

## Looking at knock-on effects

Easter, Christina; Hemming, Karla

DOI:

[10.1111/1471-0528.16701](https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.16701)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*

Easter, C & Hemming, K 2021, 'Looking at knock-on effects: univariable, multivariable linear regression', *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*, vol. 128, no. 11, pp. 1745-1747.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.16701>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

### General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

### Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

HOLOGIC®



# A Game Changer in Fluid Management

Enhanced control & efficiency for better patient care\*

Simple and easy to use, the Fluent® fluid management system works seamlessly with the integrated MyoSure® controller, together with the Omni™ hysteroscope, to streamline your workflow in any patient setting.

#FluentFluidControl



[www.gynsurgicalsolutions.com/Fluent](http://www.gynsurgicalsolutions.com/Fluent)

ADS-03112-EUR-EN Rev 001 © 2020 Hologic, Inc. All rights reserved. Hologic, Fluent, Myosure, Omni and associated logos are trademarks and/or registered trademarks of Hologic, Inc. and/or its subsidiaries in the United States and/or other countries. This information is intended for medical professionals and is not intended as a product solicitation or promotion where such activities are prohibited. Because Hologic materials are distributed through websites, eBroadcasts and tradeshows, it is not always possible to control where such materials appear. For specific information on what products are available for sale in a particular country, please contact your local Hologic representative or write to [euinfo@hologic.com](mailto:euinfo@hologic.com).

\* As compared to conventional fluid management systems currently on the market.

FLUENT®  
Fluid Management System



## Looking at knock-on effects: univariable, multivariable linear regression

CHRISTINA EASTER,  AND KARLA HEMMING, INSTITUTE OF APPLIED HEALTH RESEARCH, UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM, BIRMINGHAM, UK

BJOG  
PERSPECTIVES

BJOG

RESEARCH METHODS GUIDES  
Series edited by Karla Hemming  
and Natalie AM Cooper

### Learning points

- Linear regression is used to model the association between independent variables (predictors) and dependent variables (continuous outcomes).
- Multivariable linear regression is used to account for multiple characteristics.
- Coefficients represent an average increase/decrease in the outcome for each unit increase or change in category of the predictors.

Linear regression is used to describe the association between a continuous dependent variable of interest (outcome) and other patient characteristics or predictors (independent continuous, categorical or dichotomous variables). Fitting a linear regression model estimates a constant, predictor coefficients and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CI).

In a univariable analysis, only one independent variable is included in the model and the model shows the association between that variable and the outcome, without any consideration of other characteristics. In a multivariable analysis, more than one predictor is included in the model.

Multivariable models depict the relation between multiple independent variables and the outcome, allowing for the impact

of other characteristics. This is sometimes referred to as an adjusted association. For example, Waage et al. (2016) used multivariable linear regression to assess the association between ethnicity and other maternal characteristics (independent variables) with the outcome postpartum weight retention (dependent variable). Individual associations for each predictor and outcome have also been modelled (univariable analysis). Tables 1 and 2 give the coefficients and corresponding 95% CI for each independent variable for univariable and multivariable analysis, respectively. The constant (or intercept) tells us the expected outcome value when we set the categorical independent variables to the reference groups and set the continuous independent variables to zero. For example, the multivariable analysis (Table 2) constant tells us the estimated postpartum weight retention (the outcome) for a Western European (reference group) with an education level of  $\geq 12$  years (reference group) and a self-reported gestational weight gain (GWG) of 0 kg (set to zero, as this is a continuous variable) is 5.2 kg (Table 2).

For continuous independent variables, the coefficients estimate the average increase/decrease in the outcome for each one-unit increase of the variable. For self-reported gestational weight gain, a

continuous predictor, there is an average 0.51-kg increase in postpartum weight retention for every 1-kg increase in self-reported gestational weight gain. Thus, in Figure 1, the line shows an increase of 0.51 kg for every 1 kg increase in self-reported GWG. For categorical and binary independent variables, each category is compared with the reference category. For the binary predictor, educational level, the model estimates an average 2.0 kg (95% CI 1.2–2.8 kg) increase in postpartum weight retention for women with  $<12$  years in education compared with those with  $\geq 12$  years. For the categorical independent variable of ethnicity, we see that East Asian women on average had a 1.4 kg (95% CI  $-0.4$  kg to 3.2 kg) increase in postpartum weight retention compared with Western European women (the reference category). The association between ethnicity and weight gain retention can be seen in Figure 2. Multivariable linear regression is used when there is interest in multiple characteristics on the outcome. We interpret the coefficients (Table 2) in the same way, although acknowledging adjustment for other predictors in the model.


Figure 3 shows the modelled multivariable relation between the outcome (postpartum weight retention) and two of the considered characteristics (independent

Table 1. Univariable analysis results using linear regression for the outcome of postpartum weight retention (kg) (Waage et al. BJOG 123: 699–708)

Maternal characteristics	Coefficients	95% confidence interval
<b>Ethnicity</b>		
Western Europe	Reference value	
South Asia	2.80	1.80–3.80
Middle East	2.80	1.60–4.00
Africa	4.00	2.30–5.70
East Asia	1.40	$-0.40$ to 3.20
Eastern Europe	2.90	1.10–4.80
Self-reported gestational weight gain (kg)	0.51	0.50–0.60
<b>Education level</b>		
$\geq 12$ years	Reference value	
$<12$ years	2.00	1.20–2.80

**Table 2.** Simplified version of the results found using multivariable linear regression analysis for ethnicity, self-reported weight gain (kg) and education level (adjusted for age and parity) on postpartum weight retention (kg). The constant value was not reported in the paper and so for illustrative purposes a reasonable value of 5.2 will be used here (Waage et al. BJOG 123: 699–708)

Maternal characteristics	Coefficients	95% confidence interval
<b>Ethnicity</b>		
Western Europe	Reference value	
South Asia	2.80	1.90–3.60
Middle East	2.80	1.00–3.00
Africa	4.40	3.00–5.80
East Asia	0.91	–0.49 to 2.30
Eastern Europe	0.45	–1.00 to 1.90
Self-reported gestational weight gain (kg)	0.54	0.49–0.59
<b>Education level</b>		
≥12 years	Reference value	
<12 years	0.74	0.02–1.46



**Figure 1.** Linear relationship between postpartum weight retention (kg) and self-reported gestational weight gain (kg) using the univariable analysis coefficients; a reasonable constant value of 2.6 has been used for the purpose of this illustration, as the constant value was not reported in the paper.

variables), ethnicity and self-reported gestational weight gain.

#### Useful resources

A simple linear regression paper to aid understanding.

- <http://www.bmj.com/content/346/bmj.f2340>

Multivariable linear regression furthering understanding on interpretation.

- <http://www.bmj.com/content/349/bmj.g4887>

#### Acknowledgements

CATCH ME: This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 633196.

#### Disclosure of interests


None declared by CE. KH receives fees as a statistical reviewer for BJOG outside of this work. Completed disclosure of interest forms are available to view online as supporting information.

#### Data availability statement


Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

#### Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article. ■



**Figure 2.** Association between postpartum weight retention (kg) and ethnicity (Western Europe, South Asia, Middle East, Africa, East Asia and Eastern Europe) using the univariable analysis coefficients; a reasonable constant value of 2.6 has been used for the purpose of this illustration, as the constant value was not reported in the paper. The dashed line depicts the value of the reference category.



**Figure 3.** The association between self-reported gestational weight gain (kg), ethnicity and the outcome of postpartum weight retention (kg). Each line depicts the relation between self-reported gestational weight gain and postpartum weight retention for the corresponding ethnicity (Western Europe, South Asia, Middle East, Africa, East Asia and Eastern Europe). Using the results from the multivariable linear regression analysis (Table 2) and a reasonable constant value of 5.2, which has been used for the purpose of this illustration, as the constant value was not reported in the paper, adjusting for education level, age (years) and parity.