University of Birmingham

Age, BMI, and inflammation

Balter, Leonie JT; Raymond, Jane E.; Aldred, Sarah; Higgs, Suzanne; Bosch, Jos A.

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Age, BMI, and inflammation: associations with emotion recognition

Leonie JT Balter*[A] Jane E Raymond[B], Sarah Aldred[C], Suzanne Higgs[B] & Jos A Bosch[D]

[A] Stress Research Institute, Stockholm University, Stockholm, SE-10691

[B] School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

[C] School of Sport, Exercise, and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

[D] Psychology Department, Clinical Psychology, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, 1018 WT, NL

* Corresponding Author:

Stress Research Institute, Stockholm University

SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden

Email: leonie.balter@su.se

ABSTRACT

Experimental studies show that inflammation impairs the ability to interpret the mental state of another person, denoted theory of mind (ToM). The current study attempted a conceptual replication in states associated with elevated low-grade inflammation, i.e., high body weight and advanced age.

Ninety young (M = 26.3 years, SD = 4.1) or older (M = 70.7 years, SD = 4.0) participants with either a normal body mass index (BMI) (M = 22.4, SD = 2.2) or high BMI (M = 33.1, SD = 3.8) completed the Reading the Mind in the Eyes Test (RMET) to assess ToM. Plasma interleukin-6 (IL-6) level was measured to index low-grade inflammation.

As anticipated, elevated IL-6 levels were found with higher BMI, although not with increased age. IL-6 was associated with poorer task performance, independent of potential demographic and health confounders (e.g., sex, education, smoking status, alcohol intake, presence of illness symptoms, and medication intake). Analyses also revealed an interaction whereby young individuals with a high BMI showed worse RMET performance compared to their normal BMI counterparts, whereas the opposite pattern was found in older individuals.

The present observational study replicated experimental results showing that elevated low-grade inflammation is correlated with a lower ability to infer the mental states of others. These findings suggest that also naturalistic conditions of (protracted) low-grade inflammation may alter emotion recognition.

1. INTRODUCTION

Human and animal studies have identified acute inflammation as a powerful regulator of social behaviors [1]–[3]. Two recent studies showed that induced acute inflammation impaired the ability to recognize emotions expressed by others, a central component of social cognition denoted as Theory of Mind (ToM) [2], [3]. Impairment of emotion recognition is a transdiagnostic mechanism implicated in a number of mental health disorders, most notably depression [4], [5]. For example, impaired emotion recognition is thought to explain why depressed individuals tend to express more social difficulties and exhibit social withdrawal [4], [6]. Whether such inflammatory effects on emotion recognition are also observed in non-experimental, naturalistic conditions characterized by low-grade inflammation remains unanswered.

Protracted low-grade inflammation is seen among individuals with high BMI and in advanced age [7], [8]. In the former, inflammation is thought to emanate mainly from adipose cells and surrounding immune cells that produce copious amounts of inflammatory cytokines (Cancello & Clément, 2006). In aging, the sources of elevated inflammatory activity, denoted as "inflammaging", are more diffuse and may involve factors such as oxidative stress, immunosenescence (i.e., age-related immune impairment), hormonal changes, and the gradual surge of inflammatory conditions such as atherosclerosis [10]–[12]. Age and BMI are therefore relatively independent determinants of low-grade inflammation. Considering that these states are rather stable (i.e., do not change from one week to the other), these factors may be utilized to study the relationship between emotion recognition and persistent low-grade inflammation.

High BMI and older age are associated with lower performance across multiple cognitive domains [13]–[18]. However, only a handful of studies have addressed the combined effects of BMI and age on cognitive functions, and none assessed emotion recognition. These isolated studies show both additive and synergistic effects, whereby obesity-related cognitive deficits are independent of age [19]–[22] or appear amplified with increased age [22]. Some reports also indicate a possible protective effect whereby the impairments associated with high BMI

disappear or reverse with increasing age; a phenomenon referred to as the "obesity paradox". For example older adults with a relatively higher BMI exhibit less decline in visuospatial skills [23]–[26]. In a similar vein, poorer memory recall performance over 12 years was predicted by a decline in BMI during that period [27], and low BMI and weight loss in older age precede the onset of dementia [28]–[30]. Together these data suggest that in older age a lower BMI, rather than higher BMI, may be a risk factor for cognitive decline. However, age-dependent BMI effects on social cognition, and more specifically emotion recognition, have remained unexplored.

It is expected that both aging and obesity are linked to alterations in emotion recognition, although this may not apply to all emotions equally. E.g., recognition of disgust seems to be preserved with age whereas the emotions anger and sadness are particularly affected [31], [32]. A meta-analysis of emotion processing studies demonstrated that individuals with obesity have lower levels of emotional awareness and difficulty in using emotion regulation strategies [33]. The evidence for an impaired ability to recognize emotions appeared inconsistent [33]. However, this meta-analysis included only two studies that assessed emotion recognition. Of these two studies, one study reported no difference comparing women with obesity and normal-weight women [34], while the other study reported impairment among women with obesity [35]. Moreover, these inconclusive findings were based on studies with young to middle-aged individuals (between 28 and 49 years).

Therefore, the aim of the present cross-sectional study was twofold. First, to assess the association of BMI- and age-related low-grade inflammation (as measured by IL-6) with emotion recognition. Second, to determine if age and BMI show independent or interactive associations with emotion recognition.

2. METHOD

2.1 Participants

Ninety participants (60% female) were recruited through a database held by the University of Birmingham and via (online) advertisement. Inclusion criteria included an age

95   between 21 and 35 years ('young'; M = 26.3, SD = 4.1) or between 63 and 80 years ('older';

96   M = 70.7, SD = 4.0) and a BMI between 17 and 25 ('normal BMI'; M = 22.4, SD = 2.2) or

97   greater than 27 ('high BMI'; M = 33.1, SD = 3.8). Height and body weight were confirmed in

98   the laboratory. One participant had a BMI of 26.3 and thus failed to meet the BMI criterion for

99   either the normal BMI or the high BMI group and was therefore removed from all analyses.

100   Excluded were individuals who reported a history of gastric banding, eating disorders,

101   neurological or inflammatory disorders (e.g., rheumatoid arthritis, inflammatory bowel disease,

102   multiple sclerosis, periodontitis) or use of anti-depressant, anti-histamine, or anti-inflammatory

103   (e.g., antibiotics) medication during the past 7 days were excluded. Participants reported

104   normal or corrected-to-normal vision and stable body weight for at least six months (i.e.,

105   fluctuations < 7.5 kg for individuals with high BMI, < 5 kg for normal BMI individuals). The

106   participants were paid a maximum of £25 to reimburse travel expenses. The study was

107   conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all

108   procedures were approved by the University of Birmingham Research Ethics Committee.

109   *2.2 Procedures*

110   Test sessions started throughout the day between 8:30 and 15:30 hours. Start times of

111   test sessions were matched across the four groups to control for diurnal variations in IL-6 [36].

112   Written informed consent was obtained on arrival. Participants were instructed to have their

113   breakfast/lunch as usual but avoid consumption of high-fat products (e.g., bacon, fries),

114   because these foods may induce a short-lived inflammatory response [37], and to refrain from

115   eating, drinking (except for water), and smoking for 1 hour before the start of the test session.

116   Participants were also asked not to engage in strenuous physical exercise or consume alcohol

117   within 12 hours before the test session, and reschedule their appointment if they had

118   suspected infection symptoms on the day of testing. Self-reports were used to verify whether

119   participants had complied with instructions. A blood sample was taken by venipuncture and

120   questionnaires and cognitive tests were completed, including the reading the mind in the eyes

121   test (see further below). Other tests included measures of attention and psychomotor speed

122 (published elsewhere [21]), memory, and reinforcement learning. The order in which the tasks

123 were administered was fixed and the same across all the participants. Lastly, a measure of

124 height and body composition was taken.

125
126 **3. MATERIALS**

127 *3.1 Reading the Mind in the Eyes test*

128 The reading the mind in the eyes test (RMET) is considered an advanced test of theory

129 of mind involving mental state attribution and complex emotion recognition from photographs

130 of the eye region of the face [38], [39].

131 *3.1.1 Procedure*

132 The test display comprised a test eye image and four words placed in the center of the

133 screen. The participant was instructed to select the word that best described what the person

134 in the test image was thinking or feeling by pressing one of four computer keys (Q, P, A, L)

135 that spatially corresponded to the position of each word. The correct (target) word had the

136 same emotional valence as the accompanying three foil words. For example, the target word,

137 'panicked', was accompanied by 'arrogant', 'jealous' and 'hateful'. Target words were equally

138 likely to appear in one of the four word locations on the screen. Each test display remained

139 visible until a key response was made; the text test display was immediately presented

140 thereafter. The test consisted of 36 different images, completed as one set. In line with

141 previous studies, a glossary containing a definition of each word was available to the

142 participant.

143 *3.1.2 Stimuli*

144 A grey-scale digital image (subtending 9 x° X 3.6 y° of visual angle) of the eye region

145 of a face (including eyes and eyebrows) was presented in the middle of a grey field on a

146 computer monitor. Four words describing mental states accompanied each test stimulus,

147 presented in black Arial font (subtending 2.6 x° X 0.7 x° of visual angle).

148   *3.2 Blood sampling*

149   Blood (6 mL) was collected from an antecubital vein in the forearm into one vacutainer

150   containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) as anticoagulant (Becton Dickinson

151   Diagnostics, Oxford, United Kingdom). Samples were immediately centrifuged at 1500 x g for

152   10 min at 4 °C and plasma was aliquoted and stored at -80 °C for later assessment of

153   interleukin-6 (IL-6), a marker of system low-grade inflammation. Plasma level of IL-6 was

154   measured in duplicate using high-sensitivity enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

155   (Quantikine HS Human IL-6 ELISA, R&D Systems, UK) in accordance with the manufacturer's

156   instructions. The limits of detection of this assay was 0.11 pg/mL, with intra- and inter-assay

157   coefficients of variation (CVs) of 0.69-11.6%.

158   *3.3 Anthropomorphic Measures*

159   Participants were asked to remove footwear and coats and empty their pockets before

160   a body composition measurement was taken using a TANITA BC-545N body composition

161   analyzer (Tanita Europe, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). A stadiometer was used to measure

162   height.

163   *3.4 Questionnaires*

164   To adjust for potential confounding factors, participants completed questionnaires

165   about illness symptoms (modified version of self-administered comorbidity questionnaire

166   (SCQ); Sangha, Stucki, Liang, Fossel, & Katz, 2003), sleep quality (Pittsburgh Sleep Quality

167   Index (PSQI); Carpenter & Andrykowski, 1998), medication intake (number of medications),

168   and demographic variables (i.e., age, sex, occupation status, education, smoking status

169   (current smoker, ex-smoker, non-smoker), alcohol intake (units per week)).

170
171   **4.   STATISTICAL ANALYSES**

172   Data from participants who disproportionally biased mean estimates were removed

173   using Cook's Distance and data points that exceeded 3 *SDs* from means (*N* = 2, young high

174   BMI individuals with an average accuracy < 30%).

175   For IL-6 analysis, log transformation was applied because of the skewed distribution of

176   raw IL-6 values. There were six missing values (five young high BMI and one older high BMI

177   participant). Outliers (values > 3 *SDs* from group means) were removed (*n* = 3, 2 = older normal

178   BMI, 1 = young high BMI). The IL-6 results are also published elsewhere [21] with slight

179   deviations due to missing data and test-specific exclusion criteria.

180   To assess a relationship between low-grade inflammation and RMET performance

181   initial correlation analysis was performed. Multiple linear regression analysis was conducted

182   to assess whether a significant correlation between low-grade inflammation and RMET

183   performance was independent of age, BMI, time of day, demographic-, lifestyle- and health-

184   factors, using: 1) an unadjusted model with IL-6, and 2) an adjusted model correcting for age,

185   BMI, demographic-, lifestyle- and health-variables (i.e., illness symptoms, smoking, alcohol

186   intake, sleep quality, medication intake, sex, education level) previously shown to be

187   associated with inflammation and/or cognitive function. The results of the multiple regression

188   models are presented as standardized coefficient estimates (β), *t*-values, and 95% confidence

189   intervals. In the event of a significant relationship between inflammation and overall RMET

190   performance, mediation analysis was conducted. Variables were *Z*-transformed before linear

191   regression and mediation analysis was conducting.

192   To assess possible additive and/or interactive effects of age and BMI on performance

193   on the RMET, the percentage of total correct responses was calculated and Age group (young,

194   older) and BMI group (normal BMI, high BMI) were entered as between-subject factors in an

195   analysis of variance (ANOVA). To assess the effect of emotional valence, percentage correct

196   was calculated for each emotional valence (positive, neutral, and negative expressions) [42],

197   which was entered in a mixed model ANOVA. Previous studies reported sex differences in

198   emotion recognition [43]–[46] but because the study was not designed to assess sex-

199   dependent effects, sex was included as a covariate rather than a between-subjects factor (see

200   Supplementary Materials 7.1 for exploratory analysis including sex as a between-subjects

201   factor).

202   Alpha values were set at .05 throughout. For all analyses where appropriate, Levene's

203   test of Equality of Variances and Mauchly's Test of Sphericity were used to test for assumption

204   violations; adjustments were made as needed using the Greenhouse-Geisser correction.

205   Bonferroni corrections were applied to post-hoc pairwise comparisons (two-tailed unless

206   stated otherwise) to control for Type I error rate. The PROCESS macro (Hayes, 2013) was

207   used to test possible mediation effects of IL-6 (Model 4 with 5000 bootstrap samples). In

208   addition to traditional null hypothesis significance testing, Bayes factors were calculated using

209   Bayesian ANOVAs, t-tests, and correlational analyses using default prior probabilities (see

210   [48]) for guidelines on the interpretation of Bayes factor). All statistical analyses were

211   conducted using SPSS v.24.0 (IBM-SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and JASP version 0.13.

212

213 **5. RESULTS**

214 *5.1 BMI, age, and inflammation*

215 Table 1 presents summary statistics of the included participants. The four groups did

216 not differ in sex composition, age (i.e., between the two BMI groups), or BMI (i.e., between age

217 groups). The IL-6 analysis and an extended table of descriptive statistics are also published

218 elsewhere [21]. ANOVAs showed higher IL-6 levels in the high versus normal BMI group (F(1,

219 78) = 31.30, p < .001, η_p^2 = .29, BF_{10} = 39511.14), and higher IL-6 levels in the older versus

220 young group (F(1, 78) = 3.62, p = .061, η_p^2 = .04, BF_{10} = 1.10) although the latter was non-

221 significant. There was no evidence for an age x BMI group interaction (F(1, 76) = 2.38, p =

222 .127, η_p^2 = .03; BF_{10} = 1.33).

223

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of participant characteristics. Numbers in parenthesis indicate SD; ♦ indicates a significant main effect of age group, • indicates a significant main effect of BMI group.

	Young Normal BMI	Young High BMI	Older Normal BMI	Older High BMI
N	20	20	21	26
Age (years)				
Mean♦	25	28	72	70
Range	21 – 32	21 – 35	66 – 79	63 – 76
Sex (*n* Female)	11	14	13	15
IL-6 (pg/ml)•	1.04 ± 0.44	2.40 ± 1.24	1.67 ± 1.36	2.35 ± 1.03
Range	0.34 –2.10	1.12 – 5.61	0.43 – 6.54	1.09 – 5.99
Weight Status				
BMI (kg/m^2)•	21.7 ± 2.5	33.1 ± 3.4	23.0 ± 1.7	32.5 ± 3.8
Body fat %				
Females•	27.9 ± 3.9	45.9 ± 4.7	33.3 ± 5.6	44.9 ± 4.8
Males•	15.7 ± 4.4	27.6 ± 3.8	22.4 ± 5.0	31.8 ± 4.9
Number of illness symptoms (SCQ)♦	0.95 ± 1.3	1.3 ± 1.9	3.0 ± 2.3	5.1 ± 3.2

5.2 Inflammation and emotion recognition

As can be seen in Figure 1, mean performance on the RMET (averaged across valence) was significantly negatively correlated with IL-6 ($r(80)$ = -.279, p = .012, BF_{10} = 3.06). Multiple regression analysis confirmed that IL-6 remained a significant predictor of mean RMET performance when adjusting for age and BMI group, time of day, and demographic-, lifestyle- and health-variables (adjusted model) (see Table 2).

Repeating the regression analysis with age and BMI as a continuous factor produced similar results (see Supplementary Materials Table S1). Inflammation had no mediating role in the relationship between inflammation and emotion recognition (results reported in Supplementary Materials 7.2).

Table 2. Multiple regression analysis (N = 87) of the relationship between inflammation (IL-6) and overall emotion recognition (model 1) adjusted for influences of age, BMI, time of day, and health- and demographic variables (adjusted model). Age group: 1 = young, 2 = older; BMI group: 1 = low BMI, 2 = high BMI; Sex: 1 = Female; 2 = Male; Smoke: 0 = never, 1 = ex-smoker, 2 = smoker; Alcohol intake in units; Illness symptoms = number of symptoms; Sleep quality: higher score is indicative of lower quality of sleep; Medication intake = number of medications; *** $p < .001$, ** $p < .01$, * $p < .05$.

| | Overall emotion recognition | | | |
	Model R^2 = .08*			
	Adjusted model R^2 = .25*			
			95% CI	
	β	t	Lower	Upper
Model 1				
IL-6	-.280*	-2.558	-.484	-.060
Adjusted model				
IL-6	-.375**	-2.902	-.616	-.114
Age group	.007	0.049	-.258	.271
BMI group	.070	0.530	-.185	.319
Sex	-.132	-1.089	-.353	.104
Education	.087	0.715	-.159	.337
Smoking	.142	1.164	-.095	.361
Alcohol	-.102	-0.787	-.334	.145
Illness symptoms	.435*	2.304	.060	.834
Sleep quality	.045	0.383	-.189	.279
Medication intake	-.201	-1.144	-.549	.149
Time of day	-.034	-0.308	-.239	.175

5.3 Age-dependent BMI effects on emotion recognition

As can be seen in Figure 2a, an age x BMI group interaction ($F(1, 82)$ = 12.01, $p <$ .001, η_p^2 = .13, BF_{10} = 45.61) showed that young individuals with a high BMI (M = 62.7%, SE = 2.6%) performed worse than their normal BMI counterparts (M = 73.3%, SE = 2.6%) (controlling for sex) ($t(38)$ = 2.74, p = .010, d = 0.85, BF_{10} = 5.27). In contrast, in the older group, a main effect of BMI group indicated that individuals with a high BMI (M = 73.1%, SE = 2.3%) outperformed those with a normal BMI (M = 66.1%, SE = 2.6%) (controlling for sex)

266   (t(45) = -2.07, p = .044, d = -0.60, BF_{10} = 1.65). Moreover, additionally adjusting for health

267   symptoms and time of day (age x BMI interaction F(1, 80) = 9.26, p = .003, η_p^2 = .10) produced

268   similar results. Using age- and sex-adjusted body fat percentages (see [49]) instead of BMI to

269   define weight-groups did not yield a different pattern of results. RMET accuracy of young

270   individuals with a body fat percentage indicative of overweight groups performed 11.1% worse

271   (SE = 3.8%) as compared to their leaner counterparts. In older individuals, those with

272   overweight body fat percentages performed 2.5% better (SE = 3.8%) as compared to their

273   leaner counterparts.

274   Stimuli selected on valence (negative, neutral, positive) showed that performance was

275   best on positive expressions (M = 78.2%, SE = 1.9%), followed by neutral (M = 69.4%, SE =

276   1.6%) and negative expressions (M = 63.5%, SE = 1.9%), with significant differences between

277   all three valence types (F(2, 166) = 24.92, p < .001, η_p^2 = .23, BF_{10} = 3.735e+7). As shown in

278   Figure 2b, the data provided no evidence for an age or BMI group x valence interaction (F's <

279   1, BF_{10} = 0.11 and BF_{10} = 0.14, respectively).

280

Figure 1. Scatterplot depicting individual accuracy scores and IL-6 levels. For each subgroup linear regression lines are fitted. Please note that the y-axis starts at chance level (i.e., 25% accuracy).

Figure 2. Individual (dots) and group mean (horizontal bars) accuracy scores for RMET total score (A), and group means for each emotional valence for each BMI and Age group (B); Errors bars indicate standard error of the mean. Please note that the y-axes start at 25% accuracy (i.e., chance level).

6. DISCUSSION

The present study examined the relationship between inflammation and emotion recognition. As expected, BMI was linked to elevated inflammatory activity, assessed as plasma IL-6. The expected positive association with age was non-significant. In line with earlier experimental research [2], [3], low-grade inflammation correlated with impaired performance on the RMET. This association withstood full adjustment for demographic-, lifestyle- and health-variables. The direct effects of BMI appeared non-linear however, whereby young individuals with a high BMI performed worse on the RMET than their normal BMI counterparts, whereas the reverse was observed for older adults. Analyses could not confirm a mediating role of IL-6 in these group differences, although this may reflect low statistical power.

The current results are consistent with the hypothesis that low-grade inflammation in a non-experimental setting may be a biobehavioral pathway linked to impaired emotion recognition, and further may be taken to suggest that 'normal' variations in inflammatory activity within relatively healthy populations may be associated with impaired emotion recognition. The fact that the prevalence of overweight and obesity has reached epidemic proportions worldwide [50], and the majority of these individuals likely have elevated levels of inflammatory activity, adds relevance to this notion. Being less sensitive to such social cues may have direct consequences for the dynamics of social interactions. The present findings are also consistent with preliminary evidence that high BMI exerts negative effects on emotion recognition, which some studies have already established in children and adolescents [51]–[53] but also [54].

Evidence of an 'obesity paradox' is mostly limited to studies using global measures of cognition, such as the Mini Mental State Examination Test, and focused on so-called 'cold' cognitions (e.g., memory, attention) [24]–[26], [55]. The current study is the first to extend these observations to cognitive processes that have social-affective components (i.e., so-called 'hot' cognitions).

At present, it remains unclear how or under what conditions older individuals may be spared for BMI-related cognitive deficits [56]. For that reason, some have attributed this

355 "obesity paradox" to methodological issues. Several methodological limitations may indeed

356 apply to the current analyses also. It has been argued that BMI may not represent a reliable

357 index of adiposity in older individuals, because in aging adipose tissue increase is often without

358 weight gain due to a parallel decrease in lean body mass (e.g., muscle mass) [57]. However,

359 when the current analyses replaced BMI by using age- and sex-adjusted body fat percentages

360 to define weight-groups (see [49]), analyses did not yield a different pattern of results (see

361 Results sections). Related to this are findings that patients with cardiovascular disease who

362 have abdominal obesity in combination with a low BMI, are at higher risk of mortality,

363 suggesting that the fat distribution plays an important role in BMI-health relationships [58], [59].

364 A second caveat is potential of selection bias; i.e., it cannot be excluded that the older high

365 BMI group recruited in the present study may represent a healthier subsample of high BMI

366 adults than in the general population, because heavier individuals may have experienced more

367 overweight-related diseases that prevented them from taking part in research (i.e., those

368 available for research are a relatively healthy subsample) [60]. However, no stringent health-

369 related exclusion criteria were applied in the current study, and accordingly the older high BMI

370 group indeed reported significantly higher levels of illness symptoms (see Table 1). Moreover,

371 adjusting for illness symptoms did not alter the pattern of results. Thirdly, while there are links

372 between obesity and depression in middle-aged and older adults [61], [62], there are also

373 reports suggesting that depression in older age is associated with weight loss rather than with

374 obesity [63], [64]. These data suggest that a lower BMI in older age may be a risk factor for

375 disturbances in emotional processes. To reduce potential influences of age-related weight

376 loss, stable body weight for at least six months prior to study enrollment was an inclusion

377 criterion in the current study. However, whether weight loss may have occurred before this six

378 month period cannot be ruled out. A further limitation is the moderate sample size and null-

379 findings, including the lack of mediation, should thus be interpreted with some caution.

380 Notwithstanding, to address these potential issues, future research should strive for larger and

381 representative samples. Lastly, the assessment of IL-6 primarily acted as an inflammation

382 check, i.e., a correlate/marker of inflammation, and no causal assumptions about the role of

383 IL-6 in the observed effects can be made. Another inflammatory factor or process

384 mechanistically linked to inflammation may be the causal factor.

385   In summary, the present observational study aimed to replicate experimental human

386 results showing that elevated low-grade inflammation is negatively related to emotion

387 recognition. In young participants, higher BMI was associated with poorer emotion recognition

388 whereas the opposite was observed for older participants. However, these relationships did

389 not appear to be mediated by IL-6. Protracted low-grade inflammation, in otherwise

390 predominantly healthy individuals, may thus present a biobehavioral pathway influencing

391 emotion recognition across age and body weight categories. A possible protective effect of

392 high-body weight in older individuals warrants further scrutiny.

393

7. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Table S1. Multiple regression analysis for the relationship between IL-6 and RMET accuracy with age and BMI as continuous predictors instead of groups (see Table 2 for the same regression analysis with age and BMI groups). Statistical significance is indicated as follows; * $p <$ .05, ** $p <$ .01, *** $p <$ .001.

	Model R^2 = .05*			
	Adjusted model R^2 = .27*			
			95% CI	
	β	t	Lower	Upper
Model 1				
IL-6	-.280*	-2.558	-.484	-.060
Adjusted model				
IL-6	-.304*	-2.191	-.565	-.026
Age	-.074	-0.531	-.334	.194
BMI	-.053	-0.383	-.322	.218
Sex	-.141	-1.153	-.364	.097
Education	.068	0.566	-.176	.315
Smoking	.142	1.142	-.099	.364
Alcohol	-.084	-0.659	-.314	.158
Illness symptoms	.492*	2.624	.121	.890
Sleep quality	.043	-0.367	-.191	.278
Medication intake	-.200	-1.141	-.549	.150
Time of day	-.044	-0.407	-.248	.164

7.1 Sex-dependent effects BMI on emotion recognition

In light of prior research showing sex effects on emotion recognition, exploratory analyses were performed, confirming a significant effect of sex (males: M = 64.8%, SE = 2.0%; females: M = 71.2%, SE = 1.6%) ($F_{(1, 79)}$ = 6.27, p = .014, η_p^2 = .06; BF_{10} = 1.28), and therefore analyses included sex as a covariate. A BMI group x Age group x sex interaction ($F_{(1, 79)}$ = 4.02, p = .048, η_p^2 = .04) indicated that the reduced emotion recognition in young

414 individuals with a high BMI was driven by lower performance of males ($M = 53.2\%$, $SE = 5.6\%$)

415 (Females: $M = 68.4\%$, $SE = 4.6\%$) ($F(1, 36) = 5.14$, $p = .029$, $\eta_p^2 = .13$; $BF_{10} = 3.08$). Sex had

416 no significant effect in the older group ($F(1, 43) = 3.12$, $p = .085$, $\eta_p^2 = .07$; $BF_{10} = 0.81$) and

417 also no interaction of sex x BMI group was evident in the older group ($F < 1$; $BF_{10} = 0.41$).

7.2 Mediation analysis results

419 A mediating variable is a variable that is part of the pathway by which an independent

420 variable affects a dependent variable. The main requirement for mediation is that the *indirect*

421 *effect* of the independent variable (e.g., BMI) through the mediator (e.g., IL-6) on the

422 dependent variable (e.g., accuracy) is significant. Mediation indirect effects can be interpreted

423 as the strength of the relationship between the independent variable (BMI) and dependent

424 variable (accuracy) when accounting for the mediating pathway (IL-6) [65]. Variables were *Z*-

425 transformed before analysis yielding standardized regression coefficients.

7.2.1 Reading the Mind in the Eyes Test (overall accuracy)

427 *Young age groups*. BMI in the young group (controlling for sex) was associated with

428 higher IL-6 ($\beta = .713$, 95% CI = .480 .947, $p < .001$) and lower RMET accuracy ($\beta = -.416$, 95%

429 CI = -.723 -.109, $p = .009$). IL-6 was not significantly associated with RMET accuracy when

430 adjusting for BMI and sex ($\beta = -.202$, 95% CI = -.671, .266, $p = .386$) and BMI was not a

431 significant predictor of accuracy when adjusting for IL-6 and sex ($\beta = -.272$, 95% CI = -.726,

432 .183, $p = .232$). The indirect effect of IL-6 on the association between BMI and performance

433 was not significant ($\beta = -.144$, 95% CI = -.454, .215), suggesting that IL-6 did not have a

434 mediating role.

435 *Older age groups*. BMI in the older group (controlling for sex) was associated with

436 higher IL-6 ($\beta = .475$, 95% CI = .190 .760, $p = .002$). RMET accuracy was not significantly

437 associated with BMI ($\beta = -.136$, 95% CI = -.169 -.442, $p = .373$). IL-6 was not significantly

438 associated with RMET accuracy when adjusting for BMI and sex ($\beta = -.304$, 95% CI = -.632,

439 .025, $p = .069$) and BMI was not a significant predictor of accuracy when adjusting for IL-6 and

440 sex ($\beta = .281$, 95% CI = -.055, .616, $p = .099$). The indirect effect of IL-6 on the association

441   between BMI and performance was not significant (β = -.144, 95% CI = -.345, .074), suggesting

442   that IL-6 did not have a mediating role.

443

452   **REFERENCES**

453   [1]   N. I. Eisenberger, M. Moieni, T. K. Inagaki, K. A. Muscatell, and M. R. Irwin, "In Sickness and in
454   Health: The Co-Regulation of Inflammation and Social Behavior," *Neuropsychopharmacology*,
455   vol. 42, no. 1. pp. 242–253, 2017.
456   [2]   L. J. T. Balter *et al.*, "Low-grade inflammation decreases emotion recognition – Evidence from
457   the vaccination model of inflammation," *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 2018.
458   [3]   M. Moieni, M. R. Irwin, I. Jevtic, E. C. Breen, and N. I. Eisenberger, "Inflammation impairs
459   social cognitive processing: A randomized controlled trial of endotoxin.," *Brain. Behav. Immun.*,
460   vol. 48, pp. 132–138, 2015.
461   [4]   E. Bora and M. Berk, "Theory of mind in major depressive disorder: A meta-analysis," *Journal
462   of Affective Disorders*, vol. 191. pp. 49–55, 2016.
463   [5]   M. N. Dalili, I. S. Penton-Voak, C. J. Harmer, and M. R. Munafò, "Meta-analysis of emotion
464   recognition deficits in major depressive disorder," *Psychological Medicine*. 2015.
465   [6]   R. M. Hirschfeld *et al.*, "Social functioning in depression: a review.," *J. Clin. Psychiatry*, vol. 61,
466   no. 4, pp. 268–75, 2000.
467   [7]   L. Capuron, S. Geisler, K. Kurz, F. Leblhuber, B. Sperner-Unterweger, and D. Fuchs,
468   "Activated Immune System and Inflammation in Healthy Ageing: Relevance for Tryptophan and
469   Neopterin Metabolism.," *Curr. Pharm. Des.*, p. 24641220, 2014.
470   [8]   R. C. Shelton and A. H. Miller, "Eating ourselves to death (and despair): The contribution of
471   adiposity and inflammation to depression," *Progress in Neurobiology*. 2010.
472   [9]   R. Cancello and K. Clément, "Is obesity an inflammatory illness? Role of low-grade
473   inflammation and macrophage infiltration in human white adipose tissue," *BJOG: An
474   International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, vol. 113, no. 10. pp. 1141–1147, 2006.
475   [10]   H. Y. Chung *et al.*, "Molecular inflammation: Underpinnings of aging and age-related diseases,"
476   *Ageing Research Reviews*. 2009.
477   [11]   C. Franceschi *et al.*, "Inflammaging and anti-inflammaging: A systemic perspective on aging
478   and longevity emerged from studies in humans," *Mech. Ageing Dev.*, 2007.
479   [12]   D. Baylis, D. B. Bartlett, H. P. Patel, and H. C. Roberts, "Understanding how we age: insights
480   into inflammaging," *Longev. Heal.*, 2013.
481   [13]   G. Coppin, S. Nolan-Poupart, M. Jones-Gotman, and D. M. Small, "Working memory and
482   reward association learning impairments in obesity.," *Neuropsychologia*, vol. 65, pp. 146–55,
483   2014.
484   [14]   A. B. Fagundo *et al.*, "Executive functions profile in extreme eating/weight conditions: From
485   Anorexia Nervosa to Obesity," *PLoS One*, 2012.
486   [15]   E. Glisky, "Changes in Cognitive Function in Human Aging," in *Brain aging: Models, methods,
487   and mechanisms*, 2007.
488   [16]   J. Gunstad, R. H. Paul, R. A. Cohen, D. F. Tate, and E. Gordon, "Obesity is associated with
489   memory deficits in young and middle-aged adults," *Eat. Weight Disord.*, 2006.
490   [17]   S. Higgs and M. S. Spetter, "Cognitive Control of Eating: the Role of Memory in Appetite and
491   Weight Gain," *Current obesity reports*. 2018.
492   [18]   C. Prickett, L. Brennan, and R. Stolwyk, "Examining the relationship between obesity and
493   cognitive function: a systematic literature review.," *Obes. Res. Clin. Pract.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp.
494   93–113, 2015.
495   [19]   J. Gunstad *et al.*, "C-reactive protein, but not homocysteine, is related to cognitive dysfunction

496   in older adults with cardiovascular disease.," *J. Clin. Neurosci.*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 540–6, 2006.

497   [20]   J. Gunstad, R. H. Paul, R. A. Cohen, D. F. Tate, M. B. Spitznagel, and E. Gordon, "Elevated
498   body mass index is associated with executive dysfunction in otherwise healthy adults," *Compr.*
499   *Psychiatry*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 57–61, 2007.

500   [21]   L. J. T. Balter, S. Higgs, S. Aldred, J. A. Bosch, and J. E. Raymond, "Inflammation Mediates
501   Body Weight and Ageing Effects on Psychomotor Slowing," *Sci. Rep.*, 2019.

502   [22]   K. M. Stanek *et al.*, "Body mass index and neurocognitive functioning across the adult
503   lifespan," *Neuropsychology*, 2013.

504   [23]   J. Gunstad, A. Lhotsky, C. R. Wendell, L. Ferrucci, and A. B. Zonderman, "Longitudinal
505   examination of obesity and cognitive function: Results from the baltimore longitudinal study of
506   aging," *Neuroepidemiology*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 222–229, 2010.

507   [24]   H. K. Kuo *et al.*, "Cognitive function in normal-weight, overweight, and obese older adults: An
508   analysis of the advanced cognitive training for independent and vital elderly cohort," *J. Am.*
509   *Geriatr. Soc.*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 97–103, 2006.

510   [25]   H. M. Noh *et al.*, "Relationships between cognitive function and body composition among
511   community-dwelling older adults: A cross-sectional study," *BMC Geriatr.*, 2017.

512   [26]   D. H. Yoon, S. H. Choi, J. H. Yu, J. H. Ha, S. H. Ryu, and D. H. Park, "The relationship
513   between visceral adiposity and cognitive performance in older adults," *Age Ageing*, 2012.

514   [27]   C. K. Suemoto, P. Gilsanz, E. R. Mayeda, and M. M. Glymour, "Body mass index and cognitive
515   function: The potential for reverse causation," *Int. J. Obes.*, 2015.

516   [28]   D. S. Knopman, S. D. Edland, R. H. Cha, R. C. Petersen, and W. A. Rocca, "Incident dementia
517   in women is preceded by weight loss by at least a decade," *Neurology*, 2007.

518   [29]   T. Sobów, W. Fendler, and R. Magierski, "Body mass index and mild cognitive impairment-to-
519   dementia progression in 24 months: A prospective study," *European Journal of Clinical*
520   *Nutrition*. 2014.

521   [30]   E. Pedditizi, R. Peters, and N. Beckett, "The risk of overweight/obesity in mid-life and late life
522   for the development of dementia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal
523   studies," *Age Ageing*, 2016.

524   [31]   T. Ruffman, J. D. Henry, V. Livingstone, and L. H. Phillips, "A meta-analytic review of emotion
525   recognition and aging: Implications for neuropsychological models of aging," *Neuroscience and*
526   *Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2008.

527   [32]   S. Sullivan and T. Ruffman, "Emotion recognition deficits in the elderly," *Int. J. Neurosci.*, 2004.

528   [33]   J. Fernandes, F. Ferreira-Santos, K. Miller, and S. Torres, "Emotional processing in obesity: a
529   systematic review and exploratory meta-analysis," *Obes. Rev.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 111–120,
530   2018.

531   [34]   S. Bergmann *et al.*, "Emotional availability, understanding emotions, and recognition of facial
532   emotions in obese mothers with young children," *J. Psychosom. Res.*, 2016.

533   [35]   D. Rommel, J. L. Nandrino, C. Ducro, S. Andrieux, F. Delecourt, and P. Antoine, "Impact of
534   emotional awareness and parental bonding on emotional eating in obese women," *Appetite*,
535   2012.

536   [36]   G. Nilsonne, M. Lekander, T. Åkerstedt, J. Axelsson, and M. Ingre, "Diurnal variation of
537   circulating interleukin-6 in humans: A meta-analysis," *PLoS One*, vol. 11, no. 11, 2016.

538   [37]   M. Herieka and C. Erridge, "High-fat meal induced postprandial inflammation," *Molecular*
539   *Nutrition and Food Research*, vol. 58, no. 1. pp. 136–146, 2014.

540   [38]   S. Baron-Cohen, S. Wheelwright, J. Hill, Y. Raste, and I. Plumb, "The 'Reading the Mind in the
541   Eyes' Test revised version: a study with normal adults, and adults with Asperger syndrome or
542   high-functioning autism.," *J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry.*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 241–251, 2001.

543   [39]   B. F. M. Oakley, R. Brewer, G. Bird, and C. Catmur, "Theory of mind is not theory of emotion: A
544   cautionary note on the reading the mind in the eyes test," *J. Abnorm. Psychol.*, 2016.

545   [40]   O. Sangha, G. Stucki, M. H. Liang, A. H. Fossel, and J. N. Katz, "The self-administered
546   comorbidity questionnaire: A new method to assess comorbidity for clinical and health services
547   research," *Arthritis Rheum.*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 156–163, 2003.

548   [41]   J. S. Carpenter and M. A. Andrykowski, "Psychometric evaluation of the Pittsburgh Sleep
549   Quality Index," *J. Psychosom. Res.*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 5–13, 1998.

550   [42]   P. Maurage *et al.*, "The 'Reading the Mind in the Eyes' test as a new way to explore complex
551   emotions decoding in alcohol dependence," *Psychiatry Res.*, vol. 190, no. 2–3, pp. 375–378,
552   2011.

553   [43]   R. Saylik, E. Raman, and A. J. Szameitat, "Sex differences in emotion recognition and working
554   memory tasks," *Front. Psychol.*, 2018.

555   [44]   T. S. H. Wingenbach, C. Ashwin, and M. Brosnan, "Sex differences in facial emotion

556   recognition across varying expression intensity levels from videos," *PLoS One*, 2018.

557   [45]   K. L. Evans and E. Hampson, "Sex-dependent effects on tasks assessing reinforcement
558   learning and interference inhibition," *Front. Psychol.*, 2015.

559   [46]   Z. Zhang, K. F. Manson, D. Schiller, and I. Levy, "Impaired associative learning with food
560   rewards in obese women," *Curr. Biol.*, vol. 24, no. 15, pp. 1731–1736, 2014.

561   [47]   A. Hayes, "Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis," *New York,*
562   *NY Guilford*, pp. 3–4, 2013.

563   [48]   E.-J. Wagenmakers *et al.*, "Bayesian inference for psychology. Part II: Example applications
564   with JASP," *Psychon. Bull. Rev.*, 2017.

565   [49]   J. A. Pasco, K. L. Holloway, A. G. Dobbins, M. A. Kotowicz, L. J. Williams, and S. L. Brennan,
566   "Body mass index and measures of body fat for defining obesity and underweight: A cross-
567   sectional, population-based study," *BMC Obes.*, 2014.

568   [50]   WHO, "Obesity and overweight Key facts," *Fact sheet No. 311*, 2015. .

569   [51]   X. Caldú *et al.*, "Effect of the catechol-O-methyltransferase Val 158Met polymorphism on
570   theory of mind in obesity," *Eur. Eat. Disord. Rev.*, 2019.

571   [52]   A. Koch and O. Pollatos, "Reduced facial emotion recognition in overweight and obese
572   children," *J. Psychosom. Res.*, 2015.

573   [53]   I. Percinel, B. Ozbaran, S. Kose, D. G. Simsek, and S. Darcan, "Increased deficits in emotion
574   recognition and regulation in children and adolescents with exogenous obesity," *World J. Biol.*
575   *Psychiatry*, 2018.

576   [54]   P. Surcinelli, B. Baldaro, A. Balsamo, R. Bolzani, M. Gennari, and N. C. F. Rossi, "Emotion
577   recognition and expression in young obese participants: preliminary study," *Percept. Mot.*
578   *Skills*, 2007.

579   [55]   E. Smith, P. Hay, L. Campbell, and J. N. Trollor, "A review of the association between obesity
580   and cognitive function across the lifespan: Implications for novel approaches to prevention and
581   treatment," *Obes. Rev.*, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 740–755, 2011.

582   [56]   J. C. D. Nguyen, A. S. Killcross, and T. A. Jenkins, "Obesity and cognitive decline: Role of
583   inflammation and vascular changes," *Front. Neurosci.*, 2014.

584   [57]   A. S. Jackson, I. Janssen, X. Sui, T. S. Church, and S. N. Blair, "Longitudinal changes in body
585   composition associated with healthy ageing: men, aged 20-96 years.," *Br. J. Nutr.*, 2012.

586   [58]   M. Zeller *et al.*, "Relation between body mass index, waist circumference, and death after
587   acute myocardial infarction," *Circulation*, 2008.

588   [59]   M. B. Kadakia, C. S. Fox, B. M. Scirica, S. A. Murphy, M. P. Bonaca, and D. A. Morrow,
589   "Central obesity and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndrome:
590   Observations from the MERLIN-TIMI 36 trial," *Heart*, 2011.

591   [60]   H. R. Banack and J. S. Kaufman, "The 'Obesity Paradox' Explained," *Epidemiology*, 2013.

592   [61]   L. de Wit, F. Luppino, A. van Straten, B. Penninx, F. Zitman, and P. Cuijpers, "Depression and
593   obesity: A meta-analysis of community-based studies," *Psychiatry Res.*, 2010.

594   [62]   F. S. Luppino *et al.*, "Overweight, obesity, and depression: A systematic review and meta-
595   analysis of longitudinal studies," *Archives of General Psychiatry*, vol. 67, no. 3. pp. 220–229,
596   2010.

597   [63]   V. L. Forman-Hoffman, J. W. Yankey, S. L. Hillis, R. B. Wallace, and F. D. Wolinsky, "Weight
598   and depressive symptoms in older adults: Direction of influence?," *Journals Gerontol. - Ser. B*
599   *Psychol. Sci. Soc. Sci.*, 2007.

600   [64]   J. Kim, J. W. Noh, J. Park, and Y. D. Kwon, "Body mass index and depressive symptoms in
601   older adults: A cross-lagged panel analysis," *PLoS One*, 2014.

602   [65]   A. F. Hayes, "Beyond Baron and Kenny: Statistical mediation analysis in the new millennium,"
603   *Commun. Monogr.*, 2009.

604