UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Grammar patterns as an exploratory tool for studying formulaicity in English-to-Polish translation

Grabowski, Łukasz; Groom, Nicholas

DOI:

10.5281/zenodo.4727673

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Grabowski, Ł & Groom, N 2021, Grammar patterns as an exploratory tool for studying formulaicity in English-to-Polish translation: a corpus-based study. in A Trklja & Ł Grabowski (eds), *Formulaic language: Theories and methods.* Phraseology and Multiword Expressions, vol. 5, Language Science Press, Berlin, pp. 171–190. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4727673

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- •Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- •Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- •User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 02. May. 2024

Chapter 7

Grammar patterns as an exploratory tool for studying formulaicity in English-to-Polish translation: A corpus-based study

Łukasz Grabowski
University of Opole
Nicholas Groom
University of Birmingham

In this chapter, we explore the use - and argue for the usefulness - of the concept of grammar patterns (Francis et al. 1996; 1998; Hunston & Francis 2000) in descriptive research on the English-to-Polish translation of formulaic language. Specifically, we use the *Paralela* English-Polish parallel corpus (Pezik 2016) to explore – largely in terms of frequency distributions - the use of the Polish equivalents of selected English multi-word items, which are textual manifestations of grammar patterns. As a proof-of-concept, we will focus on a pre-selected grammar pattern ('it v-link ADJ to-inf'), where a given word (e.g. the adjective possible) may convey different senses depending on the pattern in which it occurs (Groom 2005). We aim to investigate whether and to what extent somewhat similar lexico-grammatical patterns emerge from the Polish translations under scrutiny. Our analysis finds that the English pattern 'it v-link ADJ to-inf', when filled by adjectives conveying the sense of 'difficulty', corresponds to a set of Polish syntagmatic patterns, such as 'ADJ v-link, aby', 'ADV v-link' or 'ADV' (the last two followed by verbs in the infinitive form). It is argued that grammar patterns may be a useful starting point for the exploration of formulaicity in translation, but also that they help us explain some more general differences in terms of semantics, pragmatics and usage in source texts and their translations.



Łukasz Grabowski & Nicholas Groom. 2021. Grammar patterns as an exploratory tool for studying formulaicity in English-to-Polish translation: A corpus-based study. In Aleksandar Trklja & Łukasz Grabowski (eds.), *Formulaic language: Theories and methods*, 171–190. Berlin: Language Science Press. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.4727673

1 Introduction

1.1 General remarks on Pattern Grammar

In the last three decades, corpus linguists have proposed a number of novel research methods and procedures for capturing and exploring recurrent patterns of language use. One of these is Pattern Grammar (Hunston & Francis 2000), a corpus-based grammar of the English language that describes the lexico-syntactic environments of individual lexical items. These 'grammar patterns' (henceforth GPs) are defined as "a phraseology frequently associated with (a sense of) a word, particularly in terms of the prepositions, groups, and clauses that follow the word" (Hunston & Francis 2000: 3) or as "all the words and structures which are regularly associated with the word and which contribute to its meaning" (ibid.: 37). As argued by Römer (2009: 142), GPs are neither single words nor empty grammatical structures; they constitute abstract representations of frequent lexico-grammatical patterns. For example, Hunston & Francis (2000: 29) claim that in the patterns it v-link ADJ that (e.g. it is interesting/clear that) and it v-link ADJ to-inf (e.g. it is sensible/possible to), the adjectives belong to similar meaningfully-related groups, e.g. expressing a range of different concepts such as likelihood, importance, desirability and obviousness.

Whilst Pattern Grammar is principally an empirical approach to linguistic description, it also puts forward two major theoretical claims. The first of these is that the different meanings (i.e. senses) of polysemous words can be distinguished on the basis of their typical occurrence in different patterns. Consider, by way of example, the following two (invented) sentences:

- (1) It's possible that she sent a message.
- (2) It's possible to send a message.

It should be immediately clear that, although the GPs highlighted in bold in these sentences both feature the same adjective, *possible*, the meanings that they make are very different. In example (1), the words in bold can be replaced with the single adverb *maybe*, whereas in example (2) the bolded part can be replaced with the two words *you can*. From a traditional perspective, it might be said that this shows that the adjective *possible* has two different meanings: an epistemic modal meaning in example (2). From the point of view of Pattern Grammar, however, the epistemic and dynamic modal meanings made by these two sentences reside not in any of

the individual words, nor in the morphosyntactic configurations into which these words fall, but in the interaction between them. That is, the epistemic 'maybe' meaning belongs to the whole sequence 'it + BE + possible + that-clause', and the dynamic 'you can' meaning is made by the whole sequence 'it + BE + possible + to-infinitive clause'. This insight is of major significance because, if it is true for a language as a whole, it means that semantic ambiguity is virtually non-existent in a naturally-occurring language. Each of the different meanings of a polysemous word will be associated with a different structural pattern, and language users will interpret which meaning is being made in each case on the basis of this patterning. In other words, Pattern Grammar proposes that disambiguation is far more a matter of attending to linguistic co-text than it is a matter of attending to extralinguistic context, as is generally assumed in traditional theories of semantics and pragmatics.

The second major theoretical claim put forward by Pattern Grammar is that "words which share a given pattern tend also to share an aspect of meaning" (Hunston & Francis 2000: 3). To return to examples (1–2) above, *possible* is just one member of a class of adjectives that have a broadly epistemic modal meaning when they occur in the pattern *it* v-link ADJ that (e.g. certain, definite, demonstrable, doubtful, feasible, implausible, incredible, irrefutable, likely, true, uncertain, unthinkable), and just one of many adjectives that have a broadly dynamic modal meaning when they occur in the pattern *it* v-link ADJ to-inf (e.g. difficult, easy, feasible, hard, impossible, impractical., simple, tough, tricky). In Pattern Grammar, these classes of words that share a particular pattern/meaning association are referred to as 'meaning groups'. It is important to note that each grammatical pattern is not restricted to just one meaning group; on the contrary, many if not most patterns have several meaning groups associated with them. For example, Francis et al. (1998) identify eight meaning groups for the pattern *it* v-link ADJ that, and nine meaning groups for the pattern *it* v-link ADJ to-inf.

Although Pattern Grammar is unquestionably a corpus-based approach to grammatical description, it is nevertheless highly reliant on the manual qualitative analysis of concordance lines, and thus on the application of human judgement in the identifying of patterns and of the meaning groups associated with them. This is because the identification of GPs in attested language corpora essentially involves perceiving a similarity between linguistic items which may not be identical on the surface, but which have some underlying regularity of form and meaning. ¹ For example, the sequence of words *it is ironic that* is a representative

¹The automatic identification of GPs has been demonstrated as feasible in principle (Mason & Hunston 2004), but has not as yet been achieved at scale on open text.

of a general pattern beginning with it, ending with a *that*-clause (with optional *that*) and containing a linking verb (e.g. *be*, *seem*, *look*), followed by an adjective expressing evaluation of a given situation, e.g. *it is not surprising that, it looks very unlikely that, it seems very peculiar that* (Hunston & Francis 2000: 154). Such judgements are often highly nuanced and subtle, and it is sometimes problematic even for human researchers, let alone computer software, to distinguish between sequences of words which are formally the same yet differ in terms of their patterns, e.g. 'annoyance + relative *that*-clause' vs. 'annoyance + appositive *that*-clause' (Hunston & Francis 2000: 67).

Despite its labour intensive nature, Pattern Grammar has been widely and successfully applied to the description and analysis of English over the last two decades or so. Perhaps the best known fruits of this research are the two monumental *COBUILD Grammar Patterns* reference works (Francis et al. 1996; 1998), one covering 700 patterns across 9,000 different verb senses, and the other covering 100 further patterns across 10,000 nouns and adjectives. In addition to these general reference works, corpus-based studies have also provided empirical evidence that GPs differ in systematic ways across different discourses and genres, with academic English being a particular focus of interest (e.g. Groom 2005; Charles 2006; 2007; Larsson 2016; Su & Hunston 2019). The COBUILD Grammar Patterns reference works have also been used as an empirical basis for psycholinguistic investigations into first- and second-language speaker knowledge of verbargument constructions (e.g. Ellis et al. 2014; Römer et al. 2014; 2015).

These latter inter-varietal research perspectives suggest that Pattern Grammar might also be used to carry out empirical research in cross-linguistic contexts. Surprisingly, however, no work along such lines has been done to date; in fact, although there is some comparative cross-linguistic research on grammar patterns in the specialized genre of judicial decisions (Pontrandolfo & Goźdź-Roszkowski 2014) focusing on the discoursal function of evaluation in English and Italian, Pattern Grammar has as yet never been systematically applied to any language other than English (Hunston, personal communication).

1.2 Towards Pattern Grammar for languages other than English

In fact, there has been no usage-based description (i.e. linear and with low degree of formalization) similar to Pattern Grammar capturing recurrent lexicogrammatical patterns of language use in Polish, i.e. based on the relationship between meanings or discourse functions on the one hand and structural patterns on the other. However, similar to valency dictionaries developed for English (e.g. Erlangen Valency Patternbank² or Pattern Dictionary of English Verbs³). there are dictionaries of Polish that combine syntactic and semantic information on particular lexical items in ways which bear some comparison with the Pattern Grammar approach. One of them is Walenty⁴, a comprehensive valency dictionary of Polish (Przepiórkowski, Hajic, et al. 2017; Przepiórkowski, Hajnicz, et al. 2017) that specifies arguments of predicates for verbs (and – to a lesser extent – for nouns, adjectives and adverbs), which consist of comprehensive syntactic and semantic formalisms, the latter ones not fully implemented yet (Przepiórkowski, Hajic, et al. 2017: 10). Although utilized by two parsers of Polish (Świgra and POLFIE), the dictionary has been designed primarily for computer processing of natural language texts as well as for researchers (linguists) and lexicographers (ibid.: 9). For example, unlike in the case of Pattern Grammar, verbs recorded in Walenty are described using the valency frames, each with a set of argument specifications (ibid.), e.g. the verb otruć ('to poison') has three syntactic frames and one semantic frame, all accompanied by examples of use (Figure 7.1).

Although *Walenty* is similar to Pattern Grammar in that it presents the syntactic environments of lexical items, it does not allow the researcher to browse through larger lexico-grammatical patterns (e.g. verbs, nouns or adjectives and the words or syntagmatic frames that follow or precede them) or to classify the slot-fillers in those patterns into specific meaning or functional groups, which is precisely what the Pattern Grammar approach does. For example, the so-called 'introductory *it*' pattern followed by a link-verb, adjective and to-infinitive clause (*it v*-link ADJ *to*-inf) reveals a number of meaning groups (e.g. 'Importance') for adjectives filling in the pattern as well as specific examples of their use; more precisely, the sense of 'importance' (or attitudinal stance) is conveyed by the entire grammar pattern *it v*-link ADJ *to*-inf rather than by individual adjectives (e.g. *important*, *necessary*) filling in the pattern, as we can read in Francis et al.

²EVP is available at: http://www.patternbank.uni-erlangen.de/cgi-bin/patternbank.cgi; it provides a list of valency patterns for 511 verbs, 544 adjectives and 274 nouns. See Herbst et al. (2004) for more details.

³PDEV is available at: http://pdev.org.uk/#about_cpa; it provides systematic description of meaning and use of verb patterns of 1,451 verbs (as of 9 July 2019). See Hanks (2013) for more details.

⁴Primarily a dictionary of Polish subcategorization frames, Walenty can be directly compared to FrameNet (http://framenet. icsi.berkeley.edu) grounded in the theory of frame semantics (Fillmore 1982).

Łukasz Grabowski & Nicholas Groom



Figure 7.1: . The verb otruc 'to poison' in Walenty (retrieved from: http://walenty.clarin-pl.eu)

(1998: 494-498), Hunston & Francis (2000: 29) and Groom (2005: 260-262). Consequently, Pattern Grammar offers an inventory of lexico-grammatical constructions which constitute pairings of form with semantic or discoursal function.⁵

The main goal of this study is therefore to explore whether GPs may be employed as a useful exploratory tool for cross-linguistic studies. In other words, we aim to identify and describe lexico-grammatical patterns that emerge from the English-to-Polish translations – extracted from the Paralela corpus (Pęzik 2016) – of a pre-selected English GP performing specific discoursal functions. More precisely, we will focus on one English 'introductory it' pattern with *to*-clause complementation (*it v-link* ADJ to-inf), which will constitute the starting point for our analysis. However, the *tertium comparationis* are the functional categories or discoursal functions (necessity, importance, obviousness etc.) contingent on individual words filling in the patterns or, in other words, worked out on the basis of intuitive understandings of words in the English GPs. This will allow us to investigate whether we may find corresponding generalizable lexico-

⁵In practice, identification of GPs is possible by analyzing concordance lines, which later involves grouping the patterns into notional categories (e.g. topical or functional ones) on the basis of the different types of meanings conveyed in context. Although individual words can help in determining those groupings, a qualitative analysis of a wider context of their occurrence is necessary to form appropriate groups and thus identify the patterns (Hunston & Francis 2000: 162).

⁶Both patterns were studied by Groom (2005) in terms of their variability across various academic registers.

grammatical patterns in Polish that perform the same discoursal functions as the English GP under scrutiny. The underlying assumption is that, in theory, the Polish translations should convey the same information as their English source texts. We will also attempt to verify whether GPs are useful as a discovery tool for detecting translation patterns. We believe that a study like this one may pave the way to a wider application of the Pattern Grammar approach for the grammatical description of languages other than English (in this case, Polish) and/or for cross-linguistic comparisons or translation-oriented research, which have not been attempted hitherto.

The chapter is structured as follows. In §2, the research material (i.e. the study corpus), units of analysis and methodology will be described. Next, we describe and discuss the empirical results exemplifying translation patterns emerging from textual realizations of GPs in the sample of English source texts and their Polish translations. The concluding section discusses the study limitations and offers suggestions on how this research, an experiment in method, may be developed further in the future.

2 Methodology

2.1 Research material

For the purposes of the current study, we will use the English-Polish parallel corpus *Paralela* (Pęzik 2016). The parallel corpus under scrutiny includes a little more than 262 million word tokens in 10,877,000 translation segments from various text types and genres, including written, spoken and to-be spoken texts, e.g. legal documents from various European Union institutions (legislation, transcripts of proceedings of the European Parliament, etc.), press releases, medical texts, film subtitles, popular science texts, literary classics, etc. As a rule, a parallel corpus contains source texts aligned with their translations in the target language. In this study, we will analyze a pre-selected GP ('it v-link ADJ to-inf') found in a single genre of English source texts, namely European Parliament proceedings (henceforth EPP), and we will try to align its textual realizations with their Polish equivalents as found in translation segments in the corresponding Polish sub-corpus (with more than 13 million word tokens in almost 700,000 translation segments). We will then attempt to quantitatively and qualitatively

⁷Available at: http://paralela.clarin-pl.eu.

 $^{^8\}mathrm{The}$ transcripts were originally extracted from the Europarl corpus (Koehn 2005) and included in Paralela. The debates were recorded on 11–12th and 23rd of October 2006, and translated from English into Polish.

analyze the target language equivalents and see whether any regular patterns, i.e. lexico-syntactic associations similar to GPs, emerge from the Polish language data.

2.2 Units of analysis

At least in theory, parallel corpora include texts (originals and translations) that express the same meanings and perform the same discoursal functions, which allows one to search for correspondences between linguistic items in source and target texts (Johansson 2007: 9, cited in Marco 2019: 43). As mentioned earlier, in this study we will focus on a pre-selected GP, which means that the analyzed lexical items will not be extracted from texts in a bottom-up fashion. This is mainly because we do not have access to the full texts collected in *Paralela*. Hence, the use of the study corpora will be limited to the analysis of bilingual concordances illustrating particular translation patterns, i.e. frequency and distribution of the Polish equivalents of the English GP, which provide a starting point for our investigation.

We will capitalize on the results of the study conducted by Groom (2005), who analyzed, among others, two GPs, namely *it* **v-link adj** that and *it* **v-link adj** to-inf. Groom (2005: 259–260) noticed that adjectives which convey the meaning (i.e. sense) that can be generalized as "validity" (e.g. *clear*, *inconceivable*, *obvious*) tend to fall into the pattern *it* **v-link** *Adj* that while the adjectives conveying the sense of "difficulty" (e.g. *difficult*, *easy*, *hard*) tend to fall into the pattern *it* **v-link** *Adj* to-inf⁹. Also, it was found that depending on the pattern, one and the same word (e.g. the adjective *possible*) may convey different senses, that is either "difficulty" or "validity" (Groom 2005: 259). In summary, the findings of the study conducted by Groom (2005) provide strong evidence of the relationship between particular senses conveyed by particular words and the structural patterns in which those words tend to occur.

We will search for a single pre-selected pattern using the SlopeQ query syntax implemented in *Paralela* as well as morphosyntactic tags (e.g. <tag=j.*> stands for adjectives). Thus, the pattern *it* **v-link ADJ to-inf** will be searched for using the following query:

```
it <tag=v.*> <tag=j.*> to (34,047 occurrences in Paralela; 3,067 occurrences in EPP).
```

⁹However, Groom (2005) found that distributions and more fine-grained rhetorical functions of the adjectives in those grammar patterns vary across corpora representing different language varieties.

In view of this high number of occurrences, it is necessary to filter out the results to facilitate the qualitative analysis of concordance lines. To this end, we will apply systematic sampling by recording translation pairs of every 30th concordance. This means that we will ultimately focus on a sample of 100 translation pairs (i.e. bilingual concordances illustrating Polish translations of specific textual realizations of the English GP) extracted from the EPP sub-corpus of *Paralela*.

2.3 Research questions and hypotheses

The main problem addressed in this study concerns whether the corresponding items (translation equivalents) found in target texts can also be described in terms of recurrent lexico-syntactic associations similar to the GP identified in the English source texts. Hence, in this exploratory paper we aim to provide answers to the following research questions:

- *RQ1:* What are the Polish equivalents of the English source-language multi-word items emerging from GPs?
- *RQ2:* Can the Polish equivalents be generalized into a more abstract set of lexicogrammatical patterns similar to GPs?
- RQ3: Can the Pattern Grammar approach be used for a description of Polish?
- RQ4: Can GPs be applied as a unit of analysis in cross-linguistic contexts?

2.4 Study stages

This study will be conducted in a number of stages. First, we will preselect one GP (described earlier) and develop an inventory of its textual realizations in English source texts, i.e. in the EPP sub-corpus of *Paralela*. We will then generalize the results by means of grouping overlapping textual realizations into a list of n-grams, which perform specific discoursal functions. After identifying the Polish equivalent, or translation variant (be it a single word or a multi-word unit), we will investigate whether the observed patterns of Polish translations can be generalized to more abstract phraseological, syntagmatic or lexico-grammatical units, similar to GPs.

3 Preliminary results: Grammar patterns in contrast

In this exploratory study, we used the GP *it* v-link ADJ to-inf as a unit of analysis and a tool for discovering potential translation patterns. All in all, the said

GP occurred in the EPP sub-corpus of Paralela 3,067 times. In order to limit the amount of data for manual analysis of bilingual concordances, we used systematic sampling and selected every 30th concordance, which resulted in the set of 100 English-Polish translation segments to be analyzed. Depending on the adjectives filling in the slot, we used the procedure put forward by Groom (2005) and – by conducting manual analysis of English source-text fragments – we classified the textual variants of the GP found in the sample into semantic/functional categories (discoursal functions) corresponding to the senses conveyed by the adjectives. This way, the linguistic data were classified into the following categories: IMPORTANCE, VALIDITY, DESIRABILITY and DIFFICULTY.

First, we present the results of the analysis of the textual instantiations of the GP *it* **v-link ADJ to-inf** as filled with adjectives conveying the sense of 'difficulty' (8 occurrences in the EPP sub-corpus) and its equivalents in the Polish translations.

Table 7.1: Textual realizations of the GP 'it v-link ADJ to-inf' in English source texts and their Polish translations: discoursal function of DIFFICULTY

Textual realizations in the English original	Polish equivalents	Generalized pattern of Polish translations
it is difficult to (5) it is hard to (2)	trudno jest (5) trudno, aby (1) trudno (1)	ADV v-link (v-inf) ADV, aby ADV (v-inf)
it is easier to (1)	łatwiej (1)	ADV (v-inf)

The findings (Table 7.1) show that in the sample under scrutiny there are three instantiations of the English GP 'it v-link ADJ to-inf' when filled with adjectives conveying the sense of 'difficulty', namely it is difficult to, it is hard to and it is easier to, with the total frequency of 8. Those multi-word items have the following Polish equivalents in the corpus, such as trudno jest (used 5 times as an equivalent of it is difficult to), trudno, aby and trudno (used 1 time each as equivalents of it is hard to) and latwiej (used once as an equivalent of it is easier to). Apart from insights into certain translational choices, it has been possible to reconstruct abstract lexico-grammatical patterns (or syntagmatic frames) based on the Polish equivalents and conveying the sense of difficulty with respect to the following proposition, e.g. 'ADV v-link' (trudno jest) or 'ADV', both followed by verbs in the infinitive form (e.g. trudno jest udowodnić 'it is difficult to prove', trudno uwierzyć

'it is difficult to believe') or 'ADV, *aby*' (*trudno*, *aby*) followed by a complement clause, e.g.:

- (3) a. It is hard to believe when reading it.

 Trudno uwierzyć w te słowa, kiedy się je czyta. [EVOeRj]
 - This is because it is difficult to prove that the service rendered was of poor quality.
 Dzieje sie tak dlatego, że trudno jest udowodnić, że świadczone usługi
 - Dzieje się tak dlatego, że **trudno jest** udowodnić, że świadczone usługi były złej jakości. [qoavWA]
 - c. Simplification of the common agricultural policy is a beautiful idea, and it is hard to imagine that someone would oppose it.

 Uproszczenie wspólnej polityki rolnej to piękna idea i trudno, aby ktoś był jej przeciwny. [ea2Eoq]

As can be seen, the English GP 'it v-link ADJ to-inf', when filled by adjectives conveying the sense of 'difficulty', corresponds to a set of Polish syntagmatic patterns, such as 'ADV, aby', 'ADV v-link' or 'ADV', the last two followed by a verb in the infinitive form.

The following example under scrutiny refers to the GP 'it v-link ADJ to-inf' as filled with adjectives (possible, clear, impossible, true) conveying the sense of 'validity' in the English source texts (Table 7.2).

The findings show that there is more variety among Polish lexico-grammatical patterns that convey the sense of 'validity' in the Polish translations as compared to the sense of 'difficulty'. The most frequent one (4 occurrences) is the pattern 'ADJ v-link' (with positional variation) and 'ADJ v-link (, $\dot{z}e$)', which is realized with the following Polish equivalents, namely $mo\dot{z}liwe$ ($b\dot{e}dzie$); $mo\dot{z}liwe$ jest; (...) jasne jest, $\dot{z}e$; jest (...) jasne, $\dot{z}e$. Other patterns are centred around nouns ('NN', 'NN v-link' and 'NN v-link (, $\dot{z}e$)'), which include the following words and phrases: $mo\dot{z}liwo\dot{s}c$, $niemo\dot{z}liwo\dot{s}ciq$ jest and prawdq jest, $\dot{z}e$ respectively, e.g.:

(4) I would like to say that it is becoming clear in this discussion that it is possible to have a 'two-speed' Europe.

Chcialbym powiedzieć, że w tej dyskusji oczywista staje się możliwość istnienia Europy "dwóch szybkości" [Drag45]

There are also two patterns centred on the modal verb *można* 'can/may' ('MODV', 'NEG PRT MODV'), namely *można* and *nie można*, followed by the infinitive form of the verb, e.g.:

¹⁰ The phrase Europa dwóch szybkości 'two-speed Europe' has not been adopted in the Polish press discourse. Instead, the phrase Europa dwóch prędkości has become commonly used.

Table 7.2: Textual realizations of the GP 'it v-link ADJ to-inf' in English source-texts and their Polish translations: discoursal function of VALIDITY

Textual realizations in the English original	Polish equivalents	Generalized pattern of Polish translations
(will) it be possible to (1)	możliwe (będzie) (1)	ADJ v-link
it is clear to (us/me) (2)	dla (nas) jasne jest, że (1) jest dla (mnie) jasne , że (1)	ADJ v-link (, że 'that') v-link ADJ (, że 'that')
it is impossible to (1)	niemożliwością jest (1) 'impossibility is' nie można (1) '	NN v-link NEGprt + MODV
it is possible to (4)	możliwe jest (2) 'possible that' można (1) 'may/can' możliwość ^a (1) 'possibility'	ADJ v-link MODV (v-inf) TRANSFORMATION
it is true to (1) say	<pre>prawdq jest, że (1) 'truth is that'</pre>	NN v-link (, że 'that')

[&]quot;In the following example, the entire English pattern was rendered as the noun możliwość 'possibility': (EN) I would like to say that it is becoming clear in this discussion that it is possible to have a 'two-speed' Europe. (PL) Chciałbym powiedzieć, że w tej dyskusji oczywista staje się możliwość istnienia Europy "dwóch szybkości". [Drag45]

A large group of multi-word items in the English originals conveys a sense of 'importance' (e.g. it is important to, it is crucial to, it is essential that) and there is a high variety among their Polish equivalents, which can be grouped into a number of lexico-grammatical patterns. The most prominent ones include the pattern 'ADJ v-link' and 'ADJ v-link, aby/by' (e.g. istotne jest; ważne jest, aby; niezbędne jest; konieczne jest), with adjectives occasionally modified by adverbs (e.g. bardzo ważne jest, niezwykle istotne jest). It is particularly noticeable that the pattern

^{(5) (...)} but the one thing I have learned is that it is impossible to book a ticket on the Eurostar when you are travelling.

^(...) lecz nauczyłem się jednego, a mianowicie, że **nie można** zarezerwować biletu na pociąg. [BNzRG4]

'ADJ v-link' is followed by a verbal noun functioning as a direct object, while the pattern 'ADJ v-link, *aby*/by' or 'ADJ, *by*' is followed by a verb in the infinitive form, e.g.:

- (6) a. Finally, I would like to stress that it is important to have full transparency regarding the founding of the initiative and sources of financial support for the organisers.

 Wreszcie, pragnę podkreślić, że ważne jest zapewnienie pełnej przejrzystości w odniesieniu do finansowania inicjatywy oraz źródeł wsparcia finansowego dla jej organizatorów. [RDwmvo]
 - b. It is important to overcome the current problems that characterise the sector: the lack of competition, the regulatory over-dependence on ratings, and the low reliability of notes.
 Ważne jest, aby rozwiązać problemy, które obecnie spotyka się w tej branży, a mianowicie przesadne zawierzanie ratingom i małą wiarygodność ocen. [APaN8r]

Other frequent patterns include 'Mody' (trzeba, musimy/musi, należy followed by verbs in the infinitive form) or 'NN ADJ v-link' (e.g. sprawą podstawową jest; ważną rzeczą jest/są) or 'V ADJ NN' (e.g. ma fundamentalne znaczenie), cf. Table 7.3.

Finally, similar correspondences can be observed in the case of structures conveying the sense of 'desirability' in the English originals and their Polish equivalents (Table 7.4). The most frequent patterns – with positional variation due to free word order – in the Polish translations were found to be 'ADJ v-link' (e.g. konieczne jest, jest niestosowne, nieodpowiedzialne jest, słuszne jest), 'ADV v-link' (e.g. dobrze jest), 'MODV' (e.g. warto, należy) often followed by the infinitive form of the verb. The comparison of certain GPs provides interesting insights into cross-linguistic correspondences on the syntactic level. For example, the English pattern 'it v-link ADJ v-inf' may correspond, among others, to the Polish GP 'MODV' followed by a verb in the infinitive form, where the position of the direct and indirect objects with respect to the patterns under scrutiny changes, e.g.:

- (7) a. *It* is essential to give people [Indirect object] access [Direct object] to healthcare, drinking water and sanitation.
 - b. Ludziom [Indirect object] należy zapewnić dostęp [Direct object]¹¹ do opieki zdrowotnej, wody pitnej i kanalizacji. [DrZG00]

¹¹Literally 'People [Indirect object] should be given access [Direct object] to healthcare, drinking water and sanitation.'

Table 7.3: Textual realizations of the GP 'it v-link ADJ to-inf' in English source texts and their Polish translations: discoursal function of IM-PORTANCE

Original	Polish equivalents	GP in Polish trans
it is important to (1)	ważne jest, aby (1)	ADJ v-link, aby
it is crucial to (2)	istotne jest (1)	ADJ v-link
	kluczowe znaczenie ma (1)	ADJ NN V
it is essential to (10)	konieczne jest (2)	ADJ v-link
	kluczowe znaczenie ma (2)	ADJ NN V
	niezbędne jest (2)	ADJ v-link
	trzeba (1)	MODV (v-inf)
	ma istotne znaczenie (1)	V ADJ NN
	niezwykle istotne jest (1)	(ADV) ADJ v-link
	należy (1)	мору (v-inf)
it is fundamental to (1)	ma fundamentalne znaczenie (1)	V ADJ NN
it is imperative to (1)	bardzo ważne jest (1)	(ADV) ADJ v-link
it is important to (24)	należy (6)	мору (v-inf)
	ważne jest (6)	ADJ v-link
	musimy (1)	мору (v-inf)
	należy koniecznie (3)	MODV ADV (v-inf)
	ważne jest, aby (2)	ADJ v-link, aby
	trzeba (2)	мору (v-inf)
	bardzo ważne jest (1)	ADJ v-link
	konieczne jest (1)	ADJ v-link
	ważną rzeczą jest/są (1)	adj N v-link
	ważne, by (1)	ADJ, <i>by</i>
	najważniejsze jest (1)	ADJ v-link
it is necessary to (15)	należy (4)	MODV (v-inf)
, ,	konieczne jest (3)	ADJ v-link
	wymaga ^a (2)	v
	trzeba (2)	MODV (v-inf)
	trzeba koniecznie (1)	MODV ADV (v-inf)
	rzeczą konieczną jest (1)	NN ADJ v-link
	musi (1)	MODV (v-inf)
it seems fundamental to (1) me	sprawą podstawową jest (1)	nn adj v-link
it was essential to (1)	było (jest) niezwykle istotne (1)	v-link adv adj

^aThe following example illustrates the use of the 3rd person singular present tense form of the verb wymaga '(it) requires' in translation: (EN) There is also a need to measure quality of life in societies, because in order to ensure and sustain quality of life, it is necessary to take into account important, consensual factors such as health, education, culture, employment, housing, environmental conditions etc. (PL) Istnieje także potrzeba pomiaru jakości życia w społeczeństwach, ponieważ osiągnięcie oraz utrzymanie odpowiedniej jakości życia wymaga uwzględnienia istotnych i jednomyślnie uznanych czynników, takich jak zdrowie, edukacja, kultura, zatrudnienie oraz warunki mieszkan-184 iowe, środowiskowe itp. [6nv4bb]

Table 7.4: Textual realizations of the GP 'it v-link ADJ to-inf' in English source texts and their Polish translations: discoursal function of DESIR-ABILITY.

Textual realizations in the English original	Polish equivalents	Generalized pattern in Polish translations
it is necessary to (1) it is advisable to (1) it is appropriate to (1) it is better to (1) it is fair to (1) it is good to (1) know it is inappropriate to (1) it is irresponsible to (1) it is nice to (1) (have you with us)	konieczne jest (1) OMISSION ^a (1) warto (1) 'it is worth' lepiej (1) 'better' uczciwie (1) 'fairly' dobrze jest (1) jest niestosowne (1) nieodpowiedzialne jest (1) Cieszymy się, że (1) jest Pan tu z nami; Literally 'We are happy that you are here with us'	ADJ v-link OMISSION MODV (v-inf) ADV ADV ADV ADV v-link v-link ADJ ADJ v-link FIXED FORMULA
it is pointless to (1) it is profitable to (1) it is right to (3)	nie ma sensu (1) przynosi zysk należy (1) jest słuszne (1) słuszne jest (1)	negprt v nn v nn modv (v-inf), v-link adj, adj v-link
it is sensible to (1) it is unacceptable to (1) it is uplifting to (1)	(jest) rozsądne (1) nie do przyjęcia jest (1) świadomość () podnosi na duchu (1) 'awareness of () raises sb's spirits'	v-link adj negprt prep nn v-link transformation
it is worthwhile to (1) it was agreeable to (1)	warto (1) milo bylo (1) '(it) was nice to'	MODV (v-inf) ADV v-link (v-inf)

^aThe following example illustrates an omission in translation: (EN) We shall check what you have said, and you can rest assured that, within the context of measures available to the Council and the work on implementing the Pact on Immigration and Asylum, we shall look once more at whether it is advisable to reinforce this point within the framework of the Schengen area. (PL) Sprawdzimy to, o czym pan mówił, i może pan mieć pewność, że w kontekście środków, jakimi dysponuje Rada, oraz prac nad wdrożeniem paktu o imigracji i azylu, ponownie przemyślimy kwestię udoskonalenia tego elementu w ramach strefy Schengen. [AP01Ae]

We also identified ready-made formulas corresponding to specific speech acts, (e.g. the polite phrase used after a greeting *Cieszymy się, że jest Pan tu z nami.* versus *It is nice to have you with us*) or transformations resulting from stylistic changes in the translation as compared to the original. Neither fixed formulas nor transformations fall into the GPs that we attempted to identify among the Polish equivalents.

4 Discussion and conclusions

The findings of our exploratory study indicate that the Pattern Grammar approach holds unexplored potential for cross-linguistic research, of both text-oriented and system-oriented kinds. The approach showcased in our chapter used a set of GPs as a starting point to identify recurrent English multi-word items with specific meanings (senses) and discoursal functions, which were then aligned with their Polish equivalents. We obtained further empirical evidence against a widely held misconception about translation whereby one has to translate a given fixed phrase from L1 (English) into a corresponding fixed phrase in L2 (Polish). Using this methodology, we were able to obtain a set of lexico-grammatical frames emerging from the English-to-Polish translation patterns in the corpus under scrutiny (EPP). As well as yielding insights into language in use in Englishto-Polish translation in the EPP corpus, our methodology revealed a number of novel and valuable cross-linguistic correspondences between formulaic structures in English and Polish. A task for the future will be to verify to what extent those Polish lexico-grammatical patterns can be generalized to other text types or genres (if we adopt a textual perspective focusing on language in use), and to the Polish language system (if we adopt a cross-linguistic systemic perspective). Nevertheless, our preliminary results are certainly encouraging.

The findings also show that a linear and less formalized corpus-based grammatical description of how words are used in texts (i.e. with what phrases and clauses) known as Pattern Grammar (Hunston & Francis 2000) holds unexplored potential not only for cross-linguistic studies, but also for the description of recurrent lexico-syntactic constructions found in other languages, such as Polish. Clearly, such a research agenda needs to be pursued with caution in view of the many typological differences between English and Polish (cf. Fisiak et al. 1978; Willim & Mańczak-Wohlfeld 1997). In practice, what this means is that a "Polish version" of Pattern Grammar will need to be mindful of formal descriptions of Polish, and that we should expect to find non-formally corresponding grammar patterns that convey the same meanings or discourse functions in English and Polish, as was the case with the English GP 'it v-link ADJ to-inf' and the lexicosyntactic patterns that emerged from the Polish translations, rather than exact equivalents for each given English GP. Indeed, we may find that there are one-toone, one-to-many, many-to-many and many-to-one relationships between GPs conveying the same meaning or discourse function in English and Polish. Since adjective complementation patterns have received considerable attention (e.g. Su & Hunston 2019), it would be useful for future research to conduct analyses that involve other patterns (e.g. there v-link sth/anything/nothing ADJ about/in NP). Since this study concerns translation, it would also be interesting for future research to examine whether the observed translation patterns are triggered by English (due to interference), and, if so, then to what extent, or whether they are natural and equally formulaic in native Polish texts. Since this preliminary study is exploratory in nature, no frequency threshold was set to verify when an equivalent is regarded as a pattern; however, setting such a threshold will be essential in the future.

Finally, descriptions of Polish based on the linear Pattern Grammar approach may also feed into the future development of more comprehensive lexicographic resources, the so-called 'constructions' which are presently being compiled for German (Boas & Ziem 2018), Swedish (Lyngfelt et al. 2018) or Russian (Janda et al. 2018), ¹² to name but a few, and which are designed to model entire languages as inventories of constructions. A promising example of this synergy of approaches is provided by Perek & Patten (2019), who are currently building an English construction by semi-automatically combining GPs (as represented by the COBUILD reference works) with the semantic frames and valency relations found in the FrameNet database. Since constructions may serve lexicographers, language learners as well as NLP applications, it is postulated that such resources, which could utilize information already available in valency dictionaries (e.g. *Walenty*), should be also developed in the future for the Polish language¹³.

Acknowledgements

This research has been funded by the Polish National Agency for Academic Exchange (NAWA) under the agreement PPN/BEK/2018/1/00081/, and conducted during a research stay of Łukasz Grabowski at the University of Birmingham (UK).

References

Boas, Hans & Alexander Ziem. 2018. Constructing a construction for German: Empirical, theoretical, and methodological issues. In Benjamin Lyngfelt, Lars Borin, Kyoko Ohara & Tiago Timponi Torrent (eds.), *Constructicography: Constructicon development across languages*, 183–228. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

 $^{^{12}}$ According to Janda et al. (2018: 165), the Russian construction prioritizes multi-word constructions.

¹³The idea of developing a construction grammar of Polish has also been briefly mentioned recently by Wierzbicka-Piotrowska (2019).

- Charles, Maggie. 2006. Phraseological patterns in reporting clauses used in citation: A corpus-based study of theses in two disciplines. *English for Specific Purposes* 25. 310–331.
- Charles, Maggie. 2007. Argument or evidence? Disciplinary variation in the use of the Noun *that* pattern in stance construction. *English for Specific Purposes* 26. 203–218.
- Ellis, Nick C., Matthew B. O'Donnell & Ute Römer. 2014. Second language processing of verb-argument constructions is sensitive to form, function, frequency, contingency, and prototypicality. *Linguistic Approaches to Bilingualism* 4(4). 405–431.
- Fillmore, Charles. 1982. Frame semantics. In The Linguistic Society of Korea (ed.), *Linguistics in the morning calm*, 111–137. Seoul: Hanshin.
- Fisiak, Jacek, Maria Lipinska-Grzegorek & Tadeusz Zabrocki. 1978. *An introductory English–Polish contrastive grammar*. Warszawa: PWN.
- Francis, Gill, Elisabeth Manning & Susan Hunston. 1996. *Collins COBUILD grammar patterns 1: Verbs.* London: HarperCollins.
- Francis, Gill, Elisabeth Manning & Susan Hunston. 1998. *Collins COBUILD grammar patterns 2: Nouns and adjectives*. London: HarperCollins.
- Groom, Nicholas. 2005. Pattern and meaning across genres and disciplines: An exploratory study. *A Journal of English for Academic Purposes* 4. 257–277.
- Hanks, Patrick. 2013. Lexical analysis. Cambridge: Norms & Exploitations.
- Herbst, Thomas, David Heath, Dieter Götz & Ian F. Roe. 2004. *A valency dictionary of English*. Berlin/New York: De Gruyter.
- Hunston, Susan & Gill Francis. 2000. *Pattern grammar: A corpus-driven approach to the lexical grammar of English*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Janda, Laura A., Olga Lyashevskaya, Tore Nesset, Ekaterina Rakhilina & Francis M. Tyers. 2018. A construction for Russian. In B. Lyngfelt, L. Borin, K. Ohara & Tiago Timponi Torrent (eds.), Constructicography: Construction development across languages, 165–182. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Johansson, Stig. 2007. Seeing through multilingual corpora on the use of corpora in contrastive studies. Amsterdam; Philadelphia: J. Benjamins. http://site.ebrary.com/id/10161067 (12 February, 2021).
- Koehn, Philipp. 2005. Europarl: A parallel corpus for statistical Machine translation. In *The tenth Machine translation summit, Phuket, Thailand*, 79–86. AAMT.
- Larsson, Tove. 2016. The introductory *it* pattern: Variability explored in learner and expert writing. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes* 22. 64–79.
- Lyngfelt, Benjamin, Linnéa Bäckström, Lars Borin, Anna Ehrlemark & Rudolf Rydstedt. 2018. Constructicography at work. In Benjamin Lyngfelt, Lars Borin,

- Kyoko Ohara & Tiago Timponi Torrent (eds.), *Constructicography: Constructicon development across languages*, 41–106. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Marco, Josep. 2019. Living with parallel corpora: The potentials and limitations of their use in translation research. In Irene Doval & M. Teresa Sánchez Nieto (eds.), *Studies in corpus linguistics*, vol. 90, 39–56. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. DOI: 10.1075/scl.90.03mar. https://benjamins.com/catalog/scl.90.03mar.
- Mason, Oliver & Susan Hunston. 2004. The automatic recognition of verb patterns: A feasibility study. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics* 9. 253–270.
- Perek, Florent & Amanda Patten. 2019. Towards an English construction using patterns and frames. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics* 24(3). 356–386.
- Pęzik, Piotr. 2016. Exploring phraseological equivalence with Paralela. In Ewa Gruszczyńska & Agnieszka Leńko-Szymańska (eds.), *Polish-language parallel corpora*, 67–81. Warszawa: Instytut Lingwistyki Stosowanej UW.
- Pontrandolfo, Gianluca & Stanisław Goźdź-Roszkowski. 2014. Exploring the local grammar of evaluation: The case of adjectival patterns in American and Italian judicial discourse. *Research in Language* 12(1). 71–91.
- Przepiórkowski, Adam, Jan Hajic, Elżbieta Hajnicz & Zdenka Uresova. 2017. Phraseology in two Slavic valency dictionaries: Limitations and perspectives. *International Journal of Lexicography* 30(1). 1–38. DOI: 10.1093/ijl/ecv048.
- Przepiórkowski, Adam, Elżbieta Hajnicz, Anna Andrzejczuk, Agnieszka Patejuk & Marcin Woliński. 2017. Walenty: Gruntowny składniowo-semantyczny słownik walencyjny języka polskiego. *Język Polski* 97(1). 30–47.
- Römer, Ute. 2009. The inseparability of lexis and grammar: Corpus linguistic perspectives. *Annual Review of Cognitive Linguistics* 7. 140–162. DOI: 10.1075/arcl. 7.06rom.
- Römer, Ute, Matthew B. O'Donnell & Nick C. Ellis. 2015. Using COBUILD grammar patterns for a large-scale analysis of verb-argument constructions: Exploring corpus data and speaker knowledge. In Nicholas Groom, Maggie Charles & Suganthi John (eds.), *Corpora*, *grammar and discourse: In honour of Susan Hunston*, 43–71. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Römer, Ute, Matthew B. O'Donnell & Nick. C. Ellis. 2014. Second language learner knowledge of verb-argument constructions: Effects of language transfer and typology. *The Modern Language Journal* 98(4). 952–975.
- Su, Hang & Susan Hunston. 2019. Language patterns and attitude revisited adjective patterns, attitude and appraisal. *Functions of Language* 26(3). 343–371.
- Wierzbicka-Piotrowska, Elżbieta. 2019. Od synonimii składniowej do polisemii konstrukcyjnej (rozważania metodologiczne na przykładzie analizy polskich konstrukcji wyrażających relację przyczynowo-skutkową). In *Book of Ab*-

Łukasz Grabowski & Nicholas Groom

stracts. Polish Cognitive Linguistics Association Conference 2019 (September 26–28, 2019), 95–96. Białystok. http://ptjk2019uwb.edu.pl/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/PCLA2019-Book-of-Abstracts.pdf (3 November, 2019).

Willim, Ewa & Elżbieta Mańczak-Wohlfeld. 1997. A contrastive approach to problems with English. Warszawa: PWN.