UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Role of post-trauma stress symptoms in the development of chronic musculoskeletal pain and disability

Jadhakhan, Ferozkhan; Evans, David; Falla, Deborah

DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-058386

License: Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC)

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Jadhakhan, F, Evans, D & Falla, D 2021, 'Role of post-trauma stress symptoms in the development of chronic musculoskeletal pain and disability: a protocol for a systematic review', *BMJ open*, vol. 11, no. 12, e058386. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-058386

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

BMJ Open Role of post-trauma stress symptoms in the development of chronic musculoskeletal pain and disability: a protocol for a systematic review

Ferozkhan Jadhakhan, David Evans, Deborah Falla 💿

ABSTRACT

To cite: Jadhakhan F, Evans D, Falla D. Role of post-trauma stress symptoms in the development of chronic musculoskeletal pain and disability: a protocol for a systematic review. *BMJ Open* 2021;**11**:e058386. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-058386

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-058386).

Received 14 October 2021 Accepted 12 November 2021



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

Centre of Precision Rehabilitation for Spinal Pain (CPR Spine), School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

Correspondence to

Professor Deborah Falla; d.falla@bham.ac.uk

Introduction Post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS). pain and disability frequently co-occur following traumatic injuries. Although the coexistence of these symptoms is common, the relation between these symptoms and the impact on longer-term outcome remains poorly understood. This systematic review aims to determine the role of PTSS on the development of chronic pain and/or pain-related disability following musculoskeletal trauma. Methods/analysis This protocol is developed and reported following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses-Protocol. The review will include studies that recruited individuals aged ≥16 years sustaining any traumatic event that resulted in one or more musculoskeletal injuries and where a recognised measure for the presence of PTSS symptoms, pain and disability using either validated questionnaires or symptom checklists was employed. The following citation databases MEDLINE, PsycINFO, EMBASE, CINAHL, ZETOC, Web of Science, PubMed and Google Scholar, as well as reference lists from key journals and grey literature, will be searched from inception to 31 November 2021. Two independent reviewers will search, screen studies, extract data and assess risk of bias. The relationship of PTSS, pain and pain-related disability by injury type and severity will be estimated with 95% CI. If possible, study results will be pooled into a meta-analysis. However, if heterogeneity between studies is high, data analyses will be presented descriptively. The overall quality of evidence will be assessed using the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation guidelines.

Ethics and dissemination Ethical approval will not be required for this systematic review since only data from existing studies will be used. This review is expected to provide a better understanding of the factors associated with PTSS, pain and pain-related disability following musculoskeletal trauma, and help with the development of targeted therapeutic interventions. Results of this review will be disseminated in peer-reviewed publications and via national and international conferences.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42021285243.

BACKGROUND

Traumatic injuries account for 11% of the global disease burden; in 2015, an

Strengths and limitations of this study

- To the best of our knowledge, this will be the first systematic review to explore the role of posttraumatic stress symptoms in the development of persistent pain and disability following musculoskeletal trauma.
- A wide range of medical databases and grey literature will be used to identify potential studies for inclusion.
- Two independent reviewers will be involved in conducting the study selection, data extraction and quality assessment.
- A broad search strategy and robust quality assessment criteria will be used to appraise and evaluate existing literature.
- Potential limitations are likely to be study heterogeneity, high risk of bias, imprecision and low number of studies, which may prevent meta-analysis from being performed.

estimated 973 million people sustained injuries requiring healthcare, from which there were 4.7 million deaths.¹ Musculoskeletal injuries are now the second most common cause of years lived with disability and longterm pain worldwide.² Rates of disability associated with musculoskeletal trauma rose an estimated 45% between 1990 and 2010 and this figure is likely to continue rising.³ In the UK, between 40000 and 90000 people are involved in a traumatic accident each year;^{4 5} of these, 50% will have sustained a musculoskeletal injury.⁴ An estimated 20000 cases of major trauma are reported each year in England alone, resulting in over 5000 deaths and many permanent disabilities needing long-term care.⁴ The estimated loss of economic output in 2010 as a result of major trauma in the UK was between £3.3 and ± 3.7 billion.⁴ Musculoskeletal trauma is defined as traumatic injury to musculoskeletal structures including: bones, joints, ligaments, tendons and muscles that surround these structures.⁶

Post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS) includes symptoms associated with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), which can be diagnosed 1 month following a traumatic event⁷ and acute stress disorder (ASD), which can be diagnosed within 1 month of a traumatic event.⁸ Several studies have identified PTSS as an important factor in the development of chronic pain and disability following traumatic injuries.^{9–11} However, the relationship between PTSS and the development of chronic pain and disability remains poorly understood. Reasons for this include PTSS diagnostic criteria, screening tools used to assess PTSS, study sample size and location of pain, all of which differ considerably between studies.

For example, two studies^{12 13} have examined the prevalence of PTSS in patients with chronic pain accepted for pain rehabilitation in three Scandinavian hospital across Finland, Denmark and Sweden, using the core clusters of PTSS as outlined by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) criteria to ascertain diagnosis. In the first study, 23% of patients assessed for pain in Finland and Denmark fulfilled the DSM-IV criteria for PTSS, whereas in the second study, 29% of patients admitted for pain rehabilitation in Sweden reported PTSS at a level qualifying for a PTSD diagnosis. Furthermore, two systematic reviews¹⁴¹⁵ reported prevalence rates of PTSD between 11.7% and 19.1% among patients with chronic pain. Similarly, variation in chronic pain prevalence rate (range 30%-66%) have been reported in individuals with PTSD but research has mainly been carried out with war veterans.¹⁶¹⁷ A handful of studies have examined the role of PTSS in the development of chronic pain and pain related disability. But because of differences in sample size/study population, methods and the PTSS criteria used for diagnosis, estimates of pain and disability in individuals with PTSS vary considerably between studies. This warrants a thorough empirical examination of the role of PTSS and its impact on the development of pain and disability. A better understanding of this relationship should enable more targeted treatment. Therefore, the aim of this systematic review is to describe the literature investigating the role of PTSS in the development of chronic pain and disability following musculoskeletal trauma.

METHODS

This systematic review protocol has been developed according to the guidelines for conducting prognostic reviews proposed by Moons *et al*¹⁸ and is reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P)¹⁹ (online supplemental file 1). Prior to publication, an update of the search will be performed to be able to present the latest results.

The research question is: does PTSS have a role in the development of chronic pain and or disability following

musculoskeletal trauma? In order to answer this question, ascertaining the role of PTSS (exposure) and outcome (chronic pain and or disability) will be sought. Only prospective observational cohort studies will be included, where PTSS is measured at baseline (exposure, within 3 months of a traumatic event) and patients followed up for the development of chronic pain and/or disability (outcome).

Eligibility criteria

Population

This review will include studies that recruited individuals aged ≥ 16 years sustaining any physically traumatic event that was reported to result in at least one musculoskeletal injury. Musculoskeletal injuries will be defined as damage to any bones, joints, ligaments (including intervertebral discs), tendons, muscles and the skin that surrounds these structures.⁶ Common physically traumatic events are road traffic accidents (including whiplash injuries), blunt-force trauma, falls, sports injuries, stab wounds, gunshot wounds and violence. A broad range of musculoskeletal injuries are therefore included in this review. In studies with heterogeneous populations, more than 90% of the sample (aged \geq 16 years) must have sustained a musculoskeletal injury.⁶ Studies focusing solely on patients with brain injury or studies that include burns or neurological injury such as spinal cord injuries will be excluded. In studies where musculoskeletal trauma and neurological injuries are reported together, musculoskeletal trauma results will be reported separately. In studies where a proportion of the population is less than 16 years old, the reported mean or median age (in years) and a description of the distribution (SD or IQR range) will be provided. If these are not reported, we will attempt to contact the authors for raw data so that these can be calculated.

Patient-reported outcome measures

This review will include longitudinal studies with a recorded measure of PTSS (exposure) at baseline (within 3 months of the traumatic event in which injuries were sustained). Eligible studies must also have measured pain and/or pain-related disability for at least 3 months following the traumatic event.²⁰ Extracted outcomes data will be categorised by the time since injury. This will include any of the following measures.

Post-traumatic stress symptoms

Studies will be included if they have used one or more validated instrument/s to measure PTSS at baseline, for example, Impact of Event Scale (original, revised and abbreviated versions),^{21–23} Clinician Administered PTSD scale,²⁴ PTSD Checklist for DSM-4 (PCL,),²⁵ PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5),²⁶ the Post-Traumatic Stress Diagnostic Scale²⁷ or the ASD Scale.²⁸

Pain and disability

Studies will be included if they include at least one quantitative measure of pain at one or more follow-ups of 3 months or later, for example, self-reported pain intensity, such as from a Visual Analogue Scale²⁹ or the Numerical Rating Scale;³⁰ the short form-36 (SF36)³¹ and SF12³² and the Euro QOL five dimensional questionnaire,³³ the McGill pain questionnaire³⁴ or the Short Form McGill pain questionnaire,³⁵ designed to measure the quality and intensity of pain in adults; the Graded Chronic Pain Scale,³⁶ suitable for measuring chronic pain severity pain at any site; site-specific measures such as the Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire,³⁷ the Oswestry Disability Index,³⁸ the Neck Disability Index³⁹ or the Western Ontario McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index,⁴⁰ measuring functional and physical disability related to pain at a particular anatomical location; or other painrelated score that assess function or disability status.

Study design

This systematic review will include only prospective observational studies and record linkage studies (data linkage from longitudinal surveys). Included studies will be published in either peer-reviewed scientific journals, Cochrane libraries or in the grey literature. Only articles published in English will be considered eligible.

Exclusion criteria

Single case studies, retrospective observational studies and randomised controlled trials will be excluded. Review articles, letters, editorials, conference proceedings and studies with only abstracts (ie, no available full text) will also be excluded.

Search strategy

The following citation databases MEDLINE (OVID), PsycINFO (OVID), EMBASE (OVID), CINAHL, ZETOC, PROSPERO, Web of Science, PubMed and Google Scholar as well as key journals and grey literature will be searched from inception to 31 November 2021. A search strategy has been developed to retrieve relevant articles, using the following key terms and Medical Subject Heading (MeSH): post-traumatic stress symptoms* (and all associated diagnoses and research terms), acute stress disorder* (ASD), pain* and disability*. A detailed Medline search strategy can be found in online supplemental file 2. Varying combinations of search terms will be used to identify all relevant studies. Existing strings from databases such as the Cochrane library will be searched for additional search terms as appropriate. Reference lists from recent review articles and from eligible manuscripts, identified by the above searches will be handsearched.

Preparing for eligibility screening

Before eligibility screening commences, search results identified by the outlined databases will be assembled into a digital library and organised by searched database using Endnote V.X9 (Clarivate Analytics) reference management software. Any identified duplicate articles will be identified and removed at this stage.

Table 1 Eligibility criteria	
Study design	 Prospective observational cohort study. Record linkage study (data linkage from longitudinal surveys).
Study characteristics	 Study identified via electronic database search, grey literature, research archive or reference lists of eligible studies. Full text article available.
Participants	 Experienced musculoskeletal trauma within 3 months of the baseline assessment. >90% of participants are adults (aged ≥16 years).
Measures	 Post-traumatic stress symptoms measured at baseline (no more than 3 months post-trauma). Self-reported pain and/or disability measured at 3 months and/or longer following baseline.

Study selection

Two reviewers (FJ and DE) will independently screen and identify potential studies for inclusion by scrutinising titles and abstracts within the digital library. Both reviewers will then select articles for full-text screening and independently apply eligibility criteria to select appropriate articles for inclusion in the review. A third reviewer (DF) will arbitrate any disagreement over study eligibility and resolve through discussion. An inclusion criteria checklist (table 1) has been developed, based on study eligibility criteria, to ensure that studies are classified and interpreted appropriately. A PRISMA-P flow diagram will be provided to describe included and excluded studies along with reasons for exclusions.

Data extraction

Data from the included studies will be extracted independently by two reviewers (FJ and DE). Any disagreement over the eligibility of a study will be resolved through discussions with a third reviewer (DF). For missing data, attempts will be made to contact study authors at least twice by email and/or phone to gain further information. If corresponding authors fail to respond to our requests and the missing data negates the eligibility of a study, it will be removed from the review. Data presented only in graph/figures will be extracted and analysed where possible using software tool such as Web Plot Digitizer.⁴¹ This process of extracting from figures will be conducted by only one reviewer (FI). An adapted version of the Critical Appraisal and Data Extraction for Systematic Reviews of Prediction Modelling Studies (CHARMS) checklist¹⁸ will be used to create a standardised data extraction form (spreadsheet) to manage the data extraction process. This form will be developed iteratively, being first pilot-tested on five eligible papers by each of the two reviewers (FJ and DE) independently. The standardised data extraction spreadsheet will contain header specific

to the review purpose to ensure all the relevant data are extracted, consistent with the inclusion criteria of this review. A third reviewer will check a random subset of the data extracted from the included studies to ensure that data have been extracted consistently without any deviation. Although the CHARMS checklist was developed primarily for reviews of prediction model studies, it can also be used to define and frame key data items to be extracted from each study for this review. The following data items will be extracted from each study: authors and year of publication, study location, study design, participants characteristics, outcomes of interest (selfreported pain and/or disability), predictor variables or symptom measurements, sample size, length of follow-up, items associated with risk of bias, summary statistics and methods for statistical analysis.

Quality assessment

Two reviewers (FJ and DE) will independently carry out quality assessment of each of the retained articles to assess bias. Differences in opinion will be resolved by further discussion/consensus or by involving a third reviewer (DF). Risk of bias and study quality of the retained articles will be assessed using the Quality In Prognostic Studies (QUIPS) tool.⁴² The QUIPS risk of bias tool was developed for prognostic factor review questions and has demonstrated acceptable inter-rater reliability.⁴² It consists of six domains assessing potential biases in prognostic studies: study participation, study attrition, prognostic factor measurement, confounding factors, outcome measurement and statistical analysis and reporting.⁴³ Each risk of bias domain is independently rated as 'low', 'moderate' or 'high' according to responses to prompting questions and consensus judgement from at least two reviewers.⁴² The overall classification of the risk of bias of individual studies is defined as low risk of bias if all six domains are rated as low-to-moderate risk, while studies classified as high risk of bias if ≥ 1 domain assessed as a high risk of bias. Risk of bias ratings will be compared between the two reviewers. A narrative summary of study quality will be provided separately in a table. A critical appraisal describing the impact and overall impression of the quality of each retained study on the results will be discussed. A sensitivity analysis will be conducted to assess the effect of including or excluding poor quality studies on the main findings.

Data analysis and synthesis

If appropriate, a quantitative synthesis of the pooled data from retained studies will be provided. Results from outcome measures will be extracted and combined where possible. Calculations will be performed using STATA V.17.0 (STATA). Standardised mean difference with accompanying 95% CIs and median, adjusted and unadjusted covariates, ORs or relative risk (RR) of pain and/ or disability will be extracted, or if not reported will be calculated from data provided. If appropriate, adjusted and unadjusted outcome data will be grouped separately

and pooled estimates of the OR/RR for pain and or disability will be calculated. Risk ratio measures with 95% CIs will be calculated for binary outcomes (eg, presence of pain) or standardise mean difference with accompanying 95% CI where continuous scales of measurements were used to identify pain or disability. Results will be pooled if the association between PTSS and the outcome of interest (pain and or disability) was presented by the same summary statistics (RR or OR) in at least two studies. OR and RR will be summarised separately if the outcome is binary (eg, presence of pain v/s no pain reported) whereas continuous outcome (eg, pain scores) will be combined using mean difference or standardised mean difference.

Subgroup analyses of the outcome data may be performed if studies report severity or type of musculoskeletal trauma separately. The level of heterogeneity across studies will be assessed using the Cochrane Q-test and the I^2 statistical test with 95% CI. An I^2 of 50% is considered a substantial level of heterogeneity.⁴⁴ Depending on the level of heterogeneity, both fixed and random effect models will be used as summary effect measures. The Mantel-Haenszel⁴⁵ method will be used for fixed effects model if tests of heterogeneity are not significant, or the DerSimonian and Laird⁴⁶ method will be used for random effects model if the true effect size cannot be assumed due to different study population, regions or assessment methods across studies. A minimum of two studies are generally considered sufficient to perform a meta-analysis.47

Heterogeneity assessment

Sources of heterogeneity will be explored statistically by univariate and multivariate meta-regression. The statistical significance of univariate meta-regression analyses will be determined at p<0.05 and will be performed, for example, for the following covariates: country, study setting, study design, diagnostic methods and sample size. Statistically significant predictors from univariate models will be included in a multivariate meta-regression model, and the statistical significance will be determined at p<0.05. Meta-regression will be performed in STATA using the 'metareg' command.⁴⁸

Subgroup analysis

Depending on the level of heterogeneity between studies, subgroup analyses may be conducted to clarify the source of heterogeneity. High heterogeneity between the included studies is highly likely to occur. Potential sources of heterogeneity could arise from study design, source of participants, follow-up time and studies where some participants were diagnosed with PTSS and results reported separately. Subgroup analysis on study level characteristics will be conducted to avoid aggregation bias.

Sensitivity analysis

Separate sensitivity analyses may be conducted when assessing the methodological quality of the included studies. The following assumptions will be considered: sampling strategy, adequate response rate and studies using both validated assessment measures and or standardised assessment tools. A further analysis will be conducted excluding any studies with high risk of bias.

Narrative synthesis

If the level of heterogeneity is high between studies and pooled analysis of the studies is not possible, a narrative summary of the outcome of the selected studies will be undertaken and presented in the final review.

Publication bias and overall quality of the evidence

In order to investigate publication bias and small sample bias, the inverted funnel plot technique and the Egger statistic will be used. To examine the magnitude of publication bias, the trim and fill method⁴⁹ will be used to estimate the number of hypothetical studies missing because of publication bias and imputes missing effects until the funnel plot is symmetrical. The STATA command metatrim⁵⁰ will be used to perform the nonparametric trim and fill method. The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE)⁵¹ framework will be used to assess the quality of evidence for each outcome of interest described above across studies. Consistent with GRADE, the quality of the summary evidence will be assessed as high, moderate, low or very low. We will evaluate imprecision, inconsistency, indirectness, risk of bias including publication bias. Applicability of the results based on the study population will also be rated when making judgement about the quality of evidence presented in the included studies.⁵¹ The minimum number of studies recommended when examining publication bias is 10.⁵²

Patient and public involvement statement

As there is no direct patient involvement in this study, patients and the public were not invited to contribute to the writing or editing of this systematic review protocol. The research question of this review was informed by the notable lack of relevant literature examining the association of patients with PTSS in the development of persistent musculoskeletal pain and disability.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this will be the first systematic review to present an in-depth synthesis of the available prospective evidence exploring the association between early PTSS and persistent pain and disability following musculoskeletal trauma. The strengths and limitations identified in the included studies will be presented and described in the review. Strengths of prospective observational data include large sample sizes, collection of detailed information on the risk exposure and outcome of interests, the potential to observe patients prospectively over an extended period. Some limitations of observational data include the quality of data extracted which may be limited or inadequate to allow data to be combined in a meta-analysis. A narrative summary of the study findings will be presented to overcome this issue if necessary.

Implications of results

This systematic review will provide a synthesis of the available literature exploring the role of PTSS and the development of persistent pain and disability in adults aged ≥ 16 years who have experienced musculoskeletal trauma. The results of this review have the potential to inform clinical practice by providing evidence of the importance of early assessment and may provide indicators for tailored treatment of patients experiencing PTSS following traumatic injuries. A better understanding of the effect of PTSS in the development of persistent musculoskeletal pain and disability should herald further research in the area of early intervention for those presenting with increased level of stress following a musculoskeletal injury.

Ethics and dissemination

This review does not require ethical approval as only existing published data available in scientific databases will be used. Findings of this systematic review will be presented for peer review in an appropriate journal. Any data generated from this systematic review will be made available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Twitter David Evans @drdavidevans and Deborah Falla @Deb_Falla

Contributors All authors contributed to the focus of the systematic review topic. FJ drafted the initial protocol with guidance and feedback from DF and DE at all stages. All authors approved the final version for publication. DF is guarantor.

Funding This project is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Surgical Reconstruction and Microbiology Research Centre (SRMRC). SRMRC is a research infrastructure for trauma research jointly funded by the NIHR, and the ministry of defence.

Disclaimer The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Deborah Falla http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1689-6190

Open access

REFERENCES

- 1 GBD 2013 DALYs and HALE Collaborators, Murray CJL, Barber RM, *et al.* Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 306 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 188 countries, 1990-2013: quantifying the epidemiological transition. *Lancet* 2015;386:2145–91.
- 2 Lee C, Porter KM. Prehospital management of lower limb fractures. *Emerg Med J* 2005;22:660–3.
- 3 Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, *et al*. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2010. *Lancet* 2012;380:2163–96.
- 4 National Audit Office. Major trauma care in England: report by the Comptroller and auditor general, 2010. Available: http://www.nao. org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2010/02/0910213.pdf
- 5 Herron J, Hutchinson R, Lecky F, et al. The impact of age on major orthopaedic trauma: an analysis of the United Kingdom trauma audit research network database. *Bone Joint J* 2017;99-B:1677–80.
- 6 Clay FJ, Newstead SV, McClure RJ. A systematic review of early prognostic factors for return to work following acute orthopaedic trauma. *Injury* 2010;41:787–803.
- 7 NICE. Post-traumatic stress disorder: the management of PTSD in adults and children in primary and secondary care. London: National Institute for Clinical Excellence, 2005. http://www.nice.org.uk/ nicemedia/live/10966/29769/29769.pdf
- 8 Bryant RA, Friedman MJ, Spiegel D, et al. A review of acute stress disorder in DSM-5. *Depress Anxiety* 2011;28:802–17.
- 9 Pedler A, Sterling M. Patients with chronic whiplash can be subgrouped on the basis of symptoms of sensory hypersensitivity and posttraumatic stress. *Pain* 2013;154:1640–8.
- 10 Ravn SL, Vaegter HB, Cardel T, *et al.* The role of posttraumatic stress symptoms on chronic pain outcomes in chronic pain patients referred to rehabilitation. *J Pain Res* 2018;11:527–36.
- 11 Ruiz-Párraga GT, López-Martínez AE. The contribution of posttraumatic stress symptoms to chronic pain adjustment. *Health Psychol* 2014;33:958–67.
- 12 Andersen TE, Andersen PG, Vakkala MA, et al. The traumatised chronic pain patient-prevalence of posttraumatic stress disorder -PTSD and pain sensitisation in two Scandinavian samples referred for pain rehabilitation. Scand J Pain 2012;3:39–43.
- 13 Åkerblom S, Perrin S, Rivano Fischer M, et al. The impact of PTSD on functioning in patients seeking treatment for chronic pain and validation of the posttraumatic diagnostic scale. *Int J Behav Med* 2017;24:249–59.
- 14 Siqveland J, Hussain A, Lindstrøm JC, *et al*. Prevalence of posttraumatic stress disorder in persons with chronic pain: a meta-analysis. *Front Psychiatry* 2017;8:164.
- 15 Fishbain DA, Pulikal A, Lewis JE, *et al.* Chronic pain types differ in their reported prevalence of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and there is consistent evidence that chronic pain is associated with PTSD: an evidence-based structured systematic review. *Pain Med* 2017;18:711.
- 16 Beckham JC, Crawford AL, Feldman ME, et al. Chronic posttraumatic stress disorder and chronic pain in Vietnam combat veterans. J Psychosom Res 1997;43:379–89.
- 17 Shipherd JC, Keyes M, Jovanovic T, et al. Veterans seeking treatment for posttraumatic stress disorder: what about comorbid chronic pain? J Rehabil Res Dev 2007;44:153–65.
- 18 Moons KGM, de Groot JAH, Bouwmeester W, et al. Critical appraisal and data extraction for systematic reviews of prediction modelling studies: the charms checklist. PLoS Med 2014;11:e1001744.
- 19 Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P). BMJ 2015;349:g7647.
- 20 Krismer M, van Tulder M. Low back pain (non-specific). Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2007;21:77–91.
- 21 Horowitz M, Wilner N, Alvarez W. Impact of event scale: a measure of subjective stress. *Psychosom Med* 1979;41:209–18.
- 22 Weiss DS, Marmar CR. The impact of event scale revised. In: Wilson JP, Keane TM, eds. Assessing psychological trauma and PTSD. New York: Guilford Press, 1997: 399–411.
- 23 Thoresen S, Tambs K, Hussain A, et al. Brief measure of posttraumatic stress reactions: impact of event Scale-6. Soc Psychiat Epidemiol 2010;45:405–12.

- 24 Blake DD, Weathers FW, Nagy LM, et al. The development of a clinician-administered PTSD scale. J Trauma Stress 1995;8:75–90.
- 25 Blanchard EB, Jones-Alexander J, Buckley TC, et al. Psychometric properties of the PTSD checklist (PCL). *Behav Res Ther* 1996;34:669–73.
- 26 Weathers FW, Litz BT, Keane TM. *PTSD checklist for DSM-5* (*PCL-5*). National Center for PTSD, 2014. http://www.ptsd.va.gov/ professional/assessment/adult-sr/ptsd-checklist.asp
- 27 Foa EB, McLean CP, Zang Y, et al. Psychometric properties of the posttraumatic diagnostic scale for DSM-5 (PDS-5). *Psychol Assess* 2016;28:1166–71.
- 28 Bryant RA, Moulds ML, Guthrie RM. Acute stress disorder scale: a self-report measure of acute stress disorder. *Psychol Assess* 2000;12:61–8.
- 29 McCormack HM, Horne DJ, Sheather S. Clinical applications of visual analogue scales: a critical review. *Psychol Med* 1988;18:1007–19.
- 30 Farrar JT, Young JP, LaMoreaux L, et al. Clinical importance of changes in chronic pain intensity measured on an 11-point numerical pain rating scale. *Pain* 2001;94:149–58.
- 31 Ware JE, Šnow KK, Kosinski M. SF-36 health survey. Manual and interpretation guide. Boston: New England Medical Center, The Health Institute, 1993.
- 32 Ware JE, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-item short-form health survey. Med Care 1996;34:220–3.
- 33 EuroQol Group. EuroQol a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life. *Health Policy* 1990;16:199–208.
- 34 Melzack R. The McGill pain questionnaire: major properties and scoring methods. *Pain* 1975;1:277–99.
- 35 Melzack R. The short-form McGill pain questionnaire. Pain 1987;30:191–7.
- 36 Von Korff M, Ormel J, Keefe FJ, et al. Grading the severity of chronic pain. Pain 1992;50:133–49.
- 37 Roland M, Fairbank J. The Roland-Morris disability questionnaire and the Oswestry disability questionnaire. *Spine* 2000;25:3115–24.
- 38 Fairbank JC, Pynsent PB. The Oswestry disability index. *Spine* 2000;25:2940–52.
- 39 Vernon H, Mior S. The neck disability index: a study of reliability and validity. J Manipulative Physiol Ther 1991;14:409–15.
- 40 Bellamy N, Buchanan WW, Goldsmith CH, et al. Validation study of WOMAC: a health status instrument for measuring clinically important patient relevant outcomes to antirheumatic drug therapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. J Rheumatol 1988;15:1833–40.
- 41 Rohatgi A. WebPlotDigitizer Extract data from plots, images, and maps, 2020. Available: https://automeris.io/WebPlotDigitizer/
- 42 Hayden JA, van der Windt DA, Cartwright JL, et al. Assessing bias in studies of prognostic factors. Ann Intern Med 2013;158:280–6.
- 43 Hayden JA, Côté P, Bombardier C. Evaluation of the quality of prognosis studies in systematic reviews. *Ann Intern Med* 2006;144:427–37.
- 14 Higgins JP, Green S. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions, 2011. Available: http://www.mri.gov.lk/assets/Uploads/ Research/Cochrane-Hand-booktext.pdf
- 45 Mantel N, Haenszel W. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J Natl Cancer Inst 1959;22:719–48.
- 46 DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials* 1986;7:177–88.
- 47 Valentine JC, Pigott TD, Rothstein HR. How many studies do you need? A primer on statistical power for meta-analysis. J Educ Behav Stat 2010;35:215–47.
- 48 Harbord RM, Higgins JPT. Meta-regression in Stata. Stata J 2008;8:493–519.
- 49 Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: a simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics* 2000b;56:276–84.
- 50 Sterne JAC, Newton HJ, Cox NJ. Meta-Analysis in Stata: an updated collection from the Stata Journal. College Station, TX: Stata Press, 2009.
- 51 Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, *et al*. GRADE guidelines: 1. Introduction-GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2011;64:383–94.
- 52 Sterne JAC, Sutton AJ, Ioannidis JPA, et al. Recommendations for examining and interpreting funnel plot asymmetry in meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 2011;343:d4002.