UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Molecular subtypes of T1 bladder cancer

Ward, Douglas G; Arnold, Roland; Bryan, Richard T

DOI: 10.1016/j.eururo.2020.07.021

License: Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Ward, DG, Arnold, R & Bryan, RT 2020, 'Molecular subtypes of T1 bladder cancer: biomolecular characteristics versus clinical utility', *European urology*, vol. 78, no. 4, pp. 538-539. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2020.07.021

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)

•Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1 MOLECULAR SUBTYPES OF T1 BLADDER CANCER: BIOMOLECULAR

2 CHARACTERISTICS VERSUS CLINICAL UTILITY

3 Douglas G Ward¹, Roland Arnold¹, Richard T Bryan¹.

- 4 ¹Institute of Cancer and Genomic Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK.
- 5

6 INVITED EDITORIAL

- 7 Re: A.G.Robertson et al. Identification of Differential Tumor Subtypes of T1 Bladder Cancer.
- 8
- 9
- 2
- 10
- . .
- 11
- 12
- 13

14 Keywords:

15 Bladder cancer; molecular; subtypes; T1; utility.

16

- 17 Correspondence:
- 18 Mr Richard T Bryan
- 19 The Bladder Cancer Group, Institute of Cancer & Genomic Sciences, University of Birmingham,
- 20 Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK.
- 21 T +44 121 414 7870, F +44 121 414 3630, E <u>r.t.bryan@bham.ac.uk</u>

22

- 23 Disclosures:
- 24 RT Bryan has contributed to advisory boards for Olympus Medical Systems & Janssen, and undertakes
- 25 research funded by UroGen Pharma.

26 From low grade Ta to high grade T1, non-muscle-invasive bladder cancers (NMIBCs) are highly 27 heterogeneous both clinically and biologically. As with muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC), high 28 grade NMIBCs have a high mutation burden, multiple copy number changes and loss of tumour 29 suppressors (e.g. TP53, RB1), whereas low grade NMIBCs exhibit oncogene activation (e.g. FGFR3, RAS) 30 in a relatively normal genome [1]. Recently, "subtyping" based on gene expression has offered further 31 insights into NMIBC biology [1-3], yet risk stratification (and hence treatment selection) remains 32 entirely based upon clinico-pathological observations, without the inclusion of biomolecular 33 information [4].

The majority of urologists treat high grade T1 patients with induction and maintenance intravescial BCG, with radical cystectomy considered in suitable patients [4]. BCG efficacy varies: over 60% of patients experience durable responses [5;6], up to 20% experience progression to MIBC within 5-years [5;6], and as many as 15% have lymph node metastases at diagnosis [7]. There would be great benefit to patients if such biomolecular insights could be used to predict those more likely to respond to BCG, those who should be treated by other (novel) therapeutics, those who would be optimally managed by early radical cystectomy and, potentially, those who do not require prolonged adjuvant therapies.

41 In this month's issue of *European Urology*, Robertson et al describe the identification of five subtypes 42 of high grade T1 bladder cancer [8]. The authors utilise a discovery cohort of 73 patients with high 43 grade T1 disease; 84% of these patients had undergone re-TUR, 100% had received induction BCG, 44 and 64% had received maintenance BCG. At 24 months, 32% had recurred and 8% had progressed to 45 MIBC; overall, 9 patients progressed during follow-up. Transurethral resection specimens were 46 subjected to RNA sequencing using standard methodology. State-of-the-art analyses were used to 47 interpret the data at the level of defined pathways and regulons, and to provide insights into the 48 (immune) microenvironment.

In brief, unsupervised consensus clustering based on gene expression was used to define 5 subtypes
considered to optimally describe tumour biology and characteristics, with an open-access "single

51 sample classifier" generated to facilitate subtyping. Regulon analysis identified a dichotomy, with 52 similar transcription factor activity in the T1-Myc and T1-LumGU subtypes and in the T1-TLum and T1-53 Early subtypes. Although recurrence-free survival curves for the 5 subtypes did not differ significantly, 54 combined T1-Myc/Early versus combined T1-LumGU/Inflam/TLum resulted in a significant difference 55 in recurrence-free survival. As a limited validation, the gene expression patterns were corroborated 56 in a cohort of 26 patients with high grade tumours who underwent radical cystectomy (69% <T1, 31% 57 ≥T2), suggesting that the 5 subtypes are intrinsic properties of high grade bladder cancer rather than 58 a result of "overfitting". Subsequent in vitro data highlighted the potential of this classification for the 59 selection of targeted therapies.

60 Unlike previous studies predominantly focussed on MIBCs and/or NMIBCs of all grades and stages [2;3;9], the advantage of the current study is that it has analysed only high grade T1 tumours from 61 62 patients treated with at least induction BCG; hence, the influence of intrinsic biology on the outcomes 63 of high grade T1 disease can largely be separated from the confounding effects of grade, stage, and 64 varying treatments (see later). Comparison with existing subtyping methods showed that T1-LumGU 65 shows similarity to LumU (MIBC consensus [9]) and GU (Lund [2]) subtypes, and T1-Inflam shows 66 similarity to cluster 2b (UROMOL [10]) and Basal & Mesenchymal (Lund) subtypes, whilst the remaining proposed T1 subtypes are predominantly similar to LumP (MIBC consensus), cluster 2a 67 68 (UROMOL) and urothelial-like (Lund). Thus, the subtypes described here provide more detailed 69 characterisation of T1 tumours than existing schema.

The Brief Correspondence format does not do justice to these data, nor permit detailed explanations of methodology and iterative steps. Hence, the analyses are not without the need for clarification; as the authors state, the results require robust independent validation. Although high *MYC* expression is reason to combine T1-Myc and T1-Early (with a significant recurrence-free survival difference versus T1-LumGU/Inflam/TLum), they are derived from opposite regulon clusters, and other shared biology is not evidenced. Also, data relating to immune microenvironment should be interpreted carefully: for 76 example, MCP-counter does not report absolute measurements of cell-type fractions but individual 77 cell-type scores, which are potentially influenced by normalisation procedures. Furthermore, it 78 remains unclear if the validation readout (expression of two regulons, expression of seven genes, CIS 79 gene-set expression, and MIBC subtype) is not intrinsically modelled in the classifier (which is based 80 on the expression of 300 genes). In addition, the differential outcomes for the subtypes are based on 81 a small number of events in a modest number of patients with no independent validation. Finally, is 82 recurrence-free survival the most clinically-relevant outcome for patients with high grade T1 tumours 83 following induction BCG? Figure 1A illustrates the treatments of the 9 patients who progressed to 84 MIBC: only 1/9 had undergone re-TUR and had received maintenance BCG. Progression in high grade 85 T1 patients after optimal bladder-preserving management (re-TUR, induction and maintenance BCG 86 [4]) is life-threatening, and is the outcome of most interest to clinicians (and their patients). Arguably, 87 this one patient is the only patient who matters in this study – what are the unique biomolecular 88 characteristics of her tumour?

89 As with interventional clinical trials where one undertakes sample size calculations to adequately 90 "power" the study, it is likely that many hundreds of T1 tumours (accompanied by high quality clinical 91 data and follow-up) need to be analysed to answer the question(s) of most importance for this clinical 92 setting. With RNA sequencing still costly and transcriptome data analysis complex, this remains a 93 challenge. Additionally, subtype is only be one of the biomolecular factors influencing outcomes from 94 high grade T1 disease, with important contributions to pathogenesis from other genomic and 95 epigenomic phenomena, as well as cell and immune biology. There is still a long journey ahead to fully 96 understand high grade T1 disease such that we can optimally stratify patients, and develop new 97 therapies; however, alongside previous analyses [1-3;10], this study represents a promising waypoint.

98		Reference List
99		
100 101	(1)	Hurst CD, Platt F, Taylor CF, Knowles MA. Novel tumor subgroups of urothelial carcinoma of the bladder defined by integrated genomic analysis. Clin Cancer Res 2012; 18:5865-5877.
102 103 104	(2)	Sjodahl G, Lauss M, Lovgren K, Chebil G, Gudjonsson S, Veerla S, Patschan O, Aine M, Ferno M, Ringner M, Mansson W, Liedberg F, Lindgren D, Hoglund M. A molecular taxonomy for urothelial carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2012; 18:3377-3386.
105 106 107 108 109 110 111	(3)	Hedegaard J, Lamy P, Nordentoft I, Algaba F, Hoyer S, Ulhoi BP, Vang S, Reinert T, Hermann GG, Mogensen K, Thomsen MB, Nielsen MM, Marquez M, Segersten U, Aine M, Hoglund M, Birkenkamp-Demtroder K, Fristrup N, Borre M, Hartmann A, Stohr R, Wach S, Keck B, Seitz AK, Nawroth R, Maurer T, Tulic C, Simic T, Junker K, Horstmann M, Harving N, Petersen AC, Calle ML, Steyerberg EW, Beukers W, van Kessel KE, Jensen JB, Pedersen JS, Malmstrom PU, Malats N, Real FX, Zwarthoff EC, Orntoft TF, Dyrskjot L. Comprehensive Transcriptional Analysis of Early-Stage Urothelial Carcinoma. Cancer Cell 2016; 30:27-42.
112 113 114 115 116	(4)	Babjuk M, Burger M, Comperat EM, Gontero P, Mostafid AH, Palou J, van Rhijn BWG, Roupret M, Shariat SF, Sylvester R, Zigeuner R, Capoun O, Cohen D, Escrig JLD, Hernandez V, Peyronnet B, Seisen T, Soukup V. European Association of Urology Guidelines on Non- muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer (TaT1 and Carcinoma In Situ) - 2019 Update. Eur Urol 2019; 76:639-657.
117 118 119	(5)	Thomas F, Noon AP, Rubin N, Goepel JR, Catto JW. Comparative outcomes of primary, recurrent, and progressive high-risk non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. Eur Urol 2013; 63:145-154.
120 121 122 123 124 125	(6)	Martinez-Pineiro L, Portillo JA, Fernandez JM, Zabala JA, Cadierno I, Moyano JL, Solsona E, Unda M, Beardo P, Rodriguez-Molina J, Chantada V, Palou J, Muntanola P, Alonso Dorrego JM, Perez-Garcia FJ, Silva JM, Chesa N, Montesinos M, Ojea A, Madero R, Martinez-Pineiro JA. Maintenance Therapy with 3-monthly Bacillus Calmette-Guerin for 3 Years is Not Superior to Standard Induction Therapy in High-risk Non-muscle-invasive Urothelial Bladder Carcinoma: Final Results of Randomised CUETO Study 98013. Eur Urol 2015; 68:256-262.
126 127 128	(7)	Wiesner C, Thomas C, Salzer A, Gillitzer R, Hampel C, Thuroff JW. [Prevalence of lymph node metastases in non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. Delay of radical cystectomy and upstaging in the cystectomy specimen as risk factors]. Urologe A 2008; 47:1162-1166.
129 130 131	(8)	A.G.Robertson et al. Identification of Differential Tumor Subtypes of T1 Bladder Cancer. Eur Urol 2020; in press.
132 133 134 135 136	(9)	Kamoun A, de RA, Allory Y, Sjodahl G, Robertson AG, Seiler R, Hoadley KA, Groeneveld CS, Al- Ahmadie H, Choi W, Castro MAA, Fontugne J, Eriksson P, Mo Q, Kardos J, Zlotta A, Hartmann A, Dinney CP, Bellmunt J, Powles T, Malats N, Chan KS, Kim WY, McConkey DJ, Black PC, Dyrskjot L, Hoglund M, Lerner SP, Real FX, Radvanyi F. A Consensus Molecular Classification of Muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer. Eur Urol 2020; 77:420-433.
137 138 139 140	(10)	Lindskrog SV, Prip FF, Lamy P, Taber A, Groeneveld CS, Birkenkamp-Demtroder K, Jensen JB, Strandgaard T, Nordentoft I, Christensen E, Sokac M, Birkbak NJ, Maretty L, Hermann GH, Petersen AC, Weyerer V, Grimm MO, Horstmann M, Sjodahl G, Hoglund M, Steiniche T, Mogensen K, de Reynies A, Nawroth R, Jordan B, Lin X, Dragicevic D, Ward DG, Goel A, Hurst

141 CD, Raman JD, Warrick JI, Segersten U, Sikic D, van Kessel KEM, Maurer T, Meeks JJ, Degraff
142 DJ, Bryan RT, Knowles MA, Simic T, Hartmann A, Zwarthoff EC, Malmstrom PU, Malats N,
143 Real FX, Dyrskjot L. An integrated multi-omics analysis identifies clinically relevant molecular
144 subtypes of non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. medRxiv 2020;2020.
145

146