6.


$$P_{f, RD} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{MCS}} I[(a_{tc} \geq a_f \cup c_t \geq c_f) \cup (a_{rc} \geq a_f \cup c_r \geq c_f)]_i}{n_{MCS}} \#(6)$$

232 Where n_{MCS} is the sample size of MCS; $I[\]_i$ is the true-or-false indicator by the i th sample.

233 3. Random traffic-based derivation of stress spectra

234 3.1 Selected prototype bridge

235 A typical OSD bridge in Chengdu, China, is selected as the prototype to derive the vehicle-induced
236 stress spectra in RD joints, as shown in Fig. 5. The OSD is 12500 mm-wide, carrying three lanes with
237 different functions. Since the fatigue-critical lorries are likely to run in the slow lane, the RD joint close to
238 the left footprint of the centrally loaded vehicle is chosen as the joint of interest.



239







240 Fig. 5. Selected prototype bridge and the RD joint of interest.

241 3.2 Random traffic model

242 The random traffic model proposed in [49] is applied to incorporate the uncertainty in vehicle loads,
243 as shown in Fig. 6. Overall, the vehicles have been grouped into six types with different occupancy rate in
244 the slow lane, according to the configuration and axle weight. It is worth stating that the model excludes
245 lightweight passenger cars because of their little contribution to fatigue damage [50]. Two kinds of axles

246 are assumed for each vehicle type, including the steering axle marked in blue and the rear axle marked in
 247 red. Accordingly, two types of footprints are assumed, i.e., 300×200 mm (width and length) for the
 248 steering axle with single-tire and 600×200 mm for the rear axle with dual-tire.


249 Apart from the vehicle configuration, the axle weight of each vehicle type is also modelled as
 250 random variables. As per the feature of the axle weight, the Gaussian mixed model (GMM) is employed
 251 to fit the distribution, which could have multiple peaks. Fig. 7 shows the probability density of the weight
 252 of the 3rd axle in the type V5 vehicle, and the details about other axles can be found in [39].

| Type | Vehicle configuration (m) | Occupancy rate in slow lane (%) |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| V1 |  | 45.5 |
| V2 |  | 1.69 |
| V3 |  | 2.82 |
| V4 |  | 4.34 |
| V5 |  | 6.15 |
| V6 |  | 39.5 |

253

254

Fig. 6. The vehicle types and occupancy rate of the used random traffic model [49]




255

256

Fig. 7. Weight distribution of the 3rd axle in the type V5 vehicle


257 Meanwhile, the lateral distribution of the vehicle centre is considered according to EC1 [32], as
 258 shown in Fig. 8. For the convenience of numerical implementation, the original discrete distribution is
 259 fitted into the continuous Gaussian distribution. Based on the above model, the vehicles are generated
 260 through conditional sampling due to the interdependence among the variables in the random traffic model,
 261 as shown in Fig. 9.



262

263

Fig. 8 Lateral distribution of vehicle centre.



264

265

Fig. 9. Conditional sampling of vehicles

266 The vehicle type and lateral position are at first sampled. Then, the sample size of each vehicle type
 267 is determined after its proportion and the total sample size of MCS. Conditioned on the vehicle type,
 268 sampling is made for the number of axles, axle space, and axle weight. The number of axles and axle
 269 space are two deterministic values directly associated with the vehicle type, as shown in Fig. 6.
 270 Meanwhile, the axle weight is sampled using the edge distribution conditioned on the vehicle type, as

271 shown in Equation 7.


$$P(W_i) = \sum_{j=1}^6 P(V_j)P(W_i|V_j) \#(7)$$

272 Where W_i is the weight of the i th axle; V_j stands the j th vehicle type.

273 The traffic volume is described by the average daily traffic (ADT) with the Gaussian distribution, as

274 shown in Fig. 10. The measurement in [49] is used to calculate the mean value of the ADT, while its

275 standard deviation is determined after the COV of 0.2 reproduced from the data in [50].



276

277

Fig. 10. Distribution of the ADT


278 3.3 Influence surface-based derivation of stress spectra

279 A multi-scale FE model of the selected bridge is established [51] to derive the vehicle-induced stress

280 spectra, as shown in Fig. 11. For the balance between accuracy and efficiency, the FE model is modelled

281 by three parts with different meshing strategies, including the global model of the bridge, the sub-model

282 of the segment under investigation, and the highly refined model of the interested RD joint.



283

284

Fig. 11. Multi-scale FE model of steel bridge

285

286

287

288

289


290

291

292

293

The global model is meshed with a relatively coarse element size (i.e., 20 mm-wide and 50 mm-long) since it is mainly used to transfer the boundary condition from the global model to the sub-model. Then, the sub-model is discretised using a finer element size of 10×10 mm. The global model and sub-model are meshed separately and then coordinated via the multi-point constraint (MPC) algorithm [52]. Meanwhile, the refined model of the interested RD joint is directly embedded into the sub-model by the sharing nodes on the interface. To this end, the adaptive meshing is employed to generate a smooth transition of element size from 10×10 mm to 2×2 mm at the core region where the stress to be extracted. The influence surface method [39] is exploited to boost the FE model-based derivation of the stress spectra using the random traffic model. Figs. 12 shows the influence surface solved for the dual-tire of 60 kN.




(a)

(b)

294 Fig. 12. Influence surface of stress under the dual tire of 60 kN: (a) Weld toe; (b) Weld root.

295 Based on the influence surface, the stress history of a sampled vehicle could be easily derived by the
 296 linear operation. For the illustration purpose, a standard V6 truck is applied to derive the stress history at
 297 the weld toe and root, as shown in Fig. 13.



298 Fig. 13. Stress history solved with the standard V6 truck: (a) Weld toe; (b) Weld root.


299 The axle weight of the standard truck is set as the upper quantile of 97.7%, and the truck is assumed
 300 to pass through the centre of the slow lane. Obviously, one stress range is generated by each axle. Besides,
 301 it is interesting that the stress at the middle surface is almost ignorable compared with the stress at the
 302 bottom surface. Thus, only the bending stress is considered in the SIF calculation in the following section.

303 Based on the above method, a comprehensive database of the stress history is derived through a total
 304 of 10^7 MCS. After that, the rain-flow approach [53] is used to transform the stress history into a series of
 305 stress ranges and the corresponding number of cycles. Recalling the Paris law in Equation 1, since the
 306 same crack size is assumed in a single solution step, the above stress ranges could be converted into one
 307 equivalent stress range, as illustrated in Equation 8.

$$\Delta\sigma_e = \sqrt[m]{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{sr}} N_i \cdot \sigma_i^m} \quad \#(8)$$

308 where $\Delta\sigma_e$ is the equivalent stress range; n_{sr} is the number of stress ranges; σ_i and N_i are the *ith*
 309 stress range and the corresponding number of cycles.

310 Then, the database of equivalent stress range is established and fitted via the GMM model, as shown
 311 in Fig. 14. The distribution of the equivalent stress range shows two crests with similar proportions (i.e.,
 312 45% vs 55%), including a narrow peak in the lower region and a flat one centred on the upper region.



313 Fig. 14. Stress spectra derived by random traffic model: (a) Weld toe; (b) Weld root.

314 The lightweight steering axle is deduced as the major cause for the crest in the lower region, for
 315 which the density enriches to form a narrow peak. On the contrary, the crest in the upper region is induced
 316 by the rear axle, of which the diversity in weight leads to the flatness of the crest.

317 4. Gaussian process regression-assisted solution of SIFs


318 As aforementioned, the extremely high computational cost hinders the application of FE-based
 319 PFCG simulation. This study tries to find an alternative solution by hiring the machine learning tool,
 320 Gaussian process regression (GPR) [54], to boost the FE-based PFCG analysis. The most prominent
 321 feature of the GPR is the exploitation of the covariance between the various data points, as shown in
 322 Equation 9.

$$g(\dot{x}) = \xi(\dot{x})^T \dot{\theta} + f(\dot{x}) \# (9a)$$


$$f(\dot{x}) \sim GP(0, r(\dot{x}, \dot{x}')) \# (9b)$$

323 where $g(\dot{x})$ is the total response on the $n_d \times 1$ input vector \dot{x} ; $\dot{\theta}$ is a $n_p \times 1$ vector defining the
 324 parameters in the basis function; $\xi(\dot{x})$ stands for the explicit function to transform \dot{x} from \mathbb{R}^{n_d} to \mathbb{R}^{n_p}
 325 space; $f(\dot{x})$ is the latent function which follows the zero-mean Gaussian process; $r(\dot{x}, \dot{x}')$ is the kernel
 326 function simulating the covariance.


327 In the GPR, the influence of each train data point increase as the point of prediction moves towards it
 328 due to the application of the kernel function. As a result, the GPR demonstrates a good accuracy when
 329 interpolating with well-distributed train data [55]. In preparing the train data for the GPR, a local FE
 330 model of the RD joint is established using ANSYS [51], as shown in Fig. 15. The multi-scale modelling
 331 strategy is also applied, for which the local model and the highly refined crack body are separately
 332 meshed. Then, the crack body is connected with the local model via the surface-to-surface contact [52].
 333 By employing the local FE model, a list of train data could be generated for the SIF with different crack
 334 size under the unit bending stress, as shown by the small blue maker in Figs. 16 and 17.



335 Fig. 15. Local FE model for fracture analysis: (a) Weld toe; (b) Weld root.



336 Fig. 16. GPR training and validation of SIF at the crack tip: (a) Weld toe; (b) Weld root.




337 Fig. 17. GPR training and validation of SIF at the crack edge: (a) Weld toe; (b) Weld root.

338 The GPR model is trained using the solved data, as shown by the grey grid in Figs. 16 and 17. For
 339 the validation purpose, a series of inputs are randomly sampled and solved via the local FE model, as
 340 shown by the big red marker in Figs. 16 and 17. The result suggests an excellent agreement between the
 341 FE solution and the GPR prediction, indicating the accuracy and feasibility of the trained GPR model.

342 The trained and validated GPR model is used to surrogate the FE-based fracture analysis in the SIFs
 343 calculation, as shown in Fig. 18. Compared with the direct solution using the FE model, the computation
 344 cost can be greatly reduced through the GPR-assisted simulation. For instance, a total of 20.8 hours may

345 be spent to generate a single sample by implementing the FE-based fracture analysis with a 10-core (Intel
 346 i9-10900K) workstation [56]. Exactly, the efficiency is much higher than the model fatigue test, which
 347 usually costs one or two weeks to complete only one specimen. However, the efficiency is still far behind
 348 the need for extensive solution efforts imposed by the PFCG analysis.



349

Fig. 18. Flow chart of GPR-assisted solution using the PFCG model.

350


351 Alternatively, with the same hardware, the solution time for a single data point using the
 352 GPR-assisted approach can be less than 40 seconds, i.e., more than 1800 times improvement in the
 353 computational efficiency. As a result, the flexibility and accuracy of FE-based fracture analysis could be
 354 fully incorporated into the PFCG simulation.

355 5. Result and discussion

356 5.1 Fatigue failure model

357 The FCG history of the RD joint could be solved by implementing the PFCG model with the

358 GPR-assisted approach. Figs. 19 a and b show the FCG process of two typical failure models solved
 359 under the case I and case II (see Section 2.2), respectively. For better illustration, the mean value of
 360 variables is utilised in the calculation. In the case I, the initial flaw size is assumed the same at the weld
 361 root and toe. Compared with the toe crack, the root crack shows a slightly higher growth rate and causes
 362 the failure of the joint. In the case II, the toe crack replaces the root crack as the failure case. In addition,
 363 the final critical crack size of the toe crack is notably larger than that of the root crack in the case II. To
 364 sum, the RD joint is prone to the root cracking when the same initial flaw is assumed. However, as a
 365 larger initial flaw is assumed at the weld toe in the case II, the toe crack becomes the critical case of the
 366 failure.



367 Fig. 19. FCG process of two typical fatigue failure models: (a) Case I; (b) Case II.

368 Apart from the above two failure models, the RD joint also illustrates a third failure model, in which
 369 both the toe and root cracks reach the critical size at the same time. However, this both-cracking failure is
 370 a coincident event rarely that happens in a sense of statistics. A total of 10^6 MCS are performed to
 371 investigate the proportion of the three failure models, as shown in Fig. 20.




Fig. 20. Proportion of different failure patterns.

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

In both the cases I and II, the both-cracking failure shows a proportion well below 2.5%, which could be regarded as an event of small probability [57]. In the case I, the probability of the RTD cracking is about 281% higher than that of the TTD cracking, i.e., 77.7% vs 20.4%. In the case II, the RD shows an increased tendency of the TTD cracking about 49% higher than that in the cracking. Generally, both the TTD cracking and RTD cracking contributes to the fatigue failure notably. However, this effect would be overlooked if only the governing failure model is employed to assess the fatigue performance. Meanwhile, from a statistical point of view, the dominance of failure models depends on the initial flaw size, which represents the welding quality.

5.2 Fatigue reliability and life prediction

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

Further investigation is made on the fatigue reliability and life prediction of the interested RD joint (see Fig. 5). For better comparison, the reliability is also estimated using the PSN approach proposed in [39], with the same fatigue strength assumed for the TTD cracking and RTD cracking. A total of 10^7 MCS are conducted for the service life from 20 to 120 years, as shown by the time-variant reliability curve in Fig. 21. Since the OSD is a highly redundant system, its fatigue cracking is more likely an issue of serviceability [58]. According to JCSS [59], three reliability levels (i.e., 1.3, 1.7 and 2.3) are introduced for the comparison and life prediction, which are denoted as the lower, middle, and upper safety lines.




Fig. 21. Time-variant fatigue reliability: (a) Case I; (b) Case II.

390

391

In the case I, the reliability solved by the PFCG is slightly higher than the result by the PSN at the very beginning. With the increase in service life, the PFCG result crosses through the PSN result after about 30 years and decreases at a much higher rate. In terms of the PFCG, the systematic reliability crosses the above three safety lines after about 65, 84, and 100 years, respectively, which stands for the life prediction under the three criteria. On the contrary, the corresponding reliability curve of the PSN decreases below the upper line after roughly 110 years and is well above the other two lines after 120 years. This can be traced back to the nonlinear fatigue damage accumulation in the PFCG model since the SIF increases proportionally with the crack size. As a result, the PSN approach may lead to an overestimated life since the nonlinear damage accumulation is ignored.

399

400

The result also shows slightly lower reliability of the RTD in the case I, compared with the TTD cracking. Moreover, the system-level reliability is even lower than that of the RTD, indicating the importance of mixed failure models. For instance, by taking $\beta = 1.3$ as the bottom line, the fatigue life could be estimated as about 120 years when considering the RTD only. Once the influence of mixed failure models is considered in the system-level reliability, a much shorter life would be resulted as about


404

405 100 years. Similar trends can be observed in the result solved under the case II, except that the reliability
406 of the TTD cracking becomes lower than that of the RTD cracking. However, the PSN result stays the
407 same as in the case I since the change in the initial flaw size is not explicitly modelled in the PSN
408 approach.


409 5.3 Crack size evolution

410 In addition to the fatigue reliability and life prediction, another crucial feature of the PFCG model is
411 the ability to model the variation in crack size explicitly. Thus, the investigation is performed on the
412 time-dependent evolution of the crack size, as shown in Fig. 22. A total of 4 time points is selected,
413 including the 30, 60, 90, and 120 years. For better illustration, the distribution of crack half-length is
414 truncated at a cut-off of 40 mm, above which the probability density drops to an ignorable value.


415 According to the result, both the mean value and standard deviation of the crack size increase over
416 the service time. As a result, the distribution of crack sizes moves right and transforms from a narrow
417 curve into a flat one. Meanwhile, the distribution density accumulates at the critical crack depth of 16 mm
418 over time, indicating progressive growth in the failure probability. However, the distribution still stays in
419 the almost lognormal form once eliminating the concentration at the critical size. The comparison is made
420 on the size distribution between the toe and root cracks. In general, the mean value of both the crack
421 depth and length are slightly larger in the root crack than in the toe crack. However, an opposite trend
422 could be found in the standard deviation, of which the toe crack has a higher value than the root crack.




(a)



(b)




(c)



(d)

423 Fig. 22. Crack size distribution at $t = 30, 60$ and 120 years: (a) crack depth at toe; (b) crack half-length at toe;
 424 toe; (c) crack depth at root; (d) crack half-length at root.


425 In addition, the study also examines the time-dependent variation in the aspect ratio of crack length
 426 to depth, as shown by the boxplot in Fig. 23. In both root and toe cracks, the data dispersion decreases
 427 from the initial peak value to its trough at 60 years and slowly escalates until 120 years. However, the
 428 dispersion at the end of 120 years is still much lower than the initial one. Meanwhile, the aspect ratio
 429 distribution also demonstrates a progressive evolution of skewness, i.e., from the initial positive skewness
 430 to the normal curve at 40 years and then to the negative skewness at 120 years.



431 Fig. 23. Evolution of aspect ratio: (a) Weld toe; (b) Weld root.

432 5.4 Remain fatigue life

433 Based on the derived crack size distribution, the remain fatigue life of the RD joint could also be
 434 estimated, as shown in Fig. 24. According to the result, the distribution moves left with time, indicating
 435 the gradual reduction in the remain life. Meanwhile, the probability density gradually accumulates near
 436 the value of zero. Accordingly, the distribution changes from the original lognormal shape to the normal
 437 form at $t = 40$ years, and then to the gamma distribution at $t = 80$ years, and finally to almost the
 438 exponential one at the end of $t = 120$ years. As a result, the failure probability skyrockets even a moderate
 439 mean remain life of about 159 years is expected.



440
 441 Fig. 24. Distribution of remain fatigue life at $t = 0, 40, 80$ and 120 years.

442 6. Conclusions

443 In this study, a probabilistic fatigue crack growth (PFCG) model is established for the rib-to-deck
444 (RD) joint considering the mixed failure models. Based on the observation reported in the literature, two
445 typical failure models are incorporated in the PFCG model, including the toe-to-deck (TTD) cracking and
446 root-to-deck (RTD) cracking. In solving the PFCG model, the Gaussian process regression (GPR) model
447 is used to assist and boost the fracture analysis after well trained. Using the above approach, a series of
448 investigations is carried out on the RD joint respecting the fatigue failure model, fatigue reliability and
449 life prediction, crack size evolution, and remain fatigue life. Above all, the following conclusions are
450 drawn.

451 (1) Through the application of the GPR to assist in solving the PFCG model, the computational
452 efficiency improves more than 1800 times compared with the pure FE solution. As a result, the
453 PFCG simulation could be implemented with a dedicate balance between accuracy, efficiency, and
454 flexibility.

455 (2) Besides the TTD and RTD cracking models, the RD joint shows a third cracking model with a very
456 small possibility no more than 2.5%, i.e., the TTD cracking and RTD cracking occurs simultaneously.
457 In the case I when the same initial flaw is assumed, the RD joint is more prone to the RTD cracking
458 (77.7%) than the TTD cracking (20.4%). In the case II when the assumed flaw size is doubled at the
459 weld toe due to the inferior welding quality, the TTD cracking (58.3%) replace the RTD cracking
460 (39.2%) as the dominant one. In both cases, the secondary cracking model shows a notable
461 contribution to fatigue failure in a statistical sense, indicating the importance in considering mixed
462 failure models.

463 (3) The reliability of the RTD cracking is slightly lower than that of the TTD cracking in the case I,
464 which also indicates the inclination to RTD cracking. More important, the system-level reliability
465 considering mixed failure models is even lower than the RTD cracking. As result, the fatigue life
466 would be overestimated using the dominant cracking model only. For instance, taking $\beta = 1.3$ as the
467 bottom line, the fatigue life is close to 120 years under the RTD cracking, compared with a shorten
468 life of roughly 100 years in the system-level reliability.

469 (4) The distribution of crack size shows a steady development in both the mean value and standard
470 deviation, while it stays in almost the lognormal form. As a result, the probability density function
471 gradually moves right and transforms from a narrow curve on the left to a flat one. In terms of the
472 aspect ratio, the dispersity decreases rapidly at the first and then escalates slowly over time. Besides,
473 the distribution of the aspect ratio also shows a progressive evolvement from original positive
474 skewness to the normal form after 40 years, and then to the negative skewness at the end of 120
475 years.

476 (5) The remain fatigue life shows a notable transformation in the distribution shape, i.e., from the
477 original lognormal shape to the normal form at 40 years, and to the gamma shape at 80 years, and
478 then to the exponential one at 120 years. As a result, the probability density rapidly enriches in the
479 lower region, which in turn escalates the failure probability quickly even with a notable mean value.

480 Acknowledgement

481 The study is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number:
482 51778536, 52008066).

483 Conflict of Interest

484 There is no conflict of interest associated with this publication.

485 Date Availability Statement

486 Some or all the data, model or code data employed in this paper are available from the first author
487 upon reasonable request.

488 References

489 [1] Kozy, B., Connor, R., Paterson, D., Mertz, D. (2011). Proposed Revisions to AASHTO-LRFD Bridge

490 Design Specifications for Orthotropic Steel Deck Bridges, *J. Bridge Eng.*, 16: 759-767.

491 [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)BE.1943-5592.0000214](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)BE.1943-5592.0000214).

492 [2] Connor R., Fisher J., Gatti W., et al., Manual for design, construction, and maintenance of orthotropic

493 steel deck bridges, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC., US., 2012.

494 [3] Dooren F., Nagtegaal G., Ashurst D., Gratton D., Blanken S., and Kunst, Pajc. (2010).

495 Orthotropic Deck Fatigue: Renovation of 8 Bridges in the Netherlands. *Structural Faults and*

496 *Repair-2010: 13th International Conference*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.1571.1200>.

497 [4] Kolstein, MH., *Fatigue Classification of Welded Joints in Orthotropic Steel Bridge Decks*, Gildeprint

498 Drukkerijen B.V., Delft, the Netherlands, 2007.

499 [5] Zhu, J., Zhang, W., Li, X. (2019). Fatigue Damage Assessment of Orthotropic Steel Deck Using

500 Dynamic Bayesian Networks, *Int. J. Fatigue*, 118: 44-53.

501 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2018.08.037>.

502 [6] Steel Construction Committee of JSCE, Survey and research report by the subcommittee of plate

503 welded joints, JSCE Publications, Tokyo, Japan, 2007. (In Japanese)

- 504 [7] Heng, J., Zheng, K., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y. (2018). Enhancing Fatigue Performance of Rib-to-Deck
505 Joints in Orthotropic Steel Decks Using Thickened Edge U-Ribs. In ASCE Struct. Cong. 2018,
506 Reston, VA., US.
- 507 [8] Tian, Y., Li, Y., Zhang, D., Dai, Y. (2011). Static and fatigue test research on welded rib-to-deck
508 connections in steel orthotropic steel bridge deck, *J. Rail. Sci. Eng.*, 8(2): 34-39. (In Chinese)
- 509 [9] Heng, J., Zheng, K., Gou, C., Zhang, Y., & Bao, Y. (2017). Fatigue performance of rib-to-deck joints
510 in orthotropic steel decks with thickened edge u-ribs, *J. BRIDGE ENG.*, 22(9): 04017059.
511 [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)be.1943-5592.0001095](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)be.1943-5592.0001095)
- 512 [10] Heng, J., Zheng, K., Kaewunruen, S., Zhu, J., Baniotopoulos, C. (2020). Probabilistic fatigue
513 assessment of rib-to-deck joints using thickened edge U-ribs. *Steel Compos. Struct.*, 2, 23-56.
- 514 [11] Cheng, B., Ye, X., Cao, X., Mbako, D., Cao, Y. (2017) Experimental study on fatigue failure of
515 rib-to-deck welded connections in orthotropic steel bridge decks, *Int. J. Fatigue*, 103: 157-167.
516 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2017.05.021>.
- 517 [12] Nagy, W., Wang, B., Culek, B., Bogaert, P., Backer, H. (2017). Development of a fatigue experiment
518 for the stiffener-to-deck plate connection in Orthotropic Steel Decks, *Int. J. Steel Struct.*, 17:
519 1353-1364. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13296-017-1207-8>
- 520 [13] Li, M., Suzuki, Y., Hashimoto, K., Sugiura, K. (2018). Experimental Study on Fatigue Resistance of
521 Rib-to-Deck Joint in Orthotropic Steel Bridge Deck. *J. Bridge Eng.*, 23(2): 04017128.
522 [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)BE.1943-5592.0001175](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)BE.1943-5592.0001175).
- 523 [14] Ocel J., Cross B., Wright W., Yuan H. Optimization of rib-to-deck welds for steel orthotropic bridge
524 decks, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC., US., 2017.

- 525 [15] Yamada, K., Ya, S. (2008). Plate bending fatigue tests for root crack of trough rib of orthotropic steel
526 deck, *JSCE J. Struct. Eng.*, 54: 675-684.
- 527 [16] Ya, S., Yamada, K., Ishikawa, T. (2010). Fatigue evaluation of rib-to-deck welded joints of
528 orthotropic steel bridge deck. *J Bridge Eng.*, 16(4): 492-499.
- 529 [17] Lv, P., Li, D. (2013). Fatigue test study on the rib-to-deck welded joint in orthotropic steel decks, *J.*
530 *Zhengzhou Univ. (Eng. Sci.)*, 34(2): 89-93. (In Chinese)
- 531 [18] Fu, Z., Ji, B., Zhang, C., Wang, Q. (2017). Fatigue performance of roof and U-rib weld of orthotropic
532 steel bridge deck with different penetration rates, *J Bridge Eng.*, 22(6): 04017016.
- 533 [19] Sim, H., Uang, C., Sikorsky, C. (2009). Effects of Fabrication Procedures on Fatigue Resistance of
534 Welded Joints in Steel Orthotropic Decks, *Journal of Bridge Engineering*, *J. Bridge Eng.*, 14(5):
535 366-373. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)1084-0702\(2009\)14:5\(366\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0702(2009)14:5(366)).
- 536 [20] Kainuma, S., Yang, M., Jeong, Y., Inokuchi, S., Kawabata, A., Uchida, D. (2016). Experiment on
537 fatigue behavior of rib-to-deck weld root in orthotropic steel decks, *J. Constr. Steel Res.*, 119:
538 113-122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcsr.2015.11.014>.
- 539 [21] Wang, C., Zhai, M., Tang, Y., Chen, W., Qu, T. (2017). Numerical Fracture Mechanical Simulation of
540 Fatigue Crack Coupled Propagation Mechanism for Steel Bridge Deck. *China J. Highway &*
541 *Transport*, 30(3): 82-95. (In Chinese)
- 542 [22] Li, J., Zhang, Q., Bao, Y., Zhu, J., Chen, L., Bu, Y. (2019). An equivalent structural stress-based
543 fatigue evaluation framework for rib- to-deck welded joints in orthotropic steel deck. *Eng. Struct.*
544 <https://doi.org/196.10.1016/j.engstruct.2019.109304>.

- 545 [23] Luo, P., Zhang, Q., Bao, Y., Zhou, A. (2018). Fatigue evaluation of rib-to-deck welded joint using
546 averaged strain energy density method, *Eng. Struct.*, 177: 682-694.
547 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2018.09.090>.
- 548 [24] European committee for standardization (CEN), EN 1993: Eurocode 3 - design of steel structures,
549 CEN, Brussels, Belgium, 2005.
- 550 [25] Ministry of communications of the People's Republic of China. Specifications for design of highway
551 steel bridges (GB/T D64-2015), CCPress, Beijing, China, 2015. (in Chinese).
- 552 [26] AASHTO, AASHTO LRFD bridge design specifications, 9th edition. Washington, DC., US., 2020.
- 553 [27] Shen, C. (1994). The statistical analysis of fatigue data, PhD Thesis, University of Arizona, Tucson.
- 554 [28] Anderson, TL., *Fracture Mechanics: Fundamentals and Applications (Fourth Edition)*, CRC press,
555 Boca Raton, FL, US., 2017.
- 556 [29] Berg, N., Xin, H., Veljkovic, M. (2020). Effects of residual stresses on fatigue crack propagation of
557 an orthotropic steel bridge deck, *Mater. Design*, 198(2021), 109294
558 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2020.109294>.
- 559 [30] Biondini, F., Frangopol, D. (2016). Life-Cycle Performance of Deteriorating Structural Systems
560 under Uncertainty: Review. *J. Struct. Eng.*, 142: F4016001.
561 [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)ST.1943-541X.0001544](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ST.1943-541X.0001544).
- 562 [31] Hobbacher, A. (2016), *Recommendations for Fatigue Design of Welded Joints and Components*,
563 Springer, Basel, Switzerland.
- 564 [32] European committee for standardization, EN 1991: Eurocode 1 - Actions on structures - part 2: traffic
565 loads on bridges, CEN, Brussels, Belgium, 2003.

- 566 [33] Kwon, K., Frangopol, D. (2010). Bridge fatigue reliability assessment using probability density
567 functions of equivalent stress range based on field monitoring data, *Int. J. Fatigue*, 32: 1221-1232.
568 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2010.01.002>.
- 569 [34] Heng, J., Zheng, K., Kaewunruen, S., Baniotopoulos C. (2019). Stochastic Traffic-Based Fatigue
570 Life Assessment of Rib-to-Deck Welding Joints in Orthotropic Steel Decks with Thickened Edge
571 U-Ribs, *Appl. Sci.*, 9(13): 2582. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app9132582>
- 572 [35] Zhu, J., Zhang, W. (2018). Probabilistic fatigue damage assessment of coastal slender bridges under
573 coupled dynamic loads, *Eng. Struct.*, 166: 274-285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2018.03.073>.
- 574 [36] Liu, Y., Mahadevan, S. (2009). Probabilistic fatigue life prediction using an equivalent initial flaw
575 size distribution, *Int. J. Fatigue*, 31: 476-487. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2008.06.005>.
- 576 [37] Righiniotis, TD., Chryssanthopoulos, MK. (2003). Probabilistic fatigue analysis under constant
577 amplitude loading, *J. Constr. Steel Res.*, 59(7): 867-886.
- 578 [38] Guo, T., Frangopol, D., & Chen, Y. (2012). Fatigue reliability assessment of steel bridge details
579 integrating weigh-in-motion data and probabilistic finite element analysis, *COMPUT. STRUCT.*,
580 112-113(4): 245-257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruc.2012.09.002>
- 581 [39] Heng, J., Zheng, K., Kaewunruen, S., Zhu, J., & Baniotopoulos, C. (2019). Dynamic Bayesian
582 network-based system-level evaluation on fatigue reliability of orthotropic steel decks. *ENG. FAIL.*
583 *ANAL.*, 105, 1212-1228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2019.06.092>
- 584 [40] Maljaars, J., Vrouwenvelder, A. (2014). Probabilistic fatigue life updating accounting for inspections
585 of multiple critical locations, *Int. J. Fatigue*, 68: 24-37.

- 586 [41] Maljaars, J., Bonet, E., Pijpers, R. (2018). Fatigue resistance of the deck plate in steel orthotropic
587 deck structures. *Eng. Fract. Mech.* 201: 214-228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfracmech.2018.06.014>.
- 588 [42] Wang, B., Zhou, X., Backer, H., Chen, A., Schmidt, F. (2017). Macro-crack initiation life for
589 orthotropic steel decks considering weld heterogeneity and random traffic loading, *Struct. Infrastruct.*
590 *Eng.*, 13(12): 1639-1652. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15732479.2017.1315733>
- 591 [43] Gupta, RS., Xin, H., & Veljkovic, M. (2019). Fatigue crack propagation simulation of orthotropic
592 bridge deck based on extended finite element method. *Procedia Structural Integrity*, 22: 283-290.
593 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prostr.2020.01.036>
- 594 [44] Kountouris, IS., Baker, MJ. (1989). Defect assessment: analysis of the dimensions of defects
595 detected by ultrasonic inspection in an offshore structure, CESLIC Report OR8. Imperial College of
596 Science and Technology, London, UK.
- 597 [45] British Standards Institution (BSI). BS 7910:2015 Guide to methods for assessing the acceptability
598 of flaws in metallic structures, BSI Standards Limited, London, UK, 2015.
- 599 [46] Schork, B., Kucharczyk, P., Madia, M., Zerbst, U., Hensel, J. & Bernhard, J. & Tchuindjang, Didi
600 Deflor & Kaffenberger, Matthias & Oechsner, M.. (2017). The effect of the local and global weld
601 geometry as well as material defects on crack initiation and fatigue strength. *Eng. Fract. Mech.*, 198:
602 103-122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfracmech.2017.07.001>.
- 603 [47] Zerbst, U., Ainsworth, RA., Beier, HTh., Pisarski, H., Zhang, Z., Nikbin, K., & Nitschke-Pagel, T.,
604 Muenstermann, S., Kucharczyk, P., Klingbeil, D. (2014). Review on fracture and crack propagation in
605 weldments - A fracture mechanics perspective, *Eng. Fract. Mech.*, 132: 200-276.
606 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfracmech.2014.05.012>.

607 [48] Bell, R., Vosikovsky, O., Bain, S. (1989). The Significance of Weld Toe Undercuts in the Fatigue of
608 Steel Plate T-Joints, *Int. J. Fatigue*, 11(1): 3-11. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0142-1123\(89\)90041-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0142-1123(89)90041-8).

609 [49] Guo, T., Liu, Z., Zhu, JS. (2015). Fatigue reliability assessment of orthotropic steel bridge decks
610 based on probabilistic multi-scale finite element analysis, *Adv. Steel Constr.*, 11: 334-346.
611 <https://doi.org/10.18057/IJASC.2015.11.3.7>.

612 [50] Lu, N., Liu, Y., Deng, Y. (2018). Fatigue Reliability Evaluation of Orthotropic Steel Bridge Decks
613 Based on Site-Specific Weigh-in-Motion Measurements, *Int. J. Steel Struct.*, 19: 181-192.
614 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13296-018-0109-8>.

615 [51] ANSYS. Engineering Simulation and 3D Design Software; ANSYS Inc., Canonsburg, US.
616 <http://www.ansys.com/>

617 [52] ANSYS (2020). Mechanical APDL Documentation, ANSYS Inc., Canonsburg, PA, US.

618 [53] Amzallag, C., Gerey, J. P., Robert, J. L., Bahuaud, J. (1994). Standardization of the rainflow counting
619 method for fatigue analysis, *Int. J. Fatigue*, 16(4): 287-293.
620 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0142-1123\(94\)90343-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0142-1123(94)90343-3)

621 [54] Rasmussen, CE., Nickisch, H. (2010). Gaussian processes for machine learning (GPML) toolbox.
622 *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 11, 3011-3015.

623 [55] Kim, NH., An, D., Choi, JH. *Prognostics and health management of engineering systems*. Springer
624 International Publishing, Switzerland, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-44742-1>

625 [56] Intel. Central processing units; Intel Inc., Santa Clara, CA., US. <http://www.intel.com/>

626 [57] Devore JL., *Probability and statistics for engineering and the sciences*, Cengage learning, Boston,
627 2011. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2532427>

- 628 [58] Imam, B., Chryssanthopoulos, M. (2010). A review of metallic bridge failure statistics. In
629 Proceedings of the Fifth International IABMAS Conference, Philadelphia, US.
- 630 [59] JCSS. probabilistic model code, part 1: basis of design, JCSS, Aalborg, 2000.