

Teaching minimally invasive interventions in paediatric dentistry

Moradi, S.; Sabbagh, S.; Timms, L.; Ravaghi, V.

DOI:

[10.1186/s12903-021-01735-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-021-01735-5)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Moradi, S, Sabbagh, S, Timms, L & Ravaghi, V 2021, 'Teaching minimally invasive interventions in paediatric dentistry: a cross-sectional survey of dental schools in Iran', *BMC oral health*, vol. 21, no. 1, 368.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-021-01735-5>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

RESEARCH

Open Access



Teaching Minimally Invasive Interventions in Paediatric Dentistry: A Cross-Sectional Survey of Dental Schools in Iran

S. Moradi^{1*}, S. Sabbagh², L. Timms³ and V. Ravaghi⁴

Abstract

Background: Dental caries is a significant public health problem in Iran. Teaching minimally invasive interventions in paediatric dentistry may facilitate the provision of treatment for untreated dental caries in children. We evaluated the teaching of such interventions in both undergraduate dental curriculum and Paediatric Dentistry Specialty Training Programme (PDSTP) in Iran.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional questionnaire-based survey. Participants in this study were the directors of 40 undergraduate programmes and 15 PDSTPs in all Iranian dental schools (response rate = 100%). Descriptive statistics were reported.

Results: The most commonly taught methods were preventive fissure sealant and preventive resin restoration (PRR), which were taught 'both didactically and clinically' in all undergraduate dental programmes. The least commonly taught methods were silver diamine fluoride (SDF), the Hall technique and resin infiltration, which were taught 'both didactically and clinically' in less than 5% of dental schools. The same three methods were the least commonly approaches taught in PDSTP, further, they were less often perceived to be 'essential'.

Conclusions: There was a notable variation in the teaching of the management of dental caries in Iran's dental education. Some minimally invasive approaches including SDF, the Hall technique and resin infiltration are not being commonly taught in Iranian dental schools despite the evidence base for these techniques.

Keywords: Paediatric dentistry, Minimal intervention dentistry, Cariostatic agents, Dental caries, Silver diamine fluoride, Hall technique

Background

Dental caries was historically believed to be an infectious disease and thus dental professionals based treatment on the principle that removal of all infected carious tooth tissue was required to halt caries progression [1]. In the light of recent advances in cariology, there has been a paradigm shift in the management of dental caries from the non-selective complete removal of infected tissue

to more minimally invasive interventions. Dental caries is now regarded as a disease, which originates from the imbalance of demineralisation and remineralisation of tooth structure as a result of metabolism from the plaque biofilm and propagated by dietary sugars. As such, the management of them is focussed on controlling the biofilm and cariogenic environment that leads to demineralisation rather than elimination of infected tissue [2]. In addition, a number of limitations are attached to using conventional non-selective methods for management of carious lesions. Removing demineralised, yet structurally intact dentine, weakens tooth structure [3] and increases

*Correspondence: moradii.sarah@gmail.com

¹ Dental Research Center, Research Institute of Dental Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

the risk of iatrogenic pulpal exposure [4]. Using conventional methods are also associated with more pain and discomfort [5], therefore, they may trigger dental anxiety and discourage interest in future dental visits. In addition, using MID techniques for children with severe dental anxiety may potentially prevent extraction under general anaesthetic.

Minimal intervention dentistry (MID) is defined as 'a holistic management philosophy that integrates carious lesion control and minimal operative intervention'. In recent years, there has been a renewed interest in application of MID in management of carious lesions especially for primary dentition. Emergence of several systematic reviews to summarise the evidence for effectiveness of silver diamine fluoride (SDF) [6] and investigating the effectiveness of minimally invasive biological techniques in a multicentre UK based clinical trial (known as FIC-TION study) [7] are indicative of such interest.

Significant reduction of dental caries in children is documented in developed countries such as the UK over the past decades [8]. In contrast, it is believed that prevalence of childhood dental caries is growing rapidly in the less affluent low and middle-income countries (LMICs), mainly due to change in lifestyle and diet habits [9]. Among other reasons, conventional approaches for management of dental caries may hamper the delivery of dental care in less affluent countries as they require costly and advanced high speed technologies [1]; the environment which may not be always available in lower and middle income countries [10]. Furthermore, following the COVID pandemic and given to concerns about transmission of coronavirus through Aerosol Generating Procedures (AGPs), there has been a new drive for adoption of the AGP-free interventions of MID in different dental specialities. In paediatric dental care specifically, there have been calls to utilise the potentials of MID to facilitate dental care in the post COVID era [11].

Iran is an upper-middle-income country with relatively high number of dentist per capita (3.3 dentists for 10,000 population), however, there are major issues with uneven distribution of the dental work force in Iran [12]. In the latest dental surveys of children, the prevalence of dental caries among pre-school children in Iran was high (decayed, missing and filled teeth (dmft) = 5.84) [13]. Teaching minimal intervention dentistry for management of dental caries in Iranian dental schools can pave the way for its wider application in primary care setting to tackle childhood dental caries. Teaching and application of techniques used for management of dental caries have been previously studied in several countries such as Canada and the US [14–17].

This study aims to evaluate the teaching of managing childhood dental caries in both undergraduate dental

curriculum and PDSPTs in Iran. We also examine the opinions of dental educators toward the relevance of teaching each method in their programme.

Methods

This is a cross-sectional questionnaire-based survey, which was carried out in the summer of 2020. This study aims to evaluate and compare the teaching of management of dental caries in undergraduate curriculum and PDSTP in all dental schools (n = 40) in Iran. Directors of 40 undergraduate programmes and 15 PDSTPs were invited to take part in this study by completing the self-administered questionnaires. The purpose of the study was clearly outlined in the information sheet. The ethical approval for this study was obtained from Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Iran.

The study questionnaire consists of two sections. We asked participants to report which minimally invasive methods for management of dental caries or carious lesions (for both primary and permanent dentitions) are being taught in their corresponding programmes. Respondents could choose whether the teaching of each intervention was covered in 'didactical' or in 'clinical' training. Respondents were informed that clinical training should be indicated only if the students carry out the treatment in patient clinics. The information sheet also explained that the teaching sessions, which involved practicing on phantom heads and observing the delivery of treatment, should be regarded as 'didactical' training. We also asked directors of programmes to report whether they believe the clinical training of each method were relevant for their programmes; to which they could respond essential/ not essential or no comment. The questionnaire was administered in Farsi, which is the only language of instruction in Iran.

Developing a questionnaire for this study was guided by the terminology and framework, which has been proposed by the International Caries Consensus Collaboration (ICCC) [14]. Incorporating the categories in this framework, we identified a number of interventions for managing dental caries and carious lesions following consultation with paediatrics dentists and dental public health academics. To ensure the content validity, this list was reviewed and finally thirteen techniques were agreed upon by three members of research team (SM, VR, and SS). Patient self-care (e.g. Toothbrushing instruction) and the techniques based on complete removal of affected tissues were not included. The questionnaire was then sent to participants using a web-based survey tool (i.e. Google forms). We sent reminders to participants who did not complete the survey in weekly intervals. Descriptive statistics (frequencies and percentages) were reported, but no statistical test was carried out in this research.

Test–retest reliability of the questionnaire was examined by asking five participants to answer to the questionnaire two weeks after first completion. The test–retest reliability was indicated by 77.6% agreement between the first and second responses to items.

Results

All directors of undergraduate programmes ($n=40$) and PDSTPs ($n=15$) in Iranian dental schools agreed and participated in this study, therefore, we achieved the response rate of 100%. Furthermore, all questions were answered in all returned questionnaires, which means this study had no missing data.

Tables 1 and 2 report the teaching of managing dental caries and carious lesions in undergraduate programmes and PDSTPs, respectively. These tables also report whether the programme directors perceive the clinical teaching of these interventions as ‘essential’. According to Table 1, the most commonly taught methods in undergraduate programmes were preventive fissure sealant and preventive resin restoration (PRR). These interventions were taught ‘both didactically and clinically’ in all dental schools. On the other hand, the least commonly taught method was the use of SDF; with no undergraduate programme reporting ‘both didactic and clinical’ teaching. Despite this, nearly half of undergraduate programmes (42.5%) reported the didactic teaching of SDF. After SDF, the Hall technique and resin infiltration were the least commonly taught methods with only 5% of undergraduate dental programmes teaching both didactically and

clinically. The same three management methods (i.e. SDF, Hall technique, and resin infiltration) were least often perceived to be ‘essential’ by the directors of undergraduate dental programmes. For example, the Hall technique was perceived to be essential by only one out five (20%) directors of undergraduate programmes.

According to Table 2, some management methods such as fluoride varnish, and PRR were taught in all PDSTPs. In contrast, the Hall technique, SDF and resin infiltration were the least commonly taught methods in PDSTPs, which replicated the findings of undergraduate programmes. The SDF, for example, was being taught ‘both didactically and clinically’ in only one out of fifteen programmes (6.7%). All three aforementioned methods were taught ‘didactically only’ in majority of PDSTPs.

Interestingly, in both undergraduate and PDSTPs, some techniques were reportedly taught only clinically in some schools. For example, the SDF and resin infiltration were taught ‘clinically only’ in one undergraduate dental programme.

Discussion

This study demonstrates a notable variation in the teaching of minimally invasive interventions for the management of dental caries and carious lesions in Iran’s dental education. While some techniques such as preventive fissure sealant, PRR, and fluoride varnish are widely taught across dental programmes, three methods received little attention. These methods were SDF, the Hall technique, and resin infiltration. SDF, for example, was not taught

Table 1 Teaching management of dental caries and carious lesions in undergraduate-curriculum in Iran ($n=40$)

	Teaching status % (N)				Perception of programme directors with regard to clinical training % (N)		
	Didactically only	Clinically only	Both didactically and clinically	None	Essential	Not essential	No comment
Fluoride varnish	7.5 (3)	0	92.5 (37)	0	100 (40)	0	0
Fluoride gel	17.5 (7)	0	77.5 (31)	5 (2)	90 (36)	5 (2)	5 (2)
Preventive fissure sealant	0	0	100 (40)	0	100 (40)	0	0
Therapeutic sealant	2.5 (1)	0	82.5 (33)	15 (6)	87.5 (35)	7.5 (3)	5 (2)
Resin infiltration	50 (20)	2.5 (1)	5 (2)	42.5 (17)	25 (10)	50 (20)	25 (10)
Atraumatic restorative treatment	50 (20)	0	45 (18)	5 (2)	65 (26)	20 (8)	15 (6)
Preventive resin restoration	0	0	100 (40)	0	100 (40)	0	0
Silver diamine fluoride	42.5 (17)	2.5 (1)	0	55 (22)	52.5 (21)	22.5 (9)	25 (10)
Hall-technique	52.5 (21)	0	5 (2)	42.5 (17)	20 (8)	67.5 (27)	12.5 (5)
Interim therapeutic restoration	47.5 (19)	0	30 (12)	22.5 (9)	65 (26)	17.5 (7)	17.5 (7)
Indirect pulp capping	12.5 (5)	0	87.5 (35)	0	97.5 (39)	2.5 (1)	0
Making carious lesion cleansable	42.5 (17)	0	17.5 (7)	40 (16)	62.5 (25)	10 (4)	27.5 (11)
Stepwise caries removal	50 (20)	0	40 (16)	10 (4)	72.5 (29)	6 (15)	12.5 (5)

Table 2 Teaching management of dental caries and carious lesions in PDSTPs in Iran (n = 15)

	Teaching status % (N)				Perception of programme directors with regard to clinical training % (N)		
	Didactically only	Clinically only	Both didactically and clinically	None	Essential	Not Essential	No comment
Fluoride varnish	0	0	100 (15)	0	100 (15)	0	0
Fluoride gel	20 (3)	6.7 (1)	73.3 (11)	0	86.7 (13)	6.7 (1)	6.7 (1)
Preventive fissure sealant	0	0	100 (15)	0	93.3 (14)	6.7 (1)	0
Therapeutic sealant	13.3 (2)	0	80 (12)	6.7 (1)	93.3 (14)	0	6.7 (1)
Resin infiltration	60 (9)	0	33.3 (5)	6.7 (1)	93.3 (14)	0	6.7 (1)
Atraumatic restorative treatment	40 (6)	0	60 (9)	0	93.3 (14)	6.7 (1)	0
Preventive resin restoration	0	0	100 (15)	0	100 (15)	0	0
Silver diamine fluoride	73.3 (11)	6.7 (1)	6.7 (1)	13.3 (2)	80 (12)	0	20 (3)
Hall-technique	60 (9)	0	20 (3)	20 (3)	53.3 (8)	26.7 (4)	20 (3)
Interim therapeutic restoration	40 (6)	6.7 (1)	53.3 (8)	0	86.7 (13)	0	13.3 (2)
Indirect pulp capping	0	0	100 (15)	0	93.3 (14)	0	6.7 (1)
Making carious lesion cleansable	20 (3)	13.3 (2)	40 (6)	26.7 (4)	66.7 (10)	6.7 (1)	26.7 (4)
Stepwise caries removal	20 (3)	0	73.3 (11)	6.7 (1)	93.3 (14)	6.7 (1)	0

‘both didactically and clinically’ in any undergraduate dental programme followed by the Hall technique and resin infiltration, which were taught by only two out of forty undergraduate dental programmes. A similar pattern was observed for PDSTPs with the same three approaches (i.e. SDF, Hall technique, and resin infiltration) being less commonly taught ‘both didactically and clinically’.

The cross-sectional nature of this study did not allow us to explore the underlying reasons for variation in adoption of minimally invasive techniques. We are, nevertheless, able to propose some likely scenarios as to why certain techniques such as SDF and the Hall technique were not incorporated in clinical setting. Given that SDF and the Hall technique were widely taught didactically, it is evident that these approaches are known to Iranian dental educators. However, when we queried the opinions of directors of dental programmes, it became apparent that these methods were largely deemed not ‘essential’. It can be argued that the lower popularity of these approaches in clinical setting reflects the lack of confidence in their effectiveness or safety among Iranian dental educators. This argument appears sensible given that the evidence for effectiveness and safety of these two approaches has fairly recently made available as compared to well-established approaches such as application of fluoride varnish and fissure sealants. On a different note, the decision to incorporate the clinical teaching of these interventions are influenced by external factors such as parental acceptance of the methods, their cost,

availability of materials in the market and the clinical competence of the educator. Future studies may explore the barriers for underutilising these techniques in Iran’s dental education.

The lower adoption of some techniques such SDF and Hall technique in Iranian dental education is in contrast with the increasing popularity of these techniques in the US dental education. Majority of US undergraduate dental programmes reported the teaching of SDF in 2018 [18]. The use of SDF in the clinical setting was reported by only a quarter of graduate dental programmes in the US in 2015 [19]; the rate which has increased to 100% in 2020 [20], most probably due to publication of guideline for the use of SDF by the American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry [21].

Hall technique is a relatively new technique of placing stainless steel crowns and biologically seals carious lesions in primary molars which pauses or slows down the progression of caries to allow the primary tooth exfoliate before causing pain [22]. Over the past decade, a wealth of evidence emerged to support its clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of Hall technique [7, 23–25].

SDF is becoming increasingly recognised as an appropriate method for arresting carious lesions and to prevent progression of cavitated caries. A recent umbrella review indicates that application of 38% SDF can successfully arrest non-cavitated carious lesions in enamel and dentin of primary teeth. It can also be used to manage extensive and non-restorable carious lesions without

pain/infection until tooth exfoliation occurs [6]. There has been an increasing interest to adopt this new method in dental practices in developed countries such as the UK [26] particularly given its relatively easy application [27].

Resin infiltration has recently gained more acceptance as a method of arresting and controlling proximal non-cavitated carious lesions extending radiographically up to the outer third of dentine [28]. A recent meta-analysis indicated the effectiveness of this approach in permanent teeth; nevertheless, no conclusive evidence exists to support resin infiltration application in primary teeth as well as its longevity [29].

This study highlighted a significant gap in the teaching of managing dental caries in Iranian dental education. This research showed that some of the contemporary; yet effective, methods of managing carious lesions such as SDF and Hall technique received little attention in both undergraduate programmes and PDSTPs. Given the magnitude of dental caries problem in Iran, revising the training of dental professionals to include these underutilised methods is fundamental to delivery of dental care in Iran. In Iran, general dental practitioners and specialist paediatric dentists largely remain the sole providers of dental care for children. Educating dental providers to use less invasive methods for managing dental caries not only improves clinical patient outcomes, but also may pave the way for better access to dental care within primary care due to reduced cost and time of the treatment.

In terms of global impacts, introducing MID for the management of dental caries can bring additional benefit to delivery of dental care in less affluent countries and LMICs. Conventional techniques, which rely on complete removal of affected tissues, may only be carried out by highly skilled workforces (i.e. dentists using advanced equipment). We, however, recognise that many LMICs face scarcity of trained dental professionals. For example, it is reported that 93% of WHO member states have less than 1 dentistry personnel per 1000 population [30]. Wider application of minimally invasive approaches, therefore, can be viewed as an opportunity for public health programmes in LMICs. In line with this, the 2016 Basic Package of Oral Care by the WHO Regional Office for Africa [10] advised the use of an established minimally invasive approach known as atraumatic restorative treatment (ART). More recently, the WHO guidance on the WHO implementation manual 'Ending Childhood Dental Caries' endorsed the use of minimally invasive techniques such as SDF and ART [31].

This study had a number of strengths and limitations. Participation of directors of programmes from all dental schools as well the excellent response rate of 100% in our survey was one of the strengths of this study. Prior to administering the questionnaire and in order to achieve the

best response rate, we introduced the project and sought advice from a number of nationally known dental leaders who had previously held positions in local and national dental associations. The informal briefing of our research project may have contributed to achieving the desirable response rate. One may also argue that the directors of programmes appreciated the relevance of this study and therefore were keen to participate. Further, due to the online survey that prohibited submission without completion of all questions, the returning questionnaires yielded no missing data. Several measures were also taken to ensure the appropriateness of the terminology used in this questionnaire. Although a number of languages are spoken in Iran, Farsi is the only official language of instruction; therefore, the questionnaires were administered in Farsi. To enhance the accuracy of translation, after selection of the interventions, we sought advice from specialist paediatric dentists to ensure that the terminology used in the questionnaire matches with those of translated paediatric dentistry textbooks being taught in Iran. This highlights one of the practical challenges of designing a research project in geographies and localities where the language of instruction is not English. This study had a number of limitations. Generally, there is a lack of agreement with regard to describing dental interventions as minimally invasive, which also applies to this study. This study collected data from directors of paediatric dentistry programmes. It is likely that some of the methods we questioned in this study are being taught in other parts of the curriculum such as restorative dentistry. We contemplated the idea of sending the questionnaire to directors of dental educations in each school to address this concern. Following the consultation with the local gatekeepers, however, this option was not pursued for pragmatic reasons and in order to ensure an acceptable response rate. Furthermore, small number of programmes reported teaching some methods 'clinically only'. While this may sound justifiable for PDSTPs, it is unclear to us how some undergraduate programmes may have taught some techniques clinically only without prior didactic background. Incorrect responses and lack of clarity could be other reasons explaining these unexpected findings. Finally, we used closed-ended questionnaire to investigate the perception of dental educators. Qualitative study design is needed to obtain an in-depth understanding of the barriers, which led to lower adoption of some management methods such as SDF; also to understand the variation in the perception of programme directors. Finally yet importantly, the minimally invasive interventions that we included in this questionnaire were collated after extensive consultation with the experts and following comprehensive review of relevant literature. Despite this, the arbitrary approach toward creating the list may be considered as a limitation.

Conclusion

Teaching of some evidence-based management methods of dental caries in children has been limited in Iran's dental education. Future studies may identify the barriers to adoption of these approaches.

Abbreviations

PDSTP: Paediatric Dentistry Specialty Training Programme; PRR: Preventive resin restoration; SDF: Silver diamine fluoride; MID: Minimal intervention dentistry; LMICs: Low and middle-income countries; AGPs: Aerosol generating procedures; dmft: Decayed, missing and filled (primary) teeth; ICC: International Caries Consensus Collaboration; ART: Atraumatic restorative treatment.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Research Institute for Dental Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Iran. Authors would like to thank Dr Mohammad Jafar Eghbal, Dr Jamileh Ghoddousi and Dr Alireza Sarraf Shirazi for their support and advice.

Authors' contributions

SM, SS and VR conceived the ideas; SM, SS and VR designed the study; SM and SS collected and analysed the data, SM, SS, LT and VR interpreted the findings; SM, LT and VR led the writing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

SM, SS and VR report funding from Dental Research Center, Research Institute of Dental Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, during the conduct of the study. LT received no funding for conducting this study. The funder of this study had no role/influence in the process of study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation of our results and writing the manuscript.

Declaration

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The questionnaire and methodology for this study were approved by the Research Ethics committee of the Research Institute for Dental Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Iran. Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to sending the questionnaire. All methods in this study were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Dental Research Center, Research Institute of Dental Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ²Dental Materials Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. ³School of Clinical Dentistry, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK. ⁴School of Dentistry, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK.

Received: 21 May 2021 Accepted: 20 July 2021

Published online: 23 July 2021

References

- Schwendicke F. Removing carious tissue: why and how? In: Schwendicke F, Frencken J, Innes N, editors. Caries excavation: evolution of treating cavitated carious lesions. Basel: Karger Medical and Scientific Publishers; 2018. p. 56–67.
- Innes N, Chu C, Fontana M, Lo E, Thomson W, Uribe S, et al. A century of change towards prevention and minimal intervention in cariology. *J Dent Res*. 2019;98(6):611–7.
- Schwendicke F, Frencken JE, Bjørndal L, Maltz M, Manton DJ, Ricketts D, et al. Managing carious lesions: consensus recommendations on carious tissue removal. *Adv Dent Res*. 2016;28(2):58–67.
- Li T, Zhai X, Song F, Zhu H. Selective versus non-selective removal for dental caries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Odontol Scand*. 2018;76(2):135–40.
- Mathias F, Cademartori M, Goettems M. Factors associated with children's perception of pain following dental treatment. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent*. 2020;21(1):137–43.
- Seifo N, Cassie H, Radford JR, Innes NP. Silver diamine fluoride for managing carious lesions: an umbrella review. *BMC Oral Health*. 2019;19(1):145.
- Innes NP, Clarkson JE, Douglas GV, Ryan V, Wilson N, Homer T, et al. Child caries management: a randomized controlled trial in dental practice. *J Dent Res*. 2020;99(1):36–43.
- Murray J, Vernazza C, Holmes R. Forty years of national surveys: an overview of children's dental health from 1973–2013. *Br Dent J*. 2015;219(6):281–5.
- Phantumvanit P, Makino Y, Ogawa H, Rugg-Gunn A, Moynihan P, Petersen PE, et al. WHO global consultation on public health intervention against early childhood caries. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2018;46(3):280–7.
- World Health Organization. Promoting Oral Health in Africa: Prevention and control of oral diseases and noma as part of essential noncommunicable disease interventions 2016. https://www.who.int/oral_health/publications/promoting-oral-health-africa/en/.
- Casamassimo PS, Townsend JA, Litch CS. Pediatric dentistry during and After COVID-19. *Pediatr Dent*. 2020;42(2):87–90.
- Kiadaliri AA, Hosseinpour R, Haghparast-Bidgoli H, Gerdtham U-G. Pure and social disparities in distribution of dentists: a cross-sectional province-based study in Iran. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2013;10(5):1882–94.
- Ghasemianpour M, Bakhshandeh S, Shirvani A, Emadi N, Samadzadeh H, Fatemi NM, et al. Dental caries experience and socio-economic status among Iranian children: a multilevel analysis. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1):1569.
- Innes N, Frencken JE, Bjørndal L, Maltz M, Manton DJ, Ricketts D, et al. Managing carious lesions: consensus recommendations on terminology. *Adv Dent Res*. 2016;28(2):49–57.
- Lynch CD, Frazier KB, McConnell RJ, Blum IR, Wilson NH. Minimally invasive management of dental caries: contemporary teaching of posterior resin-based composite placement in US and Canadian dental schools. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2011;142(6):612–20.
- Kateeb E, Warren J, Damiano P, Momany E, Kanellis M, Weber-Gasparoni K, Ansley T. Atraumatic Restorative Treatment (ART) in pediatric dentistry residency programs: a survey of program directors. *Pediatr Dent*. 2013;35(7):500–5.
- Kateeb ET, Warren JJ, Damiano P, Momany E, Kanellis M, Weber-Gasparoni K, Ansley T. Teaching atraumatic restorative treatment in US dental schools: a survey of predoctoral pediatric dentistry program directors. *J Dent Educ*. 2013;77(10):1306–14.
- Ngoc CN, Mehta R, Donovan TE, Ferreira Zandona AG. Teaching silver diamine fluoride in US dental schools' predoctoral curricula. *J Dent Educ*. 2018;82(12):1305–9.
- Nelson T, Scott JM, Crystal YO, Berg JH, Milgrom P. Silver diamine fluoride in pediatric dentistry training programs: survey of graduate program directors. *Pediatr Dent*. 2016;38(3):212–7.
- Crystal YO, Janal MN, Yim S, Nelson T. Teaching and utilization of silver diamine fluoride and Hall-style crowns in US pediatric dentistry residency programs. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2020;151(10):755–63.
- Crystal YO, Marghalani AA, Ureles SD, Wright JT, Sulyanto R, Divaris K, et al. Use of silver diamine fluoride for dental caries management in children and adolescents, including those with special health care needs. *Pediatr Dent*. 2017;39(5):135–45.

22. Innes N, Stirrups D, Evans D, Hall N, Leggate M. A novel technique using preformed metal crowns for managing carious primary molars in general practice—a retrospective analysis. *Br Dent J*. 2006;200(8):451–4.
23. Badar SB, Tabassum S, Khan FR, Ghafoor R. Effectiveness of hall technique for primary carious molars: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Pediatr Dent*. 2019;12(5):445–52.
24. Elamin F, Abdelazeem N, Salah I, Mirghani Y, Wong F. A randomized clinical trial comparing Hall vs conventional technique in placing preformed metal crowns from Sudan. *PLoS ONE*. 2019;14(6):e0217740.
25. Schwendicke F, Krois J, Robertson M, Splieth C, Santamaria R, Innes N. Cost-effectiveness of the hall technique in a randomized trial. *J Dent Res*. 2019;98(1):61–7.
26. Timms L, Sumner O, Deery C, Rogers HJ. Everyone else is using it, so why isn't the UK? Silver diamine fluoride for children and young people. *Community Dent Health*. 2020;37(2):143–9.
27. Seifo N, Cassie H, Radford J, Innes N. "It's really no more difficult than putting on fluoride varnish": a qualitative exploration of dental professionals' views of silver diamine fluoride for the management of carious lesions in children. *BMC Oral Health*. 2020;20(1):1–11.
28. Ntovas P, Rahiotis C. A clinical guideline for caries infiltration of proximal enamel lesions with resins. *Br Dent J*. 2018;225(4):299–304.
29. Chatzimarkou S, Koletsis D, Kavvadia K. The effect of resin infiltration on proximal caries lesions in primary and permanent teeth: a systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials. *J Dent*. 2018;77:8–17.
30. World Health Organization. Density of dentistry personnel (total number per 1000 population, latest available year). https://www.who.int/gho/health_workforce/dentistry_density_text/en/.
31. World Health Organization. Ending childhood dental caries: WHO implementation manual 2019. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/ending-childhood-dental-caries-who-implementation-manual>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

