

PM_{2.5}-bound silicon-containing secondary organic aerosols (Si-SOA) in Beijing ambient air

Xu, Jingsha; Harrison, Roy M.; Song, Congbo; Hou, Siqi; Wei, Lianfang; Fu, Pingqing; Li, Weijun; Shi, Zongbo; Hong, Li

DOI:

[10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132377](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132377)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Xu, J, Harrison, RM, Song, C, Hou, S, Wei, L, Fu, P, Li, W, Shi, Z & Hong, L 2022, 'PM_{2.5}-bound silicon-containing secondary organic aerosols (Si-SOA) in Beijing ambient air', *Chemosphere*, vol. 288, no. 1, 132377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132377>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

PM_{2.5}-bound silicon-containing secondary organic aerosols (Si-SOA) in Beijing ambient air

Jingsha Xu, Roy M. Harrison, Congbo Song, Siqi Hou, Lianfang Wei, Pingqing Fu, Hong Li, Weijun Li,

Zongbo Shi




Fig. S1 Calibration curve of silicon standard measured by UV/Vis




Fig. S2 Calibration curve of silicon standard measured by ICP-OES

Table S1 The detection limits (DL), calibration curve, spike recovery and repeatability of Si measured by UV/Vis and ICP-OES

Instrument	Standard	DL		Calibration curve		Spike recovery %	relative standard deviation for 3 consecutive test %	relative standard deviation for repeatability %
		Con. in water (μg L ⁻¹)	Conc. in air (ng m ³)	Conc. Range (μg L ⁻¹)	R ²			
UV/Vis	Standard	1.4	0.6	1-200	0.9999	100.0~109.9	1.2 (0.0 ~ 3.1)	-

	Sample			- ^a	-	-	2.0 (0.1 ~ 7.8)	8.8 (0.1 ~ 16.2)
ICP-OES	Standard			10-200	0.9999	110.3~116.2	3.0 (0.6~8.7)	-
	Sample	4.8	2.0	-	-	-	2.0 (0.2~8.8)	10.5 (4.8~16.9)

^a Not available;

Table S2 Organic Si standard- Heptamethylcyclotetrasiloxan-2-ol (D3D^{OH}) measured by UV/Vis

Concentrations/ $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	Absorbance (average of 3 tests)	Calculated Si concentrations using UV/Vis calibration curve
469	0.000067	<DL
469	0.000167	<DL
710	0.000200	<DL

“Uncertainty budget of the SO-Si analysis

The combined standard uncertainty of SO-Si in percentage (u_{or}) was calculated using the combined standard uncertainty of total water-soluble Si (u_t) and total water-soluble inorganic Si (u_{in}) according to the following equation:

$$u_{or} = \sqrt{u_t^2 + u_{in}^2} \quad (1)$$

while the combined standard uncertainties of u_t and u_{in} were calculated using all components and the law of propagation of uncertainty according to the following equation:

$$u_t \text{ or } u_{in} = \sqrt{u(\text{extract})^2 + u(\text{DL})^2 + u(\text{cali})^2 + u(\text{blank})^2 + u(\text{repli})^2} \quad (2)$$

Where $u(\text{extract})$ is the uncertainty of extraction recovery, $u(\text{DL})$ is the uncertainty of detection limits, which is calculated as the DL divided by the average concentration of all samples. $u(\text{blank})$ is the uncertainty of blanks, which is calculated as the mean value of each blank divided by the average concentration of all samples. $u(\text{cali})$ is the systematic error which is the average difference between the true value and the analyzed value as a percentage of the true value. $u(\text{repli})$ is the uncertainty from replicates analyses, calculated as the relative standard deviation of the results. All values are provided in percentages.

Table S3. uncertainties for the calculation of u_{or}

parameters	uncertainties/ %		
	total water-soluble Si	total water-soluble inorganic Si	total water-soluble organic Si (SO-Si)
Extraction recovery	13.1	4.5	-
detection limit	8.9	9.3	-
calibration	2.8	1.0	-
blank	13.0	4.1	-
replicate	10.5	8.8	-

(repeatability)			
u_t	23.2	-	-
u_{in}	-	14.2	-
u_{or}	-	-	27.2

As shown in Table S3, higher uncertainties exist in blanks and DLs. This could limit the method application in some samples with low Si concentrations. As mentioned in the manuscript, the Si concentrations on 11th and 12th August were replaced with 0.5DLs due to below DL levels.”