

Nation-making and re-making

De Londras, Fiona

DOI:

[10.3318/isia.2021.32b.53](https://doi.org/10.3318/isia.2021.32b.53)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

De Londras, F 2021, 'Nation-making and re-making: a response to Brice Dickson, 'The protection of human rights in a United Ireland'', *Irish Studies in International Affairs*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 620-622 .
<https://doi.org/10.3318/isia.2021.32b.53>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



Nation-making and Re-making: A Response to Brice Dickson, 'The Protection of Human Rights in a United Ireland'

Author(s): Fiona de Londras

Source: *Irish Studies in International Affairs*, 2021, Vol. 32, No. 2, Analysing and Researching Ireland, North and South (2021), pp. 620-622

Published by: Royal Irish Academy

Stable URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3318/isia.2021.32b.53>

REFERENCES

Linked references are available on JSTOR for this article:

https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.3318/isia.2021.32b.53?seq=1&cid=pdf-reference#references_tab_contents

You may need to log in to JSTOR to access the linked references.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <https://about.jstor.org/terms>



Royal Irish Academy is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Irish Studies in International Affairs*

JSTOR

Nation-making and Re-making

A RESPONSE TO BRICE DICKSON, ‘THE PROTECTION OF
HUMAN RIGHTS IN A UNITED IRELAND’

Fiona de Londras

Birmingham Law School, University of Birmingham

Brice Dickson’s ‘The Protection of Human Rights in a United Ireland’¹ demonstrates well the legal and doctrinal challenges that might arise in ensuring that, on the occasion of unification, there is no regression in rights protection for people in what is currently Northern Ireland. Dickson also offers solutions—there are, as he ably shows, ‘fixes’ that can be envisioned and pursued with relatively little legal complexity. Dickson thus demonstrates (a) that there are potential gaps in rights protection (substantive and procedural) which must be acknowledged if we are to ensure non-regression, and (b) that these gaps might be filled on the occasion of unification.

¹ Brice Dickson, ‘The protection of human rights in a United Ireland’, *Irish Studies in International Affairs: ARINS* 32 (2) (2021), 589–610, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3318/isia.2021.32b.48>

Author’s e-mail: f.delondras@bham.ac.uk
doi: <https://doi.org/10.3318/isia.2021.32b.53>

Irish Studies in International Affairs, Vol. 32, Issue 2, 620–622, *Analysing and Researching Ireland, North and South* © 2021 The Author(s). This is an open access article licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.



However, if I have understood him correctly, Dickson proceeds on the understanding that the current constitutional arrangements for rights protection in (the south of) Ireland would remain more or less undisturbed on the occasion of unification, and that rights protections currently enjoyed in Northern Ireland under the Human Rights Act 1998, equality legislation, and international treaties would be fitted in around and within the current constitutional settlement encapsulated in *Bunreacht na hÉireann* and its *acquis*. In this short response I want to use Dickson's contribution as a jumping off point for thinking about constitutionalism in a united Ireland; for suggesting that the *status quo* need not be our starting point. In doing so I am heavily influenced by the challenging, imaginative, and inspiring conversations I have been party to as a participant in the Northern/Ireland Feminist Constitutions project led by Máiréad Enright, Aoife O'Donoghue, Catherine O'Rourke, and Liam Thornton.²

These conversations have challenged me to think about the possibility of unification not as one in which 'amendments would need to be made to the Constitution of Ireland' to preserve the law in the 'six counties', or as a process by which people in Northern Ireland would 'vote...to leave the United Kingdom...in order to join Ireland, which already has a set of laws that could in theory be immediately extended to the north'.³ Instead, it has opened to me the possibility of thinking about unification as a potential process of nation-making; a moment of re-making in which a country founded on principles of participation and inclusion, equality, rights, and co-created identity might emerge from and replace current constitutional, political, and socio-economic arrangements.

This seems urgent to me for at least three reasons. First, in the event of unification, 'fresh' human rights challenges—challenges around representation, inclusion and belonging of people who do not identify as Irish, for example—will no doubt emerge. Resolving these will require a robust, inclusive, and participatory system of political and legal (re)settlement which it is difficult to envisage within the current constitutional structures in Ireland, which already fail to ensure these principles for minority and minoritised populations in the country. Second, the existing framework of human rights protection in (the south of) Ireland is manifestly inadequate if measured against either 'civil and political' benchmarks (like participation or non-discrimination) or

² See *Feminist Constitutions*: <http://feministconstitutions.com>.

³ Dickson, 'The protection of human rights in a united Ireland', 602.

‘socio-economic’ ones (like adequate housing, the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health, and access to adequate food). Third, notwithstanding the dominance of ‘popular sovereignty’ in Irish constitutional lore, ‘ours’ is not a constitutional, legal or political order formed by or reflecting the vision and will of the polity. Rather, it remains one that reflects the norms and values of a conservative Catholic social class in the 1930s, and one in which we have so far failed to reckon with the systemic, systematic, individual, intergenerational, and intimate harms it produced. While it evolves through litigation and constitutional referendums, in its structures and modalities it remains fixed in time, and in the vision of its pen holders.

I want, thus, to suggest that it need not be taken for granted that the options for people in Northern Ireland must be limited to remaining in one country or joining another.

If we widen our contemplation to imagine the option of *joining in the making* of a new country, questions of rights protection might be moved on from the technical to the principled. This does implicate a different undertaking: prior to any referendum we would have to have already had a (no doubt difficult, no doubt prolonged) set of processes to negotiate a shared vision for the future of a re-constituted Ireland (if that is what we chose to continue to call it). That would require support and buy-in from people, politicians, and institutions on both sides of the now border. In other words, there would still be technical and process questions of great magnitude to be addressed, but rather than repair we would re-make.

Dickson’s commitment to non-regression in rights protection for people in Northern Ireland on the occasion of unification is one that I share wholeheartedly. However, I fear that if we start from questions of technicality we elide the opportunity to recognise that the mere maintenance of the *status quo* may not be something to which we are satisfied to aspire on either side of the existing border.