UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Effect of CeO₂ nanoparticles on plant growth and soil microcosm in a soil-plant interactive system

Xie, Changjian; Guo, Zhiling; Zhang, Peng; Yang, Jie; Zhang, Junzhe; Ma, Yuhui; He, Xiao; Lynch, Iseult; Zhang, Zhiyong

DOI: 10.1016/j.envpol.2022.118938

License: Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Xie, C, Guo, Z, Zhang, P, Yang, J, Zhang, J, Ma, Y, He, X, Lynch, I & Zhang, Z 2022, 'Effect of CeO nanoparticles on plant growth and soil microcosm in a soil-plant interactive system', *Environmental Pollution*, vol. 300, 118938. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.118938

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1	Effect of CeO ₂ Nanoparticles on Plant Growth and Soil						
2	Microcosm in a Soil-Plant Interactive System						
3							
4	Changjian Xie ^{a, b,#} , Zhiling Guo ^{c,#} , Peng Zhang ^{c,d} , Jie Yang ^b , Junzhe Zhang ^b , Yuhui Ma ^b ,						
5	Xiao He ^b , Iseult Lynch ^c , Zhiyong Zhang ^{b, e,*}						
6							
7	^a School of Life Sciences and Medicine, Shandong University of Technology, No. 266						
8	Xincun West Road, Zibo, 255000, Shandong, China.						
9	^b Key Laboratory for Biomedical Effects of Nanomaterials and Nanosafety, Institute of High						
10	Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China.						
11	^c School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Science, University of Birmingham, B15						
12	2TT, Birmingham, U.K.						
13	^d Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, University of Science and						
14	Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, China						
15	^e School of Nuclear Science and Technology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences,						
16	Beijing, 100049, China.						
17							
18	*Corresponding author: Zhiyong Zhang, email: <u>zhangzhy@ihep.ac.cn</u> ; Phone:						
19	86-10-88233215.						
20	[#] The two authors contributed equally to the manuscript.						
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							

28	Highlights:
29	Both CeO ₂ NPs and Ce ³⁺ ions stimulated cucumber roots growth.
30	Biotransformation of CeO2 NPs occurred in root rhizosphere.
31	CeO_2 NPs and Ce^{3+} ions altered bacterial taxonomic and compositions.
32	CeO ₂ NPs showed particle-specific effects.
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	

55 Abstract

The impact of CeO₂ nanoparticles (NPs) on plant physiology and soil microcosm and 56 the underlying mechanism remains unclear to date. This study investigates the effect of CeO₂ 57 NPs on plant growth and soil microbial communities in both the rhizosphere of cucumber 58 seedlings and the surrounding bulk soil, with CeCl₃ as a comparison to identify the 59 contribution of the particulate and ionic form to the phytotoxicity of CeO₂ NPs. The results 60 show that Ce was significantly accumulated in the cucumber tissue after CeO₂ NPs exposure. 61 In the roots, 5.3% of the accumulated Ce has transformed to Ce^{3+} . This transformation might 62 take place prior to uptake by the roots since 2.5% of CeO₂ NPs was found transformed in the 63 rhizosphere soil. However, the transformation of CeO₂ NPs in the bulk soil was negligible, 64 indicating the critical role of rhizosphere chemistry in the transformation. CeO₂ NPs 65 treatment induced oxidative stress in the roots, but the biomass of the roots was significantly 66 increased, although the Vitamin C (Vc) content and soluble sugar content were decreased and 67 mineral nutrient contents were altered. The soil enzymatic activity and the microbial 68 community in both rhizosphere and bulk soil samples were altered, with rhizosphere soil 69 70 showing more prominent changes. CeCl₃ treatment induced similar effects although less than CeO₂ NPs, suggesting that Ce^{3+} released from CeO₂ NPs contributed to the CeO₂ NPs 71 induced impacts on soil health and plant physiology. 72

73

74 Keywords: CeO₂ NPs; Transformation; Soil enzymes; Soil bacterial community;
75 Rhizosphere; Cucumber seedlings

- 76
- 77
- 78
- 79

80

81

83 1. Introduction

The UN Food and Agriculture Organization and the World Bank are promoting the use 84 of nanotechnology as a sustainable technology to increase crop yields to feed the growing 85 population (Asadishad et al., 2018). Engineered nanomaterials (ENMs) present great 86 potentials in the agricultural application (Chen et al., 2021). For example, CeO₂ nanoparticles 87 (NPs) have shown their potential in crop protection due to their intrinsic antioxidative 88 capacity (Dai et al., 2020). However, unlike application in other fields, the agricultural 89 application requires large scale and high quantities which raises concerns about their adverse 90 91 effects on the agricultural ecosystem (e.g. soil and plant health) as well as on animal and 92 human health (Zhang et al., 2021).

The interactions between CeO₂ NPs and terrestrial plants have been extensively studied. 93 Priester et al. (2012) demonstrated that high concentrations (1000 mg/kg) of CeO₂ NPs 94 significantly reduced the yield of soybean by 22.5%. Lower concentration (200 mg/kg) of 95 CeO₂ NPs was reported to reduce the photosynthetic rate and CO₂ assimilation efficiency of 96 Clarkia unguiculata, possibly by disrupting energy transfer from photosystem II to the Calvin 97 cycle (Conway et al., 2015). However, contradictory results found no phytotoxic effects on 98 Cucumis sativus in the Hoagland solution at concentrations up to 2000 mg/kg (Ma et al., 99 100 2015). Moreover, at lower doses (100 mg/kg) nano-CeO₂ showed positive impacts on the photosynthesis and growth of Lactuca sativa (Gui et al., 2015). 101

Soil ecosystem is the most important sink of nanomaterials (Nowack and Bucheli, 2007). 102 Soil microorganisms are essential to many ecological functions, particularly in soil organic 103 matter decomposition and nutrient mineralization, which has greatly impact the growth of 104 terrestrial plants (Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2016). Wang et al. (2018b) reported that 105 long-term exposure (210 days) of activated sludge to 1 mg/L CeO₂ NPs induced the 106 107 deterioration of denitrifying process by reducing the abundance of some dominant denitrifying bacteria such as Acinetobacter and Flexibacter. Pan et al. (2020) found that 108 CeO₂ NPs exposure with Fe amendment enhanced the abundances of several functionally 109 significant bacterial phyla including Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes, which was associated 110

with C and N cycling. The microorganism in the soil plays important roles in maintaining 111 plant health. However, so far, most research has focused only on the impact of CeO₂ NPs on 112 plant species in the hydroponic culture system, with limited study investigating effect in the 113 114 soil-plant system.

The present study aims to evaluate the effect of CeO₂ NPs on plant growth and soil 115 microcosm in a soil-plant interactive system. Effects of the ionic form of Ce was studied as a 116 comparison to identify the contribution of the particulate and ionic form to the CeO₂ NPs 117 toxicity. The chemical species of Ce was determined by X-ray absorption near-edge 118 spectroscopy (XANES) to examine the role of biotransformation in the observed biological 119 effects. Bulk and rhizosphere soils were compared to understand the role of the rhizosphere 120 in the response of bacteria to exposure. 121

2. Materials and Methods 122

123 2.1 Chemicals and Nanomaterials

Ce(NO₃)₃·6H₂O and CeCl₃·7H₂O (purity of 99.9%) were purchased from Sinopharm 124 Chemical Reagent Beijing Co., Ltd. (China). CeO₂ NPs were synthesized using a 125 precipitation method described previously (Xie et al., 2021). Briefly, 10 mmol of 126 $Ce(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ was added to 320 mL of NaOH solution (78 mmol·L⁻¹), followed by 127 vigorous stirring using a magnetic stirrer for 48 h. The resulting precipitate was collected by 128 centrifugation (15000 \times g), followed by several washes with deionized (DI) water and 129 130 ethanol. The particle morphology, size, crystal structure, hydrodynamic diameter, and zeta potential in DI water and Hoagland nutrient solution, surface chemical valence states were 131 characterized using Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM 200CX, Japan), powder 132 X-ray diffraction (XRD, X'pert PRO MPD, Holland), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy 133 (XPS, Thermo ESCALAB 250XI, USA) and dynamic light scattering (DLS, Zetasizer Nano 134 ZS90, UK), respectively. 135

2.2 Dissolution of CeO₂ NPs 136



The dissolution of $CeO_2 NPs$ in DI water was analyzed by measuring the Ce^{3+} released

into the solution. Briefly, CeO₂ NPs suspensions (100 mg/L) in 25 mL deionized H₂O were prepared and incubated for 48 h at 37 °C, followed by centrifuging at 11,000 g for 15 min. The supernatants were collected and diluted with 2% nitric acids for ICP-MS analysis (Thermo Elemental X7). A range of Ce standard solutions (0.1, 1, 5, 10, 50, 100, 500 μ g/L) were also measured for calibration. The recovery rates of Ce was tested to be 99.87%.

143 2.3 Plant-Soil System Exposure and Sample Collection

Silt loam soil (13% clay, 55% silt, 30.9% sand, and 1.1% organic matter content, pH 144 7.85) was collected from the Shangzhuang Experimental Station of China Agricultural 145 University and air-dried, followed by sieving through a 2 mm mesh and stored at 4°C. 146 Cucumber seeds (Cucumis sativus, Zhongnong NO.16) were purchased from the Chinese 147 Academy of Agricultural Sciences. 30 plastic pots (6.0 cm diameter×5.3 cm height) filled 148 with 60 g of the sieved soil were divided into six equal groups for different treatments: 149 unamended control, CeO₂ NPs treatment at 5.8 mmol kg⁻¹ (1000 mg/kg), and CeCl₃·6H₂O 150 treatment at 0.6 mmol kg⁻¹ (100 mg/kg), with and without plant seedling. The concentration 151 of 100 mg/kg ionic Ce was chosen under the assumption that 10% of the CeO₂ NPs would be 152 dissolved (Pagano et al., 2016). 153

154 Cucumber seeds were germinated on moist paper towels for 4 d. Then 15 uniform 155 seedlings were selected and transferred to the corresponding pots (planted pots). The 156 remaining 15 pots were left unplanted. Then 10 mL CeO₂ NPs suspension, CeCl₃ solutions, 157 and Hoagland solution (control group) were applied in each treatment (day 1). Hoagland's 158 solution was used to water the pots every day. Both planted and unplanted pots were 159 cultivated in a climate chamber with 16 h photoperiod (light intensity of $1.76 \times 10^4 \,\mu mol/m^2 \,s$), 160 $25^{\circ}C/18^{\circ}C$ day/night temperature and 50%/70% day/night humidity.

161 Twenty days after transplanting (day 21), samples of soil and plants were harvested. The 162 soil on the root surface was manually removed and collected as rhizosphere soil. Soils from 163 the unplanted treatments were used as bulk soil samples. A portion of one soil sample was 164 stored at 4 °C for enzymatic activity measurements, and the remainder was stored at -80 °C to 165 characterize the soil bacterial community structure. Fresh plants were collected and the physiological response was measured immediately. For other measurements, the plants are
washed, dried at 60 °C, and then weighed to acquire constant weight.

168 2.4 Plant Physiology

At day 21, the relative chlorophyll content of the cucumber leaves was measured before 169 harvest using a Konic Minolta SPAD-502 Plus (Konica Minolta Optics, Japan). Total soluble 170 sugar was determined according to the method described by Buysse and Merckx (1993). Leaf 171 nitrate-N content was analyzed by a colorimetric method (Cataldo et al., 1975). Soluble 172 protein concentrations in the roots and leaves were determined using the Pierce BCA Protein 173 assay kit (Thermo Scientific). The content of Vitamin C was analyzed using an assay kit 174 (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, China) according to the manufacturer's 175 instructions. 176

177 2.5 Element Measurement of Ce and Mineral Nutrient in plant tissues

To quantify the macro-and micro-nutrient contents (K, Ca, Na, Mg, Fe, S, P, Cu, Zn, Mn, 178 and Mo) and concentration of Ce in plants, dried roots, stems, and leaves were ground into 179 fine powders and digested with a 3:1 (v:v) mixture of HNO₃ (75%) and H₂O₂ (30%) on a 180 heating plate (80 °C for 1 h, 120 °C for 3 h, and 160 °C for 0.5 h). Elemental concentrations 181 in the digestion solution were then analyzed by ICP-MS or inductively coupled plasma 182 optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES, Perkin Elmer). Standard solutions (0.5-50 mg/L) 183 containing all of the selected elements were used for external calibration. Blanks were 184 analyzed between every six samples. Spiking recovery experiments and analysis of certified 185 reference materials (GBW 07602 and GBW07603 Bush Branches and Leaves) were 186 performed for analytical method validation. Recoveries and detection limits for all of the 187 elements are reported in Table S1. The recoveries for all elements were between 93.1% and 188 111.5% with a relative standard deviation of < 1.5% (Table S2). 189

190 2.6 Stress Response of Cucumber to CeO₂ NPs and CeCl₃

Fresh roots, stems and leaves were excised, homogenized with cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (50 mM, pH 7.8), and centrifuged at $10000 \times g$ at 4 °C for 10 min. The supernatants were collected for analyses of superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD), catalase (CAT) activities, and the malondialdehyde (MDA) contents according to the
 manufacturer's instructions (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China).

196 **2.7 Ce Speciation Analysis by XANES**

To analyze the chemical species of Ce in plant roots and soils, all samples were ground 197 to fine powders and pressed into thin slices ($\sim 2 \text{ mm}$). Ce L_{III} edge (5723 eV) spectra were 198 recorded at ambient temperature in fluorescence mode at beamline 1W1B of the Beijing 199 Synchrotron Radiation Facility. The storage ring was run at 2.5 GeV with a current intensity 200 of 50 mA during the spectra collection. XANES spectra of the reference compound CeO₂ 201 202 NPs and CePO₄ were also collected. Linear combination fitting (LCF) analyses of the XANES spectra were performed on the software program ATHENA to identify and quantify 203 Ce species. 204

205 **2.8 Determination of Enzymatic Activity in Soil**

Acid phosphatase, β -D-glucosidase, and arylsulfatase activities were quantified using 206 the method described by Saiya-Cork et al. (2002). Urease activity was evaluated by 207 measuring the release of NH₃-N (mg) per gram of dry soil in 24 h (Yang et al., 2007). 208 Dehydrogenase activity was tested by a method for reductive generation of triphenyl 209 210 formazan (TF), expressing as TF (mg) per gram dry soil in 24 h (Ross, 1971). Peroxidase activity was expressed as the amount of quinone in mg formed per g dry soil in 2 h (Mi and 211 Kim, 1994). Invertase activity was determined with sucrose as a substrate, based on 212 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid colorimetry to detect glucose (mg) per gram dry soil in 24 h (Yang et 213 al., 2006). 214

215 **2.9 DNA extraction analysis**

Total DNA was extracted from a 0.3 g soil sample using a Power Soil DNA extraction kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The 16S rDNA V4 region of the sample is amplified by the specific primers with Barcode in the designated sequence area, which is 515F (5'-GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3') and 806R (5'-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3'). The polymerase chain reactions (PCR) were conducted using the following temperature profiles: denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of amplification at 94 °C for 1 min, 48

°C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1 min, followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min, and 222 finally held at 4 °C. In addition, soil samples from triplicates were mixed, with the DNA 223 extracted and amplified. The amplified products were separated by 1.5 % agarose 224 electrophoresis. Purified amplicons were obtained using a QIAquick PCR purification kit 225 (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA), and concentrations were determined on GE NanoVue System 226 (Thermo Scientific). Then a library was constructed using Illumina TruSeq DNA PCR-Free 227 Sample Prep Kit. The paired-end sequencing was performed at Beijing Genome Institute, 228 Beijing, China, using a paired 150 bp MiSeq 2000 sequencing system (Illumina, San Diego, 229 230 CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

231 2.10 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted using the SPSS 19.0 statistical software package for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to compare the significance of differences between different groups. The significance levels (*, #*P* < 0.05, **, ##*P* < 0.01/0.001) between the different treatments and the control were determined by the Fisher Least Significant Difference (LSD) test.

238

239 **3. Results and Discussion**

240 3.1 Characterization of CeO₂ NPs

The average particle size of CeO₂ NPs is 5.1 ± 0.8 nm (**Fig. S1a**). XRD analysis showed that the CeO₂ NPs have a cubic fluorite structure (**Fig. S1b**). XPS spectra show that the percentage of surface Ce³⁺ is 4.7% (**Fig. S1c**). The hydrodynamic size in DI water and Hoagland's solution were 653 ± 166 nm and 1059 ± 139 nm, respectively. The ζ potential of CeO₂ NPs in DI water and Hoagland's solutions were 5.75 ± 0.13 mV and -1.38 ± 0.26 mV, respectively (**Fig. S1d**). The solubility of CeO₂ NPs (100 mg/L) in water was very low (< 0.1%).

248 **3.2** Plant physiological responses to CeO₂ NPs and CeCl₃ exposure

As shown in Fig. 1, CeO₂ NPs significantly increased the biomass (fresh and dry weight) 249 of cucumber roots but not stems and leaves (Fig. 1a, b). However, the organic nutrient 250 contents were reduced. The contents of Vc and soluble sugar in leaves were reduced by 54% 251 (P < 0.01) and 32% (P < 0.05), respectively, by CeO₂ NPs treatment (Fig. 1e, f). The total 252 soluble protein, nitrate-N content, and chlorophyll contents in cucumber leaves were not 253 affected (Fig. 1c, d, g, h). Similar to CeO₂ NPs, CeCl₃ induced similar trends of changes in 254 the biomass of roots and Vc contents, suggesting that CeO2 NPs and CeCl3 share a similar 255 effect and indicating that dissolution might partly contribute to the impacts of CeO₂ NPs. 256 Therefore, the transformation of CeO₂ NPs in soil and plant was analyzed next. 257



Fig. 1 Phenotypes and contents of organic nutrients after CeO₂ NPs and CeCl₃ exposure for 20 days. a) Fresh weight and b) dry weight of plant roots, stems, and leaves, respectively. c) and d) Soluble protein of the cucumber seedlings treated with CeO₂ NPs and CeCl₃ ions in roots and leaves. e), f), g), and h) are the contents of Vc, soluble sugar, and nitrate-N content of the cucumber seedlings and relative chlorophyll contents (SPAD) in leaves treated with CeO₂ NPs and CeCl₃ ions. Different lowercase letters indicate

significant difference between different groups at p < 0.05 (n = 6).

3.3 Distribution and chemical species of Ce in plant tissues

Ce accumulated in the roots ($812.2 \pm 100.8 \text{ mg/kg}$), stem ($19.5 \pm 4.9 \text{ mg/kg}$) and leaves 266 267 $(19.1 \pm 3.9 \text{ mg/kg})$ of cucumber after CeO₂ NPs exposure. However, CeCl₃ treatment only led to the accumulation of Ce in the root $(57.6 \pm 9.9 \text{ mg/kg})$, no upward translocation was 268 observed (Fig. 2a). Such difference might be related to the different translocation behavior of 269 particles and ions. Most of the Ce³⁺ can be easily fixed as CePO₄ on the root surface by the 270 PO4³⁻ from the nutrients in soils, therefore, there was little chance to go upward. However, 271 the NPs usually can move upward easily with water flow (Zhang et al., 2011), as 272 demonstrated by the XANES data showing that most of the Ce entering the plant roots was in 273 the form of particles (94.7%) (Fig. 2b). 274

Biotransformation of CeO₂ NPs is more likely to occur around the rhizosphere than in 275 the region far away from the root because it mainly occurs in acidic environment and usually 276 requires reducing agents (Rico et al., 2018; Xie et al., 2019). Root exudates and soil 277 microorganisms in the small rhizosphere region are considered to play crucial roles in the 278 reduction of CeO₂ NPs and the release of Ce³⁺ ions (Zhang et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2017). 279 Our study found that, in the rhizosphere soil, 2.5% of CeO₂ NPs was in the form of Ce(III), 280 while only a little fraction of Ce(III) (0.6%) was observed in the bulk soil (Fig. 2b), 281 suggesting the crucial role of rhizosphere chemistry in the transformation of CeO₂ NPs. 282





Fig. 2 a) Cerium contents in the root, stem, and leaf treated with CeO₂ NPs or CeCl₃ at day 21. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD (n = 6) an average of six replicates. * and ** indicates a significant difference at p < 0.05 and p < 0.01 (n = 6) compared with the control, respectively. ^{##} indicates a significant different at p < 0.01 (n = 6) between CeO₂ NPs and CeCl₃ treatments; b) XANES normalized Ce L_{III} edge spectra of reference compounds (CePO₄ and CeO₂) and samples. (-plant) and (+plant) indicates bulk soil and rhizosphere soil, respectively. (root) means CeO₂ or CeCl₃ enriched in the root and we detected the root samples by XANES.

292 **3.4 Antioxidative Response in Plants**

Since Ce accumulated significantly in plant tissues under CeO₂ NPs exposure, we next 293 examined the antioxidative responses of cucumber shoot and root after exposure. In the 294 shoots, neither treatment-induced any changes in SOD, POD, and CAT activities and the 295 MDA contents (Fig. 3B). However, in the roots, the SOD and POD activities as well as the 296 MDA contents were significantly increased after CeO₂ NPs treatment (Fig. 3A), indicating 297 the oxidative damage of cell membrane and activation of the plant defense system. In the 298 CeCl₃ group, only SOD activity was increased. This indicates that the antioxidative responses 299 found for CeO₂ NPs were mainly particle-specific effects. The triggered antioxidative 300 301 response and oxidative stresses by CeO2 NPs contributed to the reduction of organic nutrients in shoots. However, Ce³⁺ ions only triggered little antioxidative responses which may explain 302 the insignificant change of organic nutrient contents. 303





Fig. 3 SOD, POD, CAT activities, and MDA contents in root (a) and shoot (b) after exposure to CeO₂ NPs and CeCl₃ for 20 days. Different lowercase letters indicate significant difference between different groups at p < 0.05 (n = 6).

308 3.5 Alteration of Mineral Nutrient Homeostasis

Higher plants need at least 14 mineral elements to support their growth and reproduction 309 (White and Brown, 2010; DalCorso et al., 2014). Deficiency or overload of any elements 310 may lead to growth impairment or physiological disorders such as necrosis or chlorosis. To 311 further investigate the effect of CeO_2 NPs and Ce^{3+} ions, we measured the uptake of several 312 key nutrient elements that are essential for plant growth. Our results showed that both CeO2 313 NPs and CeCl₃ treatment influence the balance of the mineral element levels. Ce 314 accumulation led to the imbalance of several key nutrient elements that are essential for plant 315 growth. The effect on the element contents in different tissues was different as shown in the 316 heatmap (Fig. 4). In roots, CeO₂ NPs significantly increased the K, Mg, and Mo contents 317 while reduced the Ca, S, P, Cu, and Zn contents. In stems and leaves, results show that the 318 amounts of Ca, Fe, Cu, Zn contents decreased while Mo increased. In general, the effects of 319 CeO₂ NPs and CeCl₃ on the mineral homeostasis in the cucumber seedlings were similar. 320 321

	Roots		Stems		Leaves			
	CeO ₂ NPs	CeCl₃	CeO ₂ NPs	CeCl₃	CeO ₂ NPs	CeCl₃		
Κ	1.45*	1.28*	1.01	1.03	1.02	1.02		0.4
Са	0.76*	0.79*	0.89*	1.00	0.87*	0.93		0.55
Na	1.12	0.81*	0.89*	0.83*	1.07	0.96		0.7
Mg	1.26*	1.35*	1.05	1.06	1.03	0.94		0.85
Fe	0.98	1.09	0.78*	0.95	0.68**	1.20*		1
S	0.92*	1.06	1.01	1.13	1.03	0.96		1.15
Ρ	0.91*	0.98	0.93*	0.98	0.96	0.91*		1.3
Cu	0.41**	0.53**	0.93*	0.99	0.88*	0.81*		1.45
Zn	0.51**	0.52**	0.72**	0.94	0.71**	0.62**		1.6
Mn	0.97	1.04	1.02	1.31**	1.03	1.35**		1.75
Мо	1.75**	1.59**	1.42**	1.48**	1.46**	1.37*		1.9

322

Fig. 4 Heatmap showing the changes of inorganic nutrients in roots, stems, and leaves after CeO₂ NPs and CeCl₃ exposure. K, Ca, Na, Mg, S, and P were determined by ICP-OES; Fe, Cu, Zn, Mn, and Mo were determined by ICP-MS. Numbers indicate the fold change of elemental content compared with the control group. < 1 indicates that the content was decreased; > 1 indicates that the content was increased. * and ** indicates a significant difference at p < 0.05 and p < 0.01 (n = 6) compared with the control, respectively.

329 **3.6 Enzyme Activities in the Cucumber Rhizosphere and Bulk Soil**

The activity of soil enzymes is a valuable indicator of overall soil health and 330 functionality (Chaperon and Sauvé, 2007; Lessard et al., 2013). In the bulk soil, CeO₂ NPs 331 treatment significantly increased the activities of arylsulfatase (46.8%), peroxidase (8.3%), 332 and phosphatase (93.0%). However, in the rhizosphere soil, CeO₂ NPs caused higher 333 enhancement of phosphatase (37.4%) but less enhancement of peroxidase activities (18.6%), 334 and didn't induce any change of arylsulfatase (Table 1). CeCl₃ treatment resulted in the 335 enhanced activity of invertase in the bulk soil (14.6%), and decreased activity of 336 dehydrogenase in the rhizosphere soil. These indicate responses of rhizosphere and bulk soils 337 to CeO₂ NPs are different. Plant roots can release root exudates, which can coat the NP 338 surface, potentially shielding the particles from reaction or chelating metal ions that are 339 340 released from the metal oxide NPs, consequently lessening the toxicity of particles (Tong et al., 2007; Philippot et al., 2013). These may partially explain the less significant effect of 341 CeO₂ NPs on the enzyme activity in the rhizosphere soil compared to that in the bulk soil. 342 Urease activity was not affected by 1000 mg/kg CeO₂ NPs and 100 mg/kg Ce³⁺ ions exposure, 343

which may be due to the fact that the microbial-secreted urease is very resistant to 344 environmental breakdown in the soil (Zantua and Bremner, 1977) (Table 1). Soil phosphatase 345 is an enzyme that can catalyze the mineralization of soil organophosphorus compounds, 346 subsequently making phosphorus (P) available for uptake by plants (Margesin et al., 2000; 347 Belyaeva et al., 2005). Interestingly, phosphatase activities in the rhizosphere in CeO₂ NPs 348 group is much higher than that in the bulk soil in both control and CeCl₃ treatment. However, 349 the P uptake was not increased by CeO₂ NPs (Fig. 4). In our study, we supplemented 350 Hoagland solutions to the soil every day to provide nutrients including the P. The increase of 351 soil phosphatase was thus not directly correlated with P uptake by plant. A recent study found 352 that 100 mg/kg CeO₂ NPs inhibited urease and β-glucosidase activities but stimulate 353 phosphatase activity (Li et al., 2017). The authors hypothesized that the stimulation might be 354 due to the changes in the phosphatase-associated microbes in the soil, potential from 355 enhanced activity, or population size, which we will discuss in the following section. 356

357

358	Table 1. Enzyme activities in the cucumber rhizosphere and bulk soil after 20 days of exposure to
359	CeO_2 NPs and CeCl ₃ . The data are means of six replicates \pm standard deviation.

		Bulk soil			Rhizosphere		
Enzyme activity	СТ	NPs	Ce ³⁺	R-CT	R-NPs	R-Ce ³⁺	
Arylsulfatase (nmol MU g ⁻¹ soil h ⁻¹)	0.47±0.09	0.69±0.10**	0.44±0.03	0.46±0.08	0.55±0.11	0.52±0.09	
Dehydrogenase (µmol /d/g soil)	464±54.9	469±32.3	501±9.51	501±17.4	461±42.1	462±20.3*	
Invertase (mg/d/g soil)	6.59±0.58	6.59±0.21	7.55±0.69*	6.18±0.42	6.09±0.15##	6.41±0.28#	
Peroxidase (mg/d/g soil)	65.2±1.63	70.6±4.37*	64.0±3.56	58.6±4.56	69.5±5.29*	65.4±9.09	
Phosphatase (nmol MU g ⁻¹ soil h ⁻¹)	22.8±1.44	44.0±7.40*	20.7±6.62	33.7±0.80 ^{##}	46.3±4.6**	31.7±2.27##	
Urease (µg/d/g soil)	423±43.0	432±11.6	452±13.7	436±9.92	434±5.39	458±22.7	
β-glucosidase (nmol MU g ⁻¹ soil h ⁻¹)	23.6±3.30	22.5±3.64	20.2±2.68	22.2±1.67	23.2±3.89	21.9±1.39	

360 Note: CT=control, soil without CeO₂ NPs or Ce³⁺ ions added. R-CT means the soil planted cucumber

361 seedlings. "*" means comparison with control at bulk soil and rhizosphere, respectively; "#" represents a

362 comparison between bulk soil and rhizosphere under the same exposure conditions (*, #, p < 0.05; **, #, p

363 < 0.01).

364 3.7 Microbial Community Structure in Soil

The difference in the enzymatic activity in the soil may result from the different soil 365 microbial communities (Brookes, 1995). The effects of metal and metal oxide NPs on soil 366 microbial activity, diversity, and abundance have been studied (Miao et al., 2018; Fang et al., 367 2022). However, little is known on the effects of CeO₂ NPs and Ce^{3+} ions on soil microbial in 368 the presence or absence of plants. For instance, our results showed that the operational 369 taxonomic units (OTU) number in the rhizosphere group was significantly higher than that in 370 bulk soil (Fig. 5), highlighting the role of plant root rhizosphere. Plant root exudates in 371 rhizosphere can provide nutrients for the microorganisms, promoting the metabolism and 372 proliferation of these populations (Weinert et al., 2011; Khodakovskaya et al., 2013). Besides, 373 the microbial composition in the rhizosphere soil showed difference from that in the bulk soil, 374 although 81.74% of OTU were shared by each group (Fig. 5). CeO₂ NPs exerted acute 375 toxicity to the bacterial community and reduced the bacterial diversity (Fig. 5), which was in 376 accordance to previously reported result (Miao et al., 2018). However, CeCl₃ increased the 377 OTUs, which may be attributed to the unique physicochemical properties of Ce³⁺ which 378 affects the soil enzyme activities and bacterial communities. In both the bulk and rhizosphere 379 the dominant phyla include Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Acidobacteria, 380 soil, Actinobacteria, Verrucomicrobia, and Firmicutres, accounting for >85% of the total 381 microbial community (Fig. 6a, Fig. S2). The abundance of Proteobacteria (the most 382 dominant phylum (>60%)) in the rhizosphere soil was lower than that in bulk soil (Fig. 6a). 383 The difference in microbial composition between the bulk and rhizosphere soil group was 384 also observed at the class and genus levels (Fig. 6b, 6c). 385



386

Fig. 5 The analysis of common and different OTUs between control and treated groups was obtained from
 the sequencing data. CT, NPs, and Ce³⁺ are the three treatments in bulk soil, and the prefix R- represents
 soil incubation with the plant.

390 CeO_2 NPs treatment significantly changed the microbial populations in the rhizosphere soil but not in the bulk soil (Fig. 5, 6). A previous study also found that CeO₂ NPs (100 391 mg/kg) altered soil bacterial communities in soybean-planted soil but did not affect bacterial 392 communities in unplanted soil (Ge et al., 2014). The relative abundance of Proteobacteria, 393 Bacteroidetes, and Actinobacteria was increased while the abundance of Acidobacteria was 394 decreased after CeO₂ NPs treatment in the rhizosphere soil (Fig. 6). Similarly, Pan et al. 395 (2020) found that the abundances of Proteobacteria and Bacterioidetes at the phylum level 396 397 increased after CeO₂ NPs exposure with the ferrous amendment. Actinobacteria plays vital roles in the decomposition of organic matter and the carbon cycle (Lewin et al., 2016; Chen 398 et al., 2021). Phosphatase encoding gene (pho D) mainly existed in Actinobacteria (Luo et al., 399 2017). Therefore, the increased enzymatic activity of phosphatase may result from the 400 increased abundance of Actinobacteria. However, the relative abundances of Nitrospira at the 401 phylum level were decreased under CeO_2 NPs and Ce^{3+} treatment in the rhizosphere (Fig. 6). 402 Compared with R-CT (0.8%), the relative abundance of Nitrospira at the phylum level was 403 significantly lower than in the CeO₂ NPs treatment (0.37%) and Ce³⁺ ions treatment (0.6%). 404

These findings are in accordance with previous studies that the CeO₂ NPs impaired the soil 405 microbial community and soil organic carbon mineralization (Luo et al., 2020). A recent 406 study also found that the relative abundance of Nitrospira was reduced after exposure to 407 10 mg/L CeO₂ NPs for xx days (Wang et al., 2018a). Negative effects on the relative 408 abundance of Nitrospira were also reported for rGO, MWCNTs, and C60. Nitrospira is 409 involved in plant's nitrification processes (Hao et al., 2018). The effects of CeO₂ NPs and 410 Ce³⁺ ions on *Nitrospira* indicate that they may negatively impact soil nitrogen cycling. 411 However, because of the lack of long-term experiments, the relationship between the change 412 413 of soil microbial communities and its soil enzyme activities, and whether it will eventually have a positive or a negative impact on plant growth remains still unknown. We found that 414 the relative abundances of the rare bacteria (e.g., Euryarchaeota, Fibrobacteres) at the 415 phylum level for the total bacterial community are also more sensitive to environmental 416 factors because of their response to the soil rhizosphere. The bacterial composition at the 417 class and genus level also shifted markedly upon CeO₂ NPs exposure (Fig. S3 and Fig. S4). 418 Overall, although sharing some similarities, CeO₂ NPs showed particle-specific effects on 419 soil microorganisms compared with Ce³⁺ ions. 420



421

422 Fig. 6 Relative abundance of major phyla (a), class (b), and genus (c) in bulk soil, rhizosphere, or soil 423 treated with CeO₂ NPs and CeCl₃ and the respective controls. CT, CeO₂ NPs, and Ce³⁺ are the three 424 treatments in bulk soil, and Prefix R- represents soil incubation with the plant, respectively.

425 Conclusions

426 With the increasing application of nanomaterials in environmental remediation and 427 agriculture, a thorough understanding of their environmental impacts are critical for their

sustainable design and safe use. In this study, the responses of soil microbial communities, 428 soil enzyme activities, and cucumber seedling growth in a soil-plant interactive system were 429 systematically investigated. CeO₂ NPs shared some similarities in the effects with CeCl₃, 430 which was attributed to the biotransformation of CeO2 NPs in the rhizosphere. However, 431 CeO₂ NPs also show distinct nano-specific effects on the antioxidant system, organic nutrient 432 accumulation, and soil enzyme activities. Distinct microbial response in the rhizosphere with 433 that in bulk soils highlights the critical role of rhizosphere chemistry in nanomaterial-induced 434 soil impacts. This study indicates that any environmental factors that alter the rhizosphere 435 436 chemistry may affect the behavior and biological effects of NPs in soil-plant system. It should be noted that the present study was a short-term study, the long-term effects of NPs 437 exposure on the resiliency of soil microbial communities and their functions should be 438 evaluated in the future. 439

440 CRediT authorship contribution statement

Changjian Xie: Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing-original draft, Funding
acquisition. Zhiling Guo: Formal analysis, Writing-review & editing. Peng Zhang:
Resource and Funding acquisition. Jie Yang: Investigation. Junzhe Zhang: Investigation.
Yuhui Ma: Investigation. Xiao He: Investigation. Iseult Lynch: Investigation. Zhiyong
Zhang: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing-original draft,
Writing-review & editing, Resources, Funding acquisition.

447 **Declaration of competing interest**

448 We declare we have no competing interests.

449 Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12105163, 11575208, 11675190, 11875267, and 12075262), the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (Grant No. ZR2020QD133), the Major Research Plan of the Shandong Science Foundation (ZR2020ZD19), and the Ministry of Science and

- 454 Technology of China (Grant No. 2016YFA0201604). Additional support from EPSRC Impact
- 455 Acceleration Account Developing Leaders Award (1520755) is acknowledged.

456 **References**

- Asadishad, B., Chahal, S., Akbari, A., Cianciarelli, V., Azodi, M., Ghoshal, S., Tufenkji, N., 2018. Amendment of
 agricultural soil with metal nanoparticles: effects on soil enzyme activity and microbial community
 composition. Environ. Sci. Technol. 52, 1908-1918.<u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b05389</u>.
- Belyaeva, O.N., Haynes, R.J., Birukova, O.A., 2005. Barley yield and soil microbial and enzyme activities as
 affected by contamination of two soils with lead, zinc or copper. Biol. Fertil. Soils 41,
 85-94.<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-004-0820-9</u>.
- Brookes, P., 1995. The use of microbial parameters in monitoring soil pollution by heavy metals. Biol. Fertil.
 Soils 19, 269-279.<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00336094</u>.
- Buysse, J., Merckx, R., 1993. An improved colorimetric method to quantify sugar content of plant tissue. J. Exp.
 Bot. 44, 1627-1629.<u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/44.10.1627</u>.
- 467 Cataldo, D., Maroon, M., Schrader, L., Youngs, V., 1975. Rapid colorimetric determination of nitrate in plant 468 tissue by nitration of salicylic acid. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 6, 469 71-80.https://doi.org/10.1080/00103627509366547.
- 470 Chaperon, S., Sauvé, S., 2007. Toxicity interaction of metals (Ag, Cu, Hg, Zn) to urease and dehydrogenase
 471 activities in soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 39, 2329-2338.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.04.004</u>.
- 472 Chen, C., Unrine, J.M., Hu, Y., Guo, L., Tsyusko, O.V., Fan, Z., Liu, S., Wei, G., 2021. Responses of soil bacteria and
 473 fungal communities to pristine and sulfidized zinc oxide nanoparticles relative to Zn ions. J. Hazard. Mater.
 474 405, 124258.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124258.
- 475 Conway, J.R., Beaulieu, A.L., Beaulieu, N.L., Mazer, S.J., Keller, A.A., 2015. Environmental stresses increase
 476 photosynthetic disruption by metal oxide nanomaterials in a soil-grown plant. Acs Nano 9,
 477 11737-11749.<u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5b03091</u>.
- Dai, Y., Chen, F., Yue, L., Li, T., Jiang, Z., Xu, Z., Wang, Z., Xing, B., 2020. Uptake, Transport, and Transformation of
 CeO2 Nanoparticles by Strawberry and Their Impact on the Rhizosphere Bacterial Community. ACS
 Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering 8, 4792-4800.https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b07422.
- 481 DalCorso, G., Manara, A., Piasentin, S., Furini, A., 2014. Nutrient metal elements in plants. Metallomics 6,
 482 1770-1788.<u>https://doi.org/10.1039/c4mt00173g</u>.
- Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Maestre, F.T., Reich, P.B., Jeffries, T.C., Gaitan, J.J., Encinar, D., Berdugo, M., Campbell,
 C.D., Singh, B.K., 2016. Microbial diversity drives multifunctionality in terrestrial ecosystems. Nature
 communications 7, 1-8.<u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10541</u>
- Fang, J., Bai, X.-T., Qi, L., Vukanti, R., Ge, Y., 2022. Rare-earth metal oxide nanoparticles decouple the linkage
 between soil bacterial community structure and function by selectively influencing potential keystone taxa.
 Environmental Pollution, 118863.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.118863</u>.
- Ge, Y., Priester, J.H., Van De Werfhorst, L.C., Walker, S.L., Nisbet, R.M., An, Y.-J., Schimel, J.P., Gardea-Torresdey,
 J.L., Holden, P.A., 2014. Soybean plants modify metal oxide nanoparticle effects on soil bacterial
 communities. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 13489-13496.<u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es5031646</u>.
- Gui, X., Zhang, Z., Liu, S., Ma, Y., Zhang, P., He, X., Li, Y., Zhang, J., Li, H., Rui, Y., Liu, L., Cao, W., 2015. Fate and
 Phytotoxicity of CeO2 Nanoparticles on Lettuce Cultured in the Potting Soil Environment. Plos One

494 10.<u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0134261</u>.

- Hao, Y., Ma, C., Zhang, Z., Song, Y., Cao, W., Guo, J., Zhou, G., Rui, Y., Liu, L., Xing, B., 2018. Carbon
 nanomaterials alter plant physiology and soil bacterial community composition in a rice-soil-bacterial
 ecosystem. Environmental Pollution 232, 123-136.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.09.024</u>.
- Khodakovskaya, M.V., Kim, B.-S., Kim, J.N., Alimohammadi, M., Dervishi, E., Mustafa, T., Cernigla, C.E., 2013.
 Carbon Nanotubes as Plant Growth Regulators: Effects on Tomato Growth, Reproductive System, and Soil
 Microbial Community. Small 9, 115-123. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201201225</u>.
- 501 Lessard, I., Renella, G., Sauvé, S., Deschênes, L., 2013. Metal toxicity assessment in soils using enzymatic 502 activity: Can water be used as a surrogate buffer? Soil Biol. Biochem. 57, 503 256-263.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.09.009.
- Lewin, G.R., Carlos, C., Chevrette, M.G., Horn, H.A., McDonald, B.R., Stankey, R.J., Fox, B.G., Currie, C.R., 2016.
 Evolution and ecology of Actinobacteria and their bioenergy applications. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 70, 235-254.<u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-102215-095748</u>.
- Li, B., Jacobson, A.R., Darnault, C.J.G., Mu, L., Liang, W., Bridges, W.C., Chen, Y., 2017. Influence of cerium oxide
 nanoparticles on the soil enzyme activities in a soil-grass microcosm system. Geoderma 299,
 54-62.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.03.027</u>.
- 510 Luo, G., Ling, N., Nannipieri, P., Chen, H., Raza, W., Wang, M., Guo, S., Shen, Q., 2017. Long-term fertilisation 511 regimes affect the composition of the alkaline phosphomonoesterase encoding microbial community of a 512 vertisol and its derivative soil fractions. Biol. Fertil. Soils 53, 513 375-388.https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-017-1183-3.
- Luo, J., Song, Y., Liang, J., Li, J., Islam, E., Li, T., 2020. Elevated CO2 mitigates the negative effect of CeO2 and
 Cr2O3 nanoparticles on soil bacterial communities by alteration of microbial carbon use. Environmental
 Pollution 263, 114456.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114456</u>.
- Ma, Y., Zhang, P., Zhang, Z., He, X., Li, Y., Zhang, J., Zheng, L., Chu, S., Yang, K., Zhao, Y., 2015. Origin of the
 different phytotoxicity and biotransformation of cerium and lanthanum oxide nanoparticles in cucumber.
 Nanotoxicology 9, 262-270.https://doi.org/10.3109/17435390.2014.921344.
- Margesin, R., Zimmerbauer, A., Schinner, F., 2000. Monitoring of bioremediation by soil biological activities.
 Chemosphere 40, 339-346.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(99)00218-0</u>.
- 522 Mi, Y.L., Kim, S.S., 1994. Characteristics of six isoperoxidases from Korean radish root. Phytochemistry 35,
 523 287-290.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(00)94749-6</u>.
- Miao, L., Wang, P., Chao, W., Hou, J., Liu, S., 2018. Effect of TiO2 and CeO2 nanoparticles on the metabolic
 activity of surficial sediment microbial communities based on oxygen microelectrodes and
 high-throughput sequencing. Water Res 129, 287-296.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.11.014</u>.
- Nowack, B., Bucheli, T.D., 2007. Occurrence, behavior and effects of nanoparticles in the environment.
 Environmental pollution 150, 5-22.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2007.06.006</u>.
- Pagano, L., Servin, A.D., Torreroche, R.D.L., Mukherjee, A., Majumdar, S., Hawthorne, J., Marmiroli, M., Maestri,
 E., Marra, R.E., Isch, S.M., 2016. Molecular Response of Crop Plants to Engineered Nanomaterials. Environ.
 Sci. Technol. 50, 7198.<u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01816</u>.
- Pan, C., Bao, Y., Guo, A., Ma, J., 2020. Environmentally Relevant-Level CeO2 NP with Ferrous Amendment Alters
 Soil Bacterial Community Compositions and Metabolite Profiles in Rice-Planted Soils. J. Agric. Food Chem.
 68, 8172-8184.https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c03507.
- 535 Philippot, L., Raaijmakers, J.M., Lemanceau, P., Wh, V.D.P., 2013. Going back to the roots: the microbial ecology

- 536 of the rhizosphere. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 11, 789-799. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3109</u>.
- Priester, J.H., Ge, Y., Mielke, R.E., Horst, A.M., Moritz, S.C., Espinosa, K., Gelb, J., Walker, S.L., Nisbet, R.M., An,
 Y.J., 2012. Soybean susceptibility to manufactured nanomaterials with evidence for food quality and soil
 fertility interruption. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

540 109, E2451.<u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1205431109</u>

- 541Rico, C.M., Johnson, M.G., Marcus, M.A., 2018. Cerium oxide nanoparticles transformation at the root-soil542interface of barley (Hordeum vulgare L.). Environ. Sci. Nano 5,5431807-1812.https://doi.org/10.1039/C8EN00316E.
- Ross, D., 1971. Some factors influencing the estimation of dehydrogenase activities of some soils under pasture.
 Soil Biol. Biochem. 3, 97-110.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(71)90002-2</u>.
- 546 Saiya-Cork, K.R., Sinsabaugh, R.L., Zak, D.R., 2002. The effects of long term nitrogen deposition on extracellular 547 enzvme activitv in an Acer saccharum forest soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 34. 1309-1315.https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(02)00074-3. 548
- Tong, Z., Bischoff, M., Nies, L., Applegate, B., Turco, R.F., 2007. Impact of fullerene (C-60) on a soil microbial
 community. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41, 2985-2991.<u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es0619531</u>.
- Wang, P., You, G., Hou, J., Wang, C., Xu, Y., Miao, L., Feng, T., Zhang, F., 2018a. Responses of wastewater
 biofilms to chronic CeO2 nanoparticles exposure: Structural, physicochemical and microbial properties
 and potential mechanism. Water Res 133, 208-217.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.01.031</u>.
- Wang, X., Zhu, M., Li, N., Du, S., Yang, J., Li, Y., 2018b. Effects of CeO2 nanoparticles on bacterial community
 and molecular ecological network in activated sludge system. Environmental Pollution 238,
 516-523.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.03.034</u>.
- Weinert, N., Piceno, Y., Ding, G.-C., Meincke, R., Heuer, H., Berg, G., Schloter, M., Andersen, G., Smalla, K., 2011.
 PhyloChip hybridization uncovered an enormous bacterial diversity in the rhizosphere of different potato
 cultivars: many common and few cultivar-dependent taxa. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 75,
 497-506.<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.01025.x</u>.
- White, P., Brown, P., 2010. Plant nutrition for sustainable development and global health. Ann. Bot. 105, 1073-1080.<u>https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcq085</u>.
- Xie, C., Ma, Y., Zhang, P., Zhang, J., Li, X., Zheng, K., Li, A., Wu, W., Pang, Q., He, X., 2021. Elucidating the origin
 of the toxicity of nano-CeO 2 to Chlorella pyrenoidosa: the role of specific surface area and chemical
 composition. Environ. Sci. Nano 8, 1701-1712.<u>https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EN01177K</u>
- Xie, C., Zhang, J., Ma, Y., Ding, Y., Zhang, P., Zheng, L., Chai, Z., Zhao, Y., Zhang, Z., He, X., 2019. Bacillus subtilis
 causes dissolution of ceria nanoparticles at the nano-bio interface. Environ. Sci. Nano 6, 216-223.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/C8EN01002A
- Yang, C.-I., Sun, T.-h., He, W.-x., Zhou, Q.-x., Chen, S., 2007. Single and joint effects of pesticides and mercury on
 soil urease. Journal of Environmental Sciences 19,
 210-216.https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(07)60034-5.
- Yang, Z.-x., Liu, S.-q., Zheng, D.-w., Feng, S.-d., 2006. Effects of cadium, zinc and lead on soil enzyme activities.
 Journal of Environmental Sciences 18, 1135-1141.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(06)60051-X</u>.
- 574
 Zantua, M., Bremner, J., 1977. Stability of urease in soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 9,

 575
 135-140.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(77)90050-5</u>.
- Zhang, P., Ma, Y., Liu, S., Wang, G., Zhang, J., He, X., Zhang, J., Rui, Y., Zhang, Z., 2017. Phytotoxicity, uptake and
 transformation of nano-CeO2 in sand cultured romaine lettuce. Environmental Pollution 220,

578 1400-1408.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.10.094</u>.

- Zhang, P., Ma, Y., Zhang, Z., He, X., Zhang, J., Guo, Z., Tai, R., Zhao, Y., Chai, Z., 2012. Biotransformation of ceria
 nanoparticles in cucumber plants. ACS nano 6, 9943-9950.<u>https://doi.org/10.1021/nn303543n</u>.
- 581 Zhang, P., Wu, X., Guo, Z., Yang, X., Hu, X., Lynch, I., 2021. Stress Response and Nutrient Homeostasis in Lettuce
- 582 (Lactuca sativa) Exposed to Graphene Quantum Dots Are Modulated by Particle Surface Functionalization.
 583 Advanced Biology 5, 2000778. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/adbi.202000778</u>.
- 584 Zhang, Z., He, X., Zhang, H., Ma, Y., Zhang, P., Ding, Y., Zhao, Y., 2011. Uptake and distribution of ceria
- 585 nanoparticles in cucumber plants. Metallomics 3, 816-822.<u>https://doi.org/10.1039/c1mt00049g</u>.