## UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

## Comparing an imaging-guided pathway with the standard pathway for staging muscle-invasive bladder cancer

Bryan, Rik; Liu, Wenyu; Pirrie, Sarah; Amir, Rashid; Gallagher, Jean; Hughes, Ana; Jefferson, Kieran; Knight, Allen; Nanton, Veronica; Mintz, Harriet; Pope, Ann; Catto, Jim; Patel, Prashant; James, Nicholas

DOI: 10.1016/j.eururo.2021.02.021

License: Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Bryan, R, Liu, W, Pirrie, S, Amir, R, Gallagher, J, Hughes, A, Jefferson, K, Knight, A, Nanton, V, Mintz, H, Pope, A, Catto, J, Patel, P & James, N 2021, 'Comparing an imaging-guided pathway with the standard pathway for staging muscle-invasive bladder cancer: preliminary data from the BladderPath study', *European urology*, vol. 80, no. 1, pp. 12-15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2021.02.021

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

**Publisher Rights Statement:** 

This is the authors accepted manuscript (AAM) for a forthcoming publication in European Urology, published by Elsevier.

## General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

## Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

# COMPARING AN IMAGING-GUIDED PATHWAY WITH THE STANDARD PATHWAY FOR STAGING MUSCLE-INVASIVE BLADDER CANCER: PRELIMINARY DATA FROM THE BLADDERPATH STUDY Richard T Bryan<sup>1</sup>, Wenyu Liu<sup>2</sup>, Sarah J Pirrie<sup>2</sup>, Rashid Amir<sup>3</sup>, Jean Gallagher<sup>4</sup>, Ana I Hughes<sup>2</sup>, Kieran P Jefferson<sup>5</sup>, Allen Knight<sup>4,6</sup>, Veronica Nanton<sup>7</sup>, Harriet P Mintz<sup>8</sup>, Ann M Pope<sup>2</sup>, James WF Catto<sup>9,10</sup>, Prashant Patel<sup>1,3</sup>,

6 Nicholas D James<sup>11,12</sup>.

- <sup>7</sup> <sup>1</sup>Bladder Cancer Research Centre, Institute of Cancer & Genomic Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK.
- 8 <sup>2</sup>Cancer Research UK Clinical Trials Unit, Institute of Cancer & Genomic Sciences, University of Birmingham,

9 UK.

- 10 <sup>3</sup>University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, UK.
- 11 <sup>4</sup>Patient representative, UK.
- 12 <sup>5</sup>University Hospital Coventry & Warwickshire, UK.
- 13 <sup>6</sup>Action Bladder Cancer UK.
- 14 <sup>7</sup>Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK
- 15 <sup>8</sup>The Medical School, University of Birmingham, UK.
- 16 <sup>9</sup>Academic Urology Unit, University of Sheffield, UK.
- 17 <sup>10</sup>Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, UK.
- 18 <sup>11</sup>Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK.
- 19 <sup>12</sup>The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK.
- 20

21	Correspondence:	Prof ND James, The Institute of Cancer Research, 123 Old Brompton Road, London			
22		SW7 3RP, UK. +44 207 153 5130. nick.james@icr.ac.uk			
23	Key words:	Transurethral resection; TURBT; magnetic resonance imaging; MRI; bladder cancer;			
24		staging; clinical trial.			
25	Word Count:	200 (Abstract) + 900 (Brief Correspondence) + 99 (Patient Summary) = 1199 (Total)			

- 26Funding:BladderPath is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) [Health27Technology Assessment Programme, project number 14/08/60]. JWFC is funded by a28NIHR Research Professorship (2019-24). The views expressed are those of the authors29and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.
- 30Authorship:The authorship reflects members of the BladderPath trial management group. All31authors had full access to all study data, helped in interpretation and analysis, and32were involved in drafting and editing of the manuscript. The corresponding author33had full access to all of the data in the study and had final responsibility for the34decision to submit for publication.
- Conflicts of interest: JWFC has received reimbursement for consultancy from Astra Zeneca and Janssen,
   speaker fees from BMS, MSD, Nucleix and Roche, and honoraria for membership of
   an advisory board for Ferring and Janssen. RTB has contributed to advisory boards for
   Olympus Medical Systems & Janssen, and undertakes research funded by UroGen
   Pharma and QED Therapeutics. NDJ has no relevant conflicts of interest for this work.

## 40 ABSTRACT

41 Transurethral resection (TURBT) is central to the diagnosis of muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC). With the 42 oncological safety of TURBT unknown, staging inaccuracies commonplace, and correct treatment of MIBC 43 potentially delayed, multiparametric (mp)MRI may offer rapid, accurate and non-invasive diagnosis of MIBC. 44 BladderPath is a randomised trial comparing risk-stratified (5-point Likert scale) image-directed care with 45 TURBT for patients with newly-diagnosed BC. To date, we have screened 279 patients and randomised 113. 46 Here we report on the first 100 participants to complete staging: 48 in Pathway 1 (TURBT) and 52 in Pathway 47 2 (mpMRI for possible MIBC, Likert 3-5). Fifty of 52 participants designated Likert 1-2 (probable NMIBC) from 48 both pathways were confirmed as NMIBC (96%). Ten of 11 participants diagnosed NMIBC by mpMRI have 49 been pathologically-confirmed as NMIBC, and 10/15 participants diagnosed MIBC by mpMRI have been 50 treated as MIBC (5 participants underwent TURBT). The specificity of mpMRI for the identification of MIBC 51 remains a limitation. These initial experiences indicate that it is feasible to direct possible MIBC patients to 52 mpMRI for staging instead of TURBT. Furthermore, a 5-point Likert scale accurately identifies patients with a 53 low risk of MIBC (Likert 1-2), and flexible cystoscopy biopsies appear sufficient for diagnosing BC.

54

## 55 BRIEF CORRESPONDENCE

56 Diagnostic pathways for bladder cancer (BC) patients have remained largely unchanged for >30-years, with 57 transurethral resection of bladder tumour (TURBT) the initial diagnostic and staging tool [1;2]. Whilst TURBT 58 is mostly well-tolerated and therapeutic for non-muscle-invasive BC (NMIBC), its role in muscle-invasive BC 59 (MIBC) is predominantly diagnostic [1]. The shortcomings of TURBT are well-described [3], including 60 hydrodistension and perforation (potentially facilitating extravesical tumour dissemination [4]), understaging 61 [5], and post-TURBT artefacts which hinder timely accurate staging, all of which may delay radical treatment 62 or lead to incorrect therapy choices, resulting in worse outcomes [6]. Imaging advances suggest 63 multiparametric (mp)MRI may allow the accurate discrimination of NMIBC and MIBC [7-9], potentially offering 64 a safer and faster route to radical treatment than TURBT. In order to test the hypothesis that MIBC patients 65 can be expedited to radical treatment by using mpMRI rather than TURBT, we are undertaking the BladderPath 66 randomised controlled trial (NHS Research Ethics Committee approval 17/LO/1819, ISRCTN 35296862, https://www.birmingham.ac.uk/research/crctu/trials/bladder-path/index.aspx, Appendix 1: BladderPath 67 68 protocol).

Briefly, randomised patients are those diagnosed with BC following outpatient cystoscopy for relevant symptoms and without a prior history of urothelial cancer. Using endoscopic appearances, patients are stratified by a 5-point Likert scale: 1) strongly-agree or 2) agree that the lesion is NMIBC, or 3) equivocal, or 4) agree or 5) strongly-agree that the lesion is MIBC. Likert 1-2 patients are considered as 'probable NMIBC' and Likert 3-5 patients are considered as 'possible MIBC'. Provided illustrations facilitate the designation of Likert score (**Appendix 2**).

Consenting participants are randomised to standard-of-care (Pathway 1: TURBT) or risk-stratified mpMRIdirected care (Pathway 2: Likert 1-2 undergo TURBT, Likert 3-5 undergo flexible cystoscopy-guided tissue biopsy under local anaesthesia and mpMRI using the VI-RADS protocol, **Appendices 3 & 4**). TURBT is permitted for Likert 3-5 participants in Pathway 2 for the following indications:

• To ascertain the presence of histological variants;

- To debulk the tumour prior to radical therapy (e.g. prior to chemoradiotherapy);
- Lack of confidence that the MRI shows MIBC;
- To perform examination under anaesthesia in order to assess operability;
- To check for carcinoma in situ;
- To obtain prostatic urethral biopsies when considering neo-bladder;
- To re-stage after neoadjuvant chemotherapy; or
- For the management of symptoms, e.g. lower urinary tract symptoms, haematuria, etc.

Radical treatment with neoadjuvant chemotherapy (where safe and appropriate) is offered to all participants
with MIBC, using the results of either TURBT or mpMRI staging.

The study is being conducted in three stages with primary outcomes of feasibility, time to correct therapy for MIBC, and clinical progression-free survival, respectively. Primary/secondary outcomes, definitions, accrual targets and statistical considerations are detailed in **Appendix 1: BladderPath protocol**. To 1<sup>st</sup> October 2020, 15 centres have opened BladderPath, 279 patients have been screened as potentially eligible, and 113 have been randomised. Here we report data from the first 100 randomised patients (88%) who have completed staging and/or have commenced treatment as we feel that there are important take home messages for the urological community, especially within the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. See **Table 1 & Figure 1**.

For 5 recruiting units, taking pinch biopsies during flexible cystoscopy was compatible with local protocols; all 30 biopsies confirmed BC - see inset panel of Figure 1 (stage classification not definitive). As can also be seen from Figure 1, 52 participants designated as Likert 1-2 have undergone TURBT, with NMIBC confirmed in 50 (96%). A total of 42 participants designated as Likert 3-5 have undergone TURBT or cystectomy with pathological staging available (22 in Pathway 1, 20 in Pathway 2), confirming MIBC in 13 (8 in Pathway 1, 5 in Pathway 2); considering these participants, and all those treated clinically as MIBC by bladder-preservation or palliation, then stage T2+ disease was diagnosed in 19/48 participants designated as Likert 3-5 (40%).

Of 11 participants diagnosed with NMIBC based upon mpMRI, 10 (91%) were pathologically confirmed as
 NMIBC by TURBT. Of 15 patients diagnosed with MIBC based upon mpMRI, 10 (67%) were treated as MIBC;

the remaining 5 patients underwent TURBT which demonstrated 5 NMIBCs (two pT1 tumours and three pTa tumours), thus highlighting the limitations in the specificity of mpMRI for diagnosing MIBC. For patients who underwent systemic chemotherapy, radiotherapy or palliation for mpMRI-diagnosed MIBC it is impossible to conclusively know whether these were correct treatments, and this is a limitation of the study design.

109 Our initial experience indicates that it is feasible to direct possible MIBC patients (Likert 3-5) to mpMRI instead 110 of TURBT for staging, with clinicians accepting a tumour biopsy and imaging approach for diagnosing MIBC. 111 Furthermore, based upon TURBT, a 5-point Likert scale accurately identifies patients with a low risk of MIBC, 112 i.e. those designated as Likert 1-2 (albeit, only cystectomy can be considered as providing definitive staging). 113 Likert scales have been used effectively in a variety of urological settings, including cystoscopy [10], and so 114 wider use of our Likert scale may be appropriate for determining and expediting subsequent management 115 following visual diagnosis in the outpatient setting. Finally, flexible cystoscopy biopsies appear sufficient for 116 diagnosing BC.

A qualitative substudy is underway to understand the impact of the study and the new pathway on patients, their partners, relatives or friends, and healthcare professionals. The main study continues to investigate the intermediate outcome of time to correct therapy for MIBC and NMIBC, and the final outcome of clinical progression-free survival. Notwithstanding, in the COVID-19 environment, aspects of the mpMRI-directed pathway (Pathway 2) could be perceived as beneficial.

122

## 123 PATIENT SUMMARY

We are conducting a clinical trial to assess whether some bladder tumour resections (TURBTs) can be replaced by MRI scanning to determine the stage of the tumour in patients whose tumours appear to be invasive. The early data shown here suggest that this approach is feasible. The data also show that using a special score (Likert scale) can help to visually identify bladder tumours that are very unlikely to be invasive, and that taking a biopsy in the outpatient clinic at the time of initial camera inspection of the bladder (diagnostic flexible cystoscopy) is useful for confirming bladder cancer.

130		Reference List
131		
132 133 134 135	(1)	Babjuk M, Burger M, Comperat EM, Gontero P, Mostafid AH, Palou J, van Rhijn BWG, Roupret M, Shariat SF, Sylvester R, Zigeuner R, Capoun O, Cohen D, Escrig JLD, Hernandez V, Peyronnet B, Seisen T, Soukup V. European Association of Urology Guidelines on Non-muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer (TaT1 and Carcinoma In Situ) - 2019 Update. Eur Urol 2019; 76:639-657.
136 137 138 139	(2)	Mostafid H, Babjuk M, Bochner B, Lerner SP, Witjes F, Palou J, Roupret M, Shariat S, Gontero P, van RB, Zigeuner R, Sylvester R, Comperat E, Burger M, Malavaud B, Soloway M, Williams S, Black P, Daneshmand S, Steinberg G, Brausi M, Catto J, Kamat AM. Transurethral Resection of Bladder Tumour: The Neglected Procedure in the Technology Race in Bladder Cancer. Eur Urol 2020; 77:669-670.
140 141	(3)	Wilby D, Thomas K, Ray E, Chappell B, O'Brien T. Bladder cancer: new TUR techniques. World J Urol 2009; 27:309-312.
142 143 144	(4)	Engilbertsson H, Aaltonen KE, Bjornsson S, Kristmundsson T, Patschan O, Ryden L, Gudjonsson S. Transurethral bladder tumor resection can cause seeding of cancer cells into the bloodstream. J Urol 2015; 193:53-57.
145 146 147	(5)	Kulkarni GS, Hakenberg OW, Gschwend JE, Thalmann G, Kassouf W, Kamat A, Zlotta A. An updated critical analysis of the treatment strategy for newly diagnosed high-grade T1 (previously T1G3) bladder cancer. Eur Urol 2010; 57:60-70.
148 149 150	(6)	Klaassen Z, Kamat AM, Kassouf W, Gontero P, Villavicencio H, Bellmunt J, van Rhijn BWG, Hartmann A, Catto JWF, Kulkarni GS. Treatment Strategy for Newly Diagnosed T1 High-grade Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma: New Insights and Updated Recommendations. Eur Urol 2018; 74:597-608.
151 152 153	(7)	Takeuchi M, Sasaki S, Ito M, Okada S, Takahashi S, Kawai T, Suzuki K, Oshima H, Hara M, Shibamoto Y. Urinary bladder cancer: diffusion-weighted MR imagingaccuracy for diagnosing T stage and estimating histologic grade. Radiology 2009; 251:112-121.
154 155 156 157	(8)	Panebianco V, Narumi Y, Altun E, Bochner BH, Efstathiou JA, Hafeez S, Huddart R, Kennish S, Lerner S, Montironi R, Muglia VF, Salomon G, Thomas S, Vargas HA, Witjes JA, Takeuchi M, Barentsz J, Catto JWF. Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Bladder Cancer: Development of VI-RADS (Vesical Imaging-Reporting And Data System). Eur Urol 2018; 74:294-306.
158 159 160 161	(9)	Del GF, Barchetti G, de BE, Pecoraro M, Salvo V, Simone G, Sciarra A, Leonardo C, Gallucci M, Catalano C, Catto JWF, Panebianco V. Prospective Assessment of Vesical Imaging Reporting and Data System (VI-RADS) and Its Clinical Impact on the Management of High-risk Non-muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer Patients Candidate for Repeated Transurethral Resection. Eur Urol 2020; 77:101-109.
162 163 164 165 166 167 168	(10)	Dalgaard LP, Zare R, Gaya JM, Redorta JP, Roumiguie M, Filleron T, Malavaud B. Prospective evaluation of the performances of narrow-band imaging flexible videoscopy relative to white-light imaging flexible videoscopy, in patients scheduled for transurethral resection of a primary NMIBC. World J Urol 2019; 37:1615-1621.

## **Table 1: Patient characteristics.**

	Pathway	/ 1 (n=48)	Pathway 2 (n=52)		
		Standard of care		mpMRI-directed care	
		N	(%)	N	%
Age	Median (yrs)	73		72	
Gender	Male	36	(75)	38	(73)
Gender	Female	12	(25)	14	(27)
Cystoscopic	Likert 1-2	25	(52)	27	(52)
appearance	Likert 3-5	23	(48)	25	(48)
Final stage	Ta/T1	39	(81)	39	(75)
	T2 or above	9	(19)	13	(25)

Figure 1: Flow of patients through the study. NAC = neoadjuvant chemotherapy, Pal = palliative, chemo = chemotherapy, RT = radiotherapy, \*denotes cystectomy abandoned

due to unresectable disease. Inset: histopathology results from the 30 pinch biopsies taken at outpatient flexible cystoscopy.

