UNIVERSITYOF BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Response to Letter to the Editor: "Prevention of Adrenal Crisis: Cortisol Response to Major Stress Compared to Stress Dose Hydrocortisone Delivery"

Prete, Alessandro; Taylor, Angela E; Bancos, Irina; Smith, David J; Foster, Mark A; Kohler, Sibylle; Fazal-Sanderson, Violet; Komninos, John; O'Neil, Donna M; Vassiliadi, Dimitra A; Mowatt, Christopher J; Mihai, Radu; Fallowfield, Joanne L; Annane, Djillali; Lord, Janet M; Keevil, Brian G: Wass, John A H: Karavitaki, Niki: Arlt, Wiebke

DOI: 10.1210/clinem/dgaa712

License: Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Prete, A, Taylor, AE, Bancos, I, Smith, DJ, Foster, MA, Kohler, S, Fazal-Sanderson, V, Komninos, J, O'Neil, DM, Vassiliadi, DA, Mowatt, CJ, Mihai, R, Fallowfield, JL, Annane, D, Lord, JM, Keevil, BG, Wass, JAH, Karavitaki, N & Arlt, W 2021, 'Response to Letter to the Editor: "Prevention of Adrenal Crisis: Cortisol Response to Major Stress Compared to Stress Dose Hydrocortisone Delivery", *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism*, vol. 106, no. 1, pp. e404-e406. https://doi.org/10.1210/clinem/dgaa712

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 05. May. 2024



Letter to the Editor Response

Response to Letter to the Editor: "Prevention of Adrenal Crisis: Cortisol Response to Major Stress Compared to Stress Dose Hydrocortisone Delivery"

Alessandro Prete,^{1,2} Angela E. Taylor,^{1,2} Irina Bancos,^{1,3} David J. Smith,^{1,4} Mark A. Foster,^{5,6,7} Sibylle Kohler,⁸ Violet Fazal-Sanderson,⁸ John Komninos,⁸ Donna M. O'Neil,¹ Dimitra A. Vassiliadi,⁹ Christopher J. Mowatt,¹⁰ Radu Mihai,¹¹ Joanne L. Fallowfield,¹² Djillali Annane,¹³ Janet M. Lord,^{5,6,15} Brian G. Keevil,¹⁴ John A. H. Wass,⁸ Niki Karavitaki,^{1,2} and Wiebke Arlt^{1,2,15}

¹Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B152TT, UK;²Centre for Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism. Birmingham Health Partners, Birmingham, B15 2GW, UK: ³Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Nutrition, Department of Internal Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, USA; ⁴School of Mathematics, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK; ⁵Institute of Inflammation and Ageing, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2WB, UK; ⁶NIHR Surgical Reconstruction and Microbiology Research Centre, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham, B15 2GW, UK; ⁷Royal Centre for Defence Medicine, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham, B15 2GW, UK; ⁸Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, Churchill Hospital, Oxford, OX3 7LE, UK; ⁹Department of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Evangelismos Hospital, Athens, 106 76, Greece; ¹⁰Department of Anaesthesiology, Royal Shrewsbury Hospital, The Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital NHS Trust, Shrewsbury, SY3 8XQ, UK; ¹¹Department of Endocrine Surgery, Churchill Hospital, Oxford, UK; ¹²Institute of Naval Medicine, Alverstoke, PO12 2DL, UK; ¹³Critical Care Department, Hôpital Raymond-Poincaré, Laboratory of Infection & Inflammation U1173 INSERM/University Paris Saclay-UVSQ, Garches, 92380, France; ¹⁴Department of Clinical Biochemistry, University Hospital of South Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK; and ¹⁵NIHR Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre, University of Birmingham and University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, B15 2GW, UK

ORCiD numbers: 0000-0002-4821-0336 (A. Prete); 0000-0002-5835-5643 (A. E. Taylor); 0000-0001-9332-2524 (I. Bancos); 0000-0001-6153-1970 (R. Mihai); 0000-0001-6805-8944 (D. Annane); 0000-0003-1030-6786 (J. M. Lord); 0000-0002-4696-0643 (N. Karavitaki); 0000-0001-5106-9719 (W. Arlt).

Received: 27 August 2020; Editorial Decision: 30 September 2020; First Published Online: 7 October 2020; Corrected and Typeset: 14 November 2020.

Our study (1) included a simple 1-compartment pharmacokinetic model to interpret the results of stress dose hydrocortisone administration in patients with adrenal insufficiency and to predict the outcome of a modified protocol to treat these patients during major stress. The model was fitted to hydrocortisone IV bolus data, then used to retrodict responses to continuous hydrocortisone IV infusion and predict dynamic responses to the combination of the two, including both the initial transient as well as the eventual steady state.

Dorin et al. (2) highlight that our 1-compartment model may underpredict the rate of cortisol rise during continuous infusion. They recommend instead the use of a 3-compartment model (e.g., that of Picard-Hagen et al. (3), accounting for both free and bound compartments for cortisol, in addition to the peripheral compartment.

ISSN Print 0021-972X ISSN Online 1945-7197

Printed in USA

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Endocrine Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.

org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is

We used a simplified model because we had only data available that combined free and bound circulating cortisol, which makes it challenging, if not impossible, to constrain the parameters of a 3-compartment model. However, Dorin et al. (2) are certainly correct that the 1-compartment model is insufficiently complex to capture the kinetics of both bolus and continuous IV data simultaneously. Therefore, we have implemented a model along the lines they suggested and evaluated the robustness of our prediction.

In brief, our 1-compartment ordinary differential equation model (1) for total cortisol *c* took the form,

$$\frac{dc}{dt} = -kc + q \tag{1}$$

A 3-compartment model (2), when rewritten in notation consistent with our paper, divides cortisol into free (c_j) , bound (c_b) , and peripheral compartment c_p , with only free cortisol undergoing removal:

$$\frac{dc_f}{dt} = q(t) - k_{ex}c_f - k_{fb}c_fb + k_{bf}c_b - k_{fp}c_f + k_{pf}c_p,
\frac{dc_b}{dt} = k_{fb}c_fb - k_{bf}c_b,
\frac{dc_p}{dt} = k_{fp}c_f - k_{pf}c_p,
\frac{db}{dt} = -k_{fb}c_fb + k_{bf}c_b,$$
(2)

where b is a variable representing the reserve capacity of a binding compartment consisting of albumin and corticosteroid-binding globulin (CBG). A related 3-compartment model that does not include a peripheral compartment but does account for albumin and CBG separately was described by Dorin et al. (4); we expect the similar model structure would produce similar results.

The 3-compartment model (2) contains 7 free parameters, a dilution constant (absorbed into the function q(t)), removal rate k_{ex} , binding rate k_{fb} , unbinding rate k_{bf} , transport to and from peripheral compartment k_{fp} , k_{pf} , and maximum binding compartment capacity b_0 .

As noted by Picard-Hagen et al. (3), the binding/unbinding rates k_{fb} and k_{bf} are fast, which led them to simplify the model via quasi-steady kinetics. For brevity, we instead note that the results will be relatively insensitive to both the absolute values of these rates and their ratio, and set $k_{bf} = 1 \text{ min}^{-1}$ and $k_{fb} = 0.1 \text{ L nmol}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ (e.g., we find changing their ratio by a factor of 10 leads to less than 1% improvement in the log-likelihood). Neither parameter value should be taken at face value; the point is that changing them will not significantly change the model predictions.

Based on the parameter estimates of Picard-Hagen et al. (3), we will take the rate of transport to periphery to be similar to the rate of removal, specifically $k_{fp} = k_{ex}$, and rate

of return from periphery approximately half of this, specifically $k_{pf} = 0.5k_{fp}$. Based on these assumptions, the 3-compartment model then has only 3 remaining free parameters: α , k_{ex} , and b_0 . Simultaneous maximum likelihood fitting of the model shows a reasonable fit to both bolus and continuous IV data, giving greater confidence in its predictions for the combined treatment. A caveat is that the continuous IV data show some evidence of a gradual upregulation of clearance over the 24-hour span, which is not included in the model.

However, the combined treatment predictions based on the 3-compartment model (2) show very few differences to our 1-compartment model (1), with only modification of the very early time dynamics, showing very high concentrations immediately after the bolus, followed by a faster equilibration than predicted in our paper (1). Our core prediction is, however, confirmed and reinforced: a 50-mg IV bolus of hydrocortisone followed by continuous IV infusion of 200 mg/24 h is optimal to achieve rapid and consistent stress-like response.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported by the Medical Research Council UK (program grant G0900567 to W.A.) and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre at the University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Birmingham (grant reference number BRC-1215-2009 to W.A. and J.M.L.). A.P. is a Diabetes UK Sir George Alberti Research Training Fellow (grant reference number 18/0005782). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care UK. The funders of the study had no role in the: design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Additional Information

Correspondence: Wiebke Arlt, Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK. E-mail: w.arlt@bham.ac.uk.

Disclosure Summary: All authors declare: no support from any organization for the submitted work other than that described above; no financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

 Prete A, Taylor AE, Bancos I, et al. Prevention of adrenal crisis: cortisol responses to major stress compared to stress dose hydrocortisone delivery. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2020; 105(7):2262-2274.

- Dorin RI; Urban III, FK, Qualls CR. Letter to the Editor from Dorin et al: "Prevention of Adrenal Crisis: Cortisol Responses to Major Stress Compared to Stress Dose Hydrocortisone Delivery." J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2021;106(1):e393–e394.
- 3. Picard-Hagen N, Gayrard V, Alvinerie M, et al. A nonlabeled method to evaluate cortisol production rate by modeling plasma

cbg-free cortisol disposition. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2001; **281**(5):E946-E956.

 Dorin RI, Qualls CR, Torpy DJ, Schrader RM, Urban III FK. Reversible increase in maximal cortisol secretion rate in septic shock. *Crit Care Med.* 2015; 43(3): 549-556.