# UNIVERSITYOF BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

# On the fossil and non-fossil fuel sources of carbonaceous aerosol with radiocarbon and AMS-PMF methods during winter hazy days in a rural area of North China plain

Zhang, Yangmei; Zhang, Xiaoye; Zhong, Junting; Sun, Junying; Shen, Xiaojing; Zhang, Zhouxiang; Xu, Wanyun; Wang, Yaqiang; Liang, Linlin; Liu, Yusi; Hu, Xinyao; He, Ming; Pang, Yijun; Zhao, Huarong; Ren, Sanxue; Shi, Zongbo

DOI: 10.1016/j.envres.2021.112672

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

**Document Version** Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Zhang, Y, Zhang, X, Zhong, J, Sun, J, Shen, X, Zhang, Z, Xu, W, Wang, Y, Liang, L, Liu, Y, Hu, X, He, M, Pang, Y, Zhao, H, Ren, S & Shi, Z 2022, 'On the fossil and non-fossil fuel sources of carbonaceous aerosol with radiocarbon and AMS-PMF methods during winter hazy days in a rural area of North China plain', Environmental Research, vol. 208, 112672. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.112672

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

#### General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research. •User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

#### Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

<ul> <li>with Radiocarbon and AMS-PMF Methods during Winter Hazy Days</li> <li>in a Rural Area of North China Plain</li> <li>Yangmei Zhang,<sup>a,*</sup> Xiaoye Zhang,<sup>a,b</sup> Junting Zhong,<sup>a</sup> Junying Sun,<sup>a</sup> Xiaojing Shen,<sup>a</sup> Zhouxian,</li> <li>Zhang,<sup>c</sup> Wanyun Xu,<sup>a</sup> Yaqiang Wang,<sup>a</sup> Linlin Liang,<sup>a</sup> Yusi Liu,<sup>a</sup> Xinyao Hu,<sup>a</sup> Ming He,<sup>d</sup> Yijun Pang,</li> <li>Huarong Zhao,<sup>a</sup> Sanxue Ren,<sup>a</sup> Zongbo Shi<sup>c,*</sup></li> <li><sup>a</sup>State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather/Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry of Chin</li> <li>Meteorological Administration, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing 100081</li> <li>China</li> <li><sup>b</sup>Center for Excellence in Regional Atmospheric Environment, IUE, Chinese Academy of Sciences</li> <li>Xiamen 361021, China</li> <li><sup>c</sup>Hubei Ecological Environment Monitoring Center Station, Wuhan 430072, China</li> <li><sup>d</sup>Department of Nuclear Physics, China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China</li> <li><sup>c</sup>School of Geography Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Birmingham</li> <li>Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.</li> <li>Abstract</li> <li>Regional transport is a key source of carbonaceous aerosel in many Chinese megazitie</li> </ul>	1	On the Fossil and Non-fossil fuel Sources of Carbonaceous Aerosol
<ul> <li>in a Rural Area of North China Plain</li> <li>Yangmei Zhang,<sup>a,*</sup> Xiaoye Zhang,<sup>a,b</sup> Junting Zhong,<sup>a</sup> Junying Sun,<sup>a</sup> Xiaojing Shen,<sup>a</sup> Zhouxian,</li> <li>Zhang,<sup>c</sup> Wanyun Xu,<sup>a</sup> Yaqiang Wang,<sup>a</sup> Linlin Liang,<sup>a</sup> Yusi Liu,<sup>a</sup> Xinyao Hu,<sup>a</sup> Ming He,<sup>d</sup> Yijun Pang,</li> <li>Huarong Zhao,<sup>a</sup> Sanxue Ren,<sup>a</sup> Zongbo Shi<sup>e,*</sup></li> <li><sup>a</sup>State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather/Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry of Chin</li> <li>Meteorological Administration, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing 100081</li> <li>China</li> <li><sup>b</sup>Center for Excellence in Regional Atmospheric Environment, IUE, Chinese Academy of Sciences</li> <li>Xiamen 361021, China</li> <li><sup>c</sup>Hubei Ecological Environment Monitoring Center Station, Wuhan 430072, China</li> <li><sup>d</sup>Department of Nuclear Physics, China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China</li> <li><sup>e</sup>School of Geography Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Birmingham</li> <li>Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.</li> <li>Abstract</li> </ul>	2	with Radiocarbon and AMS-PMF Methods during Winter Hazy Days
<ul> <li>Yangmei Zhang,<sup>a,*</sup> Xiaoye Zhang,<sup>a,b</sup> Junting Zhong,<sup>a</sup> Junying Sun,<sup>a</sup> Xiaojing Shen,<sup>a</sup> Zhouxian, Zhang,<sup>c</sup> Wanyun Xu,<sup>a</sup> Yaqiang Wang,<sup>a</sup> Linlin Liang,<sup>a</sup> Yusi Liu,<sup>a</sup> Xinyao Hu,<sup>a</sup> Ming He,<sup>d</sup> Yijun Pang, Huarong Zhao,<sup>a</sup> Sanxue Ren,<sup>a</sup> Zongbo Shi<sup>c,*</sup></li> <li><sup>a</sup>State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather/Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry of Chin Meteorological Administration, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing 100081 China</li> <li><sup>b</sup>Center for Excellence in Regional Atmospheric Environment, IUE, Chinese Academy of Sciences Xiamen 361021, China</li> <li><sup>c</sup>Hubei Ecological Environment Monitoring Center Station, Wuhan 430072, China</li> <li><sup>d</sup>Department of Nuclear Physics, China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China</li> <li><sup>e</sup>School of Geography Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Birmingham Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.</li> <li>Correspondence to: YM Zhang (<u>ymzhang@cams.ac.uk</u>) and ZB Shi (<u>z.shi@bham.ac.uk</u>)</li> <li>Abstract</li> </ul>	3	in a Rural Area of North China Plain
<ul> <li>Yangmei Zhang,<sup>a,*</sup> Xiaoye Zhang,<sup>a,b</sup> Junting Zhong,<sup>a</sup> Junying Sun,<sup>a</sup> Xiaojing Shen,<sup>a</sup> Zhouxian,</li> <li>Zhang,<sup>c</sup> Wanyun Xu,<sup>a</sup> Yaqiang Wang,<sup>a</sup> Linlin Liang,<sup>a</sup> Yusi Liu,<sup>a</sup> Xinyao Hu,<sup>a</sup> Ming He,<sup>d</sup> Yijun Pang,</li> <li>Huarong Zhao,<sup>a</sup> Sanxue Ren,<sup>a</sup> Zongbo Shi<sup>e,*</sup></li> <li><sup>a</sup>State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather/Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry of Chin</li> <li>Meteorological Administration, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing 100081</li> <li>China</li> <li><sup>b</sup>Center for Excellence in Regional Atmospheric Environment, IUE, Chinese Academy of Sciences</li> <li>Xiamen 361021, China</li> <li><sup>c</sup>Hubei Ecological Environment Monitoring Center Station, Wuhan 430072, China</li> <li><sup>d</sup>Department of Nuclear Physics, China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China</li> <li><sup>e</sup>School of Geography Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Birmingham</li> <li>Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.</li> </ul>	4	
<ul> <li>Zhang,<sup>c</sup> Wanyun Xu,<sup>a</sup> Yaqiang Wang,<sup>a</sup> Linlin Liang,<sup>a</sup> Yusi Liu,<sup>a</sup> Xinyao Hu,<sup>a</sup> Ming He,<sup>d</sup> Yijun Pang,</li> <li>Huarong Zhao,<sup>a</sup> Sanxue Ren,<sup>a</sup> Zongbo Shi<sup>e,*</sup></li> <li><sup>a</sup>State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather/Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry of Chin</li> <li>Meteorological Administration, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing 100081</li> <li>China</li> <li><sup>b</sup>Center for Excellence in Regional Atmospheric Environment, IUE, Chinese Academy of Sciences</li> <li>Xiamen 361021, China</li> <li><sup>c</sup>Hubei Ecological Environment Monitoring Center Station, Wuhan 430072, China</li> <li><sup>d</sup>Department of Nuclear Physics, China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China</li> <li><sup>c</sup>School of Geography Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Birmingham</li> <li>Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.</li> <li>Abstract</li> <li>Regional transport is a key source of carbonaceous aerosol in many Chinese megacitie</li> </ul>	5	Yangmei Zhang, <sup>a,*</sup> Xiaoye Zhang, <sup>a,b</sup> Junting Zhong, <sup>a</sup> Junying Sun, <sup>a</sup> Xiaojing Shen, <sup>a</sup> Zhouxiang
<ul> <li>Huarong Zhao,<sup>a</sup> Sanxue Ren,<sup>a</sup> Zongbo Shi<sup>e,*</sup></li> <li><sup>a</sup>State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather/Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry of Chin</li> <li>Meteorological Administration, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing 100081</li> <li>China</li> <li><sup>b</sup>Center for Excellence in Regional Atmospheric Environment, IUE, Chinese Academy of Sciences</li> <li>Xiamen 361021, China</li> <li><sup>c</sup>Hubei Ecological Environment Monitoring Center Station, Wuhan 430072, China</li> <li><sup>d</sup>Department of Nuclear Physics, China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China</li> <li><sup>e</sup>School of Geography Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Birmingham</li> <li>Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.</li> <li>Correspondence to: YM Zhang (<u>ymzhang@cams.ac.uk</u>) and ZB Shi (<u>z.shi@bham.ac.uk</u>)</li> <li>Abstract</li> </ul>	6	Zhang, <sup>c</sup> Wanyun Xu, <sup>a</sup> Yaqiang Wang, <sup>a</sup> Linlin Liang, <sup>a</sup> Yusi Liu, <sup>a</sup> Xinyao Hu, <sup>a</sup> Ming He, <sup>d</sup> Yijun Pang, <sup>d</sup>
<ul> <li><sup>8</sup>State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather/Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry of Chin</li> <li>Meteorological Administration, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing 100081</li> <li>China</li> <li><sup>b</sup>Center for Excellence in Regional Atmospheric Environment, IUE, Chinese Academy of Sciences</li> <li>Xiamen 361021, China</li> <li><sup>c</sup>Hubei Ecological Environment Monitoring Center Station, Wuhan 430072, China</li> <li><sup>d</sup>Department of Nuclear Physics, China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China</li> <li><sup>e</sup>School of Geography Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Birmingham</li> <li>Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.</li> <li>Correspondence to: YM Zhang (<u>ymzhang@cams.ac.uk</u>) and ZB Shi (<u>z.shi@bham.ac.uk</u>)</li> <li>Abstract</li> <li>Regional transport is a key source of carbonaceous aerosol in many Chinese megacitie</li> </ul>	7	Huarong Zhao, <sup>a</sup> Sanxue Ren, <sup>a</sup> Zongbo Shi <sup>e,*</sup>
<ul> <li>Meteorological Administration, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing 100081</li> <li>China</li> <li><sup>b</sup>Center for Excellence in Regional Atmospheric Environment, IUE, Chinese Academy of Sciences</li> <li>Xiamen 361021, China</li> <li><sup>c</sup>Hubei Ecological Environment Monitoring Center Station, Wuhan 430072, China</li> <li><sup>d</sup>Department of Nuclear Physics, China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China</li> <li><sup>e</sup>School of Geography Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Birmingham</li> <li>Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.</li> <li>Correspondence to: YM Zhang (<u>ymzhang@cams.ac.uk</u>) and ZB Shi (<u>z.shi@bham.ac.uk</u>)</li> <li>Abstract</li> </ul>	8	<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather/Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry of China
<ul> <li>China</li> <li><sup>b</sup>Center for Excellence in Regional Atmospheric Environment, IUE, Chinese Academy of Sciences</li> <li>Xiamen 361021, China</li> <li><sup>c</sup>Hubei Ecological Environment Monitoring Center Station, Wuhan 430072, China</li> <li><sup>d</sup>Department of Nuclear Physics, China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China</li> <li><sup>e</sup>School of Geography Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Birmingham</li> <li>Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.</li> <li>Correspondence to: YM Zhang (<u>ymzhang@cams.ac.uk</u>) and ZB Shi (<u>z.shi@bham.ac.uk</u>)</li> <li>Abstract</li> </ul>	9	Meteorological Administration, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing 100081,
<ul> <li><sup>b</sup>Center for Excellence in Regional Atmospheric Environment, IUE, Chinese Academy of Sciences Xiamen 361021, China</li> <li><sup>c</sup>Hubei Ecological Environment Monitoring Center Station, Wuhan 430072, China</li> <li><sup>d</sup>Department of Nuclear Physics, China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China</li> <li><sup>e</sup>School of Geography Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Birmingham Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.</li> <li>Correspondence to: YM Zhang (<u>ymzhang@cams.ac.uk</u>) and ZB Shi (<u>z.shi@bham.ac.uk</u>)</li> <li>Abstract</li> </ul>	10	China
<ul> <li>Xiamen 361021, China</li> <li><sup>e</sup>Hubei Ecological Environment Monitoring Center Station, Wuhan 430072, China</li> <li><sup>d</sup>Department of Nuclear Physics, China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China</li> <li><sup>e</sup>School of Geography Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Birmingham</li> <li>Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.</li> <li>Correspondence to: YM Zhang (<u>ymzhang@cams.ac.uk</u>) and ZB Shi (<u>z.shi@bham.ac.uk</u>)</li> <li>Abstract</li> <li>Regional transport is a key source of carbonaceous aerosol in many Chinese megacitie</li> </ul>	11	<sup>b</sup> Center for Excellence in Regional Atmospheric Environment, IUE, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
<ul> <li><sup>13</sup> <sup>c</sup>Hubei Ecological Environment Monitoring Center Station, Wuhan 430072, China</li> <li><sup>d</sup>Department of Nuclear Physics, China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China</li> <li><sup>e</sup>School of Geography Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Birmingham</li> <li><sup>16</sup> Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.</li> <li><sup>17</sup> Correspondence to: YM Zhang (<u>ymzhang@cams.ac.uk</u>) and ZB Shi (<u>z.shi@bham.ac.uk</u>)</li> <li><sup>19</sup> Abstract</li> <li><sup>20</sup> Abstract</li> </ul>	12	Xiamen 361021, China
<ul> <li><sup>d</sup>Department of Nuclear Physics, China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China</li> <li><sup>e</sup>School of Geography Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Birmingham</li> <li>Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.</li> <li>Correspondence to: YM Zhang (<u>ymzhang@cams.ac.uk</u>) and ZB Shi (<u>z.shi@bham.ac.uk</u>)</li> <li>Abstract</li> <li>Regional transport is a key source of carbonaceous aerosol in many Chinese megacitie</li> </ul>	13	°Hubei Ecological Environment Monitoring Center Station, Wuhan 430072, China
<ul> <li><sup>15</sup> <sup>e</sup>School of Geography Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Birmingham</li> <li><sup>16</sup> Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.</li> <li><sup>17</sup></li> <li><sup>18</sup> Correspondence to: YM Zhang (<u>ymzhang@cams.ac.uk</u>) and ZB Shi (<u>z.shi@bham.ac.uk</u>)</li> <li><sup>19</sup></li> <li><sup>20</sup> Abstract</li> <li><sup>21</sup> Regional transport is a key source of carbonaceous aerosol in many Chinese megacitie</li> </ul>	14	<sup>d</sup> Department of Nuclear Physics, China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China
<ul> <li>Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.</li> <li>Correspondence to: YM Zhang (<u>ymzhang@cams.ac.uk</u>) and ZB Shi (<u>z.shi@bham.ac.uk</u>)</li> <li>Abstract</li> <li>Regional transport is a key source of carbonaceous aerosol in many Chinese megacitie</li> </ul>	15	<sup>e</sup> School of Geography Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Birmingham,
<ul> <li>17</li> <li>18 Correspondence to: YM Zhang (<u>ymzhang@cams.ac.uk</u>) and ZB Shi (<u>z.shi@bham.ac.uk</u>)</li> <li>19</li> <li>20 Abstract</li> <li>21 Regional transport is a key source of carbonaceous aerosol in many Chinese megacitie</li> </ul>	16	Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.
<ul> <li>18 Correspondence to: YM Zhang (<u>ymzhang@cams.ac.uk</u>) and ZB Shi (<u>z.shi@bham.ac.uk</u>)</li> <li>19</li> <li>20 Abstract</li> <li>21 Regional transport is a key source of carbonaceous aerosol in many Chinese megacitie</li> </ul>	17	
<ul> <li>19</li> <li>20 Abstract</li> <li>21 Regional transport is a key source of carbonaceous acrosol in many Chinese megacitie</li> </ul>	18	Correspondence to: YM Zhang ( <u>ymzhang@cams.ac.uk</u> ) and ZB Shi ( <u>z.shi@bham.ac.uk</u> )
20 Abstract 21 Regional transport is a key source of carbonaceous aerosol in many Chinese megacitie	19	
21 Regional transport is a key source of carbonaceous aerosol in many Chinese megacitie	20	Abstract
21 Regional transport is a key source of carbonaceous acrosof in many chinese megacite	21	Regional transport is a key source of carbonaceous aerosol in many Chinese megacities
22 including Beijing. The sources of carbonaceous aerosol in urban areas have been studied extensively	22	including Beijing. The sources of carbonaceous aerosol in urban areas have been studied extensively
but are poorly known in upwind rural areas. This work aims to quantify the contributions of fossi	23	but are poorly known in upwind rural areas. This work aims to quantify the contributions of fossil
and non-fossil fuel emissions to carbonaceous aerosols at a rural site in North China Plain in winte	24	and non-fossil fuel emissions to carbonaceous aerosols at a rural site in North China Plain in winter
25 2016. We integrated online high resolution-time of flight-aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-TOF	25	2016. We integrated online high resolution-time of flight-aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-TOF-
26 AMS) observations and radiocarbon ( $^{14}$ C) measurements of fine particles with Positive Matrix	26	AMS) observations and radiocarbon ( $^{14}$ C) measurements of fine particles with Positive Matrix
27 Factorization (PMF) analysis as well as Extended Gelencser (EG) method. We found that the fin	27	Factorization (PMF) analysis as well as Extended Gelencser (EG) method. We found that the fine
particle concentration is much higher at the rural site than in Beijing during the campaign ( $/^{u}$ De	28	particle concentration is much higher at the rural site than in Beijing during the campaign ( $/^{m}$ Dec
29 2016 to 8 <sup>th</sup> Jan 2017). PMF analysis of the AMS data showed that coal-combustion related organi	29	$2016$ to $8^{\text{m}}$ Jan $2017$ ). PMF analysis of the AMS data showed that coal-combustion related organic
aerosol (CCOA + Oxidized CCOA) and more oxidized oxygenated organic aerosol (MO-OOA) 24 - contributed $480$ ( and $200$ ( of contraining methods to use affectives DM (MD DM)) mass. About $2/2$ -	30	aerosol (CCOA + Oxidized CCOA) and more oxidized oxygenated organic aerosol (MO-OOA) $(MO-OOA)$
contributed 48% and 50% of organic matter to non-refractory $PM_1$ (NR-PM <sub>1</sub> ) mass. About 2/3 of the OC and EC were from facel field combustion. By EC method, combining AMS DME and $\frac{140}{140}$	31	contributed 48% and 30% of organic matter to non-refractory PM <sub>1</sub> (NR-PM <sub>1</sub> ) mass. About 2/3 of the OC and EC were from facel face combining AMS DME and <sup>14</sup> C.
data we found that primary and secondary OC from fassil fuel contribute 25% and 22% to total	32 33	date, we found that primary and secondary OC from fassil fuel contribute 25% and 22% to total
24 each on $(TC)$ and combination emission dominates the face of face of the face of th	33	data, we found that primary and secondary OC from fossil fuel contribute 35% and 22% to total
accounted for 21% of carbonaceous aerosol. In summary our results confirm that fossil fue	25 25	accounted for 21% of carbonaceous aerosol. In summary our results confirm that fossil fuel
accounted for 2170 of carbonaccous acrosof. In summary, our results commit that rossil fut	36	compussion was the dominant source of carbonaceous acrossed during beaux pollution events in the
37 rural areas. Significant emissions of solid fuel carbonaceous aerosols at rural areas can affect ai	37	rural areas. Significant emissions of solid fuel carbonaceous aerosols at rural areas can affect air

- quality in downwind cities such as Beijing and Tianjin, highlighting the benefits of energy transitionfrom solid fuels to cleaner energy in rural areas.
- 40 Keywords: Air pollution; Fossil and non-fossil fuel emission; Coal combustion; Biomass burning;
  41 Sources apportionment;



Graphical Abstract

#### 45 1 Introduction

46 Atmospheric particles, especially with diameter less than 2.5 µm (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) have a major 47 influence on visibility (Watson, 2002) and human health (Pope III et al., 2002). They also exert 48 direct (absorption and scattering) and indirect impacts (cloud interaction) on the climate through 49 changing the Earth's energy balance (IPCC, 2021). Submicron particles (PM<sub>1</sub>) contribute the most to these effects because their sizes are closer to the wavelength of visible light and they can penetrate 50 deep into the respiration system (Costa et al., 2015; Marseglia et al., 2019; Pope and Dockery, 2006). 51 52 It is estimated that PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution has led to over 1 million premature deaths (GBD MAPS Working 53 Group, (2016), and over 346 billion RMB in economic loss per year in China (Xia et al., 2016).

In China, frequent haze events have beset its air quality for decades. Since 2013, a series of clean air policies have substantially reduced mass concentration of particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) (Vu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020). However, PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels are still several times higher than the newly announced air quality guidelines by the World Health Organization (WHO) (Cheng et al., 2021; WHO, 2021). Furthermore, serious haze events still occurred frequently, especially during wintertime in Northern China (Shi et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2017b). PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution remains a major challenge in China.

61 Carbonaceous aerosol is a major component in  $PM_1$ , contributing 20-90% of  $PM_1$  mass 62 (Jimenez et al., 2009). Carbonaceous aerosol comprises a wide variety of organic compounds, generally referred as organic matter (OM), elemental carbon (EC), and carbonate, while the latter 63 typically being negligible in submicron aerosol since it is mainly present in the coarse fraction 64 65 (Sillanpää et al., 2005). OM is often referred as organic aerosol (OA), which is classified into 66 primary and secondary organic aerosol (POA and SOA), the latter of which are formed from the condensation of oxygenated volatile organic compounds (OVOCs) or atmospheric oxidation of 67 primary organic aerosol(Xu et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2018). POA and its precursors can be emitted 68 from fossil (e.g. coal combustion and vehicle exhaust) and non-fossil sources (e.g. biomass burning, 69 vegetation emission, cooking) (Hou et al., 2021; Minguillón et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2019). 70

Although much progress has been made in the past 20 years in organic aerosol characterization
and source apportionment (Hopke et al., 2020; Jimenez et al., 2009; Li et al., 2017b; Liang et al.,
2016; Wang et al., 2021a; Zhou et al., 2020), it remains a major challenge to quantitatively determine
the contributions of different sources to OA, not only for its complex origins but also for the unclear
formation processes (Liang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2014b; Zhou et al., 2020). Chemical Mass

Balance (CMB) is an effective method to apportion the sources of organic carbon (OC) but it 76 77 requires the analysis of a wide range of organic tracers and chemical profiles of PM from different sources in the local study region (Xu et al., 2020). Radioisotope of carbon (<sup>14</sup>C) is an ideal tracer for 78 distinguishing fossil and contemporary carbon. Due to its age (half life time 5730 years), <sup>14</sup>C is 79 completely depleted in fossil-fuel emissions whereas non-fossil carbon sources (e.g. biomass 80 81 burning or biogenic emissions) contain contemporary <sup>14</sup>C (Heal, 2014; Szidat, 2009). Filter-based radiocarbon analyses apportioned the sources of fossil and non-fossil to particulate matter in China 82 (Hou et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2017a; Zhou et al., 2017). Positive 83 matrix factorization (PMF) (Ulbrich et al., 2009) and a multilinear engine (ME-2) (Canonaco et al., 84 85 2013) modelling of high time resolution organic mass spectrometric data from aerosol mass 86 spectrometer (AMS) have also been used to resolve organics into various OA factors, which correspond to different sources and processes. OA are generally de-convolved into four POA (i.e. 87 88 hydrocarbon OA (HOA), coal combustion OA (CCOA), food cooking OA (COA), biomass burning 89 OA (BBOA)) and two SOA factors (i.e. less oxidized OA (LO-OOA) and more oxidized OA (MO-90 OOA)) (Sun et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018). HOA is generally considered from diesel/gasoline 91 combustion. The exact sources of LO-OOA and MO-OOA remain unclear. Some studies argued that 92 certain OOAs are oxidized HOA or CCOA based on their correlation markers such as nitrate and 93 NO<sub>2</sub> or sulfate and SO<sub>2</sub> respectively (Sun et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018). However, the 94 contributions from fossil and non-fossil fuel sources to carbonaceous aerosols remain poorly 95 quantified.

North China Plain (NCP), including Beijing, Tianjin and Hebei, remains one of the most 96 97 polluted city clusters in China. Although air quality in Beijing has improved significantly in the past 98 few years as a result of the clean air actions (Li et al., 2021b; Vu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020), 99 Beijing still experiences frequent haze pollution events in the winter. Modelling and observations consistently suggest that a large fraction of the air pollutants in Beijing is from regional transport, 100 101 and this is particularly true during hazy events (Cheng et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2021; Wang et al., 102 2021b; Zheng et al., 2015). Several observational studies in urban Beijing suggested that air pollutants transported from the south and southwest contribute significantly to air pollution in 103 104 Beijing (Li et al., 2017a; Sun et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018; Zhong et al., 2020). Modelling studies 105 also indicated that about half of the black carbon and carbon monoxide in Beijing is from regional 106 transport (Liu et al., 2019; Panagi et al., 2020). Some studies on gaseous pollutants and PM composition were also carried out at upwind locations of Beijing, such as Gucheng (Kuang et al., 107 108 2020; Li et al., 2021a; Lin et al., 2009; Shi et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021; Zhang, 2011; Zhong et al., 109 2020), Tianjing (Fan et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Zhang, 2011; Zou et al., 2017), Yufa (Takegawa et al., 2009) and Xianghe (He et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021c; Wang et al., 2020). A major focus of 110 111 these studies is to understand the formation mechanisms of secondary aerosol, particularly inorganic aerosols. However, little is known on the sources of carbonaceous aerosol in the upwind area of 112 Beijing and Tianjin, particularly at rural areas (Xu et al., 2020). 113

In this study, we quantified the contribution of fossil fuel and non-fossil fuel combustion sources to carbonaceous aerosol at an upwind rural site of megacities, such as Beijing and Tianjin, in NCP. We chose a rural site at Gucheng, which is often downwind of industrial cities, namely Taiyuan, Shijiazhuang, Hengshui and upwind of Beijing and Tianjin (Kuang et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021a; Zhong et al., 2020). Gucheng is a typical regional background site in NCP. In the main manuscript, we firstly provide an overview of the PM<sub>1</sub> chemical composition and source

- apportionment results during an intensive observation campaign from December 2016 to January
- 121 2017 (section 3.1). We then used the radiocarbon data to apportion the sources of fossil and non-
- 122 fossil fuel combustion to OC and EC (Section 3.2). Finally, we combined the AMS-PMF results
- 123 with <sup>14</sup>C-based extended Gelencsér (EG) method (Hou et al., 2021) to apportion the contribution of
- specific sources of carbonaceous aerosols (section 3.3) to OC.

## 125 2 Experiment section

## 126 **2.1 Sampling site and instrumentation**

All measurements were conducted at the Integrated Ecological-Meteorological Observation 127 128 and Experiment Station of Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Gucheng station, in Hebei province (39°08' N, 115° 40' E, 15.2 m asl) (Figure 1). The area is representative of the wider rural 129 areas in NCP, and has been chosen by previous studies to investigate regional sources and processes 130 of air pollution in NCP (Lin et al., 2009;Li et al., 2021; Zhang, 2011). On a regional scale, the site 131 locates within a pollution transport convergence belt of NCP. When the northwest winds from Mt. 132 Yan and Taihang meet the southeast wind from the plain, the air masses form a transport 133 134 convergence belt alongside the mountain. This is also called as an accumulation belt for the pollution 135 of NCP (Su et al., 2004). On a local scale, it is surrounded by agricultural fields (for cultivation of wheat and corn), and the closest residential town (Dingxing county) is 15 km to the northeast of the 136 site. There are no agricultural activities during the winter period. A national (arterial) road goes 137 138 across the county which is 1.5 km away from the site.

139



140

Figure 1. The location of study site (red circle) and surrounding major cities in the NCP. The colorscheme represents the elevation.

143

scheme represents the elevation.

144 The key instrument deployed in this study is a HR-TOF-AMS from December 7<sup>th</sup> 2016 to 145 January 8<sup>th</sup> 2017 Air was sampled through a PM<sub>10</sub> impactor (16.7 L min<sup>-1</sup>) with an automatic aerosol 146 dryer unit to dry the sampling air with RH < 30%. Mass concentrations of organics, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium and chloride were measured by the HR-TOF-AMS with 1-minute time resolution.

- Particle number size distributions were measured by Twins Differential Mobility Particle Sizer
   (TDMPS, TROPOS in Germany) to further correct the collection efficiency of AMS. Based on the
- comparison of AMS and TDMPS data, a fixed collection efficiency (CE) of 0.6 was used. Daily
- 151 PM<sub>2.5</sub> samples were collected on quartz filters with a high volume sampler (TISCH, TE6070VFC)
- from 9:00 to 9:00 during 14 haze days. Hourly trace gaseous pollutants, including NO<sub>2</sub> (TE, 42CTL),
- 153 SO<sub>2</sub> (TE, 43CTL), CO (TE, 48C), NH<sub>3</sub> (Ecotech, EC9842) and O<sub>3</sub> (TE, 49C) were also monitored
- during the campaign. The surface hourly meteorological data including temperature (T), relative humidity (RH), wind speed (WS) and direction (WD) were monitored by an automatic weather station (AWS).

#### 157 2.2 Data analysis and <sup>14</sup>C-based source apportionment

#### 158 **2.2.1 AMS data analysis**

The HR-TOF-AMS data were analyzed by Squirrel (Version 1.62G) and Pika (Version 1.22G) to determine the mass concentrations, size distributions of NR-PM<sub>1</sub> species, and elemental compositions of organic aerosol. In addition, the updated Improved-Ambient method (Canagaratna et al., 2015) was used to determine the elemental ratios of OA including hydrogen to carbon (H/C), oxygen to carbon (O/C), nitrogen to carbon (N/C), and organic mass to organic carbon (OM/OC) ratios. Collection efficiency (CE) was determined based on the relationship between total PM<sub>1</sub> and TDMPS (Zhong et al., 2020).

PMF was applied to the high resolution mass spectra to resolve distinct OA factors (Paatero and 166 167 Tapper, 1994; Ulbrich et al., 2009). The procedures for the processing of data and error matrices 168 were detailed in Ng et al. (2011). By comparing the mass spectral profiles with previous studies and correlations with time series of tracers, five OA factors with fpeak = 0 were selected, including three 169 170 POA factors: HOA, CCOA and BBOA, and two SOA factors: oxidized coal combustion OA 171 (OCCOA) and MO-OOA. The diagnostic plots and the temporal series of five OA factors with other tracers are shown in the Figures S1 and S2. The mass spectral pattern of HOA was primarily 172 characterized by high m/z 43 and m/z 57, which are also widely used as a HOA tracer. CCOA was 173 174 characterized by aromatic hydrocarbon related fragments including  $C_6H_5$ ,  $C_7H_7$  and  $C_9H_7$ , which 175 are considered as typical tracers for coal combustion OA. OCCOA was featured by slightly higher 176 m/z 44 signal as well as its similar mass spectral pattern with that of the CCOA, which indicated it is most likely the oxidized form of CCOA. Prominent signals at m/z 60 and 73 are used as tracers 177 for BBOA. Significant m/z 44 signals were used to as the tracer for more oxidized OOA. Moreover, 178 179 good correlations between these OA components and specific tracers confirmed the PMF results are 180 reasonable, i.e. HOA vs C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub> (fragment of alkanes), CCOA vs chloride and SO<sub>2</sub>, OCCOA vs AHrelated fragments, BBOA vs Levoglucosan, MO-OOA vs CO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, sulfate and nitrate (Figure S2). 181

# 182 2.2.2 <sup>14</sup>C Analysis of the Carbonaceous Fractions

A punch of the daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> filter samples (total 14 filters) was analyzed for total carbon (TC), organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) by the thermal optical reflectance (TOR) method following the Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) protocol (Cao et al., 2004). Another portion of the filter samples was used for <sup>14</sup>C analysis.

The OC and EC were also extracted by the IMPROVE protocol for <sup>14</sup>C measurements. Detailed
 descriptions of extracting procedures for OC and EC, as well as preparing procedures of

graphitization samples for OC and EC were given by Pang et al. (2019). <sup>14</sup>C measurements were
 performed at China Institute of Atomic Energy (CIAE) compact accelerator mass spectrometry
 system, which was based on a 200 kV single-stage accelerator mass spectrometer (SSAMS) (Pang
 et al., 2017).

<sup>14</sup>C measurement results were expressed as fractions of modern C ( $f_M$ ), representing the fraction of <sup>14</sup>C in the sample. In this paper, all the reported fractions of modern carbon (descript as  $f_{NF}$ ) were corrected by the reference sample in year 1950. In specific, non-fossil fractions of OC and EC (i.e.  $f_{NF}$  (OC) and  $f_{NF}$  (EC), respectively) were calculated from the  $f_M$  (sample) and the reference values  $f_M$  (Ref) ( $f_{NF} = f_M$  (sample)/ $f_M$  (Ref)). More details of the estimation of reference values ( $f_M$  (Ref)) have been previously reported (Minguillón et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013),  $f_M$  (Ref) values were 1.07±0.04 and 1.10±0.05 for OC and EC, respectively (Zhang et al., 2017a).

#### 200 2.2.3 Extended Gelencsér (EG) method for source apportionment

Based on the AMS-PMF results, mass concentrations of OC and EC and the <sup>14</sup>C results, four 201 202 main parameters including EC from fossil (ECFF) and non-fossil sources (ECNF), OC from fossil (OC<sub>FF</sub>) and non-fossil sources (OC<sub>NF</sub>) were resolved. Gelencsér et al. (2007) reported a method for 203 204 the source apportionment of carbonaceous aerosol into fractions from biomass burning, road traffic 205 and secondary organic aerosol, applicable to Europe where these are the dominant sources. But in 206 China, coal combustion fraction must be considered. The quantification of non-fossil sources of SOC by Gelencsér et al. (2007) method is dependent on the source apportionment of OC from 207 biomass burning. But the diversity of fuel types and combustion conditions make the selection of 208 OC/EC ratios for biomass burning aerosol difficult due to large variabilities (Hou et al., 2021). For 209 210 this reason, an extended Gelencsér (EG) method (Hou et al., 2021) was used to quantify the fossil 211 and non-fossil sources of OC (OCFF and OCNF) along with OC from biomass burning. Finally, OCFF and OC<sub>NF</sub> were further classified into subtypes including primary fossil-fuel OC (POC<sub>FF</sub>), secondary 212 fossil-fuel OC (SOC<sub>FF</sub>), non-fossil OC from biomass burning OC<sub>bb</sub> and other emission OC<sub>other</sub>. 213

Hydrocarbon OC (HOC), Coal Combustion OC (CCOC), Biomass Burning OC (BBOC), Oxygenic Coal Combustion OC (OCCOC) and  $OC_{AMS}$  were calculated from HOA (Hydrocarbon OA), CCOA (Coal Combustion OA), BBOA (Biomass Burning OA), OCCOA (Oxidized CCOA) and Organics divided by corresponding OM/OC values from AMS-PMF and AMS on-line data respectively (see Figure S1). We assume that the fossil POC is the sum of HOC and CCOC, and BBOC was all from biomass burning emissions. The equations for the detailed source apportionment are shown in Table1.

222 223

Table 1. Equations for the <sup>14</sup>C based source apportionment

	Extended Gelencsér method
EC <sub>NF</sub>	$EC_{bb} = f_{NF} (EC) \times EC$
$EC_{FF}$	EC-EC <sub>NF</sub>
OC <sub>NF</sub>	$f_{\rm NF}$ (OC)×OC
OC <sub>FF</sub>	OC- OC <sub>NF</sub>
POC <sub>FF</sub>	EC <sub>FF</sub> ×(POC/EC) <sub>FF</sub>
SOC <sub>FF</sub>	OC <sub>FF</sub> -POC <sub>FF</sub>
$OC_{bb}$	$EC_{NF} \times (POC/EC)_{bb}$

OC <sub>other</sub>	$OC_{NF}$ - $OC_{bb}$
OC <sub>AMS</sub>	OA <sub>AMS</sub> / (OM/OC) <sub>AMS</sub>

227

where, subscripts NF, FF, and bb are abbreviation of non-fossil, fossil fuel, biomass burning respectively, and  $f_{\rm NF}$  represents the <sup>14</sup>C fraction of non-fossil fuel sources.

To estimate the concentration of POC<sub>FF</sub>, it is essential to calculate the (POC/EC)<sub>FF</sub>. Hou et al.

228 (2020) employed the lowest value method to calculate the (POC/EC)<sub>FF</sub> by (POC/EC)<sub>FF, min</sub>. We

followed their method to estimate (POC/EC)<sub>FF, min</sub> value by multiplying the lowest 5% OC/EC ratios

with the lowest two  $\left(\frac{1-f_{NF,OC}}{1-f_{NF,EC}}\right)$  ratios. The estimated (POC/EC)<sub>FF</sub> in this study is 3.81, which is close

to that reported in in Beijing (IAP site) during the winter 2016 (Hou et al., 2020). The small difference could be due to the diversity of fuel types, uncertainties of sampling and the analyzing process. Overall, the uncertainty of POC<sub>FF</sub> is estimated to be 5%.

234  $(POC/EC)_{bb}$  is a key parameter to estimate  $OC_{bb}$ . The diversity of fuel types and combustion 235 conditions make the selection of OC/EC ratios for biomass burning aerosol difficult. Wheat and 236 maize straws were the two dominant biofuel in North China because they are the two most common 237 crops (Chen et al., 2017). Biomass fuels (such as wood and straw) are widely used as the domestic 238 fuel for cooking/heating in rural areas (Chen et al., 2017). With the help of ratios of levoglucosan to galactosan (LG/GA) and mannosan (LG/MN), Hou et al. (2021) suggested that wood in Beijing 239 240 (both IAP and Pinggu sites) is likely the dominant biofuel in winter. In this study, we also determined the LG/MN and OC/LG ratios (Table 2). The average LG/MN ratio was 15.2±2.1 (from 11.2 to 241 242 19.9), and the OC/LG ratio was 85.7±87.1 (from 61.7 to 150.7). These results also suggest the 243 dominance of wood combustion (Hou et al., 2021; Mazzoleni et al., 2007). Therefore, we adopted the average OC/EC value for wood branch 2.19 as (POC/EC)<sub>bb</sub> based on Sun et al. (2019). Straw 244 245 burning activities were forbidden since 2013 in North China Plain but secret burning may still 246 contribute to OC. This could contribute to some uncertainties in our estimate of (POC/EC)<sub>bb</sub>.

247 248 The uncertainty of  $OC_{bb}$  is calculated to be 39.4% based on the following equation:

$$U(OC)_{bb} = \sqrt{U^2 (POC/EC)_{bb} + U^2(EC) + U^2 (f_{NF}, f_M, f_{ref})}$$

Here the uncertainty of the (POC/EC)<sub>bb</sub> is estimated to be 21% and that of EC<sub>NF</sub> is calculated to be 33% by combining all the uncertainties from EC measurements (Pang et al., 2017). The combined uncertainty of  $f_{NF}$ ,  $f_M$  and  $f_{ref}$  is 5%. Note that the uncertainty of OC<sub>bb</sub> can also affect the estimation of OC<sub>other</sub>.

#### 253 3 Results and discussion

#### 254 **3.1** Overview of chemical composition and sources of PM<sub>1</sub>

255 The temporal variations of major chemical species including organics, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and chloride (together defined as  $\Sigma OSNAC$ ) in non-refractory-PM<sub>1</sub> (NR-PM<sub>1</sub>), gaseous 256 pollutants as well as meteorological conditions during Dec 7th 2016 and Jan 8th 2017 are displayed 257 258 in Figure 2. The 10-min mass concentrations of  $\Sigma$ OSNAC varied dramatically, from 5.5 to 767.9 µg 259  $m^{-3}$  with an average concentration  $\pm$  standard deviation of  $153.0\pm94.6\mu g m^{-3}$ , which is about 20% higher than that in Beijing during the same period (135.8  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) (Zhang et al., 2020). Daily PM<sub>1</sub> 260 mass concentration exceeded the Chinese PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard level (75  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) in 28 out of 31 days 261 262 during the field campaign. The average mass concentration of organics, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium and chloride was 95.8±34.2, 22.4±11.6, 18.1±6.6, 14.4±5.1 and 9.1±3.6µg m<sup>-3</sup> (Table 2). The mass 263

concentration of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub> was 15.8, 46.4, 35.9, 3.4 ppb respectively, and the average
CO was 3.89 ppm. SO<sub>2</sub> is about twice of that in Beijing (8.1ppb) during the same period. Mean
relative humidity was 79% (from 30% to 100%) and the average temperature and solar radiations
was -1.5 °C and 62 W m<sup>-2</sup> respectively. Wind roses show that about 12% of wind is from south and
7% from southwest (Figure S3). The average wind speed is only 0.9 m s<sup>-1</sup>.

269



270

Figure 2. Time series of wind speed and direction (a), solar radiation (left) and temperature
(right) (b), relative humidity (c), gaseous pollutants SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> (d) and O<sub>3</sub>, CO(e), and PM<sub>1</sub>
chemical species (f)

274

275 Fig. 2 shows that organics was the predominant NR-PM<sub>1</sub> species in Gucheng. They are about 1.5 times higher than that of the inorganic species. The mass concentrations of organics, sulfate, 276 nitrate, ammonium and chloride was 58.9, 21.8, 30.7, 19.2 and 5.2 µg m<sup>-3</sup> at an urban site in Beijing 277 278 during the same period (Zhang et al., 2018). The organic aerosol in Gucheng was about 50% higher 279 than that in Beijing. This suggests a significant local emissions and/or regional transport (Li et al., 280 2020). Nitrate and ammonium levels are lower than that in Beijing, and there is no obvious 281 difference in sulfate levels at the two sites. Since 2013, coal boilers were banned in Beijing urban area, and SO<sub>2</sub> emission reduced dramatically (Vu et al., 2019). Much of the sulfate and SO<sub>2</sub> in 282 Beijing comes from the regional transport (Zhang et al., 2018). Under the synoptic meteorological 283 conditions, the mechanisms of sulfate formation in Beijing and Gucheng are likely to be similar. 284 285 The lower concentration of nitrate in Gucheng may be ascribed to the lower road traffic emissions 286 than that in Beijing. Higher ammonium concentration in Beijing could be due to urban emissions of 287 non-agricultural NH<sub>3</sub> in the winter and complex NH<sub>3</sub>-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> chemistry (Wu et al., 2019).

288

Table 2. Statistics of the chemical species by AMS measurement and filter analysis including LG,

290

MN, OC, EC (unit:  $\mu g m^{-3}$ ) and OC/EC ratio

					Tutto		_
Organics	Sulfate	Nitrate	Ammonium	Chloride	OC	EC	
95.7±34.2	22.4±11.6	18.1±6.6	14.4±5.1	9.1±3.6	67.8±25.4	11.4±3.4	
HOA	CCOA	BBOA	OCCOA	MO-OOA	LG	MN	
11.1±5.9	36.5±21.6	9.1±6.1	11.1±4.7	28.0±13.7	$0.77 \pm 0.27$	$0.05 \pm 0.02$	

291 Note: HOA=Hydrocarbon organic aerosol, CCOA=coal combustion organic aerosol, BBOA= biomass burning

292 organic aerosol, OCCOA=oxidized coal combustion organic aerosol and MO-OOA=more oxygenic organic aerosol;

293 OC<sub>AMS</sub>=OA<sub>AMS</sub> / (OM/OC)<sub>AMS</sub>; LG= levoglucosan, MN= mannasan

294





Figure 3. Temporal variations of chemical components in NR-PM<sub>1</sub> (a); box plot of the chemical species for organics (left axis), sulfate, nitrate, ammonium and chloride (right axis) in PM<sub>1</sub> with mean (line in the middle of boxes), median (cross in the middle of boxes), 5%, 25%, 75% and 95% percentiles (b); and pie charts of non-refractory species (c) and organic components (d) during the study period.

NR-PM1

Organic

301

Figure 3 presents daily concentrations of chemical species as well as the AMS-PMF resolved organics in Gucheng. The average concentration of OAs from solid fuel combustion, including CCOA, OCCOA and BBOA, was  $36.5\pm22.2$ ,  $11.1\pm4.8$ ,  $9.1\pm6.3 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$  (Table 2), contributing 38%, 12% and 9% to total OA (Figure 3d). HOA, which is typically considered as traffic-related source accounted for 12% of total OA. In total, primary organic sources (POA) including HOA, CCOA and BBOA contributed 59% to OA. The high contribution of CCOA and OCCOA to total OA suggest a strong influence of coal combustion in Gucheng. As MO-OOA was characterized with higher O/C ratio (0.73), it may be a mixed source of oxidized primary OA and condensed OVOCs. But it ischallenging to assign its precise emissions sources based on AMS-PMF results.

Fig. 3 shows that the chemical compositions of NR-PM<sub>1</sub> varied day by day. The most serious 311 pollution events were recorded on 18th and 21st December with NR-PM1 as high as 300 µg m<sup>-3</sup>. 312 Zhong et al (2020) attributed the accumulation of PM<sub>1</sub> during these pollution events to day-to-day 313 vertical meteorological variability, particularly diminishing mixing layer height exacerbated by 314 aerosol-radiation feedback. Low solar radiations (Figure 2b) during these days may further reduce 315 the vertical mixing of air pollutants. Furthermore, the satellite map shows that the air masses 316 317 originated from the south and southwest (such as Taiyuan in Shanxi province and Shijiazhuang) 318 (Figure S4). It is likely that meteorological variability, regional transport and increased coal 319 combustion activities at night all contributed to the high PM levels during these events.

#### 320 **3.2** Fossil and non-fossil OC and EC

Figure 4 shows the filter-based mass concentration of OC and EC as well as their modern carbon 321 fraction ( $f_{NF}$ ) in PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Average OC concentration was 67.8±25.4µg m<sup>-3</sup>, varying from 33.2 to 121.8 322  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, while the EC concentration was 11.4±3.4  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>. The average modern fraction  $f_{NF}$  in EC, 323 324 equivalent to EC<sub>bb</sub>, was 36±8.5% with a range of 26-57%, suggesting a dominant contribution of 325 fossil-fuel combustion to EC in Gucheng. This fraction is comparable to those in hazy days at IAP  $(32\pm3\%)$  and Pinggu  $(39\pm7\%)$  in wintertime (Hou et al., 2021). The observed  $f_{NF}$  in EC is mostly 326 within the range of previous studies in urban Beijing (Liu et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 327 328 2017a). Non-fossil fuel fraction in EC here is also comparable to those estimated by bottom-up 329 inventories (i.e. 39%) in China, as well as to that at a background site in South China (38%) (Zhang 330 et al., 2014a). But it is slightly lower than those found in South Asia, where local/regional biomass 331 burning contribution to EC was more significant than fossil fuel combustions such as Hanimaadhoo, 332 Maldives (47%) and Sinhagad, India (49%) (Zhang et al., 2017a). In Europe, urban sites were less influenced by non-fossil EC emissions than at rural sites. For example, 13% of EC at an urban 333 334 background site in Barcelona in winter was originated from non-fossil fuel combustion but this 335 value increased to 34% at a forest regional background site - Montseny (Minguillón et al., 2011). In 336 Goteborg, Sweden, winter non-fossil fraction  $f_{NF}$  (EC) was 12% and 39% at the urban and rural site 337 respectively (Szidat, 2009).



339 340 Figure 4. Temporal variations of TC, OC and EC concentrations (a); and  $f_{NF}$  of OC and EC (b) 341 Non-fossil contribution to OC varied from 24% to 48% with a mean of 34.9±7.7%, indicating 342 the dominant contribution of fossil-fuel combustion to OC in Gucheng. This value was comparable 343 to that in urban Beijing (32%) in winter 2016 (Hou et al., 2021), but much lower than some European 344 urban site  $(68\pm4\%)$ , rural site  $(71\pm4\%)$  (Szidat et al., 2009), and forest sites  $(69\pm4\%)$  in Goteborg, 345 346 and urban background site (60±4%) at Barcelona (Minguillón et al., 2011). The more widespread 347 use of wood for residential heating in Europe is the likely reason the for higher non-fossil fraction 348 of OC reported there. Since 2013, open burning activities had been banned in NCP. Therefore, the 349 main non-fossil fuel sources in Gucheng are associated with cooking and residential heating.

#### 350 **3.3** Source apportionment of organic carbon in Gucheng

The relative contributions of fossil and non-fossil fuel carbonaceous aerosols to TC were summarized in Figure 5. We first categorized OC and EC into fossil and non-fossil subtypes (Figure 5a). Fossil derived OC was the largest contributor to TC (53±9.4%). OC<sub>NF</sub> accounted for 27% of TC. The fossil and non-fossil fuel EC contributes 13% and 7% to TC respectively.

We further classified OC into primary fossil OC (POC<sub>FF</sub>), secondary fossil OC (SOC<sub>FF</sub>), biomass burning OC (OC<sub>bb</sub>) and other non-fossil OC (OC<sub>other</sub>) using the Extended Gelenscér method (Figure 5b and Table 3). The mass concentrations of POC<sub>FF</sub> and SOC<sub>FF</sub> was 27.9 $\pm$ 8.8 and 16.9 $\pm$ 17.4 µg m<sup>-3</sup>, accounting for 35% and 22% of TC, respectively. In total, about 57% of TC is attributed to fossil fuel sources. More fossil fuel OC was from the primary OC than that from the secondary OC<sub>FF</sub>, indicating more local fossil fuel emissions.



- 361
- 362

Figure 5. Relative contributions of fossil and non-fossil fuel OC and EC to TC (a); Relative contributions of EC<sub>NF</sub>, EC<sub>FF</sub>, POC<sub>FF</sub>, SOC<sub>FF</sub>, OC<sub>bb</sub> and OC<sub>other</sub> to TC (b) 363

364

365 Carbonaceous aerosol from biomass burning ( $EC_{NF}+OC_{bb}$ ) is estimated to account for 21% of 366 TC.  $OC_{bb}$  contributes 57% to  $OC_{NF}$  and 16% to the TC. Its contribution to TC is higher than that 367 (10.4%) in Beijing in winter 2016 (Hou et al., 2021). This is reasonable because biomass fuel was widely burned for cooking and heating in rural area in Northern China (Meng et al., 2019). 368

OCother is determined by subtracting OCbb from OCNF. About 13% of the TC was estimated to 369 be OC<sub>other</sub> in this study. OC<sub>other</sub> represents OC from non-fossil sources excluding OC<sub>bb</sub>, so it could 370 include secondary OC from biogenic emission and primary non-biomass burning emissions, such 371 372 as cooking and vegetative detritus as well as particles derived from vehicle tyre wear (e.g., from 373 natural rubber) (Heal, 2014). A higher signal of m/z 55 than m/z 57 was widely identified as cooking OA (COA) tracers. This has been shown to work well in urban Beijing, and was confirmed with 374 concentration peaks at noon and evening meal time (Zhang et al., 2018). But in this study, due to 375 376 the absence of a high signal of m/z 55 than m/z57 and the noon and evening concentration peaks, no COA was resolved by the AMS-PMF method. This could be due to a relatively low contribution 377 378 of cooking to OA at Gucheng as a result of relatively low population density than in cities.

379 Our results support the emission inventory-based study by Meng et al. (2019) who suggested 380 a significant contribution of solid fuel consumption, particularly coal, on ambient PM2.5 levels in NCP. Zhang et al. (2021) and Liu et al. (2021) also found strong evidence of the organic aerosols 381 emitted from the residential sector by using single particle analyses, although they did not provide 382 383 a quantitative source apportionment.

384

385 Table 3. Statistics of resolved categories of OC as well as fossil and non-fossil sources of POC and SOC (unit:  $\mu\sigma m^{-3}$ ) 386

SOC (unit: µg m)				
$f_{\rm NF}({\rm OC})(\%)$	$f_{\rm NF}({\rm EC})(\%)$	OC <sub>NF</sub>	OC <sub>FF</sub>	EC <sub>NF</sub>
34.9±7.7	36.1±8.5	22.5±6.9	45.3±20.6	4.1±1.6
EC <sub>FF</sub>	OC <sub>bb</sub>	OCother	POC <sub>FF</sub>	SOC <sub>FF</sub>
7.3±2.3	12.6±4.2	9.9±6.4	27.9±8.8	16.9±17.4

387 388

Correlations of resolved OC categories based on the EG method and AMS-PMF method are

further investigated.  $POC_{FF}$  displays a good correlation with CCOC, CCOC+HOC and sulfate, with R<sup>2</sup> of 0.55, 0.57 and 0.61 respectively (Figure 6a, b, c), while the slope between CCOC and POC<sub>FF</sub> was close to 1 (0.96) and that between CCOC+HOC and POC<sub>FF</sub> was 1.22. These results suggest an overestimation of primary fossil emission from the AMS. This could be due to the uncertainties in AMS-PMF analysis. For example, cooking OA may be misinterpreted as HOC (Sun et al., 2018), leading to an overestimation of the HOC.

Good correlations were also found between BBOC by AMS-PMF and calculated OC<sub>bb</sub> and 395  $POC_{NF}$  by the EG method ( $R^2 = 0.58$  and 0.65) (Figure 6). The slope shows that AMS-PMF based 396 BBOC was less than half of the OC<sub>bb</sub>. One of the possible reasons is that some primary biomass 397 398 burning OC having been oxidized into OOA during the haze events, and mixed with other types of 399 OOA. With the aid of OM/OC ratio (1.75) from AMS (Figure S2) and the calculated OC<sub>bb</sub>, organics from biomass burning emission are estimated to be 22.1 µg m<sup>-3</sup>, accounting for 23% of total OA. If 400 401 excluding the BBOA estimated from AMS-PMF, oxygenated BBOA (OBBOA) was estimated to be 12.8 µg m<sup>-3</sup>, contributing to 44.5% of MO-OOA. Using levoglucan as a tracer of biomass burning 402 403 aerosol, we found that  $OC_{bb}/LG$  ratio was 13.4 and their correlation coefficient R<sup>2</sup> was 0.49, which is comparable to the widely used value (12.2) in previous studies (Zhang et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 404 405 2007).







Figure 6. Correlations between the resolved OC categories based on the EG method and AMS-PMF method

409 410

#### 411 4. Conclusions

412 Our study showed that the mass concentrations of the total non-refractory PM<sub>1</sub> ( $\Sigma$ OSNAC) 413 from 7<sup>th</sup> Dec 2016 to 8<sup>th</sup> Jan 2017 at the rural site of Gucheng was about 20% higher than that in 414 Beijing (153.0±94.6 vs. 135.8 µg m<sup>-3</sup>). This suggests that the rural areas in NCP may be a significant 415 source of air pollution to surrounding megacities such as Beijing and Tianjin in winter. Organic 416 aerosol was the predominant species in Gucheng, accounting for 60% of total NR-PM<sub>1</sub>. Fossil fuel 417 combustion, predominantly coal burning, accounted for 66% of TC in PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Biomass burning 418 contributed to about 21% of TC. These results indicated that solid fuel combustion was the major
419 source of air pollution in winter 2016 in the rural area. This confirms the need (and benefit) of the
420 transition to cleaner energy for the residential sector, not only for improving air quality for the rural
421 but also the urban residents.

#### 422 Supplementary file

423 The supplement file include mass spectrums of the different types of OAs during studied period

424 (Figure S1); Time series of resolved organic components, other independent species including C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>,

425 Chloride,  $SO_2(g)$ , Aromatic Hydrogen (AH)-related (including  $C_6H_5+C_7H_7+C_9H_7$ ),  $C_2H_4O_2$ , sulfate

426 (Figure S2); Wind rose during studied period in Gucheng site (Figure S3); The satellite pictures by

427 MODIS combined values from Terra and Aqua data during 16-21 December in 2016 (Figure S4).

#### 428 Author Information

429 Correspondence to: YM Zhang (<u>ymzhang@cams.ac.uk</u>) and ZB Shi (<u>z.shi@bham.ac.uk</u>)

#### 430 Funding Information

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41675121 and
41775121) and Chinese Government Scholarship (CSC NO. 202105330037). Z.S. is funded by UK
Natural Environment Research Council (Grant no. NE/R005281/1 and NE/N007190/1). The study

434 was also supported by the Innovation Team for Haze-fog Observation and Forecasts of MOST.

#### 435 References

- GBD MAPS Working Group:Burden of Disease Attributable to Coal-Burning and Other Major Sources
  of Air Pollution in China,Special Report 20. Health Effects Institute. Boston, MA, 2016.
- 438 Canagaratna, M. R., et al., 2015. Elemental ratio measurements of organic compounds using aerosol
  439 mass spectrometry: characterization, improved calibration, and implications. Atmos. Chem. Phys.
  440 15, 253–272. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-253-2015.
- 441 Canonaco, F., et al., 2013. SoFi, an Igor based interface for the efficient use of the generalized multilinear
  442 engine (ME-2) for source apportionment: application to aerosol mass spectrometer data. Atmos.
  443 Meas. Tech. 6, 3649–3661. https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-3649-2013.
- Cao, J. J., et al., 2004. Spatial and seasonal variations of atmospheric organic carbon and elemental
  carbon in Pearl River Delta Region, China. Atmos.Environ. 38, 4447-4456.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.05.016.
- Chen, J., et al., 2017. A review of biomass burning: emissions and impacts on air quality, health and
  climate in China. Sci.Total Environ. 579, 1000-1034.
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.025.
- Cheng, J., et al., 2018. Dominant role of emission reduction in PM2.5 air quality improvement in Beijing
  during 2013-2017: a model-based decomposition analysis. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 6125–6146.
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-6125-2019.
- Cheng, J., et al., 2021. Pathways of China's PM2.5 air quality 2015–2060 in the context of carbon
  neutrality. Natl. Sci. Rev. nwab078, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwab078.
- Costa, M., et al., 2015. Split Injection in a GDI Engine Under Knock Conditions: An Experimental and
   Numerical Investigation. SAE Technical Paper. 24-2432.
- 457 Fan, Y., et al., 2020. Large contributions of biogenic and anthropogenic sources to fine organic aerosols

- 458 in Tianjin, North China. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 20, 117-137. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-117-2020.
- He, Y., et al., 2021. Changes of ammonia concentrations in wintertime on the North China Plain from
  2018 to 2020. Atmos. Res. 253, 105490. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105490.
- Heal, M. R., 2014. The application of carbon-14 analyses to the source apportionment of atmospheric
  carbonaceous particulate matter: a review. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 406, 81-98.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-013-7404-1.
- Hopke, P. K., et al., 2020. Global review of recent source apportionments for airborne particulate matter.
  Sci.Total Environ. 740. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140091.
- Hou, S., et al., 2021. Source Apportionment of Carbonaceous Aerosols in Beijing with Radiocarbon and
  Organic Tracers: Insight into the Differences between Urban and Rural Sites. Atmos. Chem. Phys.
  21, 8273-8292. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-8273-2021.
- 469 IPCC, 2021. Sixth assessment report: Climate change 2021 The physical science basis.
- Jimenez, J. L., et al., 2009. Evolution of Organic Aerosols in the Atmosphere. Science. 326, 1525-1529.
  https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1180353.
- Kuang, Y., et al., 2020. Photochemical Aqueous-Phase Reactions Induce Rapid Daytime Formation of
  Oxygenated Organic Aerosol on the North China Plain. Environ. Sci. Technol. 54, 3849-3860.
  https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b06836.
- 475 Li, G., et al., 2021a. Multiphase chemistry experiment in Fogs and Aerosols in the North China Plain
  476 (McFAN): integrated analysis and intensive winter campaign 2018. Faraday Discus<u>sions</u>. 226, 1477 16. http://doi.org/10.1039/d0fd00099j.
- 478 Li, G., et al., 2020. Multiphase chemistry experiment in Fogs and Aerosols in the North China Plain
   479 (McFAN): integrated analysis and intensive winter campaign 2018. Faraday Discussions.
   480 10.1039/d0fd00099j.
- 481 Li, J., et al., 2017a. Rapid formation of a severe regional winter haze episode over a mega- city cluster
  482 on the North China Plain. Environ. Pol. 223, 605-615.
  483 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.01.063.
- Li, J., et al., 2021b. Significant changes in autumn and winter aerosol composition and sources in Beijing
  from 2012 to 2018: Effects of clean air actions. Environ. Pol. 268, 1-10.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115855.
- 487 Li, Y. J., et al., 2017b. Real-time chemical characterization of atmospheric particulate matter in China: A
  488 review. Atmos. Environ. 158, 270-304. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.02.027</u>.
- Liang, C. S., et al., 2016. Review on recent progress in observations, source identifications and
  countermeasures of PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Environ. Int. 86, 150-170.
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2015.10.016.
- Lin, W., et al., 2009. Characteristics of gaseous pollutants at Gucheng, a rural site southwest of Beijing.
  J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos. 114, D00G14,, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010339.
- Liu, D., et al., 2017. Sources of non-fossil-fuel emissions in carbonaceous aerosols during early winter
  in Chinese cities. Atmos. Chem.Phys. 17, 11491-11502. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2017-340.
- Liu, D., et al., 2020. Fossil and non-fossil fuel sources of organic and elemental carbon aerosols in Beijing,
  Shanghai and Guangzhou: Seasonal carbon-source variation. Aerosol. Air. Qual. Res. 20, 2495–
  2506. https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2019.12.0642.
- Liu, X. Y., et al., 2019. Analysis of the origins of black carbon and carbon monoxide transported to
  Beijing, Tianjin, and Hebei in China. Sci. Total Environ. 653, 1364-1376.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.274.

- 502 Marseglia, G., et al., 2019. Experimental and numericaltechniques to detect pollutant formation in
   503 transport sector. WIT Press.
- Mazzoleni, L. R., et al., 2007. Emissions of levoglucosan, methoxy phenols, and organic acids from
  prescribed burns, laboratory combustion of wildland fuels, and residential wood combustion.
  Environ. Sci. Technol. 41(7), 2115-2122. http://doi.org/10.1021/es061702c.
- 507 Meng, W., et al., 2019. Energy and air pollution benefits of household fuel policies in northern China.
   508 PNAS. 116, 16773–16780. www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1904182116.
- 509 Minguillón, M. C., et al., 2011. Fossil versus contemporary sources of fine elemental and organic
  510 carbonaceous particulate matter during the DAURE campaign in Northeast Spain. Atmos. Chem.
  511 Phys. 11, 12067-12084. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-12067-2011.
- 512 Paatero, P., Tapper, U., 1994. Positive matrix factorization: A non-negative factor model with optimal
  513 utilization of error estimates of data values. Environmetrics. 5, 111-126.
- Panagi, M., et al., 2020. Investigating the regional contributions to air pollution in Beijing: a dispersion
  modelling study using CO as a tracer. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 20, 2825-2838.
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-2825-2020.
- 517 Pang, Y., et al., 2017. Study of 14C sample preparation for low energy single stage AMS. Atomic Energy
  518 Science and Technology. 51, 1866-1873. <u>http://doi.org/10.7538/yzk.2017.youxian.0012</u>.
- Pope, C. A., III, Dockery, D. W., 2006. Health effects of fine particulate air pollution: Lines that connect.
  J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc. 56, 709-742. https://doi.org/10.1080/10473289.2006.10464485.
- Pope III, C. A., et al., 2002. Lung cancer, cardiopulmonary mortality, and long-term exposure to fine
  particulate air pollution. J. Am. Med. Assoc. 287, 1132-1141.
  https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.287.9.1132
- Shi, Z., et al., 2021. Atmospheric pollution and human health in a Chinese megacity (APHHBeijing)
   programme: final report. https://dio.org/10.25500/epapers.bham.00003381.
- Sillanpää, M., et al., 2005. Organic, elemental and inorganic carbon in particulate matter of six urban
  environments in Europe. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 5, 2869-2879. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-28692005.
- Su, F., et al., 2004. Convergence System of Air Contamination in Boundary Layer above Beijing and
  North China: Transportation Convergence in Boundary Layer (in Chinese). Research of
  Enviornmental Sciences. 17(1), 21-33.
- Sun, J., et al., 2019. Characterization of PM2.5 source profiles from typical biomass burning of maize
  straw, wheat straw, wood branch, and their processed products (briquette and charcoal) in China.
  Atmos. Environ. 205, 36-45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.02.038.
- Sun, Y., et al., 2015. Rapid formation and evolution of an extreme haze episode in Northern China during
   winter 2015. Scientific Reports. 6:27151, 1-9. <u>http://doi.org/10.1038/srep27151</u>.
- Sun, Y., et al., 2018. Source apportionment of organic aerosol from 2-year highly time-resolved
  measurements by an aerosol chemical speciation monitor in Beijing, China. Atmos. Chem. Phys.
  18, 8469-8489. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-8469-2018.
- 540 Szidat, S., 2009. Sources of Asian haze. Science. 323, 470-471. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1169407.

541 Szidat, S., et al., 2009. Fossil and non-fossil sources of organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC)

- in Goteborg, Sweden. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 9, 1521-1535. <u>www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/1521/2009/</u>.
- Takegawa, N., et al., 2009. Variability of submicron aerosol observed at a rural site in Beijing in the
  summer of 2006. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.. 114, D00G05. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010857.
- 545 Ulbrich, I. M., et al., 2009. Interpretation of organic components from Positive Matrix Factorization of

- aerosol mass spectrometric data. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 9, 2891-2918. <u>www.atmos-chem-</u>
  phys.net/9/2891/2009/.
- 548 Vu, T. V., et al., 2019. Assessing the impact of Clean Air Action Plan on Air Quality Trends in Beijing
  549 Megacity using a machine learning technique. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 19, 11303–11314.
  550 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-11303-2019.
- Wang, F., et al., 2021a. Review of online source apportionment research based on observation for ambient
   particulate matter. Sci. Total Environ. 762, 144095. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144095.
- Wang, J., et al., 2021b. Aqueous production of secondary organic aerosol from fossil-fuel emissions in
  winter Beijing haze. PNAS. 118, 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2022179118.
- Wang, M., et al., 2021c. Chemical characteristics and sources of nitrogen-containing organic compounds
  at a regional site in the North China Plain during the transition period of autumn and winter. Sci.
  Total Environ. 13, 151451. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151451.
- Wang, Y., et al., 2020. Chemical composition and sources of submicron aerosols in winter at a regional
  site in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region: Implications for the Joint Action Plan. Sci. Total Environ. 719,
  137547. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137547.
- Watson, J. G., 2002. Visibility: Science and regulation. J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc. 52, 628-713.
   https://doi.org/10.1080/10473289.2002.10470813.
- WHO, 2021. WHO global air quality guidelines. Particulate matter (PM2.5 and PM10), ozone, nitrogen
   dioxide, sulfur dioxide and carbon monoxide. ISBN 978-92-4-003422-8.
- 565 Wu, L., et al., 2019. Aerosol Ammonium in the Urban Boundary Layer in Beijing: Insights from Nitrogen
  566 Isotope Ratios and Simulations in Summer 2015. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 6, 389-395.
  567 http://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.9b00328.
- Xia, Y., et al., 2016. Assessment of socioeconomic costs to China's air pollution. Atmos. Environ. 139, 147-156. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.05.036</u>.
- Xu, J., et al., 2020. An evaluation of source apportionment of fine OC and PM2.5 by multiple methods:
   APHH-Beijing campaigns as a case study. Faraday Discuss. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0FD00095G.
- 572 Xu, W., et al., 2021. Size-resolved characterization of organic aerosol in the North China Plain: new
  573 insights from high resolution spectral analysis. Environ. Sci.: Atmos. 1, 346-358.
  574 https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ea00025j.
- Zhang, T., et al., 2008. Identification and estimation of the biomass burning contribution to Beijing
  aerosol using levoglucosan as a molecular marker. Atmos. Environ. 42, 7013-7021.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.04.050.
- Zhang, Y., et al., 2017a. High Contribution of Nonfossil Sources to Submicrometer Organic Aerosols in
  Beijing, China. Environ. Sci. Technol. . 51, 7842-7852. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b01517.
- Zhang, Y., et al., 2007. Source profiles of particulate organic matters emitted from cereal straw burnings.
  J. Environ. Sci. 19, 167-175. http://doi.org/10.1016/s1001-0742(07)60027-8.
- Zhang, Y., et al., 2020. Significant changes in chemistry of fine particles in wintertime Beijing from 2007
  to 2017: Impact of clean air actions. Environ. Sci. Technol. 54, 1344-1352.
  https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b04678.
- Zhang, Y., et al., 2018. Chemical components, variation, and source identification of PM1 during Heavy
  Air Pollution Episodes in Beijing. J. Meteor. Res. 32, 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13351-0187051-8.
- Zhang, Y. L., et al., 2014a. Radiocarbon-Based Source Apportionment of Carbonaceous Aerosols at a
   Regional Background Site on Hainan Island, South China. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 2651-2659.

- 590 https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es4050852.
- Zhang, Y. L., et al., 2013. Fossil and non-fossil sources of different carbonaceous fractions in fine and
   coarse particles by radiocarbon measurement. Radiocarbon 55, 1510-1520.
   https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033822200048438
- Zhang, Y. M., Characterization of sub-micron aerosol and its change processes in BIV (Beijing and its
   vicinity) region. Vol. PhD. Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing, 2011.
- Zhang, Y. M., et al., 2014b. Chemical composition and mass size distribution of PM 1 at an elevated site
  in central east China. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 14, 12237-12249. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-122372014.
- Zhang, Z., et al., 2017b. Characteristics of chemical composition and role of meteorological factors
  during heavy aerosol pollution episodes in northern Beijing area in autumn and winter of 2015.
  Tellus B. 69:1, 1347484. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/16000889.2017.1347484</u>.
- Zheng, G. J., et al., 2015. Exploring the severe winter haze in Beijing: the impact of synoptic weather,
  regional transport and heterogeneous reactions. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 15, 2969-2983.
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-2969-2015.
- Zhong, J., et al., 2020. Drivers of the rapid rise and daily-based accumulation in PM1. Sci. Total Environ.
  760, 143394. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143394.
- Zhou, W., et al., 2020. A review of aerosol chemistry in Asia: insights from aerosol mass spectrometer
   measurements. Environ. Sci.: Proc. Impacts 22, 1616-1653. https://doi.org/10.1039/d0em00212g.
- Zhou, Y., et al., 2017. A comprehensive biomass burning emission inventory with high spatial and
  temporal resolution in China. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 17, 2839-2864. <u>http://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-</u>
  2839-2017.
- Zou, J., et al., 2017. Aerosol chemical compositions in the North China Plain and the impact on the
  visibility in Beijing and Tianjin. Atmos. Res. 201, 235-246.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2017.09.014.
- 615
- 616

619

620

621

- 623
- 624

625	TABLE LEGENDS:
626	Table 1 Equations for the <sup>14</sup> C based Source Apportionment
627	<b>Table 2</b> Statistics of the chemical species by AMS measurement and filter analysis including LG,
628	MN, OC, EC (unit: µg m <sup>-3</sup> ) and OC/EC ratio
629	Table 3 Statistics of resolved categories of OC as well as fossil and non-fossil sources of POC and
630	SOC (unit: µg m <sup>-3</sup> )
631	
632	FIGURE LEGENDS:
633	Figure 1 The topographic map of the NCP and the location of study site (red circle)
634	Figure 2. Time series of wind speed and direction (a), solar radiation (left) and temperature (right)
635	(b), relative humidity (c), gaseous pollutants $SO_2$ , $NO_2$ , $NH_3$ (d) and $O_3$ , $CO(e)$ , and $PM_1$ chemical
636	species (f)
637	Figure 3. Temporal variations of chemical components in $NR-PM_1$ (a); box plot of the chemical
638	species for organics (left axis), sulfate, nitrate, ammonium and chloride (right axis) in PM1 with
639	mean (line in the middle of boxes), median (cross in the middle of boxes), 5%, 25%, 75% and 95%
640	percentiles (b); pie charts of non-refractory species (c) and organic components (d) during the study
641	period.
642	Figure 4. Temporal variations of TC, OC and EC concentrations (a); and $f_{NF}$ of OC and EC (b).
643	Figure 5. Relative contributions of fossil fuel and non-fossil OC and EC to TC (a); Relative
644	contributions of EC <sub>NF</sub> , EC <sub>FF</sub> , POC <sub>FF</sub> , SOC <sub>FF</sub> , OC <sub>bb</sub> and OC <sub>other</sub> to TC (b)
645	Figure 6 Correlations between the resolved OC categories based on the EG method and AMS-PMF
646	method
647	
648	
649	
650	
651	
652	
653	
654	
655	
656	
657	
658	
659	
660	
661	
662	
663	
664	
665	
666	
667	
668	

	Extended Gelencsér method
EC <sub>NF</sub>	$EC_{bb} = f_{NF}(EC) \Box EC$
$EC_{FF}$	EC-EC <sub>NF</sub>
$OC_{NF}$	$f_{\rm NF}\left({ m OC} ight) \ \Box  { m OC}$
OC <sub>FF</sub>	OC- OC <sub>NF</sub>
POC <sub>FF</sub>	$\mathrm{EC}_{\mathrm{FF}}$ $\Box$ (POC/EC) <sub>FF</sub>
SOC <sub>FF</sub>	OC <sub>FF</sub> -POC <sub>FF</sub>
$OC_{bb}$	$EC_{NF} \square (POC/EC)_{bb}$
OCother	OC <sub>NF</sub> -OC <sub>bb</sub>
OC <sub>AMS</sub>	OA <sub>AMS</sub> / (OM/OC) <sub>AMS</sub>

Table 1. Equations for the <sup>14</sup>C based Source Apportionment

Table 2. Statistics of the chemical species by AMS measurement and filter analysis including LG, MN, OC, EC (unit: µg m<sup>-3</sup>) and OC/EC ratio

		,,,		)	14000	
Organics	Sulfate	Nitrate	Ammonium	Chloride	OC	EC
95.7±34.2	22.4±11.6	18.1±6.6	14.4±5.1	9.1±3.6	67.8±25.4	11.4±3.4
HOA	CCOA	BBOA	OCCOA	MO-OOA	LG	MN
11.1±5.9	36.5±21.6	9.1±6.1	11.1±4.7	28.0±13.7	0.77±0.27	$0.05\pm0.02$

678 Note: HOA=Hydrocarbon organic aerosol, CCOA=coal combustion organic aerosol, BBOA= biomass burning
679 organic aerosol, OCCOA=oxidized coal combustion organic aerosol and MO-OOA=more oxygenic organic aerosol;
680 OC<sub>AMS</sub>=OA<sub>AMS</sub> / (OM/OC)<sub>AMS</sub>; LG= levoglucosan, MN= mannasan

Table 3. Statistics of resolved categories of OC as well as fossil and non-fossil sources of POC and

		SOC (unit: με	g m <sup>-3</sup> )	
$f_{\rm NF}({\rm OC})(\%)$	$f_{\rm NF}({\rm EC})(\%)$	OC <sub>NF</sub>	OC <sub>FF</sub>	$EC_{NF}$
34.9±7.7	36.1±8.5	22.5±6.9	45.3±20.6	4.1±1.6
EC <sub>FF</sub>	OC <sub>bb</sub>	OCother	POC <sub>FF</sub>	SOC <sub>FF</sub>
7.3±2.3	12.6±4.2	9.9±6.4	27.9±8.8	16.9±17.4



Figure1. The location of study site (red circle) and surrounding major cities in the NCP. The color scheme represents the elevation. 



7	1	1
'	Ŧ	Ŧ

Figure 2. Time series of wind speed and direction (a), solar radiation (left) and temperature (right) (b), relative humidity (c), gaseous pollutants SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> (d) and O<sub>3</sub>, CO(e), and PM<sub>1</sub> chemical species (f) 



Figure 3. Temporal variations of chemical components in NR-PM<sub>1</sub> (a); box plot of the chemical species for organics (left axis), sulfate, nitrate, ammonium and chloride (right axis) in PM<sub>1</sub> with mean (line in the middle of boxes), median (cross in the middle of boxes), 5%, 25%, 75% and 95%
percentiles (b); pie charts of non-refractory species (c) and organic components (d) during the study

period.



753	
754	Figure 4. Temporal variations of TC, OC and EC concentrations (a); and $f_{NF}$ of OC and EC (b)
755	
756	
757	
758	
759	
760	
761	
762	
763	
764	
765	
766	
767	
768	
769	
770	
771	
772	
773	



774	
775	Figure 5. Relative contributions of fossil fuel and non-fossil OC and EC to TC (a); Relative
776	contributions of $EC_{NF}$ , $EC_{FF}$ , $POC_{FF}$ , $SOC_{FF}$ , $OC_{bb}$ and $OC_{other}$ to TC (b)
777	
778	
779	
780	
781	
782	
783	
784	
785	
786	
787	
788	
789	
790	
791	
792	
793	
794	



Figure 6. Correlations between the resolved OC categories based on the EG method and AMS-PMF method