UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation for paediatric out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in England

Albargi, H; Mallett, S; Berhane, S; Booth, S; Hawkes, C; Perkins, G D; Foster, T; Scholefield, B: Michael. Norton

DOI:

10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.10.042

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Albargi, H, Mallett, S, Berhane, S, Booth, S, Hawkes, C, Perkins, GD, Foster, T, Scholefield, B & Michael, N 2021, 'Bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation for paediatric out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in England: an observational registry cohort study', *Resuscitation*, vol. 170, pp. 17-25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.10.042

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)

•Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 08. May. 2024

- 1 Bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation for paediatric out-of-
- 2 hospital cardiac arrest in England: an observational registry
- **cohort study**
- 4 Author names H Albargi^{1, 2}, S Mallett³, S.Berhane^{4, 5}, S. Booth⁶, C Hawkes⁶, G D Perkins^{6, 7} M
- 5 Norton^{8, 9}, T Foster¹⁰, B Scholefield^{1, 11}
- 6 Affiliated institutions
- 7 Birmingham Acute Care Research Group, Institute of Inflammation and Ageing, University of
- 8 Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, U.K.

- ² Emergency medical services department, Faculty of Applied Medical Science, Jazan University,
- 11 Jazan, Saudi Arabia

12 13

³ UCL Centre for Medical, University College London, London W1W 7TY.

15

4NIHR Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation
 Trust and University of Birmingham, UK

18 19

⁵ Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, U.K.

20 21

⁶ Clinical Trials Unit, University of Warwick Medical School, Coventry, UK.

23

⁷ Department of Critical Care Medicine, Heartlands Hospital, University Hospitals Birmingham, B9
 5SS

25 35

26

- 27 8 North East Ambulance Service NHS Foundation Trust, Bernicia House, Newburn Riverside,
- Newcastle upon Tyne NE15 8NY

29

⁹ School of Medicine, University of Sunderland, Chester Road, Sunderland SR1 3SD

31

- 32 ¹⁰East of England Ambulance Service NHS Trust, Whiting Way, Melbourn, Cambs, SG8 6EN
- 33 ¹¹ Paediatric Intensive Care Unit, Birmingham Women's and Children's NHS Foundation Trust,
- 34 Birmingham, B4 6NH, U.K.

35

36 Corresponding author:

1 Word count: 2975

2 Abstract word count: 247

3 Figures and tables: 6

4 References: 37

5 Abstract

- 6 **Introduction**: Bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation (BCPR) is strongly advocated by
- 7 resuscitation councils for paediatric out-of-hospital cardiac arrests (OHCAs). However, there are
- 8 limited reports on rates of BCPR in children and its relationship with return of spontaneous circulation
- 9 (ROSC) or survival outcomes.
- 10 **Objective**: We describe the rate of BCPR and its association with any ROSC and survival- to-
- 11 hospital-discharge.
- 12 **Methods**: We conducted retrospective analysis of prospectively collected paediatric (<18 years of
- age) OHCA cases in England; we included specialist registry patients treated by emergency medical
- services (EMS) with known BCPR status and outcome between January 2014 and November 2018.
- Data included patient demographics, aetiology, witness status, initial rhythm, EMS, season, time of
- day and bystander status. Associations between BCPR, and any ROSC and survival-to-hospital-
- discharge outcomes were explored using multivariable logistic regression.
- 18 Results: There were 2363 paediatric OHCAs treated across 11 EMS regions. BCPR was performed in
- 19 69.6% (1646/2363) of the cases overall (range 57.7% (206/367) to 83.7% (139/166) across EMS
- regions). Only 34.9% (550/1572) of BCPR cases were witnessed. Overall, any ROSC was achieved in
- 21 22.8% (523/2289) and survival to hospital discharge in 10.8% (225/2066). Adjusted odds ratio (aOR)
- for any ROSC was significantly improved following BCPR compared to no BCPR (aOR 1.37, 95%
- 23 CI 1.03-1.81), but adjusted odds ratio for survival-to-hospital-discharge were similar (aOR 1.01, 95%
- 24 CI 0.66-1.55).

- 1 Conclusions: BCPR was associated with improved rates of any ROSC but not survival-to-hospital-
- 2 discharge. Variations in EMS BCPR rates may indicate opportunities for regional targeted increase in
- 3 public BCPR education, which may impact survival rates.

Introduction

1

Four crucial actions form the 'chain of survival' for successful resuscitation following a cardiac arrest 2 3 consists of four crucial actions: immediate recognition and a call for help; early cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR); early defibrillation; and optimal post-resuscitation care. (1) Bystanders play a key 4 5 role in the first three actions, and the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation has 6 recommended applying any form of bystander CPR (BCPR) over no bystander CPR (BCPR) for the 7 paediatric population. (2, 3) Current evidence of the impact of BCPR on survival-to- hospital-discharge in paediatric patients is 8 9 mixed. In a study by Naim et al (2017), the survival-to-hospital-discharge almost doubled when BCPR was performed compared to no BCPR (14.2 vs 8.6%). (4) However, other studies have not 10 found a significant association between BCPR and survival, (5, 6) with several confounding factors 11 12 including demographic characteristics (e.g. age), event characteristics (e.g. aetiology, witness status and shockable rhythm) and post-resuscitation care possibly influencing the association. 13 Globally, the reported BCPR rate varies widely across paediatric studies and ranges from 20% to 14 86%. (5, 7-13) Potential explanations for this variation include differences in (or a lack of) emergency 15 16 medical services (EMS) dispatcher systems, variable community education programs, bystanders' fear of responsibility, infection concerns, as well as variations in study sample sizes. Therefore, the 17 estimated paediatric BCPR rate remains uncertain. 18 19 In 2012, the University of Warwick established the Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest Outcomes registry (14), which collects prospective data from the national ambulance services. There have been previous 20 published reports of the larger cohort of adult out-of-hospital cardiac arrests patients which included 21 22 some paediatric patients. However, there has been no in-depth analysis of the BCPR rate or its association with clinical outcomes in the paediatric population. (14) 23 24 Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine rates of BCPR in children <18 years of age across EMS regions in England, investigate potentially modifiable factors associated with BCPR and assess 25

- 1 the relationship between BCPR with return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) and survival-to-
- 2 hospital-discharge outcomes.

3 Methods

- 4 Settings and Participants
- 5 This is a retrospective analysis of a prospective cohort within the OHCAO registry. This registry
- 6 collects data from all 11 English ambulance service (Emergency Medical Services (EMS)) regions.
- 7 We included paediatric OHCA patients identified from January 2014 to November 2018 who were
- 8 under 18 years of age and had resuscitation attempted by EMS providers. We excluded EMS
- 9 witnessed OHCA and patients whose bystander CPR status and outcome were missing.
- 10 Ethical Approval
- 11 The University of Warwick hosts the OHCAO project which has approval from the National Research
- Ethics Service (13/SC/0361). Details of the registry have been previously summarised. (15) This study
- was additionally approved by the University of Birmingham Internal Review Board (RG 17-246.
- 14 14.11.2018).
- 15 Description of the EMS
- 16 Eleven National Health Service ambulance trusts provide emergency care to different regions of
- England. The services respond to emergency calls made to 999 or 112. Calls are assessed by a
- dispatcher centre, which sends the appropriate team to manage the case with cardiac arrests
- 19 categorised as a high priority. As one of the quality indicators of an ambulance services system, the
- 20 target response time for life-threatening cases, such as cardiac arrest, was initially 8 minutes, and
- 21 reduced to 7 minutes in 2017. (16) The dispatch system assigns the nearest available resources,
- 22 including community responders, ambulance vehicles, or a helicopter to the scene. Emergency
- 23 medical technicians and community responders may provide basic life support, which includes CPR,

- defibrillation and the use of supraglottic airway devices, if trained. Paramedics can then perform
- 2 advanced life support including advanced airway management and intravenous medications. The
- 3 dispatch may assign paramedics, technicians, or physicians to cardiac arrest cases.
- 4 The EMS provider must attempt resuscitation whenever there is chance of survival for the patient.
- 5 However, if a patient shows signs of death, including hypostasis, rigour mortis, putrefaction etc.,
- 6 resuscitation is not pursued or continued and the case is recorded as a Recognition of Life Extinct
- 7 (ROLE). (17)

8 Data Collection

- 9 Participating EMS clinical audit teams identify cases and extract data from routinely collected data
- 10 recorded on Patient Report Forms and survival outcomes from admitting hospitals or from SPINE
- 11 (https://digital.nhs.uk/services/spine), a secure national health and social care record sharing platform.
- 12 The EMS clinical audit teams clean and verify their data before uploading it to the OHCAO registry
- servers. At this point, due to different terms used by each EMS region and to ensure data is mapped to
- the variables used in the registry, the data is transformed using service-specific rules. The OHCAO
- team verify and clean the data before it is analysed. (14)

16 Definitions

- Age was divided into five groups based on the Utstein style⁽¹⁸⁾: infants (≤ 1 year); pre-school children
- 18 (1-4 years); school children (>4-8 years); older school children (>8-12); and adolescents (>12-<18
- 19 years). Resuscitation characteristics included whether the OHCA case was witnessed by a member of
- 20 the public. The initial cardiac rhythm was defined as shockable (ventricular fibrillation and pulseless
- 21 ventricular tachycardia) or non-shockable (asystole and pulseless electrical activity). Bystander
- 22 interventions included CPR and the use of an automated external defibrillator. Actiology was
- 23 categorised as medical (which included cardiac causes and non-cardiac causes), trauma, drowning,
- 24 drug overdose and asphyxia. Daytime was defined as the period from 9.00 am to 4.59 pm, and night-

- 1 time was defined as the period from 5.00 pm to 8.59 am. The seasons (spring, summer, autumn and
- winter) were categorised based on the meteorological seasons. (19)
- 3 The primary outcome was survival-to-hospital-discharge and the secondary outcome was any ROSC
- 4 which included both pre-hospital arrival and ROSC achieved at transfer between EMS and emergency
- 5 department teams.
- 6 Statistical Analysis
- 7 The primary exposure of interest was BCPR. We examined the association between demographic and
- 8 pre-hospital factors with both BCPR, ROSC and survival outcomes. A statistical analysis plan was
- 9 prepared prior to data analysis, including outcomes and adjusted variables chosen based on prior
- 10 literature and clinical expertise (EMS and paediatric resuscitation). Descriptive statistics included
- means and standard deviations for normally distributed data and medians with interquartile ranges
- 12 (IQRs) for non-parametric data. Comparison of BCPR and no-BCPR and outcomes were conducted
- using Chi-square tests for categorical variables, and the Mann-Whitney test was used for continuous
- data. We used univariate logistic regression analysis to calculate unadjusted odds ratio (OR) with a
- 15 95% confidence interval (CI) to examine the association between BCPR, age, sex, initial cardiac
- rhythm, arrest cause, status of the witness, the time of day and the season, EMS characteristics with
- 17 ROSC and survival. A multivariable logistic regression analysis of complete case data was created
- 18 using the same factors to identify adjusted odds ratio (aOR). Statistical analyses were performed using
- 19 STATA 16.0 (STATA Corp., College Station TX, USA).

21

22

Results

1

- 2 A total of 3173 paediatric OHCA cases were identified from the OHCAO registry over a period of 4
- 3 years and 11 months. We excluded 308 (18 years or older), 258 (missing BCPR status), 215 (EMS
- 4 witnessed) and 29 (missing both ROSC and survival outcome) cases. A total of 2363 cases were
- 5 included (Supplemental Fig 1)

6 Demographics

- 7 The characteristics of included patients are detailed in Table 1. Median age of the cases was 3.1 years
- 8 (IQR 0.5-11.5) and a third (33.4%) were less than 1 year of age (Supplemental Table 1). There were
- 9 more males than females (58.7% vs. 41.3%). Medical causes accounted for 82.8% of all cardiac
- arrests and 34.1% were witnessed. An initial shockable rhythm was recorded in 6.87% of cases and an
- automated external defibrillator was used in 2.4% of cases (Table 1). An OHCA occurred most
- frequently between 05:00 pm and 08:59 am, with the highest frequency reported between 07:00 am
- and 07:59 am (Supplemental Fig 2). Overall, any ROSC was achieved in 22.8% of the cases, with
- 14 10.8% survival-to-hospital-discharge
- 15 BCPR was performed in 69.6% of all OHCA cases, of which 34.9% were witnessed. The number of
- 16 cases per EMS region per year are outlined in Supplemental Table 2. The rate of BCPR varied across
- EMS regions and ranged from 57.7 to 83.7%. The highest BCPR rate was recorded in 2018 at 74.3% (
- 18 Figure 1).
- BCPR was less common for trauma-related OHCAs (62.5%). However, 79.1% of cases presenting
- with a shockable rhythm had undergone BCPR. After adjusting for age, sex, aetiology, EMS regions,
- status of witness, initial rhythm, time of the day and season there was a significantly reduced odds of
- receiving BCPR in patients experiencing a traumatic OHCA compared to other causes (aOR 0.64,
- 23 95% CI 0.42-0.97). There was variation across EMS in adjusted odds of receiving BCPR, ranging
- from a 3-fold increase to a reduction of a third compared to the EMS region with the largest number

- 1 of patients. In the unadjusted analysis, a shockable rhythm was associated with increased odds of
- 2 BCPR (OR 1.62, 95% CI 1.07-2.46), but not after adjusting for other factors (aOR 1.40, 95% CI 0.88-
- 3 2.2). (Table 2).
- 4 Association between BCPR with ROSC and Survival Outcomes
- 5 There was a significantly higher number of patients achieving any ROSC after receiving BCPR
- 6 compared to no BCPR (24.6% vs 18.6%; p = 0.02). However, the survival to hospital discharge rate
- 7 was similar between the BCPR group and non-BCPR group (10.9% vs. 11.1%respectively; p=0.47).
- 8 After controlling for age, sex and aetiology, status of witness, initial rhythm, time of the day and
- 9 season, BCPR was associated with significantly higher odds of any ROSC (aOR 1.37, 95% CI 1.03-
- 10 1.81) but not survival-to-hospital-discharge (aOR 1.01, 95% CI 0.66-1.55) (Table 3 and Table 4).
- Association of Demographic and Pre-Hospital Factors with ROSC and Survival Outcomes
- In the multivariable logistic regression analysis, BCPR (aOR 1.37, 95% CI 1.03-1.81), age (aOR 1.05,
- 13 95% CI 1.03-1.07), witnessed (aOR 2.17, 95% CI 1.68-2.79), shockable rhythm, (aOR 3.96, 95% CI
- 14 2.62-5.99), asphyxia (compared to medical, aOR 1.58, 95% CI 1.07-2.32) and daytime event (aOR
- 15 1.59, 95% CI 1.24-2.03) were associated with any ROSC (Figure 2).
- 16 Survival-to-hospital-discharge was associated with the presence of a witness (aOR 2.55, 95% CI
- 17 1.70-3.83), a shockable rhythm (aOR12.7, 95% CI 7.68-21.2), asphyxia (compared to medical 2.24,
- 18 95%CI 1.21-4.15) and summer (compared to spring, aOR 1.98,95% CI 1.15-3.39) (Figure 2) but not
- 19 BCPR (aOR 1.01, 95% CI 0.66-1.55).

22

23

Discussion

1

2 We analysed more than 2300 paediatric OHCA cases, collected prospectively across 4 years from 11 3 EMS regions in England to describe the BCPR rate and its association with ROSC and survival. 4 Several key findings were identified. BCPR was performed in 69.6% of the paediatric OHCA cases; 5 however, the rate of BCPR varied across regions in England. Although a shockable rhythm was seen 6 more often in cases BCPR cases, two thirds of those receiving BCPR were initially unwitnessed. 7 Further, BCPR was associated with any ROSC but was not associated with an improvement in the 8 survival-to-hospital-discharge rate. 9 In this study, BCPR was performed in two-thirds of paediatric OHCAs, a higher proportion than previous reports from the United States, (4) Korea (20) and Japan (21) (47%, 50%, 52%, respectively), but 10 lower than a recent report from Sweden (75%). (11) Although previous studies have reported similar 11 BCPR rates to ours, the study sample sizes were smaller compared to our cohort. (6, 22) The higher 12 BCPR rate seen in our study may be due to national differences in the public's BCPR knowledge and 13 14 education. In the UK there has been a substantial investment in CPR training by the British Heart Foundation, Resuscitation Council and other organisations. (23) In a UK survey of the general public 15 by Hawkes et al, 60% reported having been trained in CPR(24), and several programs targeting 16 17 parents, schools and the workplace have been established to increase the proportion of people able to perform CPR. (25) Furthermore, the use of technology and the media to raise awareness of the 18 19 importance of BCPR through the Lifesaver web application and the delivery of simple messages on how to act in OHCA situations may have also influenced the BCPR rate. (23) (26) 20 21 The BCPR rate in paediatric OHCA did vary across EMS regions (ranging from 57.7% to 83.7%). This is consistent with previous published results combining a majority of adult cases with some 22 paediatric cases from the OHCAO registry. (14) The authors of that study suggested that this was due 23 to data quality, where some of the EMS sites had a considerable amount of missing data compared to 24 25 others. In our study, not all EMS regions submitted data for each study year period which could 26 partially explain the variation in the rates of BCPR. However, in England, there are differences in

- 1 regional socioeconomic patterns, which could account for some of the variation as well. For example,
- 2 some regions are more densely populated, some are more urban, while others are predominantly rural.
- 3 (27) A recent adult study in England found that a low BCPR rate was associated with urban areas, a
- 4 low education level and greater deprivation. (27) In that study, low BCPR rate in urban areas was due
- 5 to greater level of deprivation compared to rural areas plus people may have believed EMS would
- 6 rapidly arrive and therefore BCPR was not required. Fosbol et al, in an adult cardiac arrest study,
- 7 showed that low-income areas and areas with a large elderly population were also linked to low
- 8 BCPR rates. (28) The authors suggested that the elderly were not as well-trained to perform BCPR
- 9 compared to younger people. In the paediatric population, a report from the United States also showed
- variation in BCPR between regions (26.2%-69.4%), but the reasons for the differences were unclear.
- 11 (29) Other reports have linked variations in BCPR rates to the level of education, (30) and have shown
- that these rates are lower in communities with a low education level (45%-54%). Further examination
- is needed to determine the factors associated with regional variation in BCPR among children in
- 14 England.
- In this study, the overall survival-to-hospital-discharge rate was 10.8%, which was similar to previous
- findings from the USA (31) and the Donoghue et al (10) review, but higher than reports from Korea. (20, 32)
- However, unlike the USA and Korean reports, in our study BCPR was not associated with hospital
- survival improvement. A potential explanation was the low proportion of OHCA cases that were
- witnessed. Overall, only 34.1% of the cases were witnessed, and only 34.9% of the cases that received
- 20 BCPR were witnessed. Although witnessed status was independently associated with ROSC and
- 21 survival, the low proportion of witnessed patients that received BCPR may have affected any longer-
- 22 term positive effect of BCPR due to delays in starting resuscitation efforts. Furthermore, the quality of
- 23 the BCPR delivered was not assessed in our study has been demonstrated to be an important factor in
- in-hospital cardiac arrest settings. (33)
- 25 The rate of BCPR was similar across all age groups. However, infants comprised the largest
- proportion of OHCA cases (33.4%), a result similar to previous reports. (34) In our data, nearly two-

- 1 thirds of infants received BCPR. However, infant OHCA cases, which include sudden infant death
- 2 syndrome (SIDS), are often unwitnessed, (30, 34) therefore have an unknown time prior to attendance to
- 3 the infant and any attempted BCPR. This prolonged duration may increase the likelihood of a poor
- 4 outcome although there will be a strong emotive drive for parents and carers to commence BCPR
- 5 regardless of any time delay.
- 6 A shockable rhythm has been linked to better outcomes in children; however, it is less common in
- 7 children compared to adults. In this study, while only a small proportion of cases had a shockable
- 8 rhythm (6.9%), it was associated with a higher rate of BCPR. Previous reports on both adults and
- 9 children have identified BCPR as being associated with higher rates of ventricular
- 10 fibrillation/pulseless ventricular tachycardia. (35) In a Japanese study, 60% of cases, where a shockable
- 11 rhythm was identified, had undergone BCPR. (36) Also in a study by Herlitz et al, (37) the occurrence of
- a shockable rhythm increased following BCPR in scenarios of both early and late EMS arrival times.
- 13 Therefore, it is possible that BCPR may prolong the duration of a shockable rhythm and increase the
- 14 likelihood of it being recorded as an initial rhythm by EMS teams. The physiological mechanism for
- this remains unclear, but is likely related to continued myocardial perfusion.
- 16 Strengths and Limitations
- 17 This is the first study using data from the OHCAO registry in England to examine the association
- 18 between BCPR and survival outcomes focused on a paediatric population. The OHCAO registry
- 19 minimises heterogeneity in case identification and variability in EMS reporting through the
- standardisation of OHCA data collection following Utstein guidelines. Furthermore, our study sample
- 21 was large, which minimised potential sources of bias. However, there were several limitations. While
- 22 the study covered all of the regions of England, the results may not be generalizable to other countries
- or healthcare settings. Also, inherent with observational study design, there may have been
- 24 unmeasured confounding effects that could have influenced our results. Furthermore, there was a
- small proportion of cases excluded with missing BCPR (n=258; 10.9% of total) and survival outcome
- data (n=29; 1.2%). Most data were missing due to some centres not recording particular variables for

- 1 separate, short time periods, so the analysis results are likely to remain robust with 90% BCPR cases
- 2 included. Type of chest compressions performed was unavailable for analysis. Data regarding the
- 3 bystanders' level of CPR training or the use of dispatcher-assisted CPR (which is used by English
- 4 EMS) were also unavailable, which could have affected the quality of the CPR given. Some studies
- 5 have suggested that dispatcher-assisted BCPR has a better outcome than BCPR without EMS. (20)

Conclusions

6

13

- 7 In a large national cohort of paediatric OHCA cases, two thirds received BCPR although the rate of
- 8 BCPR varied across EMS regions. While BCPR increased the probability of achieving any ROSC, it
- 9 did not improve the eventual survival-to-hospital-discharge rate. A large proportion of cases that
- underwent BCPR did not have their OHCA witnessed. Further, there is a need to study the
- demographic and socio-economic factors that may underlie variation in the BCPR rate. More effort to
- increase education and training programs in the community might help in improving outcomes.

Conflicts of Interest

- Dr Scholefield is funded by a National Institute for Health Research ((NIHR-CS-2015-15-016)
- 15 Fellowship award. However, this project was not funded by the National Institute for Health
- 16 Research. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the
- 17 National Institute for Health Research, or the Department of Health and Social Care.
- Gavin Perkins is GDP is Director of the OHCAO Registry which is funded by the Resuscitation
- 19 Council UK and British Heart Foundation and an Editor for Resuscitation. This research is supported
- 20 by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration (ARC) West
- 21 Midlands. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the
- 22 Department of Health and Social Care.
- 23 Sarah Berhane is funded by NIHR Biomedical Research Centre (NIHR BRC) grant. However, NIHR
- 24 BRC did not fund this project.

Acknowledgements

- 3 The OHCAO registry is funded by the Resuscitation Council U.K. and British Heart foundation and
- 4 supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration
- 5 (ARC) West Midlands. However, this project was not funded. The views expressed are those of the
- 6 author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR, funders or the Department of Health and Social Care.

7

8

References

- 9 1. Perkins GD, Handley AJ, Koster RW, Castren M, Smyth MA, Olasveengen T, et al. European
- 10 Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2015: Section 2. Adult basic life support and
- automated external defibrillation. Resuscitation. 2015;95:81-99.
- 12 2. Olasveengen TM, de Caen AR, Mancini ME, Maconochie IK, Aickin R, Atkins DL, et al. 2017
- 13 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care
- 14 Science With Treatment Recommendations Summary. Resuscitation. 2017;121:201-14.
- 15 3. Maconochie IK, Aickin R, Hazinski MF, Atkins DL, Bingham R, Couto TB, et al. Pediatric Life
- 16 Support: 2020 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency
- 17 Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations. Resuscitation. 2020;156:A120-A55.
- 18 4. Naim MY, Burke RV, McNally BF, Song L, Griffis HM, Berg RA, et al. Association of Bystander
- 19 Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation With Overall and Neurologically Favorable Survival After Pediatric
- 20 Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest in the United States: A Report From the Cardiac Arrest Registry to
- 21 Enhance Survival Surveillance Registry. JAMA Pediatr. 2017;171(2):133-41.
- 22 5. Deasy C, Bray J, Smith K, Harriss LR, Bernard SA, Cameron P, et al. Paediatric hanging
- associated out of hospital cardiac arrest in Melbourne, Australia: characteristics and outcomes.
- 24 Emerg Med J. 2011;28(5):411-5.
- 25 6. Topjian AA, Telford R, Holubkov R, Nadkarni VM, Berg RA, Dean JM, et al. Association of
- 26 Early Postresuscitation Hypotension With Survival to Discharge After Targeted Temperature
- 27 Management for Pediatric Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest: Secondary Analysis of a Randomized
- 28 Clinical Trial. JAMA Pediatr. 2018;172(2):143-53.
- 29 7. Ho Y, Mok Y. Epidemiology of paediatric out-of-hospital cardiac arrest presented to a local
- 30 emergency departmentA retrospective case series. 2016.
- 31 8. Kuisma M, Suominen P, Korpela R. Paediatric out-of-hospital cardiac arrests--epidemiology
- 32 and outcome. Resuscitation. 1995;30(2):141-50.
- 33 9. Chang I, Lee SC, Shin SD, Song KJ, Ro YS, Park JH, et al. Effects of dispatcher-assisted
- 34 bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation on neurological recovery in paediatric patients with out-of-
- 35 hospital cardiac arrest based on the pre-hospital emergency medical service response time interval.
- 36 Resuscitation. 2018;130:49-56.
- 37 10. Donoghue AJ, Nadkarni V, Berg RA, Osmond MH, Wells G, Nesbitt L, et al. Out-of-hospital
- 38 pediatric cardiac arrest: an epidemiologic review and assessment of current knowledge. Ann Emerg
- 39 Med. 2005;46(6):512-22.

- 1 11. Gelberg J, Strömsöe A, Hollenberg J, Radell P, Claesson A, Svensson L, et al. Improving
- 2 Survival and Neurologic Function for Younger Age Groups After Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest in
- 3 Sweden. Pediatric Critical Care Medicine. 2015;16(8):750-7.
- 4 12. Kitamura T, Kiyohara K, Nitta M, Nadkarni VM, Berg RA, Iwami T. Survival following
- 5 witnessed pediatric out-of-hospital cardiac arrests during nights and weekends. Resuscitation.
- 6 2014;85(12):1692-8.
- 7 13. Kiyohara K, Sado J, Kitamura T, Ayusawa M, Nitta M, Iwami T, et al. Public-access automated
- 8 external defibrillation and bystander-initiated cardiopulmonary resuscitation in schools: a
- 9 nationwide investigation in Japan. Europace. 2019;21(3):451-8.
- 10 14. Hawkes C, Booth S, Ji C, Brace-McDonnell SJ, Whittington A, Mapstone J, et al. Epidemiology
- and outcomes from out-of-hospital cardiac arrests in England. Resuscitation. 2017;110:133-40.
- 12 15. Perkins GD, Brace-McDonnell SJ. The UK Out of Hospital Cardiac Arrest Outcome (OHCAO)
- 13 project. BMJ Open. 2015;5(10):e008736.
- 14 16. England N. New ambulance service standards announced
- 15 https://www.england.nhs.uk/2017/07/new-ambulance-service-standards-announced/2017 [
- 16 17. (JRALC). AoACEaJRCALC. UK Ambulance Services Clinical Practice Guidelines. London2013.
- 17 18. Zaritsky A, Nadkarni V, Hazinski MF, Foltin G, Quan L, Wright J, et al. Recommended
- 18 guidelines for uniform reporting of pediatric advanced life support: the pediatric Utstein style. Ann
- 19 Emerg Med. 1995;26(4):487-503.
- 20 19. Gray J, Gossain S, Morris K. Three-year survey of bacteremia and fungemia in a pediatric
- 21 intensive care unit. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 2001;20(4):416-21.
- 22 20. Ro YS, Shin SD, Song KJ, Hong KJ, Ahn KO, Kim DK, et al. Effects of Dispatcher-assisted
- 23 Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation on Survival Outcomes in Infants, Children, and Adolescents with Out-
- of-hospital Cardiac Arrests. Resuscitation. 2016;108:20-6.
- 25 21. Goto Y, Maeda T, Goto Y. Impact of dispatcher-assisted bystander cardiopulmonary
- 26 resuscitation on neurological outcomes in children with out-of-hospital cardiac arrests: a
- 27 prospective, nationwide, population-based cohort study. J Am Heart Assoc. 2014;3(3):e000499.
- 28 22. Herlitz J, Engdahl J, Svensson L, Young M, Angquist KA, Holmberg S. Characteristics and
- 29 outcome among children suffering from out of hospital cardiac arrest in Sweden. Resuscitation.
- 30 2005;64(1):37-40
- 31 23. Perkins GD, Lockey AS, de Belder MA, Moore F, Weissberg P, Gray H. National initiatives to
- 32 improve outcomes from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in England. Emergency Medicine Journal.
- 33 2016;33(7):448-51.
- 34 24. Hawkes CA, Brown TP, Booth S, Fothergill RT, Siriwardena N, Zakaria S, et al. Attitudes to
- 35 Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Defibrillator Use: A Survey of UK Adults in 2017. J Am Heart
- 36 Assoc. 2019;8(7):e008267.
- 37 25. de Jonge RC, Polderman KH, Gemke RJ. Central venous catheter use in the pediatric patient:
- 38 mechanical and infectious complications. Pediatric critical care medicine: a journal of the Society of
- 39 Critical Care Medicine and the World Federation of Pediatric Intensive and Critical Care Societies.
- 40 2005;6(3):329-39.
- 41 26. Hasselqvist-Ax I, Riva G, Herlitz J, Rosenqvist M, Hollenberg J, Nordberg P, et al. Early
- 42 cardiopulmonary resuscitation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(24):2307-15.
- 43 27. Brown TP, Booth S, Hawkes CA, Soar J, Mark J, Mapstone J, et al. Characteristics of
- 44 neighbourhoods with high incidence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and low bystander
- 45 cardiopulmonary resuscitation rates in England. Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes. 2019;5(1):51-
- 46 62.
- 47 28. Fosbol EL, Dupre ME, Strauss B, Swanson DR, Myers B, McNally BF, et al. Association of
- 48 neighborhood characteristics with incidence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and rates of bystander-
- 49 initiated CPR: implications for community-based education intervention. Resuscitation.
- 50 2014;85(11):1512-7.

- 1 29. Fink EL, Prince DK, Kaltman JR, Atkins DL, Austin M, Warden C, et al. Unchanged pediatric
- 2 out-of-hospital cardiac arrest incidence and survival rates with regional variation in North America.
- 3 Resuscitation. 2016;107:121-8.
- 4 30. Rajan S, Wissenberg M, Folke F, Hansen CM, Lippert FK, Weeke P, et al. Out-of-hospital
- 5 cardiac arrests in children and adolescents: incidences, outcomes, and household socioeconomic
- 6 status. Resuscitation. 2015;88:12-9.
- 7 31. Marenco JP, Wang PJ, Link MS, Homoud MK, Estes NA, 3rd. Improving survival from sudden
- 8 cardiac arrest: the role of the automated external defibrillator. JAMA. 2001;285(9):1193-200.
- 9 32. Chang I, Ro YS, Shin SD, Song KJ, Park JH, Kong SY. Association of dispatcher-assisted
- 10 bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation with survival outcomes after pediatric out-of-hospital
- cardiac arrest by community property value. Resuscitation. 2018;132:120-6.
- 12 33. Girotra S, Spertus JA, Li Y, Berg RA, Nadkarni VM, Chan PS, et al. Survival trends in pediatric
- in-hospital cardiac arrests: an analysis from Get With the Guidelines-Resuscitation. Circ Cardiovasc
- 14 Qual Outcomes. 2013;6(1):42-9.
- 15 34. Atkins DL, Everson-Stewart S, Sears GK, Daya M, Osmond MH, Warden CR, et al.
- 16 Epidemiology and outcomes from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in children: the Resuscitation
- Outcomes Consortium Epistry-Cardiac Arrest. Circulation. 2009;119(11):1484-91.
- 18 35. Dowie R, Campbell H Fau Donohoe R, Donohoe R Fau Clarke P, Clarke P. 'Event tree'
- analysis of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest data: confirming the importance of bystander CPR. (0300-
- 20 9572 (Print)).
- 21 36. Kitamura T, Iwami T, Kawamura T, Nagao K, Tanaka H, Nadkarni VM, et al. Conventional and
- 22 chest-compression-only cardiopulmonary resuscitation by bystanders for children who have out-of-
- 23 hospital cardiac arrests: a prospective, nationwide, population-based cohort study. The Lancet.
- 24 2010;375(9723):1347-54.
- 25 37. Herlitz J, Ekström L, Wennerblom B, Axelsson A, Bång A, Holmberg S. Type of arrhythmia at
- 26 EMS arrival on scene in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in relation to interval from collapse and
- whether a bystander initiated CPR. Am J Emerg Med. 1996;14(2):119-23.

28 Figure Legends

- Figure 1. Bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation (BCPR) rate: A) across EMS regions (ordered by
- decreasing BCPR rate), and B) by year
- 31 Figure 2. The adjusted odds ratio with 95% confidence intervals for: A) Survival to hospital
- discharge, and B) any return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC)
- 33 Supplemental Fig 1. Patient flow chart. OHCA, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; ROSC, return to
- 34 spontaneous circulation
- 35 Supplemental Table 1. Association between BCPR and age
- 36 Supplemental Fig 2. Paediatric out-of-hospital cardiac arrest by time of day
- 37 Supplemental Table 2. The number of cases per EMS region per year

1 Tables

2 Table 1 Demographic characteristics of paediatric OHCA cases associated with BCPR

| | Total | | BCPR | | No BCPF | p-value | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|------|----------------|------|----------------|---------|---------|
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | |
| Total | 2363 | 100 | 1646 | 69.6 | 717 | 30.4 | |
| Age ^a | 2363 | | | | | | |
| (median, IQR) | 3.4 (0.5-12) | | 3.4 (0.5-11.9) | | 3.1 (7.0-12.1) | | 0.11 |
| Sex ^b | | | | | | | |
| Male | 1357 | 58.7 | 960/1610 | 59.6 | 397/700 | 56.7 | 0.19 |
| Female | 953 | 41.3 | 650/1610 | 40.4 | 303/700 | 43.3 | 0.19 |
| Aetiology ^c | | | | | | | |
| Medical | 1862 | 82.8 | 1310/1577 | 83.0 | 552/670 | 82.3 | |
| Trauma | 144 | 6.4 | 90/1577 | 5.7 | 54/670 | 8.0 | |
| Drowning | 40 | 1.7 | 29/1577 | 1.8 | 11/670 | 1.6 | 0.23 |
| Drug overdose | 26 | 1.1 | 18/1577 | 1.1 | 8/670 | 1.1 | 0.23 |
| Asphyxia | 175 | 7.7 | 130/1577 | 8.2 | 45/670 | 6.7 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Status of witness ^d | | | | | | | |
| Witnessed | 776 | 34.1 | 550/1572 | 34.9 | 226/698 | 32.3 | 0.22 |
| Initial rhythm ^e | | | | | | | |
| Shockable | 144 | 6.8 | 114/1480 | 7.7 | 30/615 | 4.8 | 0.02 |
| $\mathbf{AED}^{\mathrm{f}}$ | | | | | | | |
| AED use | 35 | 2.4 | 35/943 | 3.7 | 0/472 | 0.0 | < 0.001 |
| Time of day ^g | | | | | | | |
| Daytime | 755 | 34.0 | 518/1541 | 34.0 | 237/679 | 34.9 | 0.55 |

| Season | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----|------|----------|------|---------|------|--------|
| Spring | 640 | 27.0 | 443/1646 | 26.9 | 197/717 | 27.4 | |
| Summer | 563 | 23.8 | 397/1646 | 24.1 | 166/717 | 23.1 | 0.51 |
| Autumn | 600 | 25.3 | 428/1646 | 26.0 | 172/717 | 23.9 | 0.31 |
| Winter | 560 | 23.7 | 378/1646 | 22.9 | 182/717 | 25.3 | |
| Year | | | | | | | |
| 2014 | 485 | 20.5 | 348/1646 | 20.6 | 152/891 | 21.2 | |
| 2015 | 467 | 19.7 | 333/1646 | 18.6 | 166/717 | 23.1 | |
| 2016 | 441 | 18.6 | 301/1646 | 18.7 | 129/717 | 17.9 | < 0.01 |
| 2017 | 452 | 19.1 | 312/1646 | 18.8 | 137/717 | 19.1 | |
| 2018 | 518 | 21.9 | 385/1646 | 23.0 | 133/717 | 18.5 | |
| Outcomes ^h | | | | | | | |
| Survival to | 225 | 10.8 | 157/1454 | 10.8 | 68/612 | 11.1 | 0.83 |
| hospital | | | | | | | |
| discharge | | | | | | | |
| Any ROSC | 523 | 22.8 | 393/1592 | 24.6 | 130/697 | 18.6 | 0.002 |

- 1 BCPR, bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation; IRQ, Interquartile range; AED, automated external
- 2 defibrillator. ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation;
- 3 ^a Age was treated as a continuous variable
- 4 Data were missing in
- 5 b Sex (n=53)
- 6 ^c Aetiology (n=116)
- 7 d Status of witness (n=93)
- 8 ° Initial rhythm (n=268)
- 9 ^fAED (n=948)
- 10 g Time of the day (n=143)
- 11 h Survival to hospital discharge (n=297) and any ROSC (n=74)

13

2 Table 2. Odds ratios for BCPR for demographic characteristics of paediatric OHCA cases

| | Unadjusted | P value | Adjusteda | P-value |
|---|------------------|-----------|------------------|---------|
| | OR (95% CI) | | OR (95% CI) | |
| $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{g}\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 1.01(0.99-1.02) | 0.08 | 1.00 (0.98-1.02) | 0.82 |
| Female | 0.88 (0.74-1.06) | 0.19 | 0.95 (0.77-1.17) | 0.66 |
| Aetiology | | | | |
| Medical | | Reference | | |
| Trauma | 0.70 (0.49-0.99) | 0.04 | 0.64 (0.42-0.97) | 0.03 |
| Drowning | 1.11 (0.55-2.23) | 0.76 | 1.00 (0.45-2.25) | 0.98 |
| Drug overdose | 0.94 (0.40-2.19) | 0.90 | 0.73 (0.28-1.86) | 0.51 |
| Asphyxia | 1.21 (0.85-1.73) | 0.27 | 1.13 (0.75-1.69) | 0.54 |
| EMS region ^c | | | | |
| 9 | | Reference | | |
| 1 | 2.58 (164-4.04) | < 0.001 | 3.08 (1.72-5.51) | < 0.001 |
| 2 | 1.67 (1.01-2.77) | 0.04 | 1.91 (1.00-3.63) | 0.04 |
| 3 | 1.58 (1.17-2.13) | 0.002 | 1.51 (1.10-2.06) | 0.009 |
| 4 | 1.50 (1.08-2.09) | 0.01 | 1.64(1.09-2.46) | 0.01 |
| 5 | 1.33 (0.88-2.01) | 0.17 | 1.56 (0.75-3.27) | 0.22 |
| 6 | 1.07(0.75-1.51) | 0.69 | 0.97 (0.64-1.48) | 0.91 |
| 7 | 1.02 (0.69-1.50) | 0.90 | 0.98(0.60-1.60) | 0.94 |
| 8 ^d | | | | |
| 10 | 0.68 (0.40-116) | 0.16 | 2.38 (0.51-11.1) | 0.26 |
| 11 | 0.68 (0.51-0.90) | 0.007 | 0.69 (0.51-0.94) | 0.01 |
| Witnessed | 1.12 (0.92-1.35) | 0.22 | 1.02 (0.81-1.29) | 0.82 |
| Shockable rhythm | 1.62(1.07-2.46) | 0.02 | 1.40 (0.88-2.2) | 0.15 |
| Time of day | | | | |

| Daytime | 0.94 (0.78-1.14) | 0.55 | 0.86 (0.81-1.29) | 0.18 |
|---------|------------------|-----------|------------------|------|
| Seasons | | | | |
| Spring | | Reference | : | |
| Summer | 1.06 (0.83-1.36) | 0.62 | 0.98 (0.66-1.19) | 0.44 |
| Autumn | 1.10 (0.86-1.41) | 0.41 | 0.99 (0.74-1.32) | 0.95 |
| Winter | 0.92 (0.72-1.17) | 0.52 | 0.83 (0.62-1.11) | 0.22 |

¹ BCPR, bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation; OR, odds ratio.

9 Table 3. Multivariable logistic regression for any ROSC

| | Total | Outco | ome | Unadjusted | | Adjusteda | Adjusted ^a | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|---------|------|------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| | N=2289 | N | % | OR (95% CI) | P-value | OR (95% CI) | P-value | | |
| Bystander | 2289 | 523 | 100 | | | | | | |
| BCPR | 1592 | 393/523 | 75.1 | 1.42 (1.14-1.78) | 0.002 | 1.37 (1.03-1.81) | 0.02 | | |
| $\mathbf{Age}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 2289 | 523 | 100 | 1.06 (1.04-1.08) | < 0.001 | 1.05 (1.03-1.07) | < 0.001 | | |
| Sex | 2261 | 519 | 99.2 | | | | | | |
| Female | 933 | 189/519 | 36.4 | 0.76 (0.62-0.94) | 0.01 | 0.83 (0.64-1.06) | 0.14 | | |
| Aetiology | 2175 | 572 | 95.4 | | | | | | |
| Medical | 1793 | 401/503 | 79.7 | I | Reference | | | | |
| Trauma | 143 | 30/503 | 5.9 | 0.92 (0.60-1.39) | 0.70 | 0.76 (0.47-1.25) | 0.29 | | |
| Drowning | 40 | 10/503 | 1.9 | 1.15 (0.66-2.38) | 0.69 | 0.82 (0.29-2.29) | 0.71 | | |
| Drug | 26 | 8/503 | 1.5 | 1.54 (0.66-3.57) | 0.31 | 1.00 (0.38-2.59) | 0.99 | | |
| overdose | | | | | | | | | |

^a Odd ratios were calculated adjusting for age, sex, aetiology, ambulance services, status of the

³ witness, initial cardiac rhythm, the time of day and the season

^bAge was treated as a continuous variable

^c The number of cases by each EMS services : EMS 1=166, EMS 2=100,EMS 3= 383, EMS

^{7 4=268,}EMS 5=139, EMS 6=204, EMS 7=152, EMS 8=3,EMS 9=527, EMS 10=64, EMS 11=357

⁸ dEMS services was excluded from the analysis due to the small sample size.

| Asphyxia | 173 | 54/503 | 10.7 | 1.57 (1.12-2.21) | 0.009 | 1.43 (0.96-2.14) | 0.07 |
|----------------|------|---------|------|------------------|-----------|------------------|---------|
| | | | | | | | |
| Witness status | 2219 | 510 | 97.8 | | | | |
| Witnessed | 765 | 290/510 | 56.8 | 3.42 (2.79-4.20) | < 0.001 | 2.17 (1.68-2.79) | < 0.001 |
| Initial rhythm | 2083 | 431 | 81.1 | | | | |
| Shockable | 143 | 85/431 | 19.7 | 6.75 (4.74-9.61) | < 0.001 | 3.96 (2.62-5.99) | < 0.001 |
| rhythm | | | | | | | |
| Time of day | 2148 | 489 | 93.6 | | | | |
| Daytime | 724 | 216/489 | 44.1 | 1.79 (1.45-2.20) | < 0.001 | 1.59 (1.24-2.03) | < 0.001 |
| Seasons | 2289 | 523 | 100 | | | | |
| Spring | 619 | 128/523 | 24.4 | I | Reference | | |
| Summer | 548 | 122/523 | 23.3 | 1.09 (0.83-1.45) | 0.51 | 1.04 (0.74-1.46) | 0.80 |
| Autumn | 581 | 150/523 | 28.6 | 1.33 (1.02-1.74) | 0.03 | 1.07 (0.76-1.49) | 0.67 |
| Winter | 541 | 123/523 | 23.5 | 1.12 (0.85-1.49) | 0.39 | 0.99 (0.70-1.40) | 0.99 |

¹ ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; BCPR, bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation; OR, odds

² ratio.

^{3 &}lt;sup>a</sup> Odd ratios were calculated adjusting for the prespecified variables of age, sex, aetiology, status of

the witness, initial cardiac rhythm, the time of day and the season

^bAge in years was treated as a continuous variable

Table 4. Multivaraible logistic regression for survival-to-hospital-discharge

| | Total | Outco | Outcome Unadjusted | | ed | d Adjusted ^a | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|---------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------|--|
| | N=2066 | N | % | OR (95% CI) | P-value | OR (95% CI) | P-value | |
| Bystander | 2066 | 225 | 100 | | | | | |
| BCPR | 1454 | 157/225 | 69.7 | 0.96 (0.71-1.30) | 0.83 | 1.01 (0.66-1.55) | 0.94 | |
| $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{g}\mathbf{e}^{b}$ | 2066 | 225 | 100 | 1.01 (0.99-1.03) | 0.14 | 0.98 (0.95-1.01) | 0.32 | |
| Sex | 2025 | 223 | 99.1 | | | | | |
| Female | 828 | 74/223 | 33.1 | 0.69 (0.51-0.92) | 0.01 | 0.73 (0.49-1.08) | 0.12 | |
| Aetiology ^c | 1998 | 218 | 96.8 | | | | | |
| Medical | 1646 | 181/218 | 83.0 |] | Reference | | | |
| Trauma | 132 | 8/218 | 3.6 | 0.52 (0.25-1.08) | 0.08 | 0.77 (0.33-1.77) | 0.54 | |
| Drowning | 36 | 4/218 | 1.8 | 1.01 (0.35-2.89) | 0.98 | 0.57 (0.06-4.80) | 0.60 | |
| Drug overdose | 26 | 5/218 | 1.3 | 1.05 (0.31-3.55) | 0.93 | 0.95 (0.18-4.85) | 0.95 | |
| Asphyxia | 158 | 27/218 | 10.0 | 1.30 (0.81-2.10) | 0.26 | 2.24 (1.21-4.15) | 0.01 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Witness status | 1989 | 215 | 95.5 | | | | | |
| Witnessed | 687 | 138/215 | 64.1 | 3.99 (2.97-5.37) | < 0.001 | 2.55 (1.70-3.83) | < 0.001 | |
| Initial rhythm | 1832 | 161 | 71.5 | | | | | |
| Shockable rhythm | 120 | 56/161 | 34.7 | 13.3 (8.89-20.1) | <0.001 | 12.7 (7.68-21.2) | <0.001 | |
| Time of day | 1933 | 208 | 92.4 | | | | | |

| Daytime | 667 | 95/208 | 45.6 | 1.69(1.26-2.26) | < 0.001 | 1.36 (1.70-3.83) | 0.11 |
|-----------------------------|------|--------|------|------------------|-----------|------------------|------|
| Seasons ^d | 2066 | 225 | 100 | | | | |
| Spring | 562 | 49/225 | 21.7 | | Reference | | |
| Summer | 504 | 61/225 | 27.1 | 1.44 (0.96-2.14) | 0.07 | 1.98 (1.15-3.39) | 0.01 |
| Autumn | 531 | 67/225 | 29.7 | 1.51 (1.02-2.23) | 0.03 | 1.60 (0.93-2.76) | 0.08 |
| Winter | 469 | 44/225 | 21.3 | 1.19 (0.78-1.81) | 0.40 | 0.98 (0.53-1.81) | 0.95 |

¹ OR, odds ratio; BCPR, bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

7

8

9

10

^a Odd ratios were calculated adjusting for age,sex, aetiology, status of the witness, initial cardiac

³ rhythm, the time of day and the season

⁴ bAge in years was treated as a continuous variable

^d Spring was used as the reference group for the season ORs